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Intracranial Carotid Calcification on Cranial Computed Tomography
Visual Scoring Methods, Semiautomated Scores, and Volume Measurements in Patients With Stroke

Deepak Subedi, FRCR; Umme Sara Zishan, MBBS; Francesca Chappell, PhD; Maria-Lena Gregoriades, FRCR; Cathie Sudlow, DPhil; Robin Sellar, FRCR; Joanna Wardlaw, FRCR, MD

Background and Purpose—Intracranial internal carotid artery calcification is associated with cerebrovascular risk factors and stroke, but few quantification methods are available. We tested the reliability of visual scoring, semiautomated Agatston score, and calcium volume measurement in patients with recent stroke.

Methods—We used scans from a prospective hospital stroke registry and included patients with anterior circulation ischemic stroke or transient ischemic stroke whose noncontrast cranial computed tomographic scans were available electronically. Two raters measured semiautomatic quantitative Agatston score, and calcium volume, and performed qualitative visual scoring using the original 4-point Woodcock score and a modified Woodcock score, where each image on which the internal carotid arteries appeared was scored and the slice scores summed.

Results—Intra- and interobserver coefficient of variations were 8.8% and 16.5% for Agatston, 8.8% and 15.5% for calcium volume, and 5.7% and 5.4% for the modified Woodcock visual score, respectively. The modified Woodcock visual score correlated strongly with both Agatston and calcium volume quantitative measures (both \( R^2=0.84; P<0.0001 \); calcium volume increased by 0.47-mm/point increase in modified Woodcock visual score. Intracranial internal carotid artery calcification increased with age by all measures (eg, visual score, Spearman \( \rho=0.4; P=0.005 \)).

Conclusions—Visual scores correlate highly with quantitative intracranial internal carotid artery calcification measures, with excellent observer agreements. Visual intracranial internal carotid artery scores could be a rapid and practical method for epidemiological studies. (Stroke. 2015;46:00-00. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.115.009716.)
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Stroke is the second most common cause of death worldwide and commonest cause of dependency in adults. Atherosclerosis of the cervical carotid arteries is an established cause of ipsilateral ischemic stroke, and vascular calcification is an indicator of advanced atherosclerosis. Vascular calcification can be quantified noninvasively on a computed tomographic (CT) scan. Coronary artery calcification is an established marker of cardiovascular disease and is an independent predictor of future myocardial infarction. Agatston coronary artery calcium scoring is a screening test that uses computational image analysis to quantify coronary calcium to test for coronary artery disease. It has a sensitivity of >90% for detection of significant coronary arterial stenosis.

Agatston coronary artery calcium scoring to screen cardiovascular disease opens the possibility of developing a similar tool for internal carotid artery (ICA) calcium scoring to predict ischemic stroke.

Several methods for assessing intracranial ICA calcium on brain CT have been described (Table 1; Table I in the online-only Data Supplement). Most used visual qualitative or semiquantitative scoring with only a few using specific software to obtain semiautomated quantitative measures. Quantitative methods need to be rapid, relevant, and reliable if they are to be used in large epidemiological studies, eg, to test the independent prognostic value of intracranial ICA calcification in prediction of stroke.

The purpose of this study was to test the inter- and intraobserver reliability and practicality of 2 visual calcium scoring...
Methods and 2 semi-automated methods of quantifying ICA calcification in patients with recent stroke.

Study Population
We used data from prospectively recruited consecutive patients from the Edinburgh Stroke Study (ESS), who presented with anterior circulation stroke or TIA and underwent cranial CT. Briefly, ESS was a prospective, hospital-based register of 2160 stroke and TIA in- and out-patients, recruited between 2002 and 2005. All patients were assessed by stroke physicians to determine diagnosis. Both Lothian Research Ethics Committee and NHS Research and Development Department approved ESS.

To obtain representative data for the present analysis, we identified patients enrolled in the ESS in 2004 and 2005, excluding those with posterior circulation or hemorrhagic stroke. This yielded 499 patients. The most recent 132 of these patients with retrievable cranial CT scans were extracted for the study. Of these 132 patients, 71 had a more-tilted CT data set (which could not be used for Agatston scoring) and 7 had incomplete digital data (no posterior fossa images thus omitting the intracranial ICA), leaving 54 patients as the final study population.

CT Protocol
Patients had cranial CT scans at first presentation with stroke or TIA on a Siemens Sensation 16 (16-slice CT scanner) at 120 kV and 294 mAs with sequential acquisition at section width of 3 mm for posterior fossa and 9 mm for the supratentorium. In all cases, the intracranial ICA was imaged at 3-mm section width.

Calcium scoring was done on each ICA separately, from the petrous apex to the terminal bifurcation, using 4 different methods: 2 semi-automated and 2 visual, as described below.

Semiautomated Quantitative Calcium Scoring
The digital image data were transferred to Vitrea 2 workstation (Vital Images Inc, Plymouth, MN), and semi-automated coronary calcium scoring software (VScore) was used to calculate Agatston calcium score and calcium volume.

Cagatston Score. To calculate Agatston score, the software identifies calcifications in the arteries within a region of interest (Figure 1A) in every slice as areas with density >130 HU. At least 3 contiguous pixels with HU>130 are registered as calcification. Area of each of these calcifications is multiplied by a cofactor, which depends on the peak density of the individual plaque (130–199 HU=1; 200–299 HU=2; 300–399 HU=3; and >400 HU=4). Thus, Agatston score is a measure of calcification weighted to a cofactor of peak density of individual plaques. Care was taken to draw the region of interest (Figure 1A) around carotid calcifications on bone window setting to exclude bone from the region of interest, and the readers were allowed to manipulate the window level and width to avoid contamination from adjacent bone. Each ICA was assessed from the petrous apex to the terminal bifurcation (cavernous and supraclinoid portions). Agatston scores for right and left intracranial ICA and the combined total of right and left scores were calculated. The petrous portion of ICA was not assessed because of its close proximity to the bone limited the ability to draw a region of interest around calcifications without including the surrounding bone.

Calcium Volume. The software used to calculate Agatston score also provided an isotropically interpolated calcium volume, measured in cubic millimeter, by calculating the products of numbers of voxels with attenuation >130 HU and summing the total voxel volumes. This calcium volume was recorded for both right and left ICAs separately.

Two raters independently and blindly performed Agatston score and calcium volume measurement on each patient. The raters were radiology trainees with a minimum of 4 years of general training that included neuroradiology. Apart from learning to use the software, no additional special training was given. The first rater performed all measurements twice; the second rater performed all measurements once. First rater performed each of the 2 measurements 1 week apart to avoid memory bias. The raters were blinded to each other’s scores and all clinical/other data.

Qualitative Visual Calcium Scores
For qualitative visual scoring, we used 2 methods: Woodcock visual scoring and a modified version of the Woodcock visual scoring. The Woodcock Visual Scoring (Table 1)4 originally characterized the ICA siphon calcification as absent, mild (thin, discontinuous), moderate (thin, continuous or thick, discontinuous), or severe (thick, continuous) on axial CT. Woodcock method has demonstrated excellent inter- and intraintrarater agreements4 and is more straightforward and less time-consuming than some of the other visual methods previously described (Table 1; Table I online-only Data Supplement). In the Modified Woodcock Visual Scoring (Table 1; Figure 1B), we modified Woodcock’s visual scoring method to provide a more detailed assessment of the burden of calcification in each ICA while retaining speed and practicality. In this modification, we assigned a number to the severity of calcification (0 for no calcification; 1 for thin, discontinuous calcification; 2 for thin, continuous or thick, discontinuous calcification; and 3 for thick, continuous calcification) on each axial CT slice. The number assigned for each axial slice was summed to create a total score for each ICA.

Table 1. Calcium Visual Scoring Methods in the Literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Binary (absent or present)</td>
<td>Ptk et al,11 Chen et al,12 Bugnicourt et al13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 points (1: thin, discontinuous or punctuate; 2: thin, continuous or thick, discontinuous; 3: thick, continuous or tubular)</td>
<td>Savy et al,14 Suzuki et al15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 points (absent, mild, moderate, and severe)</td>
<td>Woodcock et al,16 Erbay et al,17 Erbay et al,18 Bleeker et al19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 points (0: none, 1: stippled, 2: thin, continuous or thick, discontinuous, 3: thick, continuous, and 4: double tracts)</td>
<td>Babiarz et al,20 Hong et al 2011,21 Kassab et al22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple scales used</td>
<td>Ahn et al23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The Woodcock score considers all slices on which the internal carotid artery is visible and assigns the closest fitting category. The Modified Woodcock score applies the numeric score for each slice and sums the scores to provide a total score per side; both sides can be combined. Additional study details are available in Table I in the online-only Data Supplement.
For the 2 visual methods, the CT images were viewed on a Carestream PACS workstation (Carestream Health, Rochester, NY), using a fixed bone window setting (window level 800 and window width 2000) without manipulation of the window level or width. As with semiautomated scoring, the segment of each ICA from the petrous apex to the ICA bifurcation was assessed.

Two raters performed both the Woodcock and the modified Woodcock visual calcium scores on each subject. The modified Woodcock score was performed first followed by Woodcock score. The first rater performed the visual scores twice (at least 1 week apart), and second rater performed the visual scores once.

Statistical Analysis
We performed all numeric analyses with SPSS version 14.0. We calculated intra- and interobserver agreement using the coefficient of variation, Bland–Altman method for continuous variables, and κ for categorical variables. We correlated visual scores, Agatston, and calcium volume using Spearman ρ. Plots of Agatston score or calcium volume and modified Woodcock visual score suggested nonlinear relationships. We investigated this nonlinearity with transformations and linear regression, using linear regression model diagnostics (scatter plots, QQ plots, and residual plots) to check for linearity. We used cube root as the transformation for calcium volume and Agatston score because this can be interpreted as a length if the raw data can be interpreted as a volume. All transformations, regression analyses, and graphs used R 2.13.1 (http://cran.r-project.org/)

Results
The sample included 54 CT scans, from 30 men (56%) and 24 women (44%), mean age 76 (range, 45–92) years.

The mean Agatston score was 372 (SD±354) right, 357 (SD±309) left, and 729 (SD±644) combined right left. The mean calcium volume (mm³) was 298.5 (SD±268) right, 289 (SD±232) left, and 588 (SD±487) combined right left. The median modified Woodcock visual score was 7.0 (range, 0–15) right, 7.0 (range, 0–13) left, and 14.0 (range, 0–28) combined right left. The most frequent Woodcock score was moderate for both right and left.

The intra- and interobserver measures of agreement for Agatston score, calcium volume, and modified and original Woodcock visual scores are presented in Table 2. The absolute difference between observers was low for Agatston score, calcium volume, and modified Woodcock visual score and was not influenced by the amount of calcification, as shown on Bland–Altman plots (Figures I and II in the online-only Data Supplement).

The Woodcock visual score correlated well with (Table 2) the Agatston score (right: Spearman ρ=0.81, P=0.01 and left: Spearman ρ=0.79; P=0.01), calcium volume (right: Spearman ρ=0.81; P=0.01 and left: Spearman ρ=0.80; P=0.01), and modified Woodcock score (Figures I and II in the online-only Data Supplement; right: Spearman ρ=0.81; P=0.01 and left: Spearman ρ=0.83; P=0.01). The total modified Woodcock visual score (combined left and right) also correlated well with total Agatston score and total calcium volume (Figure 2; Spearman ρ=0.89; P=0.01 and Spearman ρ=0.91; P=0.01, respectively). The cube root transformation of Agatston score and calcium volume successfully linearized the relationships with the modified Woodcock visual score (Figure IIIA and IIIB in the online-only Data Supplement). All linear regression model diagnostics were satisfactory. This translated to an increase in calcium volume of 0.476 mm (95% confidence interval, 0.368–0.466; P<0.0001) for each point increase in the modified Woodcock visual score.

Increasing age was associated with higher Agatston score (combined left and right, Spearman ρ=0.31; P=0.05), higher calcium volume (combined left and right: Spearman ρ=0.33; P=0.05), and higher modified Woodcock visual calcium score (combined left and right: Spearman ρ=0.38; P=0.01).

Discussion
We tested an existing, simple visual ICA calcification score against the computational Agatston score, calcium volume,
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and modified visual score in 54 patients presenting with stroke. We demonstrated very good correlation between visual calcium score and both calcium volume and Agatston scores, which were linear once transformed. We have shown that both semiautomated and visual scoring methods are reliable, with good intra- and interobserver agreements. The absolute differences in the values obtained were not dependent on the severity of calcifications. We also demonstrated good to very good inter- and intraobserver agreements for the original and modified Woodcock’s visual scores. The modified Woodcock visual score is quick and reliable for quantifying ICA calcifications, translates to an increase of $\approx 0.5$-mm calcium volume per point increase in visual score. Hence, the original and modified Woodcock visual scores are suitable for large studies and can be performed on electronic or printed x-ray film, making existing cohort studies’ data accessible for analysis.

The ESS provided a sample of stroke patients representative of stroke in general, large enough for a method comparison study. We calculated intrarater variability by doing paired readings at least 1 week apart to reduce memory bias and inter-rater variability by blinding the 2 raters to each other’s scores.

There are strengths and limitations to our study and the Agatston software. Although the software that was originally designed to measure Agatston scores and calcium volumes of coronary arteries can be used to measure intracranial ICA calcifications, it requires the slice thickness to be 3 mm and images acquired without a gantry tilt. The retrospective sample meant that many patients had to be excluded because of gantry tilt. The method also could not be used for the petrous part of the ICA because of its position within a bony canal. Both raters felt that the visual scoring methods, although simpler, posed difficulty in correct differentiation of arterial calcifications.

### Table 2. Comparison of Observers: Semiautomated Calcium Measures and Visual Calcium Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Right ICA</th>
<th>Left ICA</th>
<th>Combined Right and Left ICA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rater A intraobserver coefficient of variation, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agatston score</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>13.80</td>
<td>8.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium volume</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>13.60</td>
<td>8.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Woodcock visual score</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater A 1st reading vs rater B, interobserver coefficient of variation, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agatston score</td>
<td>19.80</td>
<td>17.70</td>
<td>16.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium volume</td>
<td>18.70</td>
<td>15.60</td>
<td>15.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Woodcock visual score</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater A 2nd reading vs rater B, interobserver coefficient of variation, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agatston score</td>
<td>17.30</td>
<td>19.60</td>
<td>15.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium volume</td>
<td>17.10</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>14.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Woodcock visual score</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>6.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater A intraobserver $\kappa$</td>
<td>0.9 (95% CI, 0.8–1.0); $P&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>0.8 (95% CI, 0.7–0.9); $P&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater A 1st reading vs rater B $\kappa$</td>
<td>0.7 (95% CI, 0.6–0.9); $P&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>0.8 (95% CI, 0.7–0.9); $P&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater A 2nd reading vs rater B $\kappa$</td>
<td>0.8 (95% CI, 0.6–0.9); $P&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>0.7 (95% CI, 0.6–0.9); $P&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI indicates confidence interval; and ICA, internal carotid artery.

### Figure 2. A. Modified Woodcock visual score vs Agatston score: $R^2=0.83$, $P<0.0001$ (cube root–transformed linear plot; Figure IIIA in the online-only Data Supplement). B. Modified Woodcock visual score vs calcium volume: $R^2=0.84$, $P<0.0001$ (cube root–transformed linear plot; Figure IIIB in the online-only Data Supplement).
ica calcium scoring to diagnosing vascular health and predicting future stroke.

Summary

We have shown excellent correlation between both visual and semiautomated computational methods of assessing intracranial ICA calcium with excellent inter- and intraobserver agreements. Furthermore, the modified Woodcock visual score estimates calcium volume. Thus, we conclude that a simple 4-point slice-by-slice overall visual calcium grade can reliably be used to provide a rapid, practical method for large epidemiological studies, eg, to evaluate the strength of association between ICA calcium and stroke risk. Further testing is required before introduction into clinical use.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Selection of patients</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Average age</th>
<th>Imaging modality and part of internal carotid artery used for study</th>
<th>Method of scoring used</th>
<th>Aim of the study</th>
<th>Number of readers</th>
<th>Intra observer agreement</th>
<th>Inter observer agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Woodcock et al. 1999¹</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Referred for conventional diagnostic angiogram</td>
<td>18-82</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Non-CECT</td>
<td>4-point (1-4): 1-absent; 2-mild; 3-moderate; 4-severe</td>
<td>Correlation of angiography findings with calcification in carotid siphon.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>117/128 vessels (91%) agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptak et al, 2003²</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>Referred for head CT from ED (excluding thrombolysis patients)</td>
<td>2-95</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Non-CECT</td>
<td>Binary Present or absent</td>
<td>General significance of calcification and correlation with multiple risk factors like age, HTN, alcohol, gender, etc.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babiarz et al. 2005³</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>40 MCA infarction; 34 non-MCA infarction; 94 age-matched-healthy controls</td>
<td>41-86(MCA); 42-83(non-MCA); 41-86(control)</td>
<td>64.3(MCA); 64.2 (non-MCA); 63.8(control)</td>
<td>Non-CECT Bone window with 3mm slice thickness</td>
<td>5-point (0-4) for extent and thickness each</td>
<td>Relationship of cavernous carotid artery calcification to MCA infarction.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38/40 re-review agreed (95%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taoka et al 2006⁴</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>&gt;50-yr-olds who underwent CT and DSA for various indications specified</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Non-CECT 3mm slice thickness on bone window</td>
<td>Agatston method using commercial software</td>
<td>Agatston calcium score correlated to angiographic changes and clinical outcome.</td>
<td>1 (unclear whether blinded)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Studies from literature their sample size and results
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Authors</th>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Age Range (years)</th>
<th>Sex Ratio</th>
<th>Scanning Protocol</th>
<th>Image Analysis</th>
<th>Radiographic Score</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al. 2007&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>37-101 (overall)</td>
<td>65.9 (overall); 70.2 (stroke); 69.6 (controls)</td>
<td>MDCT</td>
<td>Binary (Calcification present or absent)</td>
<td>Bone window, 0.625 mm slice thickness</td>
<td>Correlation of intra-cranial artery calcification and stroke. NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erbay et al. 2007&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Presenting to ED with stroke-like symptoms</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>Non-CECT</td>
<td>4-point (1-4): 1-absence or near absence; 2-tiny, scattered ca++; 3-thick interrupted or thin confluent ca++; 4-thick contiguous ca++</td>
<td>Bone window, 5 mm</td>
<td>Internal carotid calcification and association with MR ischaemic changes. 1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suzuki et al. 2007&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>Patients who underwent 3-D CTA on 64-MDCT scanner for various indications specified</td>
<td>19-88</td>
<td>CTA</td>
<td>3-point scale (1-3): 1-thin discontinuous or punctuate; 2-thin continuous or thick discontinuous; 3-thick continuous or tubular</td>
<td>Bone window, Slice thickness 0.5 mm</td>
<td>Internal carotid artery calcification as an indicator of atheromatous plaque, compared to CTA stenosis. 2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas and Larson 2007&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20 anterior circulation ischaemic stroke or TIA; 20 age-matched controls with no h/o ischaemic events</td>
<td>62 (symptomatic); 65 (asymptomatic)</td>
<td>CTA</td>
<td>Modified Agatston. Commercial software</td>
<td>3 mm slice thickness. Bone window setting not specified.</td>
<td>Quantitative carotid calcium scoring and cerebral ischemia 1 (unblended)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Calcification Type</td>
<td>Classification Criteria</td>
<td>Result/Conclusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erbay et al. 2008</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Stroke-like symptoms (patients with independent risks factors for stroke and atrophy excluded)</td>
<td>49-96</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Non-CECT</td>
<td>Slice thickness and window setting not specified.</td>
<td>Correlation of Intra-cranial ICA calcification with MRI atrophy pattern.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bugnicourt et al. 2011</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>Consecutive ischaemic stroke patients (340) Controls – non-stroke pts with neurologic disorders (171)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MDCT, Not specified type of CT, 0.625 bone window</td>
<td>Internal carotid artery calcification, its association with mortality and vascular events in patients with ischaemic stroke.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Weert et al. 2009</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>Minor ischaemic cerebrovascular symptoms</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CTA 1 mm slice thickness ? Bone (Not explicitly stated)</td>
<td>Correlation of intra-arterial calcification on CT head and atherosclerosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kassab et al. 2009</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>Retrospective Compared calcification to degree of CTA stenosis</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CT with DSA 5 point</td>
<td>Correlation of intra-arterial calcification on CT head and atherosclerosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Clinicopathological features</td>
<td>Disease activity and lesion characteristics</td>
<td>Interval</td>
<td>Correlation and measurement methods</td>
<td>Observer agreement</td>
<td>Correlation strength (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong et al. 2011[13]</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Compared CT carotid siphon calcification correlating with MRI lacunar infarcts.</td>
<td>CT and MRI within an interval of 6 months. Carotid siphon calcification observed.</td>
<td>41-98</td>
<td>60 +/- 12.3</td>
<td>Correlation of carotid siphon calcification and lacunar infarct.</td>
<td>1 NS NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeker et al. 14[14]</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Patients- who had stenosis on US, then got CTA to assess before intervention.</td>
<td>Absent, mild, moderate and severe.</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Comparative b/w quantitative and qualitative measurements of calcification of internal carotid arteries on CTA</td>
<td>2 NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The interobserver agreement expressed as the linear weighted kappa of the qualitative visual scoring was 0.62 (95% CI 0.54–0.70).
The interobserver agreement of the semi-automatically quantitative calcium score was excellent, with a Pearson’s correlation coefficient of 0.99 (P<0.01) with a 95% limit of agreement of 0.3±8.5 mm³.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>Slice Thickness</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ahn et al. 2013²⁵</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>Unenhanced CT 1.25mm slice thickness</td>
<td>Multiple methods Compared different studies, i.e. Kasab et al., Hong et al., Erbay et al., Babiarz et al. and compared these to semi quantitative Comparison of various visual calcification-scoring methods.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Babiarz’s scale - 0.9
2. Erbay’s scale - 0.841
3. Kassab’s - 0.901
4. Hong’s - 0.876
Figure I. Bland-Altman plots of intra-observer agreement

Figure Ia. Bland-Altman plot of intra-observer agreement for total Agatston score

Figure Ib. Bland-Altman plot of intra-observer agreement for total calcium volume
Figure Ic. Bland-Altman plot of intra-observer agreement for total visual score
Figure II. Bland-Altman plots of inter-observer agreement

Figure IIa. Bland-Altman plot of inter-observer agreement for total Agatston score

Figure IIb. Bland-Altman plot of inter-observer agreement for total calcium volume
Figure IIc. Bland-Altman plot of inter-observer agreement for total visual score
Figure III. Plots of modified Woodcock score versus transformed calcium volume (cube root)

Figure IIIa. Transformed Agatston score (cube root) and modified Woodcock visual score

Figure IIIb. Transformed calcium volume (cube root) and modified Woodcock score
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