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Abstract—Software-Defined Networking (SDN) has received, in the last years, significant interest from the academic and the industrial communities alike. The decoupled control and data planes found in an SDN allows for logically centralized intelligence in the control plane and generalized network hardware in the data plane. Although the current SDN ecosystem provides a rich support for wired packet-switched networks, the same cannot be said for wireless networks where specific radio data-plane abstractions, controllers, and programming primitives are still yet to be established. In this work, we present a set of programming abstractions modeling the fundamental aspects of a wireless network, namely state management, resource provisioning, network monitoring, and network reconfiguration. The proposed abstractions hide away the implementation details of the underlying wireless technology providing programmers with expressive interfaces to control the state of the network. We also present a Software-Defined Radio Access Network Controller for Enterprise WLANs and a Python-based Software Development Kit implementing the proposed abstractions. Finally, we experimentally evaluate the usefulness, efficiency and flexibility of the platform over a real 802.11-based WLAN.

Index Terms—Network management, programming abstractions, software-defined wireless networks, WLANs.

I. INTRODUCTION

Managing modern wireless networks is an increasingly complex task. To cope with the dramatic increase in data traffic generated by modern mobile applications, operators are currently deploying denser and heterogeneous mobile networks. Network management platforms are then required to deal with a multitude of technologies characterized by significantly diverse protocols stacks and vendor-specific interfaces. This growing complexity calls for novel abstractions and tools to control and manage both the wired and the wireless parts of a network. Software-Defined Networking (SDN) has already delivered on such programmable interfaces to the switching fabric. While several attempts have been made to apply similar concepts to the cellular [1]–[3] and to the WiFi [4], [5] networks, there is very little by way of programming abstractions specifically tailored for wireless networks. In a true SDN philosophy, programmers shall be exposed with just enough information about the state of the network to implement the task at hand and shall be able to focus on defining the expected behavior of the network rather than dealing with technology-dependent implementation details. Meeting the latter requirement is vital if features and services are to be ported seamlessly across different link-layer technologies.

In this work we take a step in this direction by focusing on the definition of reusable high level programming abstractions for managing wireless networks. Said abstractions tackle state management, resource provisioning, network monitoring, and network reconfiguration. Moreover, we also introduce a proof-of-concept implementation of a SD-RAN Controller realizing the proposed primitives and a Python-based Software Development Kit (SDK) allowing programmers to create and deploy new applications and services as Network Apps over it. Although our discussion will focus mainly on WiFi due to the fact that the proof-of-concept currently supports only this technology, we believe that the proposed abstractions can also be useful in meeting the requirements of current and future cellular technologies. This paper extends our previous works [6], [7] on programming abstractions for Enterprise WLANs by: (i) extending the APIs with additional interference modeling primitives; (ii) studying the usefulness of the primitives in realistic settings; and (iii) reporting on a number of Network Apps implemented using the SDK.

The entire software stack, named EmPOWER, encompassing: a data-path implementation for 802.11-based networks, the reference SD-RAN Controller, and the Python-based SDK have been released under a permissive BSD-like license for academic use. These components along with documentation and tutorials are available on the official web-site.

1 The next section introduces the programming abstractions proposed in this work together with the rationale behind their design. The SD-RAN Controller implementation details together with an overview of the main SDK features are provided in, respectively, Section III and Section IV. Section V reports on the benefit of the proposed abstractions for seamless handovers and on the characterization of the signaling overhead of the system. A number of WLAN applications and services implemented using our SDK are presented in Section VI. Finally, we discuss the related work in Section VII and then we draw our conclusions in Section VIII.

1 http://empower.create-net.org/
II. WIRELESS SDN ABSTRACTIONS

In this section we identify the common aspects concerning resource management in wireless access networks. We will do so by first acknowledging the difference between control and management and then by analyzing the requirements imposed by wireless networks.

Programming wireless networks requires identifying how network resources are exposed (and represented) to software modules written by developers and how software modules can affect the network state. Although, OpenFlow [8] provides a practical forwarding abstraction for packet-switched networks, it has been argued that programming current networks using OpenFlow is equivalent to programming applications in assembler, i.e., the interface is too low-level and exposes the programmers with too many implementation details. As a result, we have witnessed a plethora of efforts in recent years aimed at providing developers with higher level interfaces to their SDN [9]–[15].

The works above, however, aim at enabling programmability in wired networks and typically rely on OpenFlow as the data-plane control API. In contrast, our aim is to investigate which kind of abstractions can be effectively used to implement control and coordination tasks in wireless networks. In fact, a straightforward extension of current programming techniques would fail to capture the peculiarities of the radio environment. In particular, the flow abstraction on which OpenFlow relies does not account for: (i) the stochastic nature of wireless links (which are not equivalent to ports in Ethernet switches); (ii) the resource allocation granularity (the flow abstraction is too coarse for wireless networks); and (iii) the significant heterogeneity in the link and radio layer technologies (state management for network elements can differ significantly even across currently deployed technologies).

In this work we draw a clear line between network control and network management. The former (control) deals with fast timescale operations executed by the elements at the edges of the network, such as scheduling in LTE networks or transmission rate adaptation in WiFi networks. The latter (management) is in charge of checking whether the operating conditions for a certain policy are still met, and, if this is not the case, of reconfiguring or replacing the policy. For example a certain scheduling algorithm could be optimized for a uniform distribution of clients across the sectors of a mobile cell. However, if the clients distribution is not uniform, a different policy could be required [16].

A. Overview

The abstractions proposed in this work address four control aspects of wireless networks, namely: state management, resource allocation, network monitoring, and network reconfiguration. Let us analyze the requirements imposed by each of them on the control plane:

- **State management.** The abstractions shall allow programmers to describe the desired behavior of the network leaving to the underlying run-time system the task of implementing it by configuring the individual network elements. Programmers shall not be exposed with technology-dependent details such as how to implement handover for a particular link-layer technology.
- **Resource allocation.** The abstractions shall allow developers to leverage a global view of the network resources. The programming abstractions shall be general enough to accommodate for resource allocation models ranging from random access to scheduled access.
- **Network monitoring.** The abstractions shall allow network developers to gather the status of the network using high-level querying primitives. Such information shall include network statistics and topology changes. The network programmer shall not be exposed to the system-level details of polling network elements, aggregating statistics, and detecting network events.
- **Network reconfiguration.** The programming abstractions shall go in the direction of separating fast timescale operations running at the edges of the network, i.e., near the air interface, from tasks running at the controller.

Fig. 1 sketches the reference network architecture and introduces the terminology used throughout the paper. We use the term Wireless Termination Points (WTPs) to refer to the physical devices that form the Radio Access Network (RAN) providing clients with wireless connectivity. WTPs basically coincide with Access Points (APs) in a WiFi network or eNodeBs (eNBs) in a LTE network. A secure channel connects the WTPs to the remote SD-RAN Controller. The SD-RAN Controller can run multiple virtual networks, or slices, on top of the same physical infrastructure. A slice is a virtual network with its own set of WTPs. Network Apps run on top of the SD-RAN Controller in their own slice of resources and exploit the programming primitives through either a REST API or a native Python API (bindings for other languages can be added). The SD-RAN Controller ensures that a Network App is only presented a view of the network corresponding to its slice. Notice that, in this architecture, the term Network App is used to address any consumer of the SD-RAN Controller API. Examples includes, but are not limited to, OpenDaylight and Openstack. Finally, although OpenFlow is a candidate backhaul technology, the abstractions proposed in our work do not rely on it and are effectively backhaul agnostic.

In the next subsections we will introduce four key abstractions for wireless networks, namely the Light Virtual Access Point (LVAP) abstraction, the Resource Pool abstraction, the Channel Quality and Interference Map abstraction, and the Port abstraction. Fig. 2 depicts the relationship between LVAP, Resource Pool, Channel Quality and Interference Map, and Port using an UML class diagram. Here, a Resource Block represents the minimum chunk of wireless resources that can be assigned to a wireless client while the LVAP represents the state of a wireless client scheduled on a set of Resource Blocks. Both LVAPs and WTPs support a set of Resource Blocks, named Resource Pool. The Port abstraction models the dynamic and reconfigurable characteristics of the link between WTP and LVAP on a set of Resource Blocks. A relationship exists between LVAPs and WTPs and between WTPs modeling the link quality between the two entities. These relationships are captured by the Channel Quality Maps.
B. Light Virtual Access Point

Different link layer technologies, or as a matter of fact even different releases of the same technology, can differ significantly in how a client’s state is handled. For example, QoS and handover management changed significantly over the lifespan of the IEEE 802.11 family of standards. Nevertheless exposing the programmer with the implementation details of the technology being used would severely limit the adoption of a certain solution. On the contrary what we want to achieve is true Network App portability from one RAN to another, e.g., from a WiFi network to a mixed WiFi/LTE deployment.

The LVAP abstraction [4], [17] provides a high-level interface for wireless clients state management. The implementation of such an interface handles all the technology-dependent details such as association, authentication, handover, and resource scheduling. A client attempting to join the network, will trigger the creation of a new LVAP. Specifically, in WiFi, an LVAP is a per-client virtual access point which simplifies network management and introduces seamless mobility support, i.e., the LVAP abstraction captures the complexities of the IEEE 802.11 protocol stack such as handling of client associations, authentication, and handovers. More specifically, every newly received probe request frame from a client at a WTP is forwarded to the controller which may trigger the generation of a probe response frame through the creation of an LVAP at the requesting WTP. The LVAP will thus become a potential candidate AP for the
client to perform an association. The controller can also decide whether the network has sufficient resources left to handle the new client and might suppress the generation of the LVAP. Similarly each WTP will host as many LVAPs as the number of wireless clients that are currently under its control. Such LVAP has an ID that is specific to the newly associated client (in a WiFi network the LVAP can be thought as a Virtual AP with its own BSSID). Removing a LVAP from a WTP and instantiating it on another WTP effectively results in a handover.

C. Resource Pool

Programming wireless networks mandates for a way to expose the programmer with a consistent view of the network resources. Broadly speaking, there are two main family of strategies to allocate resources in a wireless network: scheduled access and random access. In the former case, resources for a wireless link are allocated in the time, frequency, and space domains. In the latter case, a common random access scheme for medium access is used by all participating wireless clients to reduce collisions. LTE belongs to the former family and uses OFDMA as (scheduled) medium access scheme while WiFi belongs to the latter family and exploits CSMA/CA as (random) medium access scheme.

The Resource Pool abstraction is tightly coupled with the LVAP abstraction and goes in the direction of abandoning the concept of cell and exposing the network programmer with the collective resources in time, frequency, and space that are available in the network. The minimum allocation unit in the Resource Pool is the Resource Block identified by a frequency band, a time interval, and the available in the network. The minimum allocation unit in the Resource Pool is the Resource Block identified by a frequency band, a time interval, and the available in the network. The minimum allocation unit in the Resource Pool is the Resource Block identified by a frequency band, a time interval, and the available in the network. The minimum allocation unit in the Resource Pool is the Resource Block identified by a frequency band, a time interval, and the available in the network. The minimum allocation unit in the Resource Pool is the Resource Block identified by a frequency band, a time interval, and the available in the network.

The Resource Pool abstraction is tightly coupled with the LVAP abstraction and goes in the direction of abandoning the concept of cell and exposing the network programmer with the collective resources in time, frequency, and space that are available in the network. The minimum allocation unit in the Resource Pool is the Resource Block identified by a frequency band, a time interval, and the available in the network. The minimum allocation unit in the Resource Pool is the Resource Block identified by a frequency band, a time interval, and the available in the network.

For example, the Resource Pool made available by an 802.11n AP tuned on channel 36 and supporting 40 MHz-wide channels is represented by the tuple \((36, HT40), \infty\). The prefix HT is used to indicate that this band supports the High Throughput MCS. Resource Blocks can also be blacklisted preventing applications from using them. This could be the case of highly interfered blocks in an LTE network.

The same formulation is also exploited to model the resource requests coming from the clients or more precisely from the LVAPs mapping those clients. For example, a resource request could be represented by the following tuple \((1, 20), \infty\). This allows us to express resource allocation problems as an intersection between the Resource Blocks available in the network, and the Resource Blocks supported/requested by a client. Information on the link quality experienced by the requesting LVAP on the matching Resource Blocks can be used to further filter the set of candidate Resource Blocks according to application-level parameters. A non-empty intersection set of Resource Blocks signifies that a valid solution for the resource allocation problem has been found.

Notice that, the final set could be composed of multiple Resource Blocks possibly scheduled at different WTPs and on different frequency bands/timeslots. The support for such scenario depends on the actual implementation of the LVAP interface. For example, in a LTE network, an LVAP could accept multiple Resource Blocks possibly scheduled at different eNBs and on different frequencies modeling the technique known as Cooperative Multi-Point, or CoMP [18]. This model also effectively decouples uplink and downlink allowing clients to be scheduled at different WTPs on the uplink and on the downlink directions (if the feature is supported by the link-layer technology).

An example of a simple resource allocation scenario for a WiFi WLAN is shown in Table I. Here \(P_N\) is the network Resource Pool and \(P_L\) is the Resource Pool supported by an LVAP. It is easy to see that the intersection \(P_N \cap P_L\) produces a non-empty set composed of two Resource Blocks scheduled at two different WTPs (\(W_1, W_2\)). Due to the fact that WiFi does not allow to schedule one LVAP on more than one WTP, the final resource allocation decision will be a single Resource Block selected using criteria such as the channel quality experienced by the LVAP on the matching Resource Blocks and/or the specific application-level requirements.

D. Channel Quality and Interference Map

From the perspective of an LVAP, it is not important to which WTP it is attached but what communication QoS it can obtain. Such information translates, at the physical layer, into the transmission efficiency of the radio channel linking interference with parameters such as packet error rate. The Channel Quality and Interference Map allows the control logic to reason about the channel quality and interference experienced by clients and to assign resources accordingly.

The Channel Quality Map abstraction provides network programmers with a full view of the network state in terms of channel quality between LVAPs and WTPs over the available Resource Blocks. Let \(G = (V, E)\) be a directed graph, where \(V = V_{WTP} \cup V_{LVAP}\) is the set of \(v_1 = |V_{WTP}|\) WTPs and \(v_2 = |V_{LVAP}|\) LVAPs in the network, and \(E\) is the set of edges

---

2 Notice that, for spread spectrum-based technologies, such as UMTS, the code space shall also be considered.
Fig. 3. Examples of Channel Quality Map and Interference Map. WTPs and LVAPs are represented as, respectively, squares and circles. (a) Channel quality map. (b) Interference map.

or links. An edge \( e_{n,m,i} \in E \) with \( n, m \in V \) exists if \( m \) is within the communication range of \( n \) over the Resource Block \( i \in P \). A weight \( q(e_{n,m,i}) \) is assigned to each link \( e_{n,m,i} \in E : q(e_{n,m,i}) \in \mathbb{N}^+ \) represents the channel quality of the link between the two nodes.

A link interference (conflict) graph or Interference Map \( G' = (V', E') \) can be also constructed in such a way that we have a vertex \( v' \in V' \) for each communication link in \( E \). A directed edge \( e_{n,m,i}^l \in E' \) if the transmitter of the link \( n \in V' \) is within the interference range of the receiver of the link \( m \in V' \) over the Resource Block \( i \in P \). A weight \( q'(e_{n,m,i}^l) \) is assigned to each link \( e_{n,m,i}^l \in E' : q'(e_{n,m,i}^l) \in \mathbb{N}^+ \) represents the potential interference caused by a transmission on link \( n \) to link \( m \).

An example of the Channel Quality and Interference Map for a WiFi network is sketched in Fig. 3(b). Notice that, in this case, a conflict between two links exists if a transmitter is within the carrier sense range of another transmitter or if the transmission from one node interferes (collides) with the reception of a packet at another node. In the conflict graph a directed edge exist between the link \( a \rightarrow A \) and the link \( B \rightarrow b \) modeling the fact that transmission from node \( a \) cause the node \( B \) to defer its access to the medium. Similarly another edge exists between the link \( b \rightarrow B \) and the link \( a \rightarrow A \) because node \( b \) can cause interference at \( A \).

The Channel Quality Map abstractions can be used to select the Resource Blocks that can satisfy the requirements of an LVAP by intersecting the set of available Resource Blocks in the network \( (P_N) \) with the requested Resource Blocks \( (P_L) \). The set of available Resource Blocks is obtained as: \( P_N = W_1 \cup W_2 \cup \cdots \cup W_N \) The matching Resource Blocks \( M' \) are then given by: \( M = P_N \cap P_L \). The list of Resource Blocks \( M' \) that satisfy a certain interference level condition, such as the signal to interference plus noise ratio (SINR) between the LVAP \( n \) and the WTP \( m \) on the Resource Block \( i \) being greater than a certain threshold \( t \), is given by: \( M' = \{ i \in M : SINR(e_{n,m,i}) > t \} \) where \( SINR(e_{n,m,i}) \) can be estimated via the edge weights in the Channel Quality and Interference Map. \( M' \) is the empty set if a valid resource allocation is not found. Allocating resources is simply a matter of assigning one or more Resource Blocks from \( M' \) to the LVAP, which may result in a handover if the new Resource Block(s) are handled by a different WTP.

E. Port

Links in a wired network, e.g., a switched Ethernet LAN, are essentially deterministic and the status of a port in a switch is binary, i.e., active or not active. While some Ethernet switches can select the transmission rate (10, 100, 1000 Mb/s), this feature is aimed at reducing power consumption when the traffic load is low and not as a mechanism for coping with fluctuations in the channel quality. In contrast, links in a wireless network are stochastic and, as a result, the physical layer parameters that characterize the radio link between an LVAP and a WTP, such as transmission power, modulation and coding schemes, and MIMO configuration must be adapted according to the actual channel conditions.

Such level of adaptation requires real-time coordination between LVAPs and WTPs and can only be implemented near the air interface. The Port abstraction allows the SD-RAN Controller to reconfigure or replace a certain control policy if its optimal operating conditions are not met.

A port is defined by a 3-tuple \( \langle p, m, a \rangle \) where \( p \) is the transmission power, \( m \) is the set of available Modulation and Coding Schemes (MCS), and \( a \) is the MIMO configuration (number of spatial streams). For example, in the case of an 802.11n network, assigning the port configuration: \( t \in \mathcal{M}^n \) to a LVAP means that the WTP will use a fixed transmission power of 30 dBm, the set of MCS between 0 and 7, and single antenna configuration for its communication with the LVAP. The port abstraction allows fast timescale adaptations (MCS adaptation in this example) to be delegated to a local controller located near the WTP [19], [20] or to the WTP itself. In this work we assume the latter. Finally, since a Port specifies the configuration of the link between a WTP and a LVAP, a WTP will have as many Port configurations as the number of LVAPs it is currently managing.

III. EmPOWER Prototype Implementation

To demonstrate the usefulness of the proposed abstractions in real-world settings, we implemented: (i) a SD-RAN controller, (ii) a programmable WiFi data-plane, and (iii) a Python-based SDK. In this section we shall describe in detail the first two components while the features of the SDK are described in the next section. As the implementation of SD-RAN Controller in its current form only supports WiFi-based WTPs, Resource Blocks are identified by the 2-tuple \( \langle c, b \rangle \) (i.e.: no temporal dimension). A high level view of the EmPOWER system architecture is depicted in Fig. 4.

A. SD-RAN Controller

The SD-RAN controller implementation leverages the Tornado Web Server as the web framework [21]. The main reason for choosing Tornado is its non-blocking network I/O which allows to continue serving incoming requests while the others are being processed. In the following, we elaborate on some of the main features of the SD-RAN Controller.

— Slicing: The SD-RAN Controller can accommodate multiple virtual networks or slices on top of the same physical...
infrastructure. A network slice is a virtual network with a specific SSID and its own set of WTPs. Clients can opt-in a certain slice by associating to its SSID.

— **Soft State**: The only persistent information stored at the controller are the clients’ authentication method (currently only ACLs are supported) and the list of currently defined slices. LVAP’s state is kept within the network in a distributed fashion and is synchronized when the WTP connects to the SD-RAN Controller. As a result the SD-RAN Controller can be hot-swapped with another instance without affecting the active clients. Moreover, the network itself can still function in its last known state even if the controller becomes unavailable.

— **Modular Architecture**: With the exception of the logging subsystem, every other task supported by the controller is implemented as a plug-in (i.e., a Python module) that can be loaded at runtime. Examples of such plug-ins are the modules implementing the LVAP control protocol, the Statistics Manager, and the Channel Quality Map Manager.

### B. Wireless Termination Points

Each WTP consists of two components: one OpenvSwitch [22] instance managing the communication over the wired backhaul; and one Click modular router [23] instance implementing the 802.11 data-path. Click is a framework for writing multi-purpose packet processing engines and is being used to implement just the WTPs/LVAP frame exchange while all the decision logic is implemented at the SD-RAN controller. Communications between Click and the SD-RAN controller take place over a persistent TCP connection.

The WTPs in our deployment are a mix of PC Engines ALIX (x86) and Gateworks Cambria (ARM) embedded platforms running the OpenWRT operating system. WTPs are equipped with two WiFi interfaces both leveraging a patched ath9k driver for their operations. Such patch includes the LVAP logic and the per-packet configuration of parameters such as transmission rate and power.

### C. Light Virtual Access Point

The Click agent on the WTP implements a WiFi split-MAC architecture together with the SD-RAN Controller. The state associated with each LVAP hosted by the EmPOWER Agent is minimal (approximately 54 bytes).

**ACK Generation**: The agent needs to ensure that an ACK is generated for each unicast data frame that the client sends to its LVAP. However, due to their strict timing constraint ACK frame generation is handled in hardware by the WiFi cards. Specifically, Atheros WiFi cards implement this using a BSSID mask register which indicates the common bits of all the BSSIDs for the WiFi card. Whenever the WiFi card receives a valid 802.11 frame, it matches the destination address against the BSSIDs it is hosting, i.e., check against the bits set in the BSSID mask. If it matches, an ACK frame is generated. However, a practical limitation exists with this approach. Consider the following two BSSIDs 02:00:00:00:00:02 and 02:00:00:00:00:01 which differ only in last two bits. In this case, the generated mask would be ff:ff:ff:ff:ff:f. This leads to the hardware ignoring the last two bits of the destination address of an incoming frame to decide whether to generate an ACK frame. Consequently, a frame destined to 02:00:00:00:00:03 will also cause the hardware to generate an ACK, even though it is not hosting a BSSID with that value: a false positive.

Since LVAPs are per-client virtual APs, i.e., client gets one BSSID assigned, this needs to be handled carefully. One way to overcome this issue is to assign BSSIDs to client LVAPs such that the mask on the AP where the LVAP is being assigned retains as many set bits as possible and remains orthogonal to the masks of neighboring APs. This can be achieved in software by the controller. Limiting the number of LVAPs per NIC or spreading them over multiple NICs and APs will also alleviate the problem. Another approach is to suppress spurious ACKs by modifying the check that the hardware performs upon receiving a frame. Today’s low-end Broadcom WiFi cards support custom firmware such as OpenFWWF [5] (which is not available for Atheros hardware). However, we conjecture that a program mable content-addressable memory for matching incoming
frames in hardware enables possibilities beyond just selective
ACK generation, with little increase in cost and performance
impact. This is particularly important as 802.11ac adoption
is increasing, which supports throughput on the order of
6.77 Gb/s. Recent work on software radios such as OpenRadio
[24] will also aid in this direction.

AP Discovery: As per IEEE 802.11, clients can perform
active scans by broadcasting probe request messages on all
possible channels at the same time. An agent receiving such a
probe request frame forwards it to the SD-RAN Controller.
The latter then generates an LVAP with a BSSID unique to the client
if not already done, and retrieves the list of SSIDs to announce
(the union of SSIDs across all slices that the WTP
belongs to). It then instructs the agent to generate a probe response for each
of these SSIDs, through the client-specific BSSID. At this point
the client can authenticate and associate to its
LVAP as per IEEE
802.11 procedures. If a client does not associate to its
LVAP within a configurable amount of time, the
LVAP is removed
from the agent. The agent process maintains a lookup table with
the mappings of the client’s MAC address to the LVAPs state. It then makes use of this per client state to prepare the right
802.11 frames.

IV. SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT KIT

A Python-based SDK mapping the abstractions introduced in
Section II to Python constructs is made available to developers
(see Table II). In this section we shall briefly summarize some
of the SDK’s most interesting features. The comprehensive list
of Python primitives can be found in Table III. Primitives can operate in polling or trigger mode. In the former mode (polling)
the SD-RAN Controller periodically polls one or more WTPs for
a specific information, e.g., the number of packets received by
and LVAP. In the latter mode (trigger) a thread is created at one
or more WTPs. Such thread is identified by a firing condition,
e.g., the RSSI of one LVAP going below a certain threshold.
When such condition is verified a message is generated by the
WTP. A termination condition can also be specified. All
primitives are non-blocking and, as such, they immediately
return control to the calling Network App. An optional callback
method can be provided specifying a method to be executed
when the primitive returns a result. A Python dictionary, whose
structure depends on the actual primitive, is passed as parameter
to the callback. Moreover, all primitives require at least one
parameter named ssid specifying the name of the slice on which
they shall operate.

TABLE II
Python Constructs Used by the SDK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Python Construct</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Union (U)</td>
<td></td>
<td>pool = wtpl.supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersection (∩)</td>
<td></td>
<td>matches = lvap.supports &amp; pool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filtering (?)</td>
<td>List Comprehensions</td>
<td>valid = [block for block in matches if block.rssi[lvap][‘ewma_rssi’] &gt;= -65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment (→)</td>
<td></td>
<td>lvap.assigned_to = valid[0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconfiguration (−(p,m,n))</td>
<td></td>
<td>lvap.assigned_to[valid[0]].tx_power = 27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Light Virtual Access Point

The LVAP is exposed to the programmer through a Python object mapping properties to operations. These properties are:
(i) the Resource Block(s) on which the LVAP is currently scheduled,
(ii) the list of Resource Blocks supported by the LVAP,
and (iii) the counters tracking the incoming/outgoing traffic.
Such an interface allows programmers to fetch the Resource Block(s) a certain LVAP is currently scheduled at, by accessing the assigned_to property field of an LVAP object. Similarly, performing a handover is as simple as assigning a new list of Resource Blocks to the same field. It is worth stressing that, each Resource Block contains implicitly the information of the WTP at which it is available.

The following Python routine schedules an LVAP on a random Resource Block whose RSSI to the LVAP is greater than or equal to −65 dBm. The routine then configures the WTP transmission power toward the LVAP (in dBm):

```python
def handover(lvap, wtps):
    # Initialize the Resource Pool
    pool = ResourcePool()
    # Update the Resource Pool
    for wtp in wtps:
        pool = pool | wtp.supports
    # Select matching Resource Blocks
    matches = pool & lvap.supports
    # Filter Resource Blocks by RSSI
    valid = [blk for blk in matches if blk.rssi[lvap][‘ewma_rssi’] >= -65]
    # Perform the handover
    new_block = valid.pop() if valid else None
    lvap.assigned_to = new_block
    # Enable RTS/CTS
    port = lvap.assigned_to[new_block]
    port.tx_power = 30
```

Listing 1. Handoff a LVAP to a WTP to Which RSSI is at Least −65 dB.

The method accepts two input parameters, a LVAP (lvap) and a list of WTPs (wtps). The method initializes the network Resource Pool with the Resource Blocks available at every WTP. An intersection of the network’s Resource Pool with the resource blocks supported by LVAP is then computed. The resulting set is then traversed filtering-out the Resource Blocks whose RSSI to the LVAP is above −65 dBm. Finally, one random Resource Block matching such condition is assigned to the LVAP and the Port configuration for that Resource Block is updated. Notice that, for the sake of simplicity, error handling
TABLE III
PROGRAMMING PRIMITIVES IN THE PYTHON-BASED SDK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primitive</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>packets/bytes_count</td>
<td>lvap, bins, every</td>
<td>Polling</td>
<td>Aggregate TX/RX packets/bytes in the specified bins</td>
<td>IV-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>link_stats</td>
<td>lvap, every</td>
<td>Polling</td>
<td>Fetch per-link packet transmission statistics</td>
<td>IV-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ucmq ncqm</td>
<td>addr, block, every</td>
<td>Polling</td>
<td>Fetch RSSI statistics for neighboring Stations/APs</td>
<td>IV-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summary</td>
<td>lvaps, keep, limit, rates, every</td>
<td>Trigger</td>
<td>Get fine grained link-layer events</td>
<td>IV-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rssi</td>
<td>lvaps, relation, value</td>
<td>Trigger</td>
<td>Callback when a condition on the RSSI is verified</td>
<td>IV-B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

has been omitted. For example, if the valid Resource Block set is empty it is up to the application to decide to either lower the RSSI threshold or to handover the LVAP to best available WTP regardless of the link quality.

Packet counters allow programmers to track the traffic exchanged by a certain LVAP and to use binning to aggregate such information by frame length (useful in wireless networks due to the fact that short packets incur higher transmission overheads). For example:

```python
                   bins=[512, 1472, 8192],
                   every=5000,
                   ssid='Guests',
                   callback=ptc_counter_callback):
```

The statement above instructs the controller to track the packets transmitted and received by a certain LVAP and to aggregate the information into the specified bins. The WTP currently hosting the LVAP is polled every 5000 ms. It is also possible to issue a single query by specifying −1 as polling period. Notice that the query is executed only if the LVAP is associated to the specified SSID (Guests in this example) otherwise the packet counters are not updated.

Access to the downlink transmission statistics is possible using the link_stats primitive. For each supported MCS the average delivery probability and the expected throughput in the last observation window are reported. Moreover, also the total number of successful and failed transmissions since the LVAP was moved to the WTP are reported.

```python
              every=5000,
              ssid='Guests',
              callback=ptc_counter_callback):
```

In our implementation of the Channel Quality Map we use the RSSI measured at each WTP as an approximation of the channel quality. A monitor interface created on top of each physical radio available at a WTP is used to extract the signal strength field present in the radiotap header of every decoded WiFi frame. To separately build the UCQM and the NCQM, the To-DS, From-DS, frame type, and frame sub-type fields present in the 802.11 header are used. For each neighbor within the decoding range, the WTPs compute the average of the RSSI over windows of 500ms, an exponential weighted moving average and a N-points smoothed moving average are also maintained. The latter two filters have been selected because they can reduce the noise while being responsive to RSSI changes. Such property is useful when dealing with fast-fading affected RSSI signals. At the same time, fast response allows to promptly react to changes in the channel conditions.

The code below periodically queries the specified WTP for its neighboring stations (the RSSI from neighboring APs can be tracked using the ncqm primitive):

```python
def ucqm(address='ff:ff:ff:ff:ff:ff',
        block='04:f0:21:09:ff:96',
        every=5000,
        ssid='Guests',
        callback=ucqm_callback):
```

The query is executed periodically with the period set by the every parameter (in ms). Specifying every = −1 will result in a single query being issued. In the above example specifying ff:ff:ff:ff:ff:ff will return the RSSI of any station within the decoding range of WTP 04:F0:21:09:F9:96 on channel 36.

It is worth noticing that, we are using the general term stations and access points instead of, respectively, LVAPs and WTPs, since the Channel Quality Map tracks the RSSI level of any active WiFi device including the ones belonging to networks that are not under the administrative domain of the SD-RAN Controller. This includes also wireless clients that are not associated to any network but have their wireless interface active (WiFi clients periodically broadcast Probe Request messages to discover available networks).

A sample output of the ucqm primitive is reported below. In this case the station a0:d3:c1:a8:e4:c3 is a neighbor of the WTP 04:f0:21:09:f9:96 on the 802.11a channel 36. The report includes, besides the previously described averages, also the total number of frames received since the query was created (hist_packets) together with
the average (last_rssi_avg), the standard deviation (last_rssi_std), and the number (last_packets) of RSSI measurements taken during the last observation window.

```json
{
  "ao:d3:c1:a8:e4:c3": {
    "ewma_rssi": -82,
    "hist_packets": 15810,
    "last_packets": 10,
    "last_rssi_avg": -79,
    "last_rssi_std": 7
  }
}
```

Listing 6. Collect link-layer meta-data.

Listing 5. UCQM query output.

The Channel Quality Map can be accessed at the frame-level granularity providing the network programmer with a real-time picture of all link-layer events. Each WTP tracks the following meta-data associated to link-layer events:

- **Transmitter Address.** The MAC address of the transmitter.
- **TSFT.** The 802.11 MAC’s 64-bit Time Synchronization Function Timer. Each frame received by the radio interface is timestamped with a 1 μsec resolution clock by the 802.11 driver.
- **Sequence.** The 802.11 MAC’s 16-bit sequence number. This counter is incremented by the transmitter after a successful transmission.
- **The frame RSSI** (in dB), **Rate** (in Mb/s), **Length** (in bytes), and **Duration** (in μsec).

The collected traces are then periodically delivered to the SD-RAN Controller where they are synchronized to a common time reference. Notice that, only successful unique frames transmissions are recorded, i.e. frames with incorrect checksum and/or PLCP header as well as retransmitted frames are ignored. The collected meta-data can be exploited for several purposes. In Section VI we report on a simple implementation of a trace merging system. The link-layer events and the associated meta-data can be accessed using the `summary` primitive:

```python
```

Listing 7. Create an RSSI trigger.

After the trigger has fired the first time and as long as the RSSI remains below −70 dBm, the callback method is not called again by the same WTP, however the same callback may be triggered by other WTPs. Specifying `ff:ff:ff:ff:ff:ff` as `lvaps` will trigger the callback when the RSSI of any LVAP at any WTP is below −70 dBm.

C. Port

Programmers can fetch the **Resource Block(s)** on which an LVAP is currently scheduled together with its **Port configuration** by accessing the `assigned_to` property of an LVAP object:

```python
>>> lvap.assigned_to
 (0C:3E:9F:57:1A:B6, (6,12,24,36,48,54), 27 dBm, 1)}
```

As it can be seen, the dictionary above contains a single entry mapping a Resource Block with a Port configuration. In this example, the LVAP 0C:3E:9F:57:1A:B6 has been assigned to the Resource Block (36, L20) scheduled at the WTP 04:F0:21:09:F9:96. The **Port configuration** specifies which number of traces after which the operation is stopped and all the allocated data structures on the WTP are freed. Specifying −1 results in the WTP sending traffic traces forever. It is also possible to filter link-layer by transmission rates. In the example above only transmission happening at 6 or at 54 Mb/s are recorded. Specifying `ff:ff:ff:ff:ff:ff` as `lvaps` will trigger the callback for any station in the network.

Notice that the entire data structure containing a frame meta-information is 18-bytes long. A saturated 54-Mb/s channel can deliver up to 2336 frames/s. In such scenario the system would generate 42048 bytes of meta-data per second per radio interface which correspond to a signaling bandwidth between the WTP and SD-RAN Controller of about 336 kb/s which is negligible considering the widespread adoption of Gigabit Ethernet in enterprise networks. Due to channel contention, collisions, and retransmissions the actual number of unique frames that can be practically received by a WTP is typically smaller.

Finally, the `rssi` primitive allows the programmer to trigger a callback the first time the RSSI of a LVAP verifies a certain condition at any WTP in network. For example:

```python
```

Listing 6. Collect link-layer meta-data.

The statement above generates a periodic callback when a traffic trace has been received from a WTP. The traffic traces includes all the link-layer events within the decoding range of the WTP. The `keep` parameter allows the network programmer to specify how many events must be stored by the controller. Specifying −1 results in the controller storing all events. Specifying a positive integer will result in the controller flushing the stored events when their number exceed the specified value. The `limit` parameters instructs the WTP to send only a specified

3 At 54 Mb/s an 802.11a radio encodes 216 bits/symbol. The OFDM encoding then add 6 more bits at the end of the frame, so a maximum length frame of 1536 bytes becomes a string of 12288 bits plus 6 trailing bits. The total 12294 bits can be encoded with 57 symbols each requiring 6 μsec for transmission. As a result, ignoring backoff, the minimum time required for transmitting this frame is: DIFS (34 μsec) + DATA(248 μsec) + ACK(24 μsec) = 322 μsec, which corresponds to 2336 frames/second.
range of parameters the WTP can use for its communication with the LVAP, in this case: single spatial stream, fixed transmission power, and adaptive transmission rates selection (with a constraint on the possible MCS). The current implementation of the Port abstraction supports the following parameters:

- **TX Power.** Fixed transmission power (in dB).
- **Modulation and Coding Scheme (MCS).** List of MCS values that can be used by the rate selection algorithm.
- **MIMO Configuration.** Number of spatial streams.
- **RTS/CTS Threshold.** Frame length value above which the RTS/CTS handshake must be used.
- **No ACK.** The WTP will not wait for ACKs.

### D. Network Apps

In our SDK, Network Apps are Python modules loaded either when the SD-RAN Controller is started or using the controller REST interface. Every module is required to implement a launch method called when the Network App is loaded to perform initialization tasks. The launch method must return an instance of the base class EmpowerApp. The parameters accepted by the launch method are defined by the Network App. A mandatory ssid parameter must always be provided to specify on which slice the module shall operate. An optional period parameter can be used to specify the period of the control loop. The complete code of a simple mobility management Network App is reported below.

```python
from empower.core.app import EmpowerApp
class MobilityManager(EmpowerApp):
    def __init__(self, ssid, period):
        EmpowerApp.__init__(self, ssid, period)
        self.rssi(lvaps='ff:ff:ff:ff:ff:ff', relation='LT', value=-70, callback=self.low_rssi)
    def low_rssi(self, lvap, wtp, trigger):
        handover(lvap, self.wtps())
        for lvap in self.lvaps():
            handover(lvap, self.wtps())
    def launch(self, ssid, period=None):
        return MobilityManager(ssid, period)

```

As it can be seen, this example Network App consists of a single Python class instantiated during the module initialization. The class implements just two methods: (i) the loop method which periodically checks if a LVAP should be handed-over to another WTP; and (ii) the callback method which is invoked when the RSSI of a LVAP at its hosting WTP is going below a certain threshold (−70 dB in this example). Notice how a RSSI trigger matching all LVAPs in the network is created in the class constructor. Notice also that, as it can be seen for the rssi call, all the primitives described in the previous sections can be invoked as class methods without specifying the ssid parameter. Finally, to reduce the verbosity, error handling and logging code have been omitted. Moreover, this Network App does not take into account the actual traffic generated by the clients and as result could overload some WTPs. A more refined implementation would also implement load balancing functionality spreading the traffic across the network possibly using as input the LVAPs’ packet counters.

### V. Evaluation

This section is divided into two parts. In the first part our aim is to demonstrate the usefulness of the LVAP concept. In the second we quantify the signaling overhead associated with the statistics subsystem. Notice that, although overhead characterization results are reported only for the packet counters, the general trends apply also to the other subsystem, namely interference maps and triggers. The system has been evaluated over a simple testbed composed of three WTPs. Each WTP is equipped with two wireless NICs each tuned to a different channel, specifically 6 (2.4 GHz band), and 36 (5 GHz band). In our testbed (a typical office environment) channel 36 is not shared with any other network, while channel 6 is used by several other networks. The network controller is a Dell Laptop equipped with a quad core Intel i7 CPU and 8 GB of RAM.4 Iperf [25] is used for synthetic traffic generation.

#### A. Seamless Handovers via LVAP Abstraction

To assess the effectiveness of the LVAP abstraction we implemented a simple Network App which periodically hands over a wireless client between two WTPs. The WTPs are 5 m apart and share the same backhaul (an Ethernet LAN), the wireless client is equidistant from the two WTPs. The network setup is sketched in Fig. 5. Note that, in addition to the SD-RAN Controller presented in this work, also an OpenFlow controller, namely POX [26], has been used to pre-configure the Ethernet switch before each handover.

Client mobility is emulated by progressively reducing the transmission power of the serving AP. We consider a standard WiFi network as the baseline scenario. Recall that, in a standard WiFi network, handovers are triggered by the wireless clients and that the network has no way of controlling wireless clients’ mobility. As a result, a WiFi client that sees a progressively decreasing RSSI, will, at some point, handover to another AP (if available). Such process is not deterministic and can take a variable amount of time.

4Note however that the Python interpreter used to run the controller can leverage only one CPU core.
The traffic is generated at the wireless client towards a fixed node which shares the backhaul with the two WTPs and is made up of a single UDP flow with constant payload size (1472 bytes) and constant bitrate (5, or 25 Mb/s). Fig. 6 shows the distribution of the goodput at the receiver’s side when the client is performing a handover every 10 s in both the baseline and the LVAP-based scenarios. The figure is the result of a 600 s-long measurement with goodput samples taken every 1 s. As it can be seen, in the baseline scenario almost 20% and 40% of the samples are below the target bitrate for, respectively, the 5 and the 25 Mb/s flows.

The instantaneous bitrate in both the baseline and the LVAP-based (SDN) scenario for the 5 Mb/s flow is shown in Fig. 7. As it can be seen, the non-deterministic and uncoordinated nature of the standard WiFi handover can lead to a significant throughput degradation. In particular during our measurements we noticed that, when a client fails to re-associate with the new AP during a handover a full network scan followed by a DHCP exchange is triggered. This procedure can take up to 1–2 seconds to complete. As this procedure is triggered by the client, it can effectively lead to scenarios where the client is bouncing between two APs. On the other hand, the LVAP abstractions allows for completely seamless handovers.

We also tested the LVAP-based handover performance with TCP traffic. Fig. 8 shows the throughput at the receiver’s side for an increasing handover rate from 1 handover every two seconds up to 10 handovers per second. As it can be seen, the link capacity is not significantly affected by the handover rate. Results are the average of 10 runs. Each run was 600-seconds long. 95% confidence intervals are shown as error-bars.

B. Signaling Overhead Characterization

To demonstrate the minimal overhead nature of the querying subsystem at both the WTPs and the controller we implemented a simple application which periodically requests uplink and downlink statistics for a LVAP. The polling period is increased from 1 request every two seconds to 10 requests per second. Fig. 9(a) shows the throughput attained by the wireless client under an increasing polling rate. It can be seen that the client throughput is only marginally affected by statistics requests. This result is obtained through the use of shredded counters at the WTP and non-blocking I/O between WTPs and controller.

Fig. 9(b) shows the average bandwidth used for the signaling-related traffic between WTPs and the controller under an increasing polling rate. Although the bandwidth consumption increases as the square of the polling rate, the actual value does not exceed 500 kb/s even in the most extreme case of 10 requests per second. This amount of signaling overhead can be easily accommodated by modern Gigabit Ethernet deployments found in enterprise networks.

We also evaluated the network statistics subsystem in terms of controller CPU utilization and signaling overhead with a large number of wireless clients. To simulate a deployment with tens of clients we artificially created an increasing number of LVAPs at the 3 WTPs in our testbed. Although not associated to an actual client, such LVAPs are, from the perspective of the controller, equivalent to a scenario where an equivalent...
number of clients are active and continuously communicating. We performed this experiment using an increasing number of virtual clients as well as an increasing network statistics polling rate. Results are shown in Fig. 10. It can be seen that the CPU utilization increases with the polling rate and with the number of clients. However even with 180 active clients and with a polling rate of 1 req/s the CPU utilization remains between 50% and 60%. Similarly the aggregate signaling related bandwidth consumption even in an extreme case is only around 300 kb/s.

VI. APPLICATIONS

In this section we describe three Network Apps implemented using our SDK and tested over a 20-nodes indoor testbed deployed at CREATE-NET premises. The code of these Network Apps is omitted for brevity.

A. Energy-Aware Mobility Management

A simple energy management Network App targeting dual bands (2.4/5 GHz) enterprise WLANs has been implemented. In this scenario APs are partitioned into clusters each with a single Master AP and multiple Slaves APs. Masters are chosen in such a way to provide full coverage and must remain always active while Slaves can be selectively turned on/off.

Fig. 11 depicts the Finite State Machine (FSM) implemented by this Network App. Here in the Online (Dual band) mode, an AP and all its wireless interfaces are on. The Online (Single band) mode represents the case when the 5 GHz interface is turned off. A Slave AP belonging to a cluster with less than \( N \) clients and that has been inactive for at least \( T_{\text{idle}} \) seconds is transitioned to the Offline state. Here, inactive means that no LVAP is hosted by the WTP. The transition from the Online (Dual band) to Online (Single band) modes and vice-versa is triggered according to the presence of clients supporting the 5 GHz band in the cluster. Finally, if there are more than \( N \) clients in the cluster then the WTP is brought back to the Online mode. As this Network App is a simple proof-of-concept aimed at demonstrating the capabilities of our wireless SDN framework, it does not take into account other relevant factors like as traffic patterns and/or channel quality.

Fig. 12 plots the real-time energy consumption of a simple network composed of 3 WiFi APs and 5 clients. We assume that all APs are in the same cluster and that the Master has been selected manually to provide sufficient coverage. Clients joining the network are handed over to the AP that provides the best performance in terms of SNR. A constraint on the maximum...
number of clients that can be allocated to the same AP is also introduced to avoid overloading APs. During this measurement campaign the value for that parameter has been set to 2. Moreover only 2 out of 5 clients support the 5 GHz band.

Initially all 5 wireless clients are active streaming a low bitrate video from YouTube. After 20 seconds one client scheduled on the 5 GHz band leaves the network. As a result the remaining 4 clients are consolidated on 2 APs and the third one is turned off resulting in a significant power consumption reduction. After 20 seconds one of the clients scheduled on the 2.4 GHz band leaves the network resulting in a sensible power consumption reduction. Clients continue to leave the network thereafter (one client every 20 seconds). Eventually, no client is active in the network and only the master AP is kept powered to preserve network coverage.

B. Uplink Monitoring

Performing handover decisions using as an input only the RSSI strength between clients and APs may lead to suboptimal performance as RSSI may not always be a good indicator of link layer performance. Uplink and downlink frame loss rates together can be better alternative metrics. While the downlink frame loss can be easily tracked by the AP to which a client is currently associated, tracking the uplink frame loss rate is much harder if client modifications are not allowed. This is essentially due to the fact the amount of frames actually sent by a client is an unknown variable at the network side.

This Network App exploits the frame tracking capabilities supported by our framework to build a globally synchronized view of all link-layer events in the network. The Network App implements a passive synchronization algorithm that does not require the WTPs to share a common clock (which would be impractical for μsec-level precision). The Network App exploits the broadcast nature of a wireless link and in particular the fact that the same transmission can be recorded by multiple in-range WTPs. Moreover, at least for indoor deployments, we can assume simultaneous receptions at the different WTPs since the propagation delay even for a 500 m link is less than 1 μsec.

This Network App implements a synchronization mechanism similar to the one used by Jigsaw [27]. The algorithm looks for simultaneous receptions of the same frame (identifed using the 802.11 sequence number) at different WTPs to synchronize the clock of the other WTPs to a common reference point. Clock adjustment is performed at the SD-RAN Controller where frame summaries are merged into a single globally synchronized traffic trace. Such operations are performed in real-time with low overhead and without requiring network downtime. Once the global clock synchronization has been achieved, the Network App can track in real-time the frame delivery rates between one or more LVAPs and all the WTPs in the network. Frame delivery statistics are computed every 500 ms and are aggregated by transmission rate. An exponential moving average is also maintained.

To evaluate the accuracy of the Network App we exploited a network setup composed of a single client and three WTPs. Traffic is injected from the wireless client as a single UDP stream. Packet transmission rate and payload are kept fixed at, respectively, 100 packets/s and 1472 bytes. Measurements are taken for different frame transmission rates. Finally, impairments on the link between client and WTPs are simulated by randomly dropping received frames with probability $p$. For all measurements a total of 6000 frames were generated. Confidence intervals were very small for all the data points and have therefore be omitted to improve readability.

Fig. 13 reports the actual and estimated frame delivery rates at the three WTPs in the network for different transmission rates. During this campaign the dropping probability has been set for all links to $p = 0.05$ simulating good channel conditions. As it can be seen the estimated frame delivery rates are very close to the actual values.

Fig. 14 reports the outcomes of the second measurement campaign. In this case the dropping probability of two links has been set to $p = 0.8$ simulating poor channel condition while the dropping probability of the third link has been set to 0.05 simulating good channel condition. This scenario is representative of the case where at least one of the in-range receivers of a given wireless client is experiencing a good channel condition. This situation is at least needed to allow the Network App to have a good estimate of the number of frames transmitted by the client. As it can be seen also in this case the Network App can closely track the actual link delivery rates for all the transmission rates. Note that, measurements have been taken separately for each supported rate and that the links experiencing poor channel conditions are randomly selected at the beginning of the measurements. As a result, for each supported transmission rate the WTPs which are experiencing poor channel conditions are different.

Fig. 15 and Fig. 16 reports the actual and estimated frame delivery rates for different payload lengths and for different loads. In both cases the transmission rate was kept fixed at 36 Mb/s. The payload size for Fig. 16 was also kept fixed at 1472 bytes. It can be seen that the estimated frame delivery rates are very close to the actual values.
Fig. 14. Actual and estimated uplink frame loss at the three WTPs for different transmission rates when the client has a good link to at least one of the WTPs (≈ 0.95 frame delivery ratio). (a) WTP 1. (b) WTP 2. (c) WTP 3.

Fig. 15. Actual and estimated uplink frame loss at the three WTPs for an increasing payload length. (a) WTP 1. (b) WTP 2. (c) WTP 3.

Fig. 16. Actual and estimated uplink frame loss at the three WTPs for an increasing offered load. Transmission rate and payload length were kept fixed at 36 Mb/s and 1472 bytes, respectively. (a) WTP 1. (b) WTP 2. (c) WTP 3.

C. Interference-Aware Channel Assignment

An efficient channel assignment can dramatically improve network performance in dense WLANs. In this section we demonstrate how the Channel Quality and Interference Map abstractions can be leveraged for this purpose. Note that the definition of Interference Map from Section II-D can be extended to result in a more realistic interference map including external but nearby WiFi APs and stations. A simple Network App leveraging the SDK’s RSSI tracking primitives has been implemented to achieve such a goal.

The Network App periodically traverses all the possible LVAP pairs (an LVAP identifies the bi-directional link between a client and its WTP). For each pair the Network App checks if a conflict exists between the uplink/downlink of the first LVAP and the second LVAP. In such a case the pair is added to the Interference Map. Fig. 17(a) sketches the Channel Quality Map for the setup used in this experiment whereas a graphical representation of the Interference Map is shown in Fig. 17(b).

The dashed lines in Fig. 17(a) represent the weighted directed edges in the UCQM and the NCQM for a given Resource Block. As it can be seen given the fact that all the nodes are within decoding range the resulting conflict graph depicted in Fig. 17(b) is almost fully connected. No edge exists between the link $A \rightarrow a$ and the link $B \rightarrow b$ in Fig. 17(b) because due to limitations in the current implementation it is not possible to gather the list of interfering devices at the client.

The knowledge contained in the conflict graph can be effectively leveraged to improve network performance by implementing suitable load-balancing and channel assignment algorithms. A simple implementation of the DSATUR [28] algorithm has been implemented as proof-of-concept. The system performance has been tested before and after the channel assignment. Traffic consists of two saturated TCP connections generated at the two clients toward a node which shares the backhaul with the two WTPs. Fig. 18 reports the instantaneous aggregated client throughput before (w/o CF) and
the empirical CDF of the throughput samples is plotted. The latter aspect can be better seen in Fig. 19 where aggregated throughout improves significantly and it is also stable. The latter aspect can be better seen in Fig. 19 where the empirical CDF of the throughput samples is plotted.

VII. RELATED WORK

In this section we review and discuss the most relevant works on: (i) wireless SDNs, (ii) high-level programming primitives for SDNs, and (iii) interference modeling.

A. Wireless and Mobile SDNs

In [29], Murty et al. present a software architecture addressing the problem of extensibility in wireless LANs. The authors define a set of APIs allowing clients and APs to be managed by a centralized controller. Odin [4] is a SDN framework for controlling and managing enterprise WLANs. Odin allows network applications and services to be deployed as Networks Apps on top of a centralized controller.

In [30], [31] the authors argue that SDN can simplify the design and management of mobile networks, while enabling new services. This work is extended in [2], where SoftCell, an architecture supporting fine-grained policies for the mobile core network, is presented. Cloud-RAN (C-RAN) [3] aims at making deployment of 5G systems cheaper, faster and more flexible. C-RAN is composed by a system of distributed antennas connected using high bandwidth links to servers responsible for their baseband processing. A distributed hierarchical architecture for heterogeneous RANs based on OpenFlow is presented in [32]. Similarly, in [33] an OpenFlow-based control plane for LTE/EPC is presented. SoftRAN [1] proposes a fundamental redesign of the cellular RAN by introducing a big base station abstraction mapping the resources of all base stations in a certain area.

Although the above works demonstrate continuing interest in addressing the complexity of future mobile networks using SDN principles, none of them put the focus on providing programmers with a high-level interface to control the next-generation RANs. Some of these works tackles the challenges from the architectural perspective [3], [30]–[33]. Some attempts at providing a more high-level view of the network can be found in [2], [4] but these works either address the challenges in the mobile core (EPC) or focus only on wireless clients.

Closest by goals and principles to our work is SoftRAN [1] and CoAP [34]. In the former work the authors introduce the big base station abstraction to address the challenges raised by the densification of the cellular RAN while in the latter work the authors tackle the challenge of orchestrating the operation of a multitude of residential wireless gateways. Both works however do not elaborate on the primitives to be exposed to the programmers, the focus of our work.

B. Languages and Abstractions for SDNs

Several recent works have put their focus on applying high-level programming primitives and techniques to SDN [9]–[15], [35]. Their target however is to enable programmability in wired networks typically relying on OpenFlow as data-plane control API. In contrast our work focuses on modeling the most critical aspects of a WiFi-based RAN (gathering network state, interference-aware resource allocation and network reconfiguration and adaptation) and exposing them to the network programmers through a set of technology agnostic programming primitives.

Finally, the argument for handling fast timescale events as close as possible to the place where they are originated is made in [19], [20]. However, both works do not address the way global channel quality information shall be exposed to the network programmer (as we do via the Channel Quality Map abstraction) nor they propose a viable network reconfiguration model (the Port abstraction).

C. Interference Modeling and Management

Given the vast amount of literature on this topic our discussion here focuses on empirical approaches with a real-world evaluation. For a broad survey on interference modeling techniques in 802.11 networks we refer the reader to [36]. Passive and/or active measurements are leveraged by several authors to derive either the conflict graph or the interference graph of a wireless network. In [37], [38] active measurements are exploited to study how mutual link interference affects packet delivery ratio and throughput. In [39] micro-probing (i.e., active measurements lasting few milliseconds) is used to detect conflicts between links. Passive interference graph construction techniques are presented in [40], [41]. WIT [42] and Jigsaw [27] are two other examples of passive interference monitoring techniques aimed at modeling cross-link interference. A framework capable of performing root cause analysis in WiFi networks is presented in [43].
Conflict graphs are leveraged in [44] to manage the effect of interference when multiple transmitters employ variable channel widths. An architecture using micro-probing to jointly address channel assignment and transmission power control is presented in [45]. Centralized scheduling is exploited in [46] to mitigate hidden and exposed terminals issues in WiFi-based networks. Interference modeling plays a key role in the client scheduling problem.

VIII. CONCLUSION

This paper aims at lowering the barrier for developing and deploying the next generation application and services for wireless and mobile networks. Towards this end, we propose a set of programming primitives that empower the developers with expressive tools to control the network while hiding away the implementation details of the underlying technology. The proposed primitives have been exposed to the network programmer through a Python-based SDK and have been used to implement a number of applications ranging from energy-consumption-aware load balancing to channel assignment. The declarative nature of the primitives allows for a powerful yet concise programming language.

The proposed abstractions address four wireless networks control aspects, namely: wireless clients state management, resource allocation, network monitoring, and network reconfiguration. Our architecture specifically accounts for the stochastic nature of the wireless links and for the significant heterogeneity in term of radio layer technologies that characterize modern wireless networks. This essentially translates to separating policies (e.g., the set of transmission rates for use in link adaptation), from mechanisms, i.e., the way knobs need to be turned to obtain the desired behavior, and to putting the former in the hands of the network programmers who are not necessarily network experts while leaving the latter to equipment vendors.

Our current work aims at enhancing the runtime system to improve system performances, extending the language to support QoS-related primitives, and investigating how to properly address more advanced applications and services such as content caching and and edge computing. Moreover, the entire stack including the datapath implementation, the reference SD-RAN Controller, and the Python-based SDK have been released under a permissive license making it available to the broad research community. Finally, we also plan to extend and validate the proposed programming abstractions in a mobile network scenario by adding support for LTE and LTE-Advanced technology.
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