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Abbreviated title: Jurassic Ichthyosaurs from Skye

Synopsis: Fossils of Mesozoic vertebrates are rare in Scotland, particularly specimens of marine reptiles such as plesiosaurs and ichthyosaurs. We describe a suite of ichthyosaur fossils from the Early-to-Middle Jurassic of Skye, which to our knowledge are the first ichthyosaurs from Scotland to be described and figured in detail. These fossils span approximately 30 million years, from the Sinemurian to the Bathonian, and indicate that ichthyosaurs were a major component of Scottish marine faunas during this time. The specimens include isolated teeth that could represent the most northerly known occurrences of the widespread Sinemurian species *Ichthyosaurus communis*, a characteristic component of the famous Lyme Regis faunas of England, suggesting that such faunas were also present in Scotland during the Early Jurassic. An associated humerus and vertebrae from Toarcian–Bajocian aged deposits are named as a new genus and species of basal neoichthyosaurian, *Dearcmhara shawcrossi*. The taxonomic affinities of this taxon, which comes from a critical but poorly sampled interval in the fossil record, suggests that non-ophthalmosaurid neoichthyosaurians dominated European assemblages around the Early–Middle Jurassic boundary, and were later replaced by ophthalmosaurids, whose radiation likely took place outside Europe. Many of these specimens were collected by amateurs and donated to museum collections, a cooperative relationship essential to the preservation of Scotland’s fossil heritage.
Introduction

Fossils of dinosaurs, marine reptiles, and other Mesozoic vertebrates are rare in Scotland, despite 150+ years of collecting dating back to the legendary Hugh Miller (Miller 1858). The importance of the Scottish record, however, is far out of proportion to its quality. Scotland boasts one of the world’s premier Middle Jurassic small vertebrate faunas (Evans & Milner 1994; Evans et al. 2006), which includes spectacularly well-preserved specimens of one of the oldest turtles (Anquetin et al. 2009) and some of the closest relatives of mammals (Waldman & Savage 1972). Tantalizing bones and footprints of dinosaurs have been found in several Early-Middle Jurassic units, making Scotland one of the rare places in the world to yield dinosaurs from this undersampled time interval (Andrews & Hudson 1984; Benton et al. 1995; Clark et al. 1995, 2004, 2005; Clark & Rodríguez 1998; Clark 2001; Liston 2004; Marshall 2005; Barrett 2006). Most of these new discoveries have come to light over the past 25 years, and during this time Scotland has emerged as a growing, but still underappreciated, centre of Mesozoic vertebrate palaeontology research.

Nearly all Scottish Mesozoic vertebrate fossils come from the Jurassic of the Hebrides, particularly the Isle of Skye (Fig. 1) and the nearby smaller islands of Eigg and Raasay (Benton & Spencer 1995; Evans et al. 2006). Dinosaurs, stem mammals, turtles, squamates, and lissamphibians from Skye have been the focus of most recent work on the Hebridean vertebrates, and have been well described and figured in the literature (e.g., Waldman & Savage 1972; Waldman & Evans 1994; Benton et al. 1995; Clark et al. 1995; Anquetin et al. 2009).

Perhaps surprisingly, marine reptiles have received comparatively little attention. Research on the Hebridean vertebrates began with Miller’s (1858) pioneering description of a
plesiosaur bonebed on Eigg. This was followed by numerous mentions of Hebridean marine reptile (plesiosaur and ichthyosaur) specimens in the literature (e.g., Lee & Buckman 1920; Arkell 1933; Hudson 1966; Hudson & Morton 1969; Martill 1985; Benton et al. 1995; Page & Wimbledon 2008), but only one of these—an associated set of plesiosaur vertebrae, ribs, and gastralia—has been described and figured in any detail (Clark et al. 1993). Therefore, little is currently known about the age, provenance, anatomy, and systematics of Early-to-Middle Jurassic marine reptiles from Scotland, despite the apparently large number of specimens. This is especially problematic because part of the time period spanned by the Hebridean fossiliferous units, particularly parts of the Middle Jurassic, are relatively poorly sampled intervals in marine reptile evolution. As a result, the Scottish specimens may offer important insights into marine reptile evolution and biogeography during this time.

We here describe a suite of ichthyosaur fossils from the Early-Middle Jurassic of Skye. To our knowledge, these are the first ichthyosaur fossils from the Hebrides (and Scotland more broadly) to be described and figured in detail. These specimens include portions of skulls, teeth, vertebrae, and a partial humerus, which were collected by different teams of scientists and fossil enthusiasts over the past 50+ years and subsequently accessioned into the collections of National Museums Scotland (Edinburgh), the Hunterian Museum (Glasgow), and the Staffin Museum (Isle of Skye). These specimens include material that could pertain to the widespread species Ichthyosaurus communis, well known from the famous Lyme Regis fauna, in the Early Jurassic and material that represents a new genus and species of basal neoichthyosaurian with a highly distinctive humerus from the Early-Middle Jurassic.

**Geological Context**
As well as featuring the most complete succession of Mesozoic rocks in Scotland, preserving sediments from the Upper Triassic to the Upper Jurassic (Kimmeridgian), the Inner Hebrides record one of the least interrupted sequences of Middle Jurassic rocks in the world (Figs. 1-2). Within the Inner Hebrides, rocks of this age crop out from as far north as the Shiant Isles in The Minch, east of the Isle of Harris, to the southern coast of Mull (Morton & Hudson 1995). Jurassic sediments in the Inner Hebrides rest conformably on top of coarse-grained Triassic fluvial sediments which, in turn, unconformably overlie Precambrian-Cambrian basement and sediments. These Mesozoic sediments were deposited in two individual fault-bounded basins, the Sea of the Hebrides Basin (Trotternish Peninsula) and the Inner Hebrides Basin (Strathaird Peninsula), and reflect changing relative sea level influenced by tilting in the tectonically-bound basins (Mellere & Steel 1996; Morton 1987). The two depocentres were separated by a positive topographic feature, the Skye High (Morton 1965; Hesselbo et al. 1998), but there is debate about the degree of separation the two basins experienced (Harris 1992; Mellere & Steel 1996). However, there are clearly time-equivalent deposits of different facies on both the Strathaird and Trotternish peninsulas, with individual lithological units exhibiting diachronicity (Morton & Hudson 1995; Hesselbo et al. 1998).

Dating of the Hebridean Jurassic units is generally robust and is based on ammonites found throughout the sequence (Morton 1971, 1972), although some units lack or have a scarcity of ammonites (e.g. lower Broadford Formation, Valtos Sandstone Formation, Duntulm Formation). Where age-diagnostic ammonites have not been found or where ammonites are absent altogether, the age of units can often be determined with confidence from bivalve,
gastropod and conchostracan associations (Chen & Hudson 1991; Torrens 1980) and palynomorphs (Riding et al. 1991).

The Jurassic sediments of Skye owe their preservation, in part, to the erosion-resistant overlying Cenozoic basaltic volcanics that are pervasive throughout the Inner Hebrides. Basaltic and microgabbroic sills and dykes, associated with plutonic centres formed during a phase of Paleocene volcanism, penetrate Mesozoic sediments and have a northwest-southeast regional strike. Contact metamorphism from this dense swarm of intrusive rocks resulted in only minor thermal alteration of the adjacent Mesozoic sediments by no more than a few metres in the majority of cases, although some localities (e.g. the Kilmaluag Formation, Strathaird Peninsula) exhibit more pervasive contact metamorphism and are consequentially more indurated (Thrasher 1992).

The specimens described in this paper were recovered from units spanning the Lower to Middle Jurassic (Figs. 1-2). Specimens were recovered either directly from or closely associated with the Ardnish Formation, the Bearreraig Sandstone Formation, the Valtos Sandstone Formation and the Duntulm Formation. In the following descriptions of the individual formations we use the stratigraphic nomenclature as currently employed by the British Geological Survey. The ammonite biostratigraphy herein employs the 'standard' zones used in the most recent Geological Conservation Review series for British Jurassic stratigraphy (Wright & Cox 2001; Cox & Sumbler 2002; Simms et al. 2004). In this scheme, where the actual ammonite zone cannot be identified, the 'zones' are treated as chronostratigraphic subdivisions. Each such subdivision can be recognized by ammonite faunas but is not defined by them. Ammonite zones from both the Boreal and sub-Boreal provinces are included in this scheme, and subsequently the scheme cannot solely be attributed to either of these provincial zonal schemes.
This is particularly appropriate for the Upper Jurassic of Skye where Oxfordian and Kimmeridgian ammonites represent both provinces (Matyja et al. 2005).

**Ardnish Formation, Hallaig Sandstone Member, Loch Eishort.** The Ardnish Formation (=Upper Broadford Beds of Hallam 1959; lower Pabbay Shale of Hesselbo et al. 1998) at the Loch Eishort exposure is early Sinemurian in age (*Caesinites turneri* ammonite Zone, Hesselbo et al. 1998). Here the Ardnish Formation predominantly comprises micaceous shales and siltstones with clean, medium-to-fine-grained sandstones exhibiting bipolar tabular and trough cross beds (e.g. the Hallaig Sandstone Member of Hesselbo et al. 1998). These cross-bedded units, which contain abundant marine fossils at the bases of coarsening-up cycles, are interpreted as representing deposition in a shallow marine/estuarine environment with a tidal influence (Hesselbo & Coe 2000). Precise dating is not possible and a *C. turneri* Zone age has been assigned on the possible presence of *Caenisites* (Hallam 1959) and *?Microdoceras* (Oates 1976).

**Bearreraig Sandstone Formation.** The Bearreraig Sandstone Formation at Bearreraig Bay records three coarsening-up parasequences (Morton 1965). The first of these is represented by fine-grained, burrowed muddy sandstones of the Dun Caan Shale Member (late Toarcian, *Pleydellia aalensis* Subzone to early-mid Aalenian *Ludwigia murchisonae* Zone), which are overlain by fossiliferous calcareous sandstones of the late Aalenian (*Graphoceras concavum* Zone) Ollach Sandstone Member, which contains conspicuous large calcareous concretions (Wilkinson 1991; Morton & Hudson 1995; Mellere & Steel 1996). Abrupt deepening resulted in deposition of micaceous mudstones, siltstones and very fine-grained sandstones of the Udairn Shale Member (late Aalenian *G. concavum* Zone to early Bajocian *Sonninia ovalis* Zone), which grades upwards into the fine-grained Holm Sandstone Member (mid Lower Bajocian *Withchellia*
Large scale cross-stratification in the Holm Sandstone Member was interpreted by Mellere & Steel (1996) as being formed by large, shallow marine sand waves migrating in a tidal channel. The overlying clay and silt-rich layers of the Rigg Sandstone Member (upper Emileia sauezi – Stephanoceras humphriesianum Zones of the late Lower Bajocian) herald the final deepening event in the Bearreraig Sandstone Formation before deposition of the overlying Bathonian age Cullaidh Shale.

**Valtos Sandstone Formation.** The Valtos Sandstone Formation of the Great Estuarine Group comprises alternating sequences of shelly limestones (containing an abundance of the bivalve Neomiodon), mudstones and sandstones representing repetitive cycles of progradation and retrogradation of delta systems into a marine-influenced lagoon (Harris 1992; Wilkinson 1993). Three major units were recognised by Harris (1992). The first is a lower unit exhibiting cycles passing from mudstones to shell-rich horizons, which coarsen up into fine sandstones and coarser-grained cross-bedded sandstones with ripples. The coarser sandstone units are interpreted as distributary channel sands and thin delta-top beds. The second and third units are a middle shale-limestone-dominated unit and an upper sandstone-dominated unit (well exposed at Rubha Garbhaig). The age of the Valtos Sandstone is late Bajocian – Bathonian, based on palynological and macrofaunal fossils (Riding et al. 1991). The presence of Lycopodiacidites baculatus indicates that the Valtos Sandstone Formation is no younger than early Bathonian, as this taxon does not occur in post-Bathonian strata in Europe (Riding et al. 1991). The formation yields a predominantly terrestrial freshwater microflora, as well as dinosaur bones and footprints (e.g., Clark & Barco Rodriguez 1998).
Duntulm Formation. The Duntulm Formation comprises heterolithic mudstones with conspicuous oyster beds dominated by *Praeexogyra hebredica* towards the base of the formation (Harris 1992). Limestone bands, stromatolitic algal limestones and burrowed calcareous sandstones yielding dinosaur footprints (Clark *et al.* 2004) occur higher in the section. At the type locality at Cairidh Ghlumaig, at Duntulm in northwest Trotternish, a marine influence is indicated by the appearance of the bivalves *Pacunopsis, Cuspidaria, Modiolus* and subsequently *Praeexogyra*. Here shark fin spines and fragmentary fish remains are present but other nektonic marine organisms such as ammonites are not found (Morton & Hudson 1995). The dinoflagellate flora suggests a near-shore marine environment (Riding *et al.* 1991), whereas the sedimentology of this unit was interpreted by Hudson (1970) and Andrews (1986) as being indicative of a marginal lagoonal mudflat setting with the algal limestone bands representing a littoral fringe with a microtidal influence in a marine-brackish lagoon (Hudson & Andrews 1987). At Lon Ostatoin, near Duntulm, a low salinity bivalve fauna of *Neomiodon* and *Unio* along with the green alga *Botryococcus* demonstrates a freshwater influence during deposition of parts of the Duntulm Formation (Morton & Hudson 1995; Riding *et al.* 1991). The palynomorph assemblage strongly supports a Bathonian age for the Duntulm Formation, and the presence of the bivalve *Praeexogyra* implies a mid-late Bathonian age, although it is to be noted that the distribution of *Praeexogyra* is known to be strongly controlled by facies (Hudson in Torrens 1980; Riding *et al.* 1991).

Institutional Abbreviations
Systematic Palaeontology

REPTILIA Laurenti, 1768

ICHTHYOSAURIA de Blainville, 1835

Ichthyosaurus de la Beche and Conybeare, 1821

cf. Ichthyosaurus communis Conybeare, 1822

Figures 3–4

Neotype of Ichthyosaurus communis. NHMUK PV R1162: skull and skeleton.

Distribution of Ichthyosaurus communis. Lower Jurassic (basal Hettangian – lower Sinemurian) of Lyme Regis, Dorset and Street, Somerset, England (McGowan & Motani 2003). Lower Sinemurian of Frick, Canton Aargau, Switzerland (Maisch et al. 2008). Upper Sinemurian of Lorraine, Belgium (Godefroit 1996). Bennett et al. (2012) referred an incomplete skeleton (NHMUK PV R15907) from the Pliensbachian of Stonebarrow, Dorset, England, to Ichthyosaurus communis. However, based on their figures it appears to lack several of the apomorphies of this species (listed below). Pending closer study of the specimen, we currently do not consider it to be strong evidence that I. communis continued into the Pliensbachian. Possibly also the early Sinemurian of the Isle of Skye, Scotland.
Species diagnosis of Ichthyosaurus communis. Preflexural vertebrae >74 <80; presacral vertebrae >41. Snout moderately long, snout ratio >0.57–0.69. Orbits are not exceptionally large, the orbit ratio is typically 0.20–0.28, but usually <0.25. Fewer than 20 teeth per maxilla. Tooth crown apices are blunt, while the crowns are robust and curved. Tooth crown-root junction is not abrupt (i.e. does not have a narrow crown and an inflated root) as in Ichthyosaurus intermedius. Tooth roots are somewhat rectangular in cross-section. Very large lacrimal anterior process, which sutures with the premaxilla along its dorsal and anterior margins and the maxilla along its ventral margin. Jugal with a rounded external surface (when seen in cross-section). Quadratojugal is moderately short dorsoventrally, being distinctly less than two-thirds of the orbital height. Quadrate ascending plate is robust, not a thin lamina as in Ichthyosaurus intermedius. (Modified from McGowan & Motani 2003; Maisch et al. 2008; Bennett et al. 2012.)

Skye material. SM 1976.1999.8: block containing the impression of the ventral surface of an ichthyosaur lower jaw and 21 separated teeth, some of which preserve portions of the enamel (Figs. 3–4). NMS G. 2014.21.1: single isolated tooth root (Fig. 4).

Provenance. SM 1976.1999.8 was found loose on the shore at Boreraig (Suisnish, south Skye) by one of us (CCJM) in 1997 (MacFadyen 1997) (Fig. 1). It was later collected by one of us (DAR) and Martin Wildgoose in 1999. Based on lithological comparison to the rocks near where it was recovered, the specimen derives from the Hallaig Sandstone Member of the Ardnish Formation (C. turneri ammonite zone, late lower Sinemurian). NMS G. 2014.21.1 was found
during a 2013 fieldtrip by one of us (TJC) in the Hallaig Sandstone Member of the Ardnish Formation (C. turneri ammonite zone, late lower Sinemurian) (Fig. 1).

*Description.* The isolated tooth NMS G. 2014.21.1 preserves only an incomplete root (Fig. 4). It would have been from a fairly large tooth, as the root is 10 mm wide mesiodistally and 8 mm wide labiolingually at its preserved base. On all surfaces there are numerous, deep, continuous apicobasally aligned grooves. There is no evidence that the root was bulbous compared to the crown (i.e. that it widened mesiodistally-labiolingually basal to the crown-root junction) as the root has almost parallel margins until the apical region, where it narrows slightly and curves in a distal-lingual manner. The root is slightly rectangular in cross-section.

The specimen SM 1976.1999.8 preserves the ventral outline of a lower jaw, the outline of numerous disassociated teeth, and some incomplete teeth on a slab (Fig. 3). The lower jaw impression shows both rami converging towards the symphyseal region, and what appears to be the middle-posterior portion of the symphyseal region. The two rami were apparently disassociated along the symphyseal suture, based upon the impression they left. The tooth impressions show numerous fairly robust teeth which would have curved lingually. From the impressions and portions of the enamel of the preserved tooth crowns, the apices were all blunt and rounded. Where the enamel can be discerned, there are numerous, continuous apicobasally aligned ridges. These are present on all exposed enamel surfaces, including on the root (Fig. 4c).

In the Sinemurian of Europe, four genera of ichthyosaurs are recognised: *Excalibosaurus*, *Ichthyosaurus*, *Leptonectes* and *Temnodontosaurus* (e.g. Hungerbühler & Sachs 1996; Maisch & Matzke 2000; McGowan & Motani 2003). Moreover, phylogenetic ghost ranges imply the presence of numerous other lineages (those leading to *Eurhinosaurus*, *Hauffiopteryx*, 

---
Suevoleviathan, Malawania and Baracromia) in the Sinemurian (e.g. Caine & Benton 2011; Fischer et al. 2013). We can preclude a leptonectid (Eurhinosaurus, Excalibosaurus or Leptonectes), Hauffiopteryx, or early baracromian origin for both SM 1976.1999.8 and NMS G. 2014.21.1 because these taxa have small, slender teeth with pointed apices, elongate crowns and little or no macroscopic enamel ornamentation (e.g. Maisch & Matzke 2003; Maisch & Reisdorf 2006; Maisch 2008; Caine & Benton 2011). The Skye material cannot be referred to Suevoleviathan, as it lacks the thickened enamel ornamented with a ‘bumpy’ external texture that is diagnostic of this genus amongst Lower Jurassic ichthyosaurs (see Table S4 in Maxwell et al. 2012).

The deep ridges on the enamel continuing onto the root, present on the Skye specimens, are restricted to Ichthyosaurus and Temnodontosaurus (Vincent et al. 2014). However, the dentition of the Sinemurian Temnodontosaurus species (T. platyodon and T. eurycephalus) differs from SM 1976.1999.8 and NMS G. 2014.21.1. In T. eurycephalus the teeth are robust with little to no lingual curvature, are mediolaterally compressed and have apices that are either pointed or broken and roots that are bulbous (such that they are noticeably wider than at the crown-root junction) (pers. obs. of NHMUK PV R1157; McGowan 1974). The lack of carinae in the Skye specimens differs from T. eurycephalus, T. trigonodon and T. platyodon (Godefroit, 1993; Martin et al. 2012), although this feature appears variable in the latter. The root is not swollen, unlike in Temnodontosaurus species for which teeth are known (although this is variable, ranging from being only slightly swollen to bulbous, as in T. eurycephalus) (McGowan 1974, 1994). Thus, these crown and root morphotypes of Temnodontosaurus do not match either SM 1976.1999.8 or NMS G. 2014.21.1.
Within the genus *Ichthyosaurus*, there are considered to be three (McGowan & Motani 2003) or four valid Hettangian-Sinemurian species (e.g. Maisch and Matzke 2000; Bennett *et al.* 2012). We can exclude both Skye specimens from *I. intermedius* (considered by McGowan & Motani 2003 to be a subjective junior synonym of *I. communis*), as this taxon has 'waisted' dentition, namely a narrow crown and an inflated root, which gives the crown-root junction a 'stepped' appearance (Maisch & Matzke 2000). The teeth of *I. conybeari* are described as being pointed, slender and 'high-crowned' (Maisch & Matzke 2000), which does not match the morphology of SM 1976.1999.8. Additionally, *I. conybeari* is a small-bodied taxon (perhaps not exceeding 1.5m in length; McGowan & Motani 2003), and as such is too small to have dentition with roots ~1 cm in diameter or tooth crowns several centimetres long like in the Skye specimens. The teeth of *I. breviceps* have pointed or broken apices, and somewhat bulbous roots (Massare & Lomax 2014). Moreover, the largest reported *I. breviceps* individual has a skull-to-tail bend length of 1.6 m (Massare & Lomax 2014), which is also too small to match the Skye teeth and mandible.

This leaves *Ichthyosaurus communis*. We consider this taxon to be the most likely candidate for SM 1976.1999.8 and NMS G. 2014.21.1, as the dental apomorphies of this species are found in both specimens. In particular, the tooth crowns of SM 1976.1999.8 are robust and have blunt apices, while the tooth root of NMS G. 2014.21.1 is textured by deep ridges, somewhat rectangular in cross-section and not bulbous. This combination of features is unique to *I. communis* (Vincent *et al.* 2014). However, keeping in mind the often variable nature of teeth, we conservatively refer these specimens to cf. *Ichthyosaurus communis* pending discovery of more complete material.
Material. NMS G. 2014.21.2: single incomplete vertebral centrum (Fig. 5s-t).

Provenance. The specimen was collected during a 2013 fieldtrip by one of us (SLB). It was found loose on the foreshore of the section of coastline below the crofting village of Valtos (Trotternish Peninsula) at the type section for the Valtos Sandstone Formation (Fig. 1). From lithological comparison of the surrounding in situ units, the specimen most likely originates from the lower sandstone-dominated unit of the Valtos Sandstone Formation (late Bajocian – early Bathonian).

Description. The vertebral centrum is very fragmentary, with only a portion of the ventral region preserved (Fig. 5s-t). Either the anterior or posterior face is visible; it is strongly concave and has a semi-circular outline. The diapophyses are not preserved, but one of the parapophyses is. It is present as a poorly preserved process that clearly projects laterally away from the centrum. As the parapophysis is borne so far dorsally on the centrum, it is likely that this specimen is a cervical or anterior dorsal vertebra (see Andrews 1910; McGowan & Motani 2003).

Material. GLAHM 152379: portion of the palatal process of a right pterygoid (Fig. 6).
Provenance. The specimen was found by David Cooper ex-situ “within yards of the boat slipway at Staffin” in the late 1980s (Cooper pers. comm. to one of us [DAR], 1995). Although the stratigraphic source of the specimen cannot be determined with certainty, the boat slipway at Staffin lies on rocks of the Dun Tulm Formation above horizons of Praeexogyra (mid-late Bathonian) (Fig. 1).

Description. Based upon comparisons with the pterygoids of Ichthyosaurus (Sollas 1916; McGowan 1973) and ophthalmosaurids (Andrews 1910; Kear 2005; Fischer et al. 2011), we consider GLAHM 152379 to be part of the palatal process (= anterior process) of a right pterygoid (Fig. 6). The process is broken anteriorly and posteriorly; it is thus incomplete and the main body of the pterygoid is not preserved. The anterior breakage means that the symphyseal suture for the left pterygoid is missing. In cross-section the process is sub-rectangular, with the dorsal lamella having a sub-triangular cross-section ventrally, until it becomes lamellar in the middle-dorsal regions. The dorsal lamella is broken along its dorsal margin, and has experienced post-mortem deformation resulting in it being strongly deflected medially. At the posterolateral margin of the palatal process there is an articulation facet for the posterior ramus of the vomer (Fig. 6b). Due to the poor preservation along the lateral margin, it is difficult to discern the nature of the contact with the palatine, although based on other ichthyosaurs, the palatine would have overlapped the lateral margin of the palatal process along with the vomer (e.g. Sollas 1916; Kear 2005). Along the dorsal margin, immediately medial to the dorsal lamella, there is a wide, shallow, longitudinal depression. This would have been for the articulation with the cultriform process of the parabasisphenoid.
Etymology. Dearcmhara, Scottish Gaelic for “marine lizard”; shawcrossi, in honour of Brian Shawcross, who collected the specimens and magnanimously donated them to a museum collection instead of retaining them in private hands. In English phonology Dearcmhara is pronounced as “jark vara” (IPA for Scottish English Phonology: dʒərk vərə). Note that in other English phonologies the open vowel (i.e. ‘a’) can be pronounced differently, and the alveolar trill (‘rolled r’) is the alveolar approximant (ɹ).

Holotype. GLAHM 152378/1-4. An associated distal humerus (GLAHM 152378/1; Fig. 7) and three vertebrae (GLAHM 152378/2-4; Fig. 5a-r), including one anterior dorsal centrum (GLAHM 152378/3) and two anterior caudal centra (GLAHM 152378/2 and 4). The specimens were found associated but in the eventuality that later research determines that they belong to different taxa, the humerus is designated as the name-bearing holotype of Dearcmhara shawcrossi.

Provenance. The specimens were recovered ex-situ, but in association, from Bearreraig Bay by Brian Shawcross in or around 1959 (Fig. 1). It is likely that the specimens originate from the Bearreraig Sandstone Formation, assuming that the specimens were not transported to Bearreraig Bay from elsewhere by longshore drift (which we consider unlikely due to their associated nature.
and lack of strong abrasion, as well-preserved associated specimens are incredibly rare on Skye). The most accurate age for this formation is late Toarcian-late Lower Bajocian (*P. aalensis*-S. *humphriesianum* Zones).

**Diagnosis.** Basal neoichthyosaurian ichthyosaur with the following unique combination of characters, with autapomorphies among basal neoichthyosaurians indicated with an asterisk (all on the humerus): presence of an anterodistal leading edge facet (shared with *Temnodontosaurus* and Leptonectidae, although this facet is variably present within both clades); a deep concavity within the leading edge of the anterodistal facet*; large and triangular supraulnar process*; waisted humeral shaft, with the leading edge having a pronounced concavity, while the posterior edge is much more weakly concave (shared with *Temnodontosaurus* and *Excalibosaurus*); in lateral view, the distal margin of the ulnar facet is strongly concave, while the distal margin of the radial facet is straight to weakly convex (shared with *Temnodontosaurus*, but this morphology is variable within Leptonectidae).

**Description.** Vertebrae column differentiation is somewhat poorly understood in ichthyosaurs because the pectoral and pelvic girdles are rarely found in articulation with the vertebral column. A commonly accepted framework is used for descriptions of thunnosaurian vertebrae (see Andrews 1910; McGowan & Motani 2003; Kolb & Sander 2009; Fischer *et al.* 2011). In this framework cervical centra have the diapophyses fused to the facet for the neural arch, the anterior dorsal centra have separated diapophyses and parapophyses which are both on the upper half of the centrum, the posterior dorsal centra have separate diapophyses and parapophyses which are located on the lower half of the centrum, the anterior caudal centra have a single
lateroventrally orientated apophysis, and the mid-caudal and postflexural centra are typically laterally compressed and have chevron facets on their ventral surfaces.

Based upon this framework, there is one anterior dorsal centrum (Fig. 5 g-i) and two anterior caudal centra (Fig. 5 a-f, m-r) present in GLAHM 152378/1-4. All three centra are amphicoelous, although the anterior dorsal centrum has noticeably more concave anterior and posterior faces than the two caudal centra. At the centre of these concavities, there is a perforation in all three centra, suggesting that the individual is not fully grown (e.g. O’Keefe et al. 2009). The ventral surface of all three centra lacks a hypophysis. The anterior dorsal centrum lacks the extensive posterolateral lamellae seen in *Acamptonectes* dorsal centra (Fischer et al. 2012). The neural canal is noticeably wider on the anterior dorsal centrum than on the two caudal centra. Additionally, the shape of the anterior and posterior centra surfaces differs between the dorsal and caudal centra. The dorsal centrum has a semi-circular ventral half, while the dorsal half is noticeably less rounded. In contrast, the caudal centra have a semi-circular dorsal half, whereas the ventral half is less rounded, having an almost straight ventral surface. The centrum height-to-length ratio of the anterior dorsal vertebra is 2.74, while the ratio in the anterior caudals is 2.65 (GLAHM 152378/4) and 2.63 (GLAHM 152378/2).

As mentioned by McGowan & Motani (2003: 16-17) isolated vertebrae, and their shape variation, are to be used taxonomically with caution. This is due to only a limited number of species being known from complete skeletons, which if available are typically viewable in only one aspect. As there are no listed centra apomorphies for ichthyosaurian subclades, they do not on their own help identify which clade GLAHM 152378/1-4 belongs to.

The humerus is complete distally but lacks the proximal region. The ventral surface is poorly preserved and somewhat damaged (Fig. 7b), thus partially obscuring what remains of the
ventral trochanter. In proximal view, however, the trochanter can be seen as a sub-rectangular 'curve' orientated slightly anteriorly along the ventral margin (Fig. 7e). The dorsal surface is much better preserved, with the distal end of the dorsal trochanter visible (Fig. 7a). In proximal view, this trochanter can be seen along the dorsal margin of the bone; it is wide and flat (Fig. 7e). The humeral shaft is distinctly waisted, formed by the leading edge having a pronounced concavity, while the posterior edge is more poorly concave (shared with *Temnodontosaurus* and *Excalibosaurus*; McGowan 1994; McGowan 2003; McGowan & Motani 2003; Martin et al. 2012).

One of the most distinctive features of the humerus is an anterodistal facet along the leading edge, which houses a small, deep concavity that is sub-oval in shape (Fig. 7c, adl). The leading edge facet is seen in *Temnodontosaurus* and Leptonectidae (McGowan & Milner 1999; McGowan 2003; McGowan & Motani 2003; Martin et al. 2012), but we have not observed a deep concavity within the facet in any other ichthyosaurs. Therefore, the concavity is regarded as an autapomorphy of *Dearcmhara shawcrossi*.

Along the distal surface there are two very large, deeply concave, sub-rectangular facets for the radius and ulna. The radial facet is orientated anterodistally, whereas the ulnar facet is orientated posterodistally. The radial and ulnar facets are separated by a wide, low-relief, gently convex ridge on the distal surface of the bone. The leading edge and radial facets are on different surfaces, and the ridge separating them is also of low-relief and gently convex. There is no posterior accessory facet. In lateral view, the distal margin of the ulnar facet is strongly concave, whereas the distal margin of the radial facet is straight to weakly convex. This marked difference between the facets is also seen in *Temnodontosaurus* (McGowan 1994; McGowan & Motani
2003; Martin et al. 2012). However, in leptonectids the distal margins of the radial and ulnar facets can be variable (McGowan & Milner 1999; McGowan 2003).

Another distinctive feature of the bone is seen in lateral view. Immediately proximal to the ulnar facet (at the posterodistal edge of the humerus) there is a large triangular process (herein called the supraulnar process) that projects in relief from the bone surface (Fig. 7a, sp). Both the large size and triangular shape make it distinct from other neoichthyosaurs, as other specimens (as exemplified, for example, by the ophthalmosaurids Sveltonectes and Sisteronia: Fischer et al. 2011, 2014) have at most a 'bulge' proximal to the posterior third of the ulnar facet, which is never as pronounced in relief or a distinct triangular shape. Therefore, the enlarged, strongly offset triangular supraulnar process is an autapomorphy of Dearcmhara shawcrossi.

The humeral medial surface shows evidence of predation and/or scavenging (Fig. 7b, bm), with one distinct tooth mark level with where the shaft begins to narrow. Interestingly, there appears to be numerous longitudinal marks across this surface (one particularly deep mark is orientated anterodistally to posteroproximally), which could represent damage caused by tooth apices. As there is no sign of remodelling around these bite marks, it suggests they occurred either peri- or post-mortem.

The combination of a distinctly waisted humerus with a prominent anterodistal leading edge characterises non-thunnosaurian neoichthyosaurs (Motani 1999; Martin et al. 2012). The conditions seen in GLAHM 152378/1-4 appear especially similar to those of Leptonectes spp., Temnodontosaurus trigonodon and Temnodontosaurus azerguensis (McGowan 1996; Motani 1999; Martin et al. 2012; Vincent et al. 2014). The anterodistal leading edge tuberosity is marked in the basal neoichthyosaurian taxa Leptonectidae and some species of Temnodontosaurus; it is present but not prominent in Suevoleviathan (see Maisch 1998) and seemingly disappears in
Thunnosauria (see distribution of character 44 in Fischer et al. 2013; it may be present but small and rounded in Hauffiopteryx [Caine & Benton 2011]). The available evidence, therefore, strongly suggests that GLAHM 152378/1-4 is a non-thunnosaurian neoichthyosaurian. Within this grade of ichthyosaurs, and among all ichthyosaurs more broadly, the autapomorphic presence of a deep concavity within the anterodistal leading edge facet and the large and triangular supraulnar process support the referral of GLAHM 152378/1-4 to a new taxon, Dearcmhara shawcrossi.

The humerus of Dearcmhara shawcrossi is superficially similar to those of ophthalmosaurids in some regards, but an ophthalmosaurid identity can be ruled out. Based on the unambiguous character optimisations in the phylogenetic analysis of Fischer et al. (2012), Ophthalmosauridae has two humeral synapomorphies: a plate-like dorsal trochanter (character 30), and a facet for an anterior accessory element (character 33, although there is a reversal in Fischer et al.’s clade ‘B’). GLAHM 152378/1-4 clearly lacks a facet for an anterior accessory epipodial element, and appears to lack the plate-like trochanter (instead, the distal preserved portion of the trochanter is wide and flat), indicating that the specimen cannot be referred to Ophthalmosauridae.

Discussion

The specimens described here are the first ichthyosaurs from Skye, and Scotland more broadly, described and figured in detail in the scientific literature. Although many of these specimens are fragmentary, they are all diagnostically ichthyosaurian, and demonstrate that ichthyosaurs were present in Mesozoic Scotland for a long swath of time. The specimens span from the Sinemurian
to the Bathonian, indicating that ichthyosaurs were present in Scotland from, at the very least, approximately 199-166 million years ago. It is likely that ichthyosaurs were a common and important component of Scottish marine ecosystems during most of the Jurassic, and perhaps beyond.

The oldest material described here, from the Sinemurian (Early Jurassic, ca. 199-196 million years ago), may pertain to the characteristic taxon *Ichthyosaurus communis* (based on dental features). If correct, then to our knowledge the Skye material represents the most northerly occurrence of this geographically widespread ichthyosaur. *Ichthyosaurus communis* is abundant in the Early Jurassic deposits of Lyme Regis and surrounding areas of England, and was evidently a major component of this most famous of marine reptile faunas. The presence of *I. communis* in Skye would perhaps suggest that Scotland was also home to a characteristic Lyme Regis-type vertebrate fauna during the Early Jurassic.

The distal humerus and associated vertebral centra from the Toarcian-Bajocian (ca. 177-169 million years ago) represent a new basal neoichthyosaurian taxon, which we herein name *Dearcmhara shawcrossi*. This specimen contributes to a growing body of evidence that aids understanding of the origin of Ophthalmosauridae and their rise to dominance at the expense of more basal neoichthyosaurians. Ophthalmosauridae evolved from *Stenopterygius*-like baracromians during the Aalenian (Fischer et al. 2011, 2013). Both the sister taxon of Ophthalmosauridae (*Stenopterygius/Chacaicosaurus cayi* from the early Bajocian) and the earliest record of Ophthalmosauridae (at the Aalenian–Bajocian boundary) are located in South America (Fernández 1994, 2003). Ophthalmosaurinae, an ophthalmosaurid subfamily, is already present in the early Bajocian of Canada (Druckenmiller & Maxwell 2014), suggesting that Ophthalmosauridae rapidly radiated along western Gondwanan margins at the onset of the
Middle Jurassic. In contrast, Upper Toarcian–Aalenian ichthyosaur assemblages were somewhat different in Europe, as their record consists entirely of non-opthalmosaurid neoichthyosaurians (Maxwell et al. 2012; Vincent et al. 2013). Following the middle Callovian, however, ophthalmosaurids are the only ichthyosaurs recorded in Europe (e.g. McGowan & Motani 2003). The critical shift from a basal neoichthyosaurian-only to an ophthalmosaurid-only assemblage thus took place between the late Aalenian–early Callovian, when the fossil record is extremely poor. The Skye ichthyosaur falls within this critical gap and may be the youngest known non-opthalmosaurid in Europe. As such, it provides further evidence that the rise of ophthalmosaurids was delayed in Europe relative to other parts of the world, particularly Gondwana.

It may seem surprising that the fossils reported here are the first Scottish ichthyosaur specimens to be comprehensively described. Several other marine reptile specimens have been mentioned in the literature (e.g., Lee & Buckman 1920; Arkell 1933; Hudson 1966; Hudson & Morton 1969; Martill 1985; Benton et al. 1995; Page & Wimbledon 2008), but the whereabouts of many of these fossils are currently unclear. A handful of Skye ichthyosaur specimens are accessioned into the collections of National Museums Scotland and the Hunterian Museum and await further preparation and study. Most material, however, has apparently been privately collected and has since disappeared from the scientific record, with little or no information on current whereabouts, ownership, or accessibility. This is an unfortunate situation that has developed over the past several decades and one that we hope will change, as fossil vertebrate specimens from Skye and elsewhere are the rare and irreplaceable natural heritage of Scotland, providing the only information on what prehistoric creatures lived in Scotland during the Age of Dinosaurs. We are pleased that some of the specimens described here were collected by amateurs
and donated to museum collections, including the holotype of an important new genus and species that would have been lost to science had it remained in private hands. We are honoured to name this new animal after Brian Shawcross, the collector who so generously donated the material to the Hunterian Museum. As so much about Scotland’s prehistoric past remains to be discovered, we look forward to working together with amateur collectors to preserve important fossil discoveries and paint a clearer picture of what Scotland was like when dinosaurs thundered across the land and marine reptiles dominated the oceans.
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**Figure Captions**

**Fig. 1.** Map of Skye, Scotland, showing the geographic and geological context for the ichthyosaur specimens described in this paper. Maps adapted from BGS 1:50,000 [Shapefile geospatial data], Scale 1:50,000, Tile: SC071W, SC080E, SC090, Version 2014, British Geological Survey, UK. Using: EDINA Geology Digimap Service, <http://edina.ac.uk/digimap>, Downloaded: May 2014.

**Fig. 2.** Geological column and interpreted regional sea level curve of Inner Hebrides Mesozoic stratigraphy. Ammonite biostratigraphy from Hesselbo et al. (1998) and Hesselbo & Coe (2000). Regional sea level curve adapted from Hesselbo & Coe (2000) and Hesselbo (2008).

**Fig. 3.** Photograph (a) and line drawing (b) of a block preserving the imprint of a cf. *Ichthyosaurus communis* lower jaw and several teeth (SM 1976.1999.8) from the Early Jurassic (early Sinemurian) Ardnish Formation of Skye, Scotland. Scale bar equals 10 cm.

**Fig. 4.** Cf. *Ichthyosaurus communis* teeth from the Early Jurassic (early Sinemurian) Ardnish Formation of Skye, Scotland. (a-b) Labial and lingual photographs of an isolated tooth preserved in three dimensions (NMS G. 2014.21.1). (c) Close-up of the best preserved teeth on the ichthyosaur block illustrated in Fig. 3 (SM 1976.1999.8).
Fig. 5. Ichthyosaur vertebrae from the Early-Middle Jurassic of Skye, Scotland. (a-r) Series of three associated vertebrae (*Dearcmhara shawcrossi*, gen. et sp. nov.) from the Early-Middle Jurassic Bearreraig Sandstone Formation (GLAHM 152378/2-4) in anterior, posterior, dorsal, ventral, and lateral views. (a-f, m-r) anterior caudal centra; (g-l) anterior dorsal centra. (s-t) Isolated ichthyosaur vertebra from the Middle Jurassic Valtos Sandstone Formation (NMS G. 2014.21.1) in anterior (s) and dorsal (t) views.

Fig. 6. Fragment of the anterior portion of a right ichthyosaur pterygoid from the Middle Jurassic (Bathonian) Duntulm Formation of Skye, Scotland (GLAHM 152379) in (a) ventral, (b) dorsal, (c) medial, (d) lateral, and (e) anterior views. Abbreviations: dl, dorsal lamella; pf, parapsphenoid facet; vf, vomer facet. 2 cm scale bar for a-d; 1 cm scale bar for e.

Fig. 7. A neoichthyosaurian humerus (*Dearcmhara shawcrossi* gen. et sp. nov.) from the Early-Middle Jurassic (Toarcian-Bajocian) Bearreraig Sandstone Formation of Skye, Scotland (GLAHM 152378/1) in (a) dorsal, (b) ventral, (c) anterior, (d) posterior, (e) proximal, and (f) distal views. Abbreviations: adl, anterodistal leading edge facet; bm, bite marks; dt, dorsal trochanter; rf, radial facet; sp, supraulnar process; uf, ulnar facet; vt, ventral trochanter.