FLT1 signaling in metastasis-associated macrophages activates an inflammatory signature that promotes breast cancer metastasis
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Breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer and the second leading cause of cancer death in women. Survival of breast cancer patients has steadily improved because of early screening and adjuvant therapy. Distressingly, however, for women with metastatic disease there has been little change in overall survival over the last 30 yr (Jemal et al., 2010), and it consequently accounts for over 90% of deaths from breast cancer (Joyce and Pollard, 2009; Sleeman and Steeg, 2010). This indicates that metastatic breast cancer is largely refractory to current therapeutic strategies. Tumor cell seeding and metastatic growth are the two major rate-limiting steps for distal metastasis (Fidler, 2003). Although mutations in tumor cells account for their acquisition of malignancy, several mechanisms involved in tumor cell extravasation and metastatic seeding are mediated through nontumor-derived microenvironmental factors (Qian et al., 2011; Gil-Bernabé et al., 2012; Labelle and Hynes, 2012; Peinado et al., 2012; Quail and Joyce, 2013).

In the tumor microenvironment, there is compelling evidence that macrophages that infiltrate most cancers promote tumor progression to malignancy and enhance metastasis (Mantovani and Sica, 2010; Qian and Pollard, 2011). Although the link between inflammation and cancer initiation is well established, its role in metastatic diseases, the primary cause of cancer deaths, has been poorly explored. Our previous studies identified a population of metastasis-associated macrophages (MAMs) recruited to the lung that promote tumor cell seeding and growth. Here we show that FMS-like tyrosine kinase 1 (Flt1, also known as VEGFR1) labels a subset of macrophages in human breast cancers that are significantly enriched in metastatic sites. In mouse models of breast cancer pulmonary metastasis, MAMs uniquely express Flt1. Using several genetic models, we show that macrophage Flt1 signaling is critical for metastasis. Flt1 inhibition does not affect MAM recruitment to metastatic lesions but regulates a set of inflammatory response genes, including colony-stimulating factor 1 (CSF1), a central regulator of macrophage biology. Using a gain-of-function approach, we show that CSF1-mediated autocrine signaling in MAMs is downstream of Flt1 and can restore the tumor-promoting activity of Flt1-inhibited MAMs. Thus, CSF1 is epistatic to Flt1, establishing a link between Flt1 and inflammatory responses within breast tumor metastases. Importantly, Flt1 inhibition reduces tumor metastatic efficiency even after initial seeding, suggesting that these pathways represent therapeutic targets in metastatic disease.

© 2015 Qian et al. This article is distributed under the terms of an Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike–No Mirror Sites license for the first six months after the publication date (see http://www.rupress.org/terms). After six months it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike 3.0 Unported license, as described at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).
2010; Ruffell et al., 2012). At the metastatic site, metastasis-associated macrophages (MAMs) have been shown to stimulate tumor cell extravasation, survival, and subsequent growth in preclinical breast cancer lung metastasis models (Qian et al., 2009, 2011; Chen et al., 2011). Specifically, MAM-derived vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) can promote tumor cell extravasation at the metastatic site in part by increased vascular permeability (Qian et al., 2011). As patients usually present with established metastasis, these data argue that targeting the macrophage functions in the metastatic sites could have therapeutic potential (De Palma and Lewis, 2013). However, the mechanisms by which MAMs promote metastatic growth after seeding are still largely unknown.

Detailed immune-phenotyping of cell surface markers indicated that MAMs express a high level of surface FMS-like tyrosine kinase 1 (FLT1) compared with lung-resident macrophages and splenic macrophages (Qian et al., 2009). FLT1 is a family member of VEGF receptor (VEGFR) transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinases that binds to VEGF and plays a central growth factor (PIGF; Olsson et al., 2006). VEGF plays an important role in tumor progression through its regulation of angiogenesis. However, these effects are largely mediated by VEGFR2 expressed by endothelial cells, and as a consequence of this activity, VEGF and VEGFR2 have been targeted for cancer therapy. In contrast, FLT1 is considered to have minimal signaling activity and largely to be a decoy receptor that titrates VEGF activity (Shibuya, 2006), although in macrophages there is evidence that FLT1 acts as a chemotactic receptor (Murakami et al., 2008; Beck et al., 2010). However, the role of macrophage FLT1 in breast cancer metastasis has not been determined.

In the current study, we show in human breast cancer that FLT1 labels a subset of tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) and FLT1+ stroma are significantly enriched in breast cancer metastasis samples compared with primary tumors. Combining genetic models of macrophage-specific Flt1 deficiency and FLT1 inhibitory antibody, we show that FLT1 signaling in MAMs is important for tumor cell survival after metastatic seeding, which suggests that targeting these pathways will have therapeutic efficacy in metastatic disease. Rather than mediating macrophage recruitment, we show a novel activity of FLT1 signaling in regulating a set of inflammatory response genes in the MAMs in vivo. These genes include colony-stimulating factor 1 (Csf1), a major regulator of the mononuclear phagocytic lineage (Chitu and Stanley, 2006) that is up-regulated in breast cancer patients with poor prognosis and metastatic disease (Scholl et al., 1994, 1996; McDermott et al., 2002). Importantly, we show local Csf1 overexpression reverts the inhibitory effect of FLT1 inhibition on tumor cell distal seeding efficiency. Mechanistically, FAK1 acts downstream of FLT1 signaling and regulates Csf1 expression. Thus, our data illustrated a novel mechanism of Csf1-mediated autocrine signaling downstream of FLT1 in macrophages that directly links the inflammatory response with VEGF action and that is critical for the metastasis-promoting function of MAMs.

RESULTS

Host FLT1 signaling is critical for breast cancer metastasis

Flt1tk is a targeted mutation that deletes the tyrosine kinase domain of FLT1 while retaining both the cell surface and secreted form of the receptor. Thus, this mutation ablates FLT1 intracellular signaling capacity but retains its VEGF neutralization capacity, a function that is critical during embryo development (Hiratsuka et al., 1998). To define the role of FLT1 signaling in metastasis, we crossed Flt1tk mice with the widely used polyoma middle T (MMTV-PyMT) mouse model for human luminal breast cancers. These mice, whose tumors are caused by the mammary epithelial restricted expression of the PyMT oncogene, recapitulate disease progression in patients with luminal breast cancers and metastasize to lung with high penetrance (Hutchinson and Muller, 2000; Lin et al., 2003). Ablation of FLT1 signaling in the Flt1tk homozygous mice does not have a significant effect on PyMT primary tumor burden at 19 wk of age compared with heterozygous littermates (Fig. 1 a). However, metastatic burden (Mets index, defined by percentage of tumor volume in total lung volume using stereological quantification [Qian et al., 2009]) is significantly reduced in the Flt1tk–/–, null mutants compared with their heterozygous littermates (Fig. 1 b and c). Both macrophages and endothelial cells express FLT1 in vivo. Previous studies indicated that macrophage—tumor cell paracrine signaling promotes tumor cell migration, which leads to increased tumor cell intravasation and distal metastasis (Condeelis and Pollard, 2006). To test whether FLT1 signaling is involved in promoting tumor cell escape from the primary tumor, we measured the number of circulating tumor cells and found no difference between Flt1tk/+ mice and Flt1tk+/+ littermate control (Fig. 1 d). To further test whether macrophage FLT1 signaling is involved in promoting tumor cell migration, we used an in vitro split Boyden chamber assay that measures this effect and did not detect a difference between Flt1tk/+ and Flt1tk+/+ littermate control bone marrow—derived primary macrophages (BMMs; Fig. 1 e). Together, these data indicate that FLT1 signaling is critical for spontaneous metastasis but does not affect tumor migration and intravasation in the primary tumor in this model of breast cancer.

Previous studies suggested that FLT1 is a decoy receptor without intrinsic tyrosine kinase activity in endothelial cells attenuating VEGF activity, but it is an active tyrosine kinase receptor in macrophages (Shibuya, 2006). To exclude the involvement of endothelial FLT1 in metastasis, we generated bone marrow mosaic mice using Flt1tk/+ mice or Flt1tk+/+ littermates as bone marrow donor in lethally irradiated C57BL/6 mice to restrict the targeted mutation to bone marrow—derived hematopoietic cells (Fig. 1 f). To further confirm our findings, we used another murine breast cancer model in C57BL/6 background, E0771-LG, to perform spontaneous metastasis assay with orthotopic injection, followed by tumor resection when they reach ~1 cm in diameter 4 wk later in these bone marrow mosaic mice (Fig. 1 f). This hematopoietic-specific genetic loss of function of FLT1 signaling significantly inhibited total pulmonary metastasis burden of E0771-LG.
cells when harvested at 8 wk (Fig. 1, g and h) and significantly prolonged the survival (Fig. 1 i) of these mice compared with their WT controls. These data further confirmed that breast cancer distal metastasis was dependent on host hematopoietic FLT1 signaling.

In spontaneous models, metastasis is the result of distinct metastatic events at the primary tumor and those at the metastatic site. To examine specifically the effect of FLT1 signaling on events at the distal metastatic site (seeding and persistent growth) we performed experimental metastasis (lung colonization) assays using the PyMT tumor–derived metastatic Met-1 cell line (Borowsky et al., 2005) in Flt1tk/tk mice crossed with Rag2−/− immune-deficient mice. Deficiency in FLT1 signaling in homozygous Flt1tk/tk mice significantly reduced the metastatic...
potential of Met-1 cells by ~90% compared with heterozygous littermates (Fig. 1 j). This is contributed by both the number and size of metastatic nodules that were significantly reduced in the homozygous mutant mice indicated by the metastasis number index and average diameter, respectively (Fig. 1, k and l). Together, our data indicate that FLT1 had a limited role in the primary tumor invasion and intravasation but that both the metastasis rate-limiting steps of seeding and persistent growth at the distal organ of PyMT-induced tumors were dependent on host FLT1 signaling.

**Macrophage FLT1 signaling is critical for human breast cancer metastasis**

Because the studies in the previous section indicate a role for host FLT1 in metastasis in two independent murine models of breast cancer, we wished to determine whether a similar mechanism is at play with human metastatic breast cancer cells. To this end, we used 4173 cells, the lung trophic derivative of the MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cell line derived from a triple-negative patient (Minn et al., 2005; Neve et al., 2006), and tested their dependence for metastasis on host FLT1 tyrosine kinase activity in immune-deficient Rag2−/−; Flt1tk/+ and Rag2−/−; Flt1tk/tk mice (Fig. 2 a). The lung metastatic tumor burden of 4173 cells is significantly reduced in Flt1tk/tk mice compared with littermate donor of Rag2−/−; Flt1tk/+ or Rag2−/−; Flt1tk/tk mice with stereological quantification. Metastasis quantification was the same as in Fig. 1. Data show mean ± SEM. n ≥ 5; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01 by Student’s t test.

**Figure 2.** FLT1 tyrosine kinase domain is critical for human breast tumor cell metastasis. (a–d) Experimental metastasis of 4173 cells in Rag2−/− littermate heterozygous or homozygous for Flt1tk targeted mutation with stereological quantification. (e–h) Distal metastasis efficiency of 4173 cells in bone marrow mosaic nude mice with littermate donor of Rag2−/−; Flt1tk/+ or Rag2−/−; Flt1tk/tk mice with stereological quantification. Metastasis quantification was the same as in Fig. 1. Data show mean ± SEM. n ≥ 5; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01 by Student’s t test.
CD163-labeled TAMs by immunofluorescent staining (Fig. 3 g). Using a tissue array that contains 50 cases of primary tumor and 55 metastases, FLT1 was shown to predominantly label macrophage-like stromal cells (CD68+) and endothelial cells (Fig. 3 h) and was rarely expressed by tumor cells (3 out of 105 cases). Interestingly, FLT1+ macrophages were significantly enriched in metastases compared with the matched primary tumors, data which suggest these cells may play a role in disease progression (Fig. 3 i). Together, our data indicate the FLT1 signaling in MAMs may play an important role for human breast cancer metastasis.

FLT1 inhibition limits persistent growth of established tumor

In light of these results showing an effect of macrophage FLT1 on metastasis growth, we postulated that targeting FLT1 might have therapeutic benefit by inhibiting metastasis. To test this hypothesis, we used a specific monoclonal FLT1-neutralizing antibody (MF1; Wu et al., 2006) to inhibit FLT1 signaling in experimental metastasis assay of Met-1 in syngeneic immune-competent FVB mice in vivo (Fig. 4 a). Consistent with the
Monocytes. Quantification of absolute tumor cell number in lung indicated that the seeding step is estimated to finish at 36 h, defined by the nadir of cell number, and is followed by exponential increase that defines persistent growth (Qian et al., 2009). Using an ex vivo intact lung imaging technique, we found that FLT1 inhibition did not affect tumor cell extravasation and MAM interaction (Fig. 4, e–g). This lack of requirement for extravasation is further confirmed using an in vitro extravasation assay (not depicted) that we established earlier (Qian et al., 2011). These data indicate that FLT1 signaling does not affect tumor cell extravasation during metastatic seeding. In contrast, FLT1 inhibition by MF1 antibody in established Met-1 lung metastasis 6 d after inoculation significantly...
signaling in MAMs is critical for the survival of seeded metastatic tumors in our models.

To further test whether inhibition of FLT1 signaling may be effective in treating established metastatic disease, we performed a spontaneous metastasis assay by orthotopic injection of a PyMT-induced mouse mammary tumor cell line, F246-6, in syngeneic FVB mice, followed by tumor resection when they reached \( \geq 1 \) cm in diameter 4 wk later (Fig. 5 a). FLT1 inhibition after tumor resection significantly inhibited the metastasis burden and prolonged survival (Fig. 5, b and c).

Figure 5. FLT1 inhibition blocks breast cancer metastatic growth. (a) Spontaneous metastasis assay of F246-6 cells with antibody treatment after tumor resection. (b) Stereological quantification of metastasis index (the same as in Fig. 1). Data show mean + SEM. \( n \geq 13; **, P < 0.01 \) by Student's \( t \) test. (c) Survival curve of mice treated with control or MF1 antibodies. Death is defined when the mice became moribund. \( n \geq 10; P = 0.0136 \) by log-rank test. (d) Representative immunohistograms of the indicated immune cell type showing fluorescent intensity of FLT1 (red) and isotype control (blue) staining. \( n = 3 \). (e) Mean fluorescent intensity of FLT1 expression in MAMs and lung-resident macrophages. \( n = 3; ***, P < 0.001 \) using two-way ANOVA followed by Šidák’s multiple comparisons test. (f) Percentage of MAMs (F4/80+CD11b+ and Gr1−) in total hematopoietic cells in perfused lung 24 h after Ctrl Ab or MF1 administration. \( n \geq 3 \); not significant by Student’s \( t \) test. (g) Percentage of major immune cell populations in total hematopoietic cells in perfused lungs 24 h after Ctrl Ab or MF1 administration. \( n = 3 \); not significant by Student’s \( t \) test. (h) Representative Western blot after IP of FLT1 and probing using the p-Tyr antibody in primary macrophages showing phospho-FLT1 (top) and total FLT1 (bottom) treated with the indicated growth factor or tumor cell condition medium. \( n = 3 \). inhibited total metastasis burden and average diameter (Fig. 4, b and d, red bars), whereas, as expected, the number of metastatic nodules remained unchanged (Fig. 4 c, red bar). This effect was mainly through an increase in apoptosis of metastatic tumor cells (Fig. 4 h), without an effect on cell proliferation (Fig. 4 i). Treatment of Met-1 cells with MF1 in tissue culture had no effect on their growth, which is in line with their lack of expression of FLT1 (confirmed by both RT-PCR and FACS; not depicted), thereby ruling out direct effects on tumor cells. Together these data indicated that FLT1 signaling in MAMs is critical for the survival of seeded metastatic tumors in our models.

To further test whether inhibition of FLT1 signaling may be effective in treating established metastatic disease, we performed a spontaneous metastasis assay by orthotopic injection of a PyMT-induced mouse mammary tumor cell line, F246-6, in syngeneic FVB mice, followed by tumor resection when they reached \( \sim 1 \) cm in diameter 4 wk later (Fig. 5 a). FLT1 inhibition after tumor resection significantly inhibited the metastasis burden and prolonged survival (Fig. 5, b and c).
Together, these data suggest that targeting FLT1 signaling may be effective in treating metastatic breast cancer.

**FLT1 modulates inflammatory gene expression in MAMs**

To examine cell surface FLT1 expression on bone marrow–derived cells, we used flow cytometric analysis of the major leukocyte populations in immune-competent FVB mice bearing experimentally induced Met-1 cell lung metastasis. MAMs, but not lung-resident macrophages, expressed a significant level of FLT1 (Fig. 5, d and e). Furthermore, Ly6c− inflammatory monocytes, which are the precursors of MAMs (Qian et al., 2011), do not express FLT1, indicating that this receptor is up-regulated upon differentiation after these precursors have been recruited to the metastatic site (Fig. 5 d). None of the other immune cell types, including Ly6c− monocytes, granulocytes, nor T or B cells, express FLT1 (Fig. 5 d). Therefore, our data suggest that specific FLT1 signaling in MAMs is critical for metastatic seeding and persistent growth of breast cancer cells in vivo.

FLT1 has been shown to mediate macrophage recruitment; however, FLT1 signaling in macrophages has been poorly explored. Intriguingly, direct measurement by FACS for CD11b+, F4/80+, and Gr1+ MAMs show their recruitment to the lung after Met-1 experimental metastasis was not affected by FLT1 inhibition in vivo (Fig. 5 f). Thus, in the metastatic context, FLT1 does not act as a chemotactic receptor for MAMs, nor does its inhibition affect the recruitment of other major immune cell populations (Fig. 5 g). To determine whether the ligands of this receptor, VEGF and PlGF, activate tyrosine kinase activity in macrophages, we stimulated mouse BMMs that express FLT1 with these ligands and measured tyrosine phosphorylation by anti-FLT1 immunoprecipitation (IP) and Western blotting for phospho-tyrosine. Both VEGF and PlGF stimulated FLT1 phosphorylation coupled with down-regulation of receptor expression (Fig. 5 h). This is consistent with the behavior of these FMS-like tyrosine kinase receptors that upon ligand binding and activation of the kinase undergo receptor-mediated internalization and destruction (Roth and Stanley, 1992). The efficacy of the MF1 neutralizing antibody was confirmed by the complete inhibition of the kinase activity in its presence in BMMs (Fig. 5 h). We also measured the activity of Met-1–conditioned medium in this IP assay and found that it stimulated FLT1 receptor tyrosine phosphorylation that was also inhibited by MF1. This is consistent with the expression of both VEGF and PlGF by Met-1 cells (not depicted) and suggests that metastatic tumor cells may use these ligands to signal to MAMs in vivo.

These data indicated that FLT1 is not responsible for the recruitment of monocytes or MAMs to the metastatic site and led to the hypothesis that FLT1 modulates the expression of target genes in MAMs to promote metastasis. To identify FLT1-regulated gene expression in MAMs in vivo, we treated mice bearing similar lung metastasis tumor burden of Met-1 cells with control or MF1 antibody. MAMs were FACS sorted based on their distinct cell surface markers and subjected to whole transcriptome microarray analysis (Fig. 6 a). Bioinformatic analysis identified a set of 1,030 unique genes that were differentially regulated by short-term (2 d) FLT1 inhibition, with p-value <0.05 by Student’s t test. Among these genes, 688 genes were down-regulated and 342 genes were up-regulated by FLT1 inhibition. Hierarchical clustering with this gene set confirmed the distinct gene expression profile of MAMs with and without FLT1 inhibition (Fig. 6 b). Further bioinformatics analysis with Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) using information contained in the Ingenuity Knowledge Base identified multiple gene function groups that were significantly enriched in the FLT1-regulated gene set by a right-tailed Fisher’s exact test and p-value <0.05 (Fig. 6 c). Interestingly, inflammatory response genes were the most significantly enriched function groups, with all 35 genes in this group differentially regulated by FLT1 inhibition. The majority of these genes encode secreted factors and cell surface molecules, indicating the potential role of FLT1 in regulation of the tumor-promoting function of MAMs by modulating the metastasis microenvironment (Fig. 6 d).

**CSF1 acts downstream of FLT1 signaling to promote metastatic growth**

Analysis of the IPA data showed that CSF1 has the most interactions with other molecules in the inflammatory response function group (Fig. 6 d). Down-regulation of CSF1 expression by FLT1 inhibition led us to hypothesize that MAMs expressing CSF1 may be required for their function of promoting metastatic growth. To test this hypothesis, we generated bone marrow mosaic mice using lethal irradiation followed by bone marrow transplantation using syngeneic FVB WT donor mice or those homozygous for the Csf1-null mutant gene Csf1F/F (Wiktor-Jedrzejczak et al., 1990) and performed experimental metastasis assay in the mosaic mice using Met-1 cells (Fig. 7 a). In this assay, CSF1 deficiency in bone marrow–derived cells significantly inhibited tumor cell metastatic seeding and persistent growth in vivo (Fig. 7, b–e). This indicates that CSF1 expression by bone marrow–derived cells including macrophages is critical for tumor cell distal metastasis efficiency.

In light of these results, we postulated that if local CSF1 expression by MAMs is critical for tumor cell metastatic efficiency and functions downstream of FLT1 signaling, then a lung-specific induction of CSF1 expression should negate the effect of FLT1 inhibition by the neutralizing antibody, MF1. To test this, a transgenic mouse model allowing lung-specific CSF1-1 expression was generated by crossing doxycycline-inducible CSF1 expression mice (tetO-Csf1; Van Nguyen and Pollard, 2002) with mice expressing reverse tetracycline-responsive trans-activator (rtTA) under the control of lung-specific Ccp gene promoter (Fig. 7 f; Tichelaar et al., 2000). Experimental metastasis assays were performed in these FVB mice using Met-1 cells treated with MF1 antibody after tumor cell metastatic seeding (4 d after inoculation). Lung-specific CSF1 expression in Ccp-rtTA; tetO-Csf1 double transgenic mice induced by treatment with doxycycline significantly restored metastatic growth of Met-1 cells in the presence of
FLT1 inhibition compared with tetO-Csf1 single transgenic littermates treated in the same way (Fig. 7, g–i). Because induction of CSF1 expression started after tumor cell seeding, the slight increase of metastasis nodules (although not significant) may reflect the outgrowth of micrometastasis nodules that were not detectable in the control group (Fig. 7 h). In control mice with no FLT1 inhibition, lung-specific CSF1 overexpression does not change tumor cell metastatic potential.
FLT1 signaling regulates CSF1 expression through FAK1

To further investigate the signaling pathways downstream of FLT1 activation, we used a reverse phase protein array to determine a panel of 45 proteins and phospho-proteins that covers the major signaling pathways and compared cell lysates of BMMs treated with Met-1 cell-conditioned medium plus control and MF1 antibodies. FLT1 inhibition most notably modulated the phosphorylation of p38 and FAK1 3 h after treatment (Fig. 8a, arrows). These results were confirmed by Western blots using anti-p38 and FAK1 antibodies on cell lysates of BMMs from Flt1+/- mice compared with heterozygous littermate control treated with Met-1 cell-conditioned medium (Fig. 8b). Furthermore, treatment of BMMs with a FAK1-specific inhibitor (FAK inhibitor 14) significantly inhibited tumor cell-conditioned medium–induced CSF1 expression (Fig. 8c). Together, these data indicate that FAK1 is the potential mechanistic link mediating FLT1 activation to downstream target gene expression.
signaling downstream of CCL2 (Kitamura et al., 2015). In the current study, we illustrated a new mechanism of metastasis-promoting function of MAMs mediated by FLT1 receptor tyrosine kinase signaling, which may offer a new therapy target in treating metastatic disease of breast cancer.

Immunoprofiling of cell surface markers indicated that MAMs express high levels of FLT1. During angiogenesis, FLT1 generally acts in a kinase-independent manner as a decoy receptor titrating the proangiogenic factor VEGF with a 10-fold higher affinity compared with VEGFR2 (Shibuya, 2006). In this role, FLT1 can be expressed by both endothelial cells (Hiratsuka et al., 1998) and macrophages (Stefater et al., 2011). There is also evidence that its tyrosine kinase signaling activity can recruit myeloid cells in developmental and tumor graft settings (Murakami et al., 2008). In this study, using a genetically modified mouse model, we showed that FLT1 signaling is critical for spontaneous lung metastasis in immune-competent mice. Furthermore, we demonstrated that macrophage FLT1 is essential for tumor cell seeding and persistent spread.

**DISCUSSION**

Compelling recent evidence indicated that TAMs are critical for metastatic progression in tumors (Mantovani and Sica, 2010; Qian and Pollard, 2010). Studies, particularly in but not limited to, breast cancer (Lin et al., 2001; Coussens and Pollard, 2011) show these macrophages actions are at all stages in the metastatic cascade, including promotion of tumor cell migration and escape into the circulation (Wyckoff et al., 2007) and their subsequent extravasation, survival, and persistent growth at target organs (Qian et al., 2011). Our studies showed that macrophages associated with distal metastasis were derived from a subset of circulating CCR2-expressing Ly6c+ monocytes upon CCL2 chemokine signaling and enhance tumor cell extravasation (Qian et al., 2011). Blockade of CCL2 signaling inhibited MAM recruitment to metastatic sites, reduced metastasis, and prolonged survival of mice (Qian et al., 2011). These MAMs also promote tumor cell survival through direct contact mediated by VCAM1 (Chen et al., 2011), and their retention in metastasis is mediated by a CCL3 autocrine signaling loop (Figure 8).
growth during distal metastasis. These experiments included two independent models of lineage FLT1 ablation (Flt1flox/flox; Csf1r-iriCre) and FLT1 kinase domain knockout (Flt1tk) in combination with bone marrow transplantation to confirm the requirement for FLT1 signaling for these protumoral functions through its tyrosine kinase domain in macrophages. Our previous study (Qian et al., 2011) established an essential role for monocyte-derived VEGF through its ability to enhance vascular permeability. This VEGF production by monocytes and the expression of FLT1 on MAMs suggest, in addition to its effects on endothelial cells, an autocrine role that together with tumor cell–expressed VEGF may synergize in the metastatic site to cause differentiation of the incoming monocytes to metastasis-promoting MAMs and their subsequent ability to promote metastatic growth.

Our data show that Flt1tk mutation does not affect primary tumor growth in the PyMT model of breast cancer. However, inhibition of the FLT1 ligand PlGF has been reported to inhibit tumor growth in the transplanted B6 melanoma and Panc02 models (Fischer et al., 2007). In contrast to this data, other studies using several different anti-PlGF antibodies or the Flt1tk mutant failed to show inhibition of tumor growth in the same or in other transplantation models (Bais et al., 2010) or in GEM models of pancreatic cancer (Casanovas et al., 2005). These data suggest that the originally published observations with a single antibody might be the result off-target effects (Bais et al., 2010).

However, FLT1-expressing myeloid cells have been shown to play a role in metastasis. These FLT1-positive myeloid cells educated by the primary tumor have been shown to precondition sites, known as premetastatic niches, distant to the tumor that enhance metastatic seeding in experimental metastasis models (Hiratsuka et al., 2002; Kaplan et al., 2005). These cells promote metastasis through MMP9 expression as well as enhancing fibronectin deposition that have the combined effect of promoting tumor cell adhesion and therefore metastatic seeding (Hiratsuka et al., 2002; Kaplan et al., 2005; Dawson et al., 2009a). Inhibition of FLT1 with MF1 antibody or in the Flt1tk genetic background inhibited these actions and blocked metastasis (Kaplan et al., 2005). Furthermore, inhibition of clotting inhibited recruitment of the CD11b+ and F4/80+ cells and ablated the metastatic niche. Nevertheless, even in the presence of clotting, CD11b+ macrophage ablation inhibited metastasis, indicating the central importance of the myeloid cell recruitment to metastatic enhancement (Gil-Bernabé et al., 2012). In direct contrast to these studies in a tumor resection model, Dawson et al. (2009b) were unable to inhibit metastasis in the LLC or B16 melanoma model with either MF1 antibody or in the Flt1tk mutant mice. However, these studies relied on tumor resection by hind-limb amputation that might have perturbed the systemic environment or showed the continuing need for a primary tumor to maintain the effect. However, in experimental pulmonary metastasis assays using B16F10 cells that by definition lack an initiating tumor, a reduction of metastasis was observed by inhibition of FLT1 using either anti-PlGF antibodies or Flt1tk mice (Bais et al., 2010). These data are consistent with those presented here for breast cancer models where we show in both spontaneous PyMT model and three different mouse and human experimental syngeneic or transplanted models that inhibition of FLT1 signaling either genetically or by antibody inhibition markedly reduced pulmonary metastasis. Furthermore, in two independent syngeneic models where we resected the primary tumor in the Flt1tk bone marrow chimeraic background or followed by MF1 treatment, we also observed inhibition of spontaneous metastasis. Importantly, our data in these models showed improved survival of the mice after FLT1 inhibition. Furthermore, we identified expression of FLT1 in macrophages in human breast cancer metastasis that was significantly enriched at these sites compared with the primary tumor.

Interestingly, in our models of breast cancer metastasis, FLT1 is specifically expressed by MAMs but not by mononuclear precursors or lung-resident macrophages, and FLT1 inhibition did not affect their recruitment. This is different from previous studies showing that FLT1 signaling mediated macrophage recruitment in response to pathogens and to gliomas in a xenograft model (Murdoch et al., 2004; Murakami et al., 2008). In addition, CD45c-Kit+ or Sca-1+ hematopoietic progenitor cells were reported in models of melanoma and lung carcinoma (Lyden et al., 2001) but were very rare (<0.05% of total CD45c+ cells) in metastasis-bearing lungs in the current study and did not change with FLT1 inhibition (unpublished data). Instead, in parallel to the inhibition of tumor cell metastatic potential by FLT1 inhibitory antibody (MF1), FLT1 phosphorylation induced in macrophages by its ligands and by tumor cell–conditioned medium was significantly blocked. This indicated that FLT1 inhibition by MF1 may alter the metastasis-promoting function of MAMs by deregulating downstream target genes. Indeed, using transcriptome analysis, we identified an FLT1-regulated gene expression signature dominated by inflammatory response genes in MAMs in vivo.

A set of inflammatory response–related genes are the most significantly enriched gene function group in FLT1-regulated genes. Among which, CSF1 is significantly down-regulated by FLT1 inhibition, suggesting a role for macrophage–synthesized CSF1 in metastasis promotion. CSF1 is a key cytokine for macrophage function in vivo being required for macrophage survival, proliferation, and differentiation, as well as being chemotactic to these cells (Chitu and Stanley, 2006). Our data showed that CSF1 expression in response to FLT1 signaling is critical for breast tumor metastatic seeding and growth. Because CSF1 receptor is restricted to monocytes/macrophages in adult mice (Byrne et al., 1981), these data indicate a novel CSF1-mediated autocrine signaling in MAMs downstream of FLT1. Importantly, using a lung-specific gain-of-function tetracycline-regulated system, we showed that lung-restricted CSF1 expression reverts the inhibitory effect of FLT1 inhibition by MF1 on metastatic growth. This provides genetic evidence for CSF1 being epistatic to FLT1 in MAMs. CSF1 in turn has been shown to stimulate VEGF production in macrophages (Curry et al., 2008), suggesting a positive feedback
loop. These findings provide a mechanistic basis for the clinical observations that CSF1 expression is associated with poor prognosis and metastatic disease in breast cancer patients (Scholl et al., 1994, 1996; McDermott et al., 2002). Similar correlations were found for endometrial, ovarian, and prostatic cancers, suggesting that similar FLT1-mediated mechanisms may be at play in the metastasis of these cancers (Smith et al., 1995; Toy et al., 2001; Richardson et al., 2008).

There is now substantial evidence that inflammation plays a critical role in promoting tumorigenesis and in the subsequent progression of tumors to malignancy (Mantovani et al., 2008; Grivennikov et al., 2010; Coussens et al., 2013). In fact, every solid cancer appears to invoke an inflammatory response, and in contrast to original concepts, this reaction is largely tumor promoting. However, little is known about the mechanisms by which inflammatory responses influence metastasis. In this study, FLT1 blockade inhibits spontaneous metastasis after primary tumor removal and metastatic seeding and prolonged survival. Importantly, we define a novel coupling of FLT1 signaling in macrophages to the regulation of an inflammatory response at the site of metastatic seeding and growth. This response includes the important macrophage survival and differentiation factor CSF1 that, through an autocrine action in macrophages, promotes metastasis. These data provided a strong rationale for jointly targeting VEGF and CSFIR in patients to break this positively reinforcing autocrine loop and curb metastatic disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals studies. All procedures involving mice were conducted in accordance with National Institutes of Health regulations concerning the use and care of experimental animals. The study of mice was approved by the Albert Einstein College of Medicine Animal Use Committee. Transgenic mice expressing the PyMT oncogene under the control of MMTV LTR were provided by W. J. Muller (McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada) and bred in house in FVB background. C57BL/6 Flt1tk/tk (Hranitska et al., 1998), C57BL/6 Flt1floxflox (Ambati et al., 2006), and FVB Csp–TDX (Tichelaar et al., 2000) mice were provided M. Slabuya (University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan), N. Ferrara (Genentech, South San Francisco, CA), and J. Wittes (University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH), respectively. MMTV-PyMT; Flt1tk were generated in house by crossing two strains and maintained by intercross.

C57BL/6 mice (kitamura et al., 2015). F246–6 cells were derived from a late-stage mouse mammary tumor from MMTV–PyMT mice in syngeneic FVB background and showed high metastatic potential after orthotopic implantation. All cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. Proliferation assays were performed in the presence of 30 µg/ml MFI and control antibody, and cell numbers were counted using Guava (EMD Millipore) at the indicated time point. BMMs were differentiated from bone marrow progenitor cells in the presence of 100 ng/ml CSF-1 for 7 days before being treated with FLT1 ligand or tumor cell condition medium (1:4 diluted in fresh medium) for 30 min. FLT1 was immunoprecipitated from total cell lysate using an anti-FLT1 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), and tyrosine phosphorylation was detected using phospho–tyrosine antibody (Cell Signaling Technology). FAK1 and p38 antibodies for Western blotting were the same as those used in the protein array (Table S1). BMMs were treated with Met-1 cell–conditioned medium and FAK inhibitor 14 (10 µM final concentration; Sigma-Aldrich) before RNA was harvested for real-time PCR analysis to determine mRNA Csf1 expression. Primers used were the following: Csf1, 5′-CTCATCTGGAGATCTGTCAAA–3′, 5′-TGTCATAAAGGGCCATTCA–3′; and Gapdh, 5′-CCATCACATCTTCCAGGAG–3′, 5′-TCTCCATGTGGTGAAAGCA-3′.

Modified transwell invasion assay. 106 BMMs were plated in 24-well plates in complete M3 medium and incubated overnight. The BMMs were rinsed twice with PBS, and the medium was then changed to serum-free M3 medium (containing 120 ng/ml CSF-1); control wells contained the same medium without macrophages. Transwell chambers coated with growth factor–reduced (GFR) Matrigel (8-µm pore; #354483; BD) were rehydrated for 2 h at 37°C. 3 × 104 tumor cells, which had been serum starved for 5 h, were plated in the upper chamber of the transwell. Tumor cells were allowed to invade for 24 h at 37°C. The transwell inserts were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, and the cells on the upper surface were removed with a cotton swab. The inserts were stained with Hoechst and rinsed five times with PBS, and the membranes were mounted on glass coverslips using Mowiol. 10 random fields at 10× magnification per membrane were imaged, and the cells were counted using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health). Three independent experiments with duplicate transwells were performed.

Transendothelial cell migration assay. Transendothelial migration assay was performed as described previously (Qian et al., 2011). In brief, 105 endothelial cells, 3B–11 (ATCC), were plated into the upper chamber of a GFR Matrigel invasion chamber (BD) in DMEM with 10% FBS. A monolayer was formed in 2 d and verified by microscopy. 106 BMMs or FACS–sorted monocytes were loaded to the basolateral side of the insert and put into the plate well with DMEM with 10% FBS and 10% U/ml CSF-1 to allow attachment. 2 × 105 CellTracker CMRA (Invitrogen)–stained Met-1 cells were loaded into the insert with DMEM in 0.5% FBS and 100 ng/ml CSF-1. FLT1 neutralizing antibody and control antibody were used at a concentration of 10 µg/ml to both sides of the insert. Plates were incubated under normal tissue culture conditions for 48 h before being fixed with 1% (wt/vol) paraformaldehyde. Quantification of transmigrated tumor cells was the same as described in the invasion assay in the previous section.

Experimental and spontaneous metastasis assay. 8-wk-old FVB females or 6-wk-old female nude mice were used for all experimental metastasis assays with 5 × 104 PyMT–derived tumor cells, Met-1, and 105 MDA231–derived
human tumor cells, respectively. If not specified, all animals were sacrificed 2 wk after i.v. injection of PyMT cells or 4 wk for human tumor cells for optimal metastatic burden. 10^5 E0771-LG or F246-6 cells were injected into the fat pad of four mammary gland of C57BL/6 or FVB mice, respectively, at 7–8 wk of age to establish primary tumor. These tumors were then surgically removed when reaching 1 cm in diameter in ~4 wk. Metastasis was allowed to develop for another 2 wk before harvesting lungs. For paraffin sections, before removal, lungs were injected with 1.2 ml of 10% (vol/vol) neutral buffered formalin by tracheal cannulation to fix the inner airspaces and inflate the lung lobes. Lungs were excised and fixed in formalin overnight. A precise stereological method (Niehen et al., 2001) with slight modification was used for lung metastasis quantification. In brief, paraffin-embedded lungs were systematically sectioned through the entire lung with one 3-µm section taken in every 0.5-mm lung thickness. All the sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), and images were taken using an AxioScan.Z1 slide scanner (Carl Zeiss) and analyzed using ImageJ software (Definiens; Figs. 2 and 5 b) or SV1 microscope (Carl Zeiss) with a Retiga 1300 digital camera (all other figures). As described earlier (Qian et al., 2009), three parameters (Mets index, Mets number index, and average diameter) were calculated to estimate total metastasis burden, seeding efficiency, and metastatic growth, respectively. Mets index is defined by the percentage of metastatic tumor volume in total lung volume. Mets number index is defined by number of tumor nodules per square millimeter of lung area, and average diameter of all tumor nodules is the average diameter in millimeters. Please note that the difference in volume is proportional to the cube of the difference in diameter.

FACS analysis. For FACS analysis, lungs were perfused briefly with cold PBS before harvest, minced on ice, and then digested with an enzyme mix of Liberase and Dispase (Invitrogen). Cells were blocked using anti-mouse CD16/CD32 antibody (eBioscience) for mouse cells or 10% goat serum for human cells before antibody staining. Antibodies used are the following: anti-mouse CD45 (30-F11), CD11b (M1/70), Gr1 (RB6-8C5), FLT1 (141S22; R&D Systems); CD4 (GK1.5), CD8a (S3.6.7), CD19 (1D3), CD11b (AF589) NL1.1 (PK136; eBioscience); and F4/80 (CL3.1-1; AbD Serotec). FACS analysis was performed on an LSRII cytometer (BD), and data were analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star). Single cell gating using FSC/W and SSC/W and dead cell exclusion with DAPI staining were performed routinely during analysis.

FACS sorting of specific macrophage populations and gene expression array analysis. Fluorescently labeled antibodies and tissue preparation were the same as described for the FACS analysis. Metastasis-recruited macrophages (F4/80+CD11b+Gr1−) were sorted from lungs bearing experimental metastasis of Met-1 cells using a MoFlo (Dako). In each sample, purity was >98% by post-sort analysis. Total RNA was extracted from these sorted macrophages (RNAeasy Mini kit; QiAGEN), and its concentration was determined using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). High-quality RNA was amplified one round using a MessageAmp II mRNA kit (Ambion) and genome-trascribed into double-strand cDNA. Samples then were submitted to NimbleGen for labeling and hybridization on their mouse gene expression array (MM8). Two-tailed Student’s t test was used to analyze the gene expression values with TM4 MeV microarray software suite (Sael et al., 2003). Genes had 1.5-fold change and P < 0.05 in mean expression values in MAMs compared with FLT1 inhibitor and control treated. Original data were deposited at Gene Expression Omnibus (accession no. GSE68843).

Bioinformatics. The Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID), the NCBI Entrez Gene and Gene Reference into Function, the Mouse Genome Informatics, and extensive literature review were used for annotating regulated transcripts with precise gene ontology designation. Function gene groups analyses were performed with IPA software (Ingenuity Systems).

Reverse phase protein microarray. Quantification of the abundance of total protein and phosphorylated protein epitopes were calculated using the Zeptosens reverse phase protein microarray platform. After incubation of BMM cultures with Met−1-conditioned media with control antibody or MFI incubation, cells were rinsed twice in ice-cold PBS and lysed in the CLB1 lysis buffer (Bayer Technology Services) at room temperature for 30 min. Lysates were collected and centrifuged in microcentrifuge at 14,000 rpm for 5 min. Supernatants were collected and subjected to total protein determination, and lysates were normalized to 1 mg/ml concentration.

Before spotting cell lysates onto ZeptoMARK hydrophobic chips (Zeptosens), samples were diluted fivefold with CSBLL1 spotting buffer (Zeptosens) to generate the primary spotting solution and then further diluted with 90% CSBLL1/10% CLB1 to obtain four different protein concentrations corresponding to 100, 75, 50, and 25% of the primary spotting solution. For each of these four dilutions, individual spots were arrayed onto ZeptoMARK hydrophobic chips as single sample droplets of ~400 pl, using a noncontact spotter equipped with piezo-electric microdispensers (Nano-Plotter NP2.1; GeSiM). After spotting, the chips were dried for 1 h at 37°C. Blocked in an ultrasonic nebulizer (ZeptoFOG; Zeptosens) with CellA Blocking Buffer (BB1; Bayer Technology Services). Blocked chips were rinsed extensively with water (Milli-Q quality) and dried by centrifugation at 200 g for 5 min.

Using the built-in microflow ZeptoCARRiER system (Zeptosens, Bayer Technology Services), the arrays were incubated with a panel of 45 primary antibodies (Table S1) overnight at room temperature. After rinsing the system with assay buffer, the secondary detection antibodies (anti-rabbit and isotype-specific anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 647) were applied to appropriate subarrays for 2.5 h at room temperature in the dark. The excess secondary antibody was removed by washing with assay buffer, and fluorescence readout of the arrays was performed on the ZeptoREADER (Zeptosens) at an extinction wavelength of 635 nm and an emission wavelength of 670 nm. The fluorescence signal was integrated over a period of 1–10 s, depending on the signal intensity. Array images were stored as 16-bit TIFF files and analyzed with the ZeptoVIEW Pro software package (version 3.1; Zeptosens, Bayer Technology Services). Each sample 4-point concentration series is spotted on the microarray chip between Alexa Fluor-conjugated BSA standards. Fluorescence intensity signals of each sample are calculated by optimized image analysis algorithms and normalized to intensity values of BSA standards through a local 2D quadratic function. A single relative fluorescence intensity (RFI) value was obtained by a weighted linear fit through sample dilutions representing the relative protein abundance across the sample series.

A panel of 45 protein arrays (see Table S1) were normalized by following global normalization procedure using the entire antibody panel. (a) Determine median for each antibody across the sample set. (b) Divide each raw linear value by the median within each antibody to obtain the median-centered ratio. (c) Calculate the median from the median-centered ratio for each sample across the entire panel of antibodies. This median functions as a correction factor (COMPARE1) for protein loading adjustment. (d) Divide raw RFI data by the correction factor to obtain the normalized values. Global normalized data were used to generate a heat map. In addition, RFI ratios of phosphorylated p38 MAPK and phosphorylated FAK modifications to total protein were calculated with the ZeptoVIEW 3.1 software (Zeptosens) and plotted as bar graphs.

Statistical analysis. Unless specified otherwise, statistical analysis methods used were standard two-tailed Student’s t test for two datasets and ANOVA followed by multiple comparison tests for multiple datasets using Prism (GraphPad Software); p-values <0.05 (*), <0.01 (**), and <0.001 (***)) were deemed as significant.

Online supplemental material. Table S1, included in a separate PDF file, lists antibodies used in the reverse phase protein array. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20141555/DC1.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Short Name in Fig 8</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Order Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Akt</td>
<td>Akt</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akt P Thr308</td>
<td>P-Akt</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>2965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c-Jun N-term</td>
<td>c-Jun</td>
<td>Epitomics</td>
<td>1254-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c-Jun P Ser73</td>
<td>P-c-Jun</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ErbB-3/Her3/EGFR</td>
<td>ErbB-3</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>4754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ErbB-3/Her3/EGFR P Tyr1289</td>
<td>P-ErbB-3</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>4791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAK1</td>
<td>FAK1</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>3285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAK1 P Y397</td>
<td>P-FAK1</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>3283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLT3 P Tyr691 P Tyr991</td>
<td>P-FLT3</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>3461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSK-3-Alpha P Ser21/Ser9</td>
<td>P-GSK-3-Alpha</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSK-3-beta</td>
<td>GSK-3-beta</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSK-3-beta P Ser9</td>
<td>P-GSK-3-beta</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK1/2</td>
<td>MEK1/2</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK1/2 P Ser217/221</td>
<td>P-MEK1/2</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mTOR</td>
<td>mTOR</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>2972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mTOR P Ser2448</td>
<td>P-mTOR^2</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>2971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p38 MAPK</td>
<td>P38 MAPK</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p38 MAPK P Thr180/Tyr182</td>
<td>P-p38 MAPK</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p44/42 MAPK (ERK1/2)</td>
<td>p44/42 MAPK</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p44/42 MAPK (ERK1/2) P Thr202/Thr185/Tyr204/Tyr187</td>
<td>P-p44/42 MAPK</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>4370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p70 S6 Kinase</td>
<td>p70 S6 Kinase^1</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p70 S6 Kinase P Thr389</td>
<td>P-p70 S6 Kinase^1</td>
<td>Epitomics</td>
<td>1175-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p70 S6 Kinase P Thr421,Ser424</td>
<td>P-p70 S6 Kinase^2</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p60 S6 kinase (Rsk1-3)</td>
<td>p60 S6 kinase</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>tc-231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p60 S6 kinase (Rsk1-3) P Thr389,Ser363</td>
<td>P-p60 S6 kinase</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKC (pan) P Ser600 (beta-2)</td>
<td>P-PKC</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKC substrate P (R/K)(S*)(Hyd)(R/k)</td>
<td>PKC</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>2261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKC-eta</td>
<td>PKC-eta</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKC-zebra/lambda P Thr410/403</td>
<td>P-PKC-zebra/lambda</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC-gamma1</td>
<td>PLC-gamma1</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>2622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC-gamma1 P Tyr783</td>
<td>P-PLC-gamma1</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>2621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibitin</td>
<td>Prohibitin</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>tc-28259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTEN</td>
<td>PTEN</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTEN P Ser380,Thr382,Thr383</td>
<td>P-PTEN</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raf P Ser259</td>
<td>P-Raf^2</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raf P Ser338</td>
<td>P-Raf^2</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>9427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rsk2 Pser 227</td>
<td>P-Rsk2</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>3556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6 Ribosomal Protein</td>
<td>S6 Ribosomal Protein</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>2217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6 Ribosomal protein P Ser235,Ser236</td>
<td>P-S6 Ribosomal protein</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>2211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6 Ribosomal protein P Ser240,Ser244</td>
<td>P-S6 Ribosomal protein</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>2215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHP2 P Tyr542</td>
<td>P-SHP2</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>3751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Src</td>
<td>Src</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>2109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Src (family) P Tyr416</td>
<td>P-Src</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>2101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>