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Abstract

The distributed relaxation times (DRT) method has been employed in order to deconvolute the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements carried out on a Ni-YSZ|YSZ|Pr2NiO4+δ–GDC solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC). This has enabled to shed light on the diverse physicochemical processes occurring within the aforementioned cell by individuating the characteristic relaxation times of these by means of a specifically designed experimental campaign where temperature and gas compositions in anode and cathode were varied one at a time. A comprehensive equivalent circuit model (ECM) has thus been generated based on the processes observed in the DRT spectra. This ECM has proved to be instrumental for the obtainment of parameters which describe the microstructural and electrochemical properties of the SOFC when used contemporaneously with experimental results and modelling theory (described in Part II of this work).

Keywords: Design of Experiment (DoE); Distributed Relaxation Times (DRT); Equivalent Circuit Model (ECM); SOFC.

1. Introduction

The fact that near future energy scenarios foresee a gradual penetration of solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) in the power generation market denotes that this technology is closer than ever in competing face-to-face with traditional powering technologies. However, the existing generation of cells presents a number of drawbacks regarding performance and robustness which could hinder mass commercialization if these still persist in the next generation of SOFCs. Attending to these considerations, the scientific and industrial communities should work hand-in-hand developing improved analysis tools and techniques so to fully understand the degradation phenomena affecting the cells (and ancillaries) enhancing the production of a high-performing, robust and cost-effective product.
Regardless of the fact that significant performance information can be obtained from traditional analysis techniques such as polarization curves and current interruption method, these lack in providing relevant information of the diverse physicochemical processes occurring in the cell (e.g. reaction kinetics, charge transfer, mass transport, Ohmic losses, etc...), hence making it hard to pinpoint its criticalities. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) represents a step further to what high quality information it refers as it has the potentiality to shed light on the abovementioned different-nature processes, being each one of them associated to a unique time constant (known as relaxation time) and therefore exhibiting at different characteristic frequencies. However, being such a responsive technique, external instruments and processes – so called electrical artifacts – alter the EIS measurements of the SOFC cell by adding parasitic inductances, therefore an emendation of the measured data must be undertaken so as to minimize their effects. This can done by carrying out an EIS measurement on a specimen with a prominent electrical conductivity (i.e. gold) in the same test station used to characterise the fuel cells and under the same operating conditions and subtracting its spectra to that of the electrochemical cell by means of Eq. (1) and Eq. (2). Figure 1 illustrates a Nyquist plot with the EIS results as measured and the corrected results being evident how the electrical artifacts affect the spectrum in the high frequency region.

\[
Z'_{corrected}(\omega) = Z'_{measured}(\omega) - Z'_{gold}(\omega) \tag{1}
\]

\[
Z''_{corrected}(\omega) = Z''_{measured}(\omega) - Z''_{gold}(\omega) \tag{2}
\]

Unfortunately this is not the only drawback associated to impedance measurements: due to the high complexity of fuel cells, the responses of the individual physicochemical processes to the EIS excitation signal are often convoluted, hindering in this way their individualization and monitoring. In order to overcome this issue an alternative approach for analyzing impedance spectra has been employed in the present work with a method that allows the calculation of a distribution function of relaxation times and relaxation amplitudes of impedance-related processes from experimental data (DRT method) [1,2].
2. Distributed relaxation times (DRT) method

In the frequency domain and in the discrete form, the SOFC cell’s real and imaginary impedances (sum of relaxator terms) can be expressed by means of Eq. (3) and Eq. (4) respectively, both of them linked by means of the Kramers-Kronig relations.

\[ Z'(\omega) = R_0 + R_{pol} \sum_m \frac{1}{1 + (\omega \tau_m)^2} a_m \]  
\[ Z''(\omega) = R_{pol} \sum_m \frac{-\omega \tau_m}{1 + (\omega \tau_m)^2} a_m \]  

where \( R_0 \) is the cell’s electrical resistance, \( R_{pol} \) the polarization resistance, \( \omega = 2\pi f \) the angular frequency of the input signal, \( \tau_m \) the relaxation time of process \( m \) and \( a_m \) is the contribution of process \( m \) to the total polarization loss.

It is this contribution function which is the cornerstone of the DRT method and it can be obtained either from Eq. (3) or Eq. (4); still, being examples of Fredholm integral equations of the first kind, the inversion of the equation is an ill-posed problem and cannot be solved directly. Even if there are numerous methods which can solve this kind of problems, the most renowned and reliable one is the Tikhonov regularization algorithm which uses a self-consistent regularization parameter [3,4]. In this work the imaginary part of the data has been employed, hence rewriting Eq. (4) in matrix form and introducing the constant value of the polarization resistance into the distribution vector (i.e. \( b_m = R_{pol} a_m \)) gives:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
Z''_1 \\
\vdots \\
Z''_m
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
-\omega_1 \tau_1 \\
\vdots \\
-\omega_m \tau_1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{-\omega_1 \tau_1}{1 + (\omega_1 \tau_1)^2} & \cdots & \frac{-\omega_1 \tau_m}{1 + (\omega_1 \tau_m)^2} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{-\omega_m \tau_1}{1 + (\omega_m \tau_1)^2} & \cdots & \frac{-\omega_m \tau_m}{1 + (\omega_m \tau_m)^2}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
b_1 \\
\vdots \\
b_m
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

which for simplicity can be expressed as: \( Z'' = K b \).

The Tikhonov regularization foresees the solution of the following minimization problem:

\[
\min_b \{ \|Kb - Z''\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|b\|^2 \}
\]

where \( \lambda \) is the self-consistent regularization parameter that constrains the smoothness of \( b \).

The solution to the previous minimization problem (expressed by means of Eq.(7)) is governed by \( \lambda \); too small values result in artificial, meaningless peaks while too large values tend to oversmooth the shape of \( b \), hence suppressing valuable information.

\[
b = (K^T K + \lambda^2 I)^{-1} K^T Z''
\]

where \( I \) is the identity matrix.

Various methods have been developed for the optimal selection of regularization parameters (e.g. Discrepancy principle, L-curve, Generalized cross validation method) the L-curve being the most extended one in applied mathematics due to its robustness and ability to treat
perturbations consisting of correlated noise \([5,6,7]\). The L-curve is basically a trade-off curve between two quantities that ought to be controlled contemporaneously: data fitting and contribution from data errors. On the one hand too large values of lambda tend to oversmooth the shape of the solution curve not fitting well with the given data and hiking the value of the following residual: \(\|Kb - Z'\|_2\). On the other hand too small values of the regularization parameter result in a good fit but artificial meaningless peaks will be present in the solution due to the excessive contribution from data errors, thus making \(\|b\|_2\) too large (see Fig. 1). Theory states that the optimum value of \(\lambda\) corresponds to the angle of the L-shaped curve, which attending to the figure below – extracted from the experimental data handled in this work – is in the vicinities of \(\lambda = 0.01\).

In this work the Tikhonov regularization algorithm and the optimum value of the regularization parameter have been solved using an in-house program run in MATLAB \(^\circ\). Nevertheless, there are several commercial and user-free computer programs running on different platforms which allow the solution of the Tikhonov regularization algorithm, for example FTIKREG \([8]\).

Figure 3 illustrates the distribution function (discontinuous line) obtained from the DRT analysis and the imaginary part of the impedance (continuous line) of the Ni-YSZ|YSZ|Pr\(_2\)NiO\(_{4+\delta}\) - GDC (hereafter PRN) cell. Even if the distribution function is slightly shifted towards the high frequency region when compared to the experimental data, a definite number of peaks tower over the zero line, each of them (or group of them) being the response of a specific physicochemical process. The construction of an equivalent circuit model (ECM) which faithfully models the SOFC is hence straightforward as no \textit{a priori} assumptions were taken into consideration.
Figure 3: Imaginary component of the PRN cell impedance and the DRT distribution function (λ = 0.01) when the PRN cell is operating at 700 °C under an oxygen partial pressure of 0.21 atm in the cathode and 0.96 atm of H2 and 0.04 atm of H2O in the anode.

3. Experimental procedure

3.1 Equipment setup

The test station employed in the experimental campaign is equipped with a temperature-controlled furnace, mass flow controllers (MFCs) for hydrogen, nitrogen (anode and cathode side), air and oxygen, a bubble humidifier placed in a Julabo UC-5B circulator thermostat (operative range from -20ºC to 100 ºC) in order to humidify the anodic gas flow, an Agilent E3634A DC power supply which can act as a DC electronic load too, an Agilent 34970A data logger and a LABVIEW-based control software. Additionally, the gas pipes connecting the bubble humidifier to the inlet of the SOFC are opportunely enclosed with heating strips so to avoid undesirable steam condensation. For security purposes, the anodic off-gases from the SOFC are directed towards a bubbler with water at room temperature in it – the absence of bubbles alerts of some kind of malfunction in the system. These off-gases are finally guided to the vent which evacuates them to the atmosphere outside the laboratory, whilst the cathodic off-gases, mainly composed of oxygen-depleted air are simply liberated into the atmosphere inside the facilities.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were carried out using a Solartron 1287 frequency response analyzer (FRA) module and a Solartron 1260 Impedance/Gain-Phase analyzer. ZView® software for Windows from Scribner Associates Inc. is employed to process the EIS data.

Figure 4: Diagram of the test station.
Electrochemical performance was evaluated on 2cm$^2$ active area single cells (see Table 1) mounted on an alumina base and held to it by means of a high-temperature refractory paste which after being baked under the manufacturer’s specified conditions plays a dual role: immobilize the cell and block gas leakages from the air chamber to the fuel chamber and vice versa. Fine metallic meshes were employed as current collectors, a nickel one at the anode side and a golden one at the cathode side. Figure 3 illustrates the layout of the cell and current collectors in the test bench.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRN-cell materials</th>
<th>Thickness (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anode Ni/8YSZ</td>
<td>500 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolyte 8YSZ</td>
<td>8 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion barrier layer GDC</td>
<td>4 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathode Pr$<em>2$NiO$</em>{4+δ}$</td>
<td>30 ± 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Materials composing the different PRN cell layers and their thicknesses.

### 3.2 SOFC specimen tested

The Ni-YSZ|YSZ|Pr$_2$NiO$_{4+δ}$ – GDC (namely PRN) cell studied in this work was manufactured by SOLIDPOWER S.P.A (Trento, Italy). It must be noted that this cell does not correspond to the manufacturer’s standard product which presently incorporates LSCF as cathode. Moreover, the diffusion barrier layer of this cell was developed by ENEA’s Materials Department (UTTMAT) utilizing the same commercial GDC powder employed by SOLIDPOWER but adding an extra step in its preparation: the powder was dispersed in aqueous HNO$_3$ immediately after the removal of residual organic compounds by thermal treatment (internal report –ENEA UTTMAT).

The Ni-YSZ anode was produced by tape casting of water-based NiO/YSZ suspensions, the electrolyte was produced by tape casting of water-based 8YSZ suspensions, the barrier layer (10mol%Gd$_2$O$_3$ doped CeO$_2$) was applied on top of the electrolyte (cathode/electrolyte interface) by screen printing and a further sintering process, whilst the cathode was applied too by screen printing and additionally sintered.
3.3 Preliminary testing

3.3.1 SOFC cell reduction procedure

PRN cells were reduced at 800°C under 75 ml/(min·cm²) of H₂ with 4% humidification to the anode and 150 ml/(min·cm²) of air to the cathode. Once the cell was fully reduced the first acceptance trials were carried out: if voltage loss was below 5% according to Eq. (8) gas leakage was considered to be negligible and the correspondent cell was valid for further testing. Table 2 illustrates the theoretical Nernst voltage and the experimental data at 800, 750 and 700°C along with the voltage loss in percentage terms.

$$\Delta E_N(\%) = \frac{E_{N, \text{theoretical}} - E_{N, \text{measured}}}{E_{N, \text{theoretical}}} \times 100$$

where \(\Delta E_N\) denotes the voltage loss due to leakage, \(E_{N, \text{theoretical}}\) is the theoretical Nernst voltage and \(E_{N, \text{measured}}\) is the potential experimentally measured.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>OCV theoretical value (V)</th>
<th>PRN-cell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OCV value (V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800°C</td>
<td>1.1008</td>
<td>1.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750°C</td>
<td>1.1079</td>
<td>1.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700°C</td>
<td>1.1150</td>
<td>1.105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Theoretical and experimental OCV values for the PRN cell at 700, 750 and 800 °C.

3.3.2 Microstructural analysis

In order to analyze the microstructure of the cell, one cell from the batch was cooled down under a reducing atmosphere in the anode (same flows as in the reducing procedure) from the abovementioned reducing temperature down to room temperature with a ramp of 60°C/h. The cell in its reduced form was then broken into two pieces by mechanical means. Following the same procedure as Tsai et al. [9], one of the pieces was allocated for the study of the anodic porous structure whilst the other was employed to analyze the cathode, electrolyte and anode under SEM micrographs.

The cathode and the electrolyte of the first piece were erased by polishing and the resulting sample was then cleaned with distilled water and kept in a dry oven overnight at 120°C. This sample was then cooled down in dry air down to room temperature and then weighted using a high accuracy balance. Following this first measurement the sample was introduced in a beaker with distilled water and heated up to 100°C to displace the air from the open pores outside from the structure. After cooling back the water (with the sample in it) down to room temperature the wet cell was weighted. A final measure was carried out by drying the outmost part of the sample leaving its structure completely saturated with water. The porosity value resulting from the Archimedes’ method is numerically obtained by means of the following equation:
\[ \epsilon_{an} = \frac{M_{sat} - M_{dry}}{M_{sat} - M_{wet}} \]  

SEM micrographs coupled with EDX analysis were performed on the second piece of the sample. The mean radius of the particles, both for anode and cathode, was estimated by analyzing several SEM images employing the free-user software ImageJ [10]. A mean radius of \( \sim 0.35 \) µm was estimated for the Ni-YSZ matrix (an identical radius is assumed for the electronic conducting phase and for the ionic conducting phase). Analogously, an average value of 0.35 µm was found for the radii of the cathode particles.

### 3.3.3 Preliminary electrochemical performance

Polarization curves were carried out under the flows stated by the manufacturer: 75 ml/(min·cm\(^2\)) of H\(_2\) humidified at 30ºC (4mol% of H\(_2\)O) in the anode and 150 ml/(min·cm\(^2\)) of air in the cathode at 800, 750 and 700ºC by applying 50mA every 20 seconds from open circuit voltage (OCV) to 3.3 A (apparatus limit) or until the cell’s voltage dropped below 600 mV. Figure 6 illustrates the I-V curves of the PRN cell side by side to those of the manufacturer’s standard cell (LSCF cathode); as can be observed the immediate performance of the PRN is significantly higher than that of the LSCF revealing the potentialities of the Ruddlesden-Popper structure as a cathodic material.

![Figure 6: Polarization curves of the PRN cell and the manufacturer’s standard cell (LSCF cathode) at 800, 750 and 750 °C.](image)

Impedance spectroscopy measurements were carried out in OCV at 800, 750 and 700ºC with an input voltage amplitude of 10 mV RMS and a frequency range from 100 kHz to 10 mHz. Figure 7 displays the three different EIS measurements in a Nyquist plot elucidating the effect of the temperature on the electrical and polarization resistances. Apart from providing valuable information when compared with EIS data from other cells (such as the LSCF), the main scope of the spectra obtained in the preliminary electrochemical performance phase of the test is to assess the quality of the experimental measurement. This evaluation is carried out by means of the Kramers-Kronig test for Windows [11,12] software developed by B.A. Boukamp et al. Figure 8 shows the residual plot of the abovementioned test on the PRN cell (operating at 750ºC) where the maximum error lies below 0.3% indicating that the experimental data impeccably agree with the expected theoretical results.
3.4 Physicochemical process identification

In order to elucidate the nature of each peak obtained with the DRT method, the cells were operated under a number of different predefined conditions varying each time one of the following parameters: temperature, anodic gas composition and cathodic gas composition. Table 3 illustrates the partial pressures of cathodic and anodic gas species for all of the tests carried out, which were undertaken at 800, 750 and 700°C. EIS measurements were performed following the same procedures as explained above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cathode gas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pO₂ in cathode (atm)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH₂ in anode (atm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH₂O in anode (atm)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anode gas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH₂ in anode (atm)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH₂O in anode (atm)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN₂ in anode (atm)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Test matrix containing the molar fractions of the gas species in anode and cathode used for the DRT analysis.

The DRT analysis was performed on the EIS spectra obtained for each operating condition, in this way, by comparing the different curves attained from the test matrix it was possible to associate a peak to a particular physicochemical process. It must be noted that the impedance spectra were beforehand corrected in order to remove the undesirable effects arising from the
electrical artifacts. This was done by carrying out an EIS measurement on a piece of gold with exactly the same area as the active area of the button cells tested and then subtracting its EIS spectrum to that of the electrochemical cell as explained beforehand (Eq. (1) and Eq. (2)).

3.4.1 Hydrogen partial pressure variation in the anode

Figure 9: DRT spectra of the PRN cell for hydrogen partial pressure variation at (a) 700 and (b) 800 °C.
3.4.2 Steam partial pressure variation in the anode

Figure 10: DRT spectra of the PRN cell for hydrogen partial pressure variation at (a) 700 and (b) 800 °C.
3.4.3 Oxygen partial pressure variation in the cathode

![DRT spectra of the PRN cell for oxygen partial pressure variation at (a) 700 °C and (b) 800 °C.]

3.4.4 Conclusions

Six different peaks can be identified in the relaxation-time distribution spectra (namely, DRT) of the two types of cells studied in this work (PRN and LSC) according to the figures described previously. Each of the peaks (or group of them) has been associated to a particular physicochemical process occurring within the analysed solid oxide fuel cells.

*High characteristic frequencies (>1000 Hz)*

The crest covering the highest frequency zone (2000-3000 Hz) is eminently associated to a physicochemical process occurring in the anode since its amplitude is affected by a modification in the anodic gas composition (i.e. pH2 and pH2O) and remains unaffected when pO2 is varied. When confronting Fig. 9 (a) with (b) it seems noticeable how the temperature is the predominant operating parameter affecting the process: the intensity of the peak increases more than 300% (from approximately 0.003 Ω·cm²/s in at 800°C to 0.01 Ω·cm²/s at 700°C) when temperature is decreased by only 100°C, whilst the impact of gas composition in the summit height is considerably lower. The aforementioned trend is noticeable too in the spectra concerning the steam concentration in the anode, illustrated in Fig. 10, where the variation of pH2O affects feebly the peak summiting in the highest frequency region, unlike the effect of the operating temperature.
There is strong evidence suggesting that this peak is related to the charge transfer mechanisms occurring in the Ni-YSZ cermet anode. Appendix I illustrates the DRT spectrum of an ideal R-CPE element (Fig. A_I) which is used to model electrochemical phenomena in state-of-the-art SOFC anodes. It can be clearly appreciated that the peak falling in the highest frequency region of all the relaxation-time distribution spectra generated from experimental data has exactly the same form as the theoretical one, thus backing the aforementioned hypothesis. Moreover, besides the experimental data here presented, previous studies determine that anodic charge transfer processes appear in the highest frequency region when H₂ is used as fuel [13].

Intermediate characteristic frequencies (1-1000 Hz)

There are two major peaks summiting in the intermediate frequency region of the relaxation-time distribution spectra and a hump (convoluted peak) in the slowest section of the aforementioned region (more specifically close to a frequency of 8 Hz).

The peak appearing around 300 Hz and that summiting in the 20-30 Hz region have an identical response when pH₂ and pH₂O are modified besides being barely influenced by the operating temperature. This can be observed clearly in the DRT spectra of the PRN cell. It can be said that these peaks have a tight relationship with an anodic process which is barely dependent on the temperature, thus it can be hypothesized that both of them are the consequence of gas diffusion phenomena in the anode. Additionally, modelling theory regarding ECM states that gas diffusion phenomena in porous matrices should be modelled by means of a Finite Length Warburg element, the DRT spectrum of which is shown in Appendix II of this paper (Fig. A_II). The mentioned spectrum shows a dominant peak followed by a series of monotonically decreasing crests at higher frequencies which can perfectly explain the presence of the two peaks observed in the DRT spectra of the PRN cell.

A profound analysis of Fig. 11 reveals that the peak appearing in the 20-30 Hz range and that summiting at 8 Hz (which appears slightly convoluted with the first) are also related to a physicochemical process occurring in the cathode because these are modified when pO₂ is varied. Moreover, it seems that the temperature has a relevant effect on the latter, making it to decrease as the operating temperature increases. From what has just been discussed, it can be said that the dominant charge transfer process in the cathode has a very similar characteristic frequency to that of the gas diffusion phenomena in the anode, hence convoluting to an extent depending on the operating conditions of the SOFCs tested.

Low characteristic frequencies (<1 Hz)

The two peaks appearing in the lowest frequency region can be associated to the diffusion of the cathodic gas in the MIEC porous structure. Even if the quality of the EIS measurements in this region is lower than in the high frequency region (as verified by the Kramers-Kronig test) hence somehow distorting the DRT spectra, it can still be appreciated how these crests are dependent on the oxygen partial pressure in the cathode (Fig. 11 (b)) whilst the temperature plays a secondary role in their variation. Moreover, the gas diffusion of the relatively heavy elements O₂ and N₂ presents the slowest characteristic time of them all which is in line with the experimental results: lowest frequency range. The small intensity of these contributions
can be explained by the slimness of the cathode layer of the tested anode-supported cells (ASC).

Resume

Figure 12 illustrates a generic relaxation-time distribution spectrum of the PRN cell in which the peaks associated to the different physicochemical processes in the cell are comprehensively indicated.

The table below illustrates the dependencies of each peak with the operating conditions of the SOFC and associates them with a particular physicochemical process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peaks</th>
<th>Dependencies</th>
<th>Electrode</th>
<th>Process description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH2</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Anode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH2O</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pO2</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anode</td>
<td>Charge transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low (convolution)</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Cathode</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5+P6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Cathode</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Resume of the physicochemical processes occurring in the SOFC and their corresponding peaks in the DRT spectra.

4. Equivalent Circuit Model (ECM)

4.1 Circuit model

Recalling all the considerations proposed for the DRT spectra analysis, the following equivalent circuit model (ECM) has been developed in order to simulate the operation of the fuel cell from the electrochemical point of view (Fig. 13). The circuit may be used with concurrent CNLS fitting of experimental impedance data to estimate the values of circuit elements and thus of the values of the electrochemistry-related parameters characterizing the SOFCs here studied [14].

The electrical resistance of the cell encompasses the electronic and ionic resistances of all the layers composing the SOFC. \( R_0 \) is the Ohmic resistance of the cell, which can be regarded as a
sum of the different electronic and ionic resistances within the cell connected in series. The utmost contribution to $R_0$ is given by the relatively low conductivity of the electrolyte layer, being several orders of magnitude smaller than that of the electrodes.

Conventionally, an RC element is employed to model the EIS response to a charge transfer occurring at the interface of the TPB. Furthermore, when the process takes place in non-ideal conditions: distributed surface reactivity, surface inhomogeneity, roughness or fractal geometry, a constant phase element (CPE) is generally preferred over the capacitor for fitting purposes as it can represent the deviation from a pure capacitance of the EIS response by means of an exponential factor, $n$, with $0 \leq n \leq 1$ [15]. $R_{\text{ct,an}}$ and $R_{\text{ct,cat}}$ are the charge transfer resistances at the anode TPB and cathode TPB respectively while $\text{CPE}_{\text{ct,an}}$ and $\text{CPE}_{\text{ct,cat}}$ are the double-layer capacitances at the electrical conducting phase and ionic conducting phase interfaces in anode and cathode respectively.

The diffusion of gaseous species in a solid porous structure is generally modelled by a Finite-length Warburg (FLW) diffusion impedance [16]. In Fig. 13 $W_{\text{an}}$ is the Finite Length Warburg element representing the gas diffusion through the anode porous matrix whilst $W_{\text{cat}}$ is the analogous element for the cathode.

4.2 Goodness of fit

The validity of the ECM is assessed by means of the residuals between the experimental measurement and the fitted value according to Eq. (10) and Eq. (11); the first takes into consideration the real part of the impedance whilst the second regards its imaginary part.

$$
\Upsilon_{\text{Re}} = \frac{|Z'_{\text{exp}} - Z'_{\text{fit}}|}{|Z_{\text{exp}}|} \tag{10}
$$

where $\Upsilon_{\text{Re}}$ is the error between the real part of the measured impedance ($Z'_{\text{exp}}$) and the fit value ($Z'_{\text{fit}}$).

$$
\Upsilon_{\text{Im}} = \frac{|Z''_{\text{exp}} - Z''_{\text{fit}}|}{|Z_{\text{exp}}|} \tag{11}
$$

where $\Upsilon_{\text{Im}}$ is the error between the imaginary part of the measured impedance ($Z''_{\text{exp}}$) and the fit value ($Z''_{\text{fit}}$).

Figure 14 displays the experimental measurements and the complex non-linear least squares (CNLS) fit points of the PRN cell when operating at 700°C. It can be observed how for every frequency both points have nearly identical results in the Nyquist and Bode plots especially at low and intermediate frequencies. From the Bode plot a slight deviation can be highlighted at very high frequencies (>10⁴ Hz), further corroborated by the residuals plot (Fig. 15) where the error passes from an average 0.5% up to an 8% in the highest frequency point. Still, the overall fit is exceptional with an error below 2% for most of the frequency range analysed.
5. Conclusions

The theory behind the distribution relaxation times (DRT) method and the experimental campaign here addressed have been instrumental for the generation of a comprehensive and robust equivalent circuit model (ECM). Nevertheless, it must be noted that the analysis of the DRT spectra is not a completely straightforward methodology in the sense that some results may induce to errors if the individual signals of the processes are not completely deconvoluted (i.e. when the relaxation times of these lie very close to each other) such as the cathodic charge transfer mechanisms and the anodic gas diffusion mechanism. As discerned in Part II of this work, the above described experimental methodology needs to be supported with complementary analysis techniques and methods, such as the four-point measurement to obtain the electrical conductivities of the cell’s different layers, or FIB-SEM imaging to obtain more accurate values of the microstructures. Withal, this methodology is regarded as the base
on which future models (not only CFD) must rest to finally start predicting satisfactorily performance degradation in SOFC cells and components.
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Appendix I

This appendix contains the DRT plots of ideal R-CPE elements.

The theoretical impedance of the resistance is expressed by the following equation:

$$Z_R = R$$ \hspace{1cm} (AI.1)

where \( R \) is the resistance.

The theoretical impedance of the constant phase element (CPE) element is expressed by the following equation [17]:

$$Z_{CPE} = \frac{1}{C_{CPE}} (j\omega)^{-n}$$ \hspace{1cm} (AI.2)

where \( C_{CPE} \) is a constant, \( \omega \) the angular frequency, \( n \) an exponent which deviates the CPE element from an ideal capacitor (case \( n = 1 \)) and \( j \) the imaginary number.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>C_{CPE}</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A_I: Nominal values of an R-CPE element according to the fit of numerous experimental results (see Part II).

![DRT Spectra](image-url)
Appendix II

This appendix contains the DRT plots of ideal Finite Length Warburg elements.

The theoretical impedance of the Finite Length Warburg (FLW) element is expressed by means of the following equation [18]:

\[ Z_{FLW} = R \frac{\tanh[(jC_{FLW}\omega)^{0.5}]}{(jC_{FLW}\omega)^{0.5}} \]  

(All.1)

Where \( R \) is a constant, \( C_{FLW} \), is a second constant, \( \omega \) the angular frequency and \( j \) the imaginary number.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>C_{FLW}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A_II: Nominal values of a Finite Length Warburg element according to the fit of numerous experimental results (see Part II).

![Figure A_II: DRT spectra for a finite length Warburg element with the values tabulated in Table A_II.](image)

Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASC</td>
<td>Anode Supported Cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a_m</td>
<td>Contribution of physicochemical process ( m ) to the total polarization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFD</td>
<td>Computational Fluid Dynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>Combined Heat and Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNLS</td>
<td>Complex Non-Linear Least Squares</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPE</td>
<td>Constant phase element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRT</td>
<td>Distributed Relaxation Times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECM</td>
<td>Equivalent Circuit Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E_N</td>
<td>Nernst Potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLW</td>
<td>Finite Length Warburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDC</td>
<td>Gadolinium doped ceria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\( I \)  
Identity matrix

\( K \)  
Kernel

\( M \)  
Weight

\( \text{MFC} \)  
Mass flow controller

\( \text{OCV} \)  
Open circuit voltage

\( \text{PRN} \)  
\( \text{Pr}_2\text{NiO}_{4+\delta} \)

\( R \)  
Resistance

\( \text{SOFC} \)  
Solid Oxide Fuel Cell

\( T \)  
Temperature

\( \text{TPB} \)  
Triple phase boundary

\( V \)  
Cell voltage

\( W_s \)  
Warburg element

\( x_k \)  
Molar fraction of species \( k \)

\( Z' \)  
Real part of impedance

\( Z'' \)  
Imaginary part of impedance

\( \varepsilon \)  
Porosity

\( \lambda \)  
Self-consistent regularization parameter for the L-curve

\( \tau_m \)  
Relaxation time of physicochemical process \( m \)

\( \omega \)  
Angular frequency
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