Ediacaran skeletal metazoan interpreted as a lophophorate
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While many skeletal biomineralized genera are described from Ediacaran (635–541 million years ago, Ma) strata, none have been suggested to have an affinity above the Porifera–Cnidaria metazoan grade. Here, we reinterpret the widespread terminal Ediacaran (approx. 550–541 Ma) sessile goblet-shaped Namacalathus as a triploblastic eumetazoan. Namacalathus has a stalked cup with radially symmetrical cross section, multiple lateral lumens and a central opening. We show that the skeleton of Namacalathus is composed of a calcareous foliated ultrastructure displaying regular concordant columnar inflections, with a possible inner organic-rich layer. These features point to an accretionary growth style of the skeleton and an affinity with the Lophotrochozoa, more specifically within the Lophophorata (Brachiopoda and Bryozoa). Additionally, we present evidence for asexual reproduction as expressed by regular budding in a bilateral pattern. The interpretation of Namacalathus as an Ediacaran total group lophophorate is consistent with an early radiation of the Lophophorata, as known early Cambrian representatives were sessile, mostly stalked forms, and in addition, the oldest known calcareous Brachiopoda (early Cambrian Obolellida) and Bryozoa (Ordovician Stenolaemata) possessed foliated ultrastructures.

1. Introduction

The Cambrian radiation (starting at approx. 541 Ma) records the seemingly abrupt appearance of biomineralized animals with highly sophisticated skeletons in the geological record. These are represented by calcareous and phosphatic shelly fossils of triploblastic bilaterians, including various molluscs, brachiopods and diverse stem group lophotrochozoans in the early Cambrian Terreneuvian Epoch (approx. 541 to approx. 525 Ma) followed by biomineralized arthropods, other ecdysozoans and echinoderms during the Cambrian Epoch 2 [1,2].

Over 10 genera with biomineralized skeletons are known from the terminal Ediacaran (approx. 550–541 Ma) [3–7]. Most have been interpreted to be either protistan sensu lato or poriferan–cnidarian grades of organization on the basis of overall morphology and the presence of simple skeletal ultrastructures of microgranular or microfibrous types [8,9].

Of these, Namacalathus is found in carbonate strata from the Nama Group, Namibia [4,10], the Byng Formation of the Canadian Rocky Mountains [11], the Birba Formation of Oman [1,12], the Kolodzha and Raiga formations of West Siberia [13] and the Anatas’ino Formation of the Altay-Sayan Foldbelt [14]. Namacalathus has been proposed to represent either a possible cnidarian on the basis of an overall goblet-shaped morphology and hexaradial cross section [4], a protozoan owing to small size and an apparent lack of accretionary growth [15], a stem-eumetazoan as manifest in limited petrographic thin section material [6].

Here, we document additional features of growth form and skeletal structure from exceptionally well-preserved and abundant material of the widespread species Namacalathus hermanastes from the terminal Ediacaran (approx. 548–541 Ma) of...
the Nama Group, Namibia. This allows some potential constraints to be placed on original skeletal mineralogy, soft-tissue distribution and mode of biomineralization, as well as insights into the possible affinity of *Namacalathus*.

2. *Namacalathus* general morphology

*Namacalathus* has a stalked cup- or goblet-shaped form up to 35 mm in width and height, with a stem serving as attachment to a substrate and a cup possessing a rounded central opening on the top and usually six, but sometimes, five or seven further rounded lumens on lateral facets [4,17] (figure 1c). *Namacalathus* displays an almost regular hexagonal radial symmetry in cross section [4,17] (figure 1b), although five and sevenfold radial cups based on the number of lateral facets also occur. The stem and outer cup surface can be covered with short, robust spines which previously have been identified in *Namacalathus* from the Ediacaran Byng Formation of the Canadian Rocky Mountains only [11]. The wall of both the stem and the cup is continuous (figure 1a,l,m) and up to 100 μm thickness.

We have noted in two longitudinal sections through two specimens that the distal, lowermost, part of the stem is closed. In the cases of *in situ* attached individuals, the lowermost stem part is separated from the remainder of the skeleton by a transverse septum-like structure resulting in a bulb-like, initial shell appearance (figure 1d).

3. Skeletal ultrastructure

The skeleton of *Namacalathus* has been variously interpreted as either calcite [4] or high-magnesium (Mg) calcite [18] on the basis of an absence of neomorphic calcitic textures or moldic preservation, but no detailed study of ultrastructure has been documented.

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) imaging reveals both the cup and stem parts of the *Namacalathus* skeleton to present a tripartite structure of two thin external (outer and inner) laminar layers enclosing an internal space (middle layer) infilled by
irregularly freely arranged rod-like crystals (figure 2a,b,d). The outer and inner laminar layers show a platy structure of individual microlaminae in an ultrathin petrographic section [6]. These laminated skeletal layers have a fine-scale ultrastructure of multiple, closely spaced, continuous, regularly foliated microlaminae of approximately 1.25 μm in thickness each in average (figure 3). Microlaminae are oriented parallel to the skeletal surface and follow its undulations and inflections (figure 3a,c). Abundant rod-like microdolomite crystals are either restricted to the middle layer (figure 2a,d) or concentrated along the outer surface.

Commonly, microlaminae become denser to form arcuate, inclined trails of tight columnar cone-in-cone deflections in the skeleton lamination orientated normal to the skeletal surface and crossing the entire laminar layer (figure 2b). These deflections are spaced 10–30 μm apart and point towards the inside of the skeleton.

4. Taphonomic inference from sediment infill

The sediment infill of Namacalathus cups often differs in colour and/or grain size from externally adjacent sediments [4]. The boundary between these two sediment types is as distinct across lateral lumens as it is across solid walls, suggesting the presence of a barrier within the lumens which prevented sediment ingress even some time after the death of the organism (figure 1b,c,f). By contrast, internal and external sediments are mixed adjacent to the central opening at the top of the cup suggesting that this was open at time of burial [4] (figure 1b).

5. Potential asexual reproduction

The common close packing of Namacalathus individuals on bedding planes infers growth by a regular larval recruitment and settlement [19].

We note, additionally, that some individuals of Namacalathus possess daughter cups communicating with a presumable parental cup via stems with inner cavities that are connected directly to the parental cup inner cavity by an orifice (figure 1e–g,j,l,m) while skeletal walls are shared [19] (figure 1a,l,m) and sometimes thickened between new cups and the older one (figure 1f,j,m). Three-dimensional model reconstructions using SPIERS software from serial sections (see electronic supplementary material, figures S1–S4) confirms the skeletal continuity and budding nature of parent and daughter cups (figure 1n,p,q).

Such an asexual clonal reproduction is comparable to internal budding. Noteworthy is that two new stems of the same diameter and length are attached to opposite outer surfaces of the upper part of a parental cup (figure 1e–g). This imparts a consistent bilateral symmetry to the entire clonal aggregation. The position of daughter individuals was initially bilaterally symmetrical (figure 1e–g), but with continued growth, the new cup stems curved around the axis of the parental cup (figure 1f,h,k). Possible second generations

Figure 2. Secondary emission SEM images of etched and polished transverse sections of Namacalathus hermanastes skeletal wall ultrastructure from the Nama Group, Namibia. (a,c,d), Tripartite organization. M, internal (middle) layer of rod-like microdolomite crystals; O, external outer foliated layers. I, inner foliated layers. (a) Scale bar, 200 μm. (c) Scale bar, 100 μm. (d) Scale bar, 100 μm. (b) Columnar microlamellar inflections (arrowed). Orientation with respect to the interior and exterior of the cup is noted. Scale bar, 200 μm.
of buds forming cups are observed in some specimens (figure 1j).

6. Discussion
In the following, we discuss inferences as to original skeletal mineralogy and functional morphology, clonal budding, soft-tissue reconstruction and finally, the possible affinity of Namacalathus.

(a) Diagenesis and original mineralogy
The regular foliated ultrastructure of Namacalathus differs drastically from those of co-occurring tubicolous Cloudina and the massive honeycomb or calicular growth form of Namapoikia. Cloudina from the Nama Group possesses a microgranular ultrastructure [6,9], whereas Namapoikia displays a coarse calcitic mosaic and its skeleton is commonly overgrown by neomorphosed botryoids of acicular crystals [5]. Co-occurrence of these three ultrastructural varieties removed the possibility of convergent diagenetic pathways implying a primary origin for any ultrastructural differences. Recognition of primary skeletal mineralogies can be assessed following the criteria of James & Klappa [18,20].

A sparry calcite replacement mosaic that generally crosscuts skeletal structures together with the presence of botryoids typical of primary aragonite marine cement that develops in optical continuity with skeletal elements is indicative of an original aragonite skeletal mineralogy for Namapoikia. By contrast, both Cloudina and Namacalathus skeletons are overgrown by bladed and fibrous cements, and commonly show the growth of microdolomite crystals upon skeletal surfaces. We note that the rod-like microdolomite crystals are restricted to the skeletal middle layer or concentrated along the outer surface of Namacalathus, suggesting that the skeleton served as a source of magnesium. These features point to a primary high-Mg calcite mineralogy and preservation of primary skeletal fabrics [20]. We infer the irregular microdolomite rhombohedra within the resultant middle cavity to represent diagenetic precipitates after the post-mortem decay of the organic material enriched by magnesium and seeded by microdolomite crystals.

While a microgranular ultrastructure can originate diagenetically after irregular, spherulitic, fascicular and orthogonal primary fabrics of fibrous type [21,22], a regular foliated ultrastructure has never been observed to be a result of diagenetic alternation of a different primary fabric.

(b) Skeletal ultrastructure functional morphology
The columnar microlamellar inflections, an organic-rich inner layer and robust external wall spines may all have imparted mechanical strength fracture resistance to Namacalathus. Columnar microlamellar inflections are considered to retard the propagation of cracks through a skeleton displaying increasing curvature of wall, and impart resistance to both high-energy currents and predatory attack [23,24].

In molluscan shells, organic-rich layers have also been interpreted to aid the dissipation of energy from hydrodynamic pressure or predation [25]. The organic-rich skeleton with fine microlaminae separated by an organic envelope would also have maintained flexibility and enabled growth in energetic settings. Namacalathus was indeed able to occupy energetic and current-swept reefal environments [4,19,26], and skeletal flexibility is apparent by the common preservation of folded or plastically distorted skeletal walls [4].

Early representatives of tommotiids, brachiopods and bryozoans were able to secrete intercrystalline structural organic compounds that penetrated the entire skeleton and were anchored to the epithelium [27–29]. Thus, cone-in-cone deflections may reflect traces of an original sensory organ that would be particularly advantageous for sessile animals
which possessed a mineralized exoskeleton that prevented sensory evaluation of the environment through the epithelium.

(c) Clonal budding
The regular pattern of coexisting and attached individuals of *Namacalathus* is interpreted to be a feature of a clonal development, namely internal budding. During clonal growth, two new potential buds appeared bilaterally symmetrical on the outer surface of the upper part of the inferred parental cup. Such a regular bilaterally symmetrical budding may reflect either the presence of bilaterally spaced reproductive organs or represent a prerequisite for the future development of such organs.

(d) Soft-tissue reconstruction
The overall morphology of *Namacalathus* is not consistent with a sponge organization as the presence of relatively large lateral lumens of a diameter comparable to that of the central opening would reduce pressure within the filter feeding system by decreasing the initial velocity of the cumulative exhalant jet, in turn leading to permanent recycling of filtered water and potential clogging of choanocyte chambers [30,31].

Formation of a foliated skeletal ultrastructure requires the presence of compound-secreting tissue similar to that of the mantle of some lophophorates or molluscs, but a molluscan suspension-feeding mode would also require the presence of siphon-type organs which is incompatible with the general morphology of *Namacalathus*.

The taphonomic features of sediment ingress within the *Namacalathus* skeleton allow us to infer the presence of some type of non-skeletal tissue within the lumens, but none adjacent to the central opening. Such a soft tissue distribution is not consistent with the presence of multiple tentacle-ringed mouths as in a microcarnivorous sessile colonial cnidarian, but rather with the existence of a suspension-feeding organ within the cup of *Namacalathus*.

(e) Affinity of *Namacalathus*
To aid interpretation of possible affinity, the characteristics of *Namacalathus* are summarized in table 1 along with those from high-ranking modern taxa (calcareous algae, foraminifers, sponges, cnidarians and various crown group bilaterians) and some extinct sessile organisms with calcareous and phosphatic skeletons (Ordovician–Jurassic tentaculitoids and early Cambrian tommotiids).

We note that many features of *Namacalathus* are widely distributed among unrelated taxa and so offer limited insight into affinity. These include a high-Mg calcite skeletal composition, radial symmetry and a stalked appearance with a cup bearing large lumens. The presence of a bulb-like feature at the base of the *Namacalathus* stem (figure 1d) may represent initial growth stages anchored within or attached to microbial mats. Such a bulb-like larval shell showing a distinct constriction at the junction with the main skeleton is observed in some bryozoans and tentaculitoids [27,32]. More material, however, is required to support this initial observation.

The regular bilaterally symmetrical budding may reflect either the presence of bilaterally spaced reproductive organs or represent a prerequisite for the future development of such organs. In clonal lophotrochozoans, including phoronids, entoprocts, microconchids (tentaculitoids), cyclophorans and some bryozoans, buds are similarly developed in the distal, outermost parts of parental individuals and can produce a bilaterally symmetrical pattern of conjoined, paired and in the case of microconchids also coiled, buds [43–46].

A foliated skeletal ultrastructure, columnar microlamellar skeletal deflections and internal budding have a restricted taphonomic distribution (table 1). The open texture of the middle skeletal layer in *Namacalathus* is reminiscent of the intermediate lenticular chambers found in lingulate brachiopods which contain apatite aggregate meshes [47], and of organic layers in high-Mg calcitic shells of the Craniiformea which bear a high concentration of organic material and magnesium [48,49].

Regular, foliated ultrastructure is restricted only to the accretionary-growing shells of molluscs [50], brachiopods and bryozoans [27]. In addition, tight columnar cone-in-cone deflections within skeletal laminations identical in form and scale to structures to those noted in *Namacalathus* are found in some brachiopod and bryozoan taxa, both of which belong to the Lophophorata [27,33]. In brachiopods and bryozoans such structures may form trabeculae on the inner shell surface and contain rods, where they are known variously as pseudo-punctae or acanthostyles [27,28].

Such features are not found in any other modern skeletal groups, but are also found in the extinct tentaculitoids and hederelloids. These are interpreted as possible extinct bimolecularized stem group phoronids representing the third modern branch of the Lophophorata [27,32]. Of particular note is the 'canalicular' ultrastructure of early Cambrian obolellid brachiopods which shows fine calcareous laminations and cone-in-cone deflections at the same scale as noted in *Namacalathus* [34,35]. These forms are the first known brachiopods with a calcareous shell and appear in the basal Cambrian Stage 2 [2,18]. Obolelids may, therefore, provide a potential microstructural intermediate between *Namacalathus* and pseudo-punctate brachiopods. Similar linear structures which can deflect shell layers are also common in Cambrian tommotiids, e.g. *Micrina*, which are considered to be stem group brachiopods or lingulate brachiopods [29,35–37], although these are found in inferred primary phosphatic mineralogy. We tentatively conclude that foliated ultrastructure and tight columnar cone-in-cone deflections within the skeletal walls may represent characters limited to the Lophophorata.

Modern calcareous brachiopod shells and the exterior walls of bryozoan skeletons are accreted from a shell-lining secretory epithelium which first secretes an organic layer that acts as a template for biomineralization. The epithelium then changes its secretory regime to form calcareous layers and the resultant calcified skeletal layer is sandwiched between the secretory epithelium and an organic periostracum or cuticle [28,33]. The arcuate trail and inclination of columnar microlamellar inflections are growth effects that result from the mantle pushing radially outwards in response to thickening of the shell layer and marginal expansion of the skeleton [33]. Formation and accretion of a foliated calcitic ultrastructure requires a set of highly heterogeneous organic matrices composed of a large number of different genetically controlled shell proteins that play a critical role in determining the ultrastructure and material properties of the mature biominerals [50,51]; such a set of organic matrices is not observed in prismatic, fibrous or spherulitic ultrastructures which are formed by epitaxial growth from seed crystals within an organic matrix of variable origin [52].

We suggest a similar biomineralization mechanism for the formation of the foliated ultrastructure of *Namacalathus* to that
Table 1. Distribution of characteristics observed in *Namacalathus* among high-rank taxa of modern and extinct sessile calcareous organisms [27,32–42].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>feature/s’taxa</th>
<th>Namacalathus</th>
<th>algae</th>
<th>foraminifera</th>
<th>porifera</th>
<th>cnidaria</th>
<th>annelida</th>
<th>mollusca</th>
<th>Brachiopoda</th>
<th>Bryozoa</th>
<th>Tentaculoidea (Ordovician – Jurassic)</th>
<th>Tommotiida (early Cambrian)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mg-calcite</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>egg</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>capsule</td>
<td>only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>micromilled X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foliated ultrastructure</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>columnar X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inflections of laminae</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skeleton radial X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>symmetry</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stalked skeleton X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cup with lumens X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>larval bulb/shell X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>internal budding X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>paired buds X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of the Lophophorata. We infer an original mineralogy of high-Mg calcite where the growing skeleton was enveloped similarly to accretionary-growing brachiopod shells and bryozoan skeletons by an organic matrix secreted by a mantle. This may have been combined with a genetic toolkit that encoded for a heterogeneous organic matrix which was capable of forming a complex ultrastructure. *Namacalathus* skeletal microstructure, however, predates the hierarchical composite skeletal architectures typical of more advanced lophotrochozoans.

While there is some debate over precise membership [53,54], there is consensus that the brachiopods, phoronids, annelids, molluscs, bryozoans, entoprocts and some other minor groups form a single clade known as the lophophorates [55]. Modern lophophorates feed on dissolved organic matter and small food particles, especially phytoplankton, being captured before they pass through the field of cilia which creates a feeding current, together with direct absorption of organic compounds via cilia on the tentacles. Such a type of suspension feeding may have been enhanced by the presence of a central opening that acted to generate exhalant upward currents, and the hollow stems would have allowed connection between all asexually budded cups within a colony. This allows a hypothetical reconstruction of *Namacalathus* with ciliated tentacles being protracted from the lateral lumens to form a net of ring-like compartments in order to maximize capture of food particles and/or dissolved organic compounds in regimes of switching current direction (also the inner cup space may have allowed the tentacles to be withdrawn; figure 4). The cilia whipped the particles being captured down into a semicircular food groove connected with a U-shaped gut which ended in an anus at the central opening.

Although the skeleton of *Namacalathus* has hexaradial symmetry, the soft tissue probably did not as the observed bilateral budding supports a bilateral interior organization. We do not suggest that hexaradial symmetry characterized the stem lophophorates. The skeletal and even cuticular organization of many Ediacaran–Cambrian fossils such as Cambrian priapulids and other cycloneuralians [56,57] shows pentameral or hexaradial symmetry. Indeed, even modern priapulids may show a pentameral or hexaradial skeletal organization. There are no known bilateral forms among the extensive array of Ediacaran body fossils, which are either radially symmetrical or asymmetrical. This has led some to suggest a colonial origin for bilaterians from radial ancestors [58].

We note that, in general, early Cambrian lophophorates (brachiopods, tommotiids, the possible entoproct *Cotyledon* and the tentative phoronids *Cambrocornulitus* and *Yuganotheca*) possess skeletons that are either bivalved, sclerital (consisting of small, repeating multiple scale-like elements) or agglutinated [38,59–61]. As a result, some have suggested that ancestral lophophorates bore either a scleritome or a skeleton formed of a lightly mineralized organic-rich cuticle, and that motile lophophorates predated sessile forms [61–63].

The Ediacaran *Namacalathus*, however, possessed a well-mineralized organic-rich skeleton and was sessile. For an early lophophorate to have had such a morphology has support from both molecular and morphological analyses. Molecular phylogenetics suggests that the ancestor of the Entoprocta and the Cycliophora can probably be interpreted as a solitary, marine animal possessing a well-pronounced ability for asexual reproduction [64]. A sister group relationship between the Lophophorata and the Entoprocta and Cyciophora despite the functional differences between the lophophore and the tentacular apparatus of entoprocts and cyciophorans is moderately supported by molecular analysis.
This implies that tentacular apparatus for suspension feeding is an adaptation to a sessile lifestyle and is a synapomorphy among these clades [55]. Some cladistic interpretations of brachiopod morphology assume that either the phosphatic Linguliformea are the most derived subphyla so creating an argument in favour of a calcareous-shelled last common ancestor (LCA) of the Brachiopoda [65], or propose that phosphatic- and calcareous-shelled brachiopods are sister groups that had consistently distinct skeletal mineralogy from early in their phylogenetic history [66]. None of the known putative early Cambrian lophophorates have a skeleton suitable for a motile lifestyle but rather display evidence of a firm attachment [36, 38, 59–61]. In addition, both the earliest calcareous brachiopods (early Cambrian Obollida) and the bryozoans (Ordovician Stenolaemata) possessed foliated ultrastructures [33,34].

7. Conclusion
We here suggest reinterpretation of the Ediacaran Namacalathus as sessile total group lophophorate. Namacalathus had an accretionary growth skeletal wall composed of a calcareous foliated ultrastructure together with columnar microlamellar inflections: features restricted to the Lophophorata only. Namacalathus additionally displays an internal organic-rich wall layer similar to that found in brachiopods and an internal budding being expressed in a symmetrical, bilateral pattern.

We conclude that at least some early lophophorates may have possessed a whole, rather than multicomponent sclerital, skeleton. These observations also suggest that the clonal, sessile and active suspension-feeding Lophophorata may have formed one of the earliest branches of the bilateria. Similarities in the overall biomineralization pattern between the Brachiopoda, the Bryozoa, the Tentaculitoidae and Namacalathus are likely due to a commonality in the molecular, cellular and physiologic toolkits of biomineralization within a single group of related organisms inherited from their LCA.
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