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Synaptotagmin-1 (SYT1) is a calcium-binding synaptic vesicle protein that is required for both exocytosis and endocytosis. Here, we describe a human condition associated with a rare variant in SYT1. The individual harboring this variant presented with an early onset dyskinetic movement disorder, severe motor delay, and profound cognitive impairment. Structural MRI was normal, but EEG showed extensive neurophysiological disturbances that included the unusual features of low-frequency oscillatory bursts and enhanced paired-pulse depression of visual evoked potentials. Trio analysis of whole-exome sequence identified a de novo SYT1 missense variant (I368T). Expression of rat SYT1 containing the equivalent human variant in WT mouse primary hippocampal cultures revealed that the mutant form of SYT1 correctly localizes to nerve terminals and is expressed at levels that are approximately equal to levels of endogenous WT protein. The presence of the mutant SYT1 slowed synaptic vesicle fusion kinetics, a finding that agrees with the previously demonstrated role for I368 in calcium-dependent membrane penetration. Expression of the I368T variant also altered the kinetics of synaptic vesicle endocytosis. Together, the clinical features, electrophysiological phenotype, and in vitro neuronal phenotype associated with this dominant negative SYT1 mutation highlight presynaptic mechanisms that mediate human motor control and cognitive development.

Introduction

Many postsynaptic genes and processes have been linked to neurodevelopmental disorders (1), and it is increasingly apparent that presynaptic dysfunction is another major contributory pathway. Rare variants have been identified in genes that regulate presynaptic processes that ultimately affect neurotransmitter release (2). However, the final effector mechanisms that couple neuronal activity to synaptic vesicle (SV) fusion at central synapses have not yet been implicated in the pathophysiology of any neurodevelopmental disorder.

The synaptotagmins are a family of integral SV proteins required for the coupling of activity-dependent calcium influx to SV fusion at central synapses (3). Synaptotagmin-1 (SYT1) is the calcium sensor for fast synchronous evoked neurotransmitter release, since its deletion results in the specific ablation of this event (4, 5). SYT1 triggers SV fusion first by binding calcium via highly conserved cytoplasmic C2A and C2B domains, followed by penetration of the plasma membrane bilayer by a series of hydrophobic residues within these domains (6–8). This event may either destabilize the plasma membrane, lowering the energy barrier for SV fusion, or act as an electrostatic switch, bringing the SV and target membranes in close apposition to drive their fusion (9). Ablation of SYT1 expression also affects SV endocytosis, resulting in a slowing of retrieval kinetics (10–13). The calcium-binding ability of SYT1 may also be required to accelerate SV retrieval, since experimental mutagenesis of specific residues that coordinate calcium binding did not rescue SV retrieval kinetics when expressed in SYT1-knockout neurons (14). Thus SYT1 exerts a complex influence on synaptic transmission, with both fundamental and facilitatory roles in neurotransmitter release and SV endocytosis, respectively.

Despite the key role for SYT1 in presynaptic function, there has been no confirmation to date that this gene and the molecular events it controls are essential for human neurodevelopment, because no individual with a pathogenic SYT1 variant (Synaptotagmin-1, OMIM *185605) has been identified. However, likely pathogenic variants have been reported in SYT14 and SYT2, associated with spinocerebellar ataxia and peripheral neuropathy, respectively, suggesting that impaired synaptotagmin function can be pathogenic, although via mechanisms that are likely to differ between isoforms (15, 16). We report a case of a human neurodevelopmental disorder associated with a rare variant in SYT1 and demonstrate that this variant exerts a dominant negative effect on SV cycling at mammalian central nerve terminals.
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Results

Clinical history. The proband is the second child of nonconsanguineous parents of European descent. There is no family history of neurological or neurodevelopmental disability. There were no medical complications of pregnancy, and he was born by spontaneous vaginal delivery at 34 weeks gestation (birth weight 50th percentile; no resuscitation required). Congenital features were bilateral talipes equinovarus (corrective surgery at 9 months) and bilateral esotropia (corrective surgery at 13 months).

During infancy, he was hypotonic and hypokinetic. By 3 years, he developed dystonic posturing of the limbs and choreoathetoid movements. By 8 years (Supplemental Video 1; supplemental material, including video legend, available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI79765DS1), neurological symptoms had progressed to a severe paroxysmal mixed hyperkinetic movement disorder, affecting his face, upper limbs, and lower limbs bilaterally. Chorea dominates, especially of the lower limbs. Ballismus of the lower limbs is also present. There is intermittent dystonic posturing of all affected body areas. Abnormal movements are maximal at night and worsen before and after purposeful motor activity. No seizures have been observed. Bulbar functions are intact, with no swallowing difficulties. There is no evidence for autonomic instability. Symptoms have been insensitive to trialled medications (sodium valproate, carbamazepine, chloral betaine, sedating antihistamines).

All domains of development are severely to profoundly delayed. He sat independently at 4 years of age, and at 8 years of age he could roll, pull to stand, and cruise around a playpen. At 10 years (current age), he can stand unsupported and has taken some independent steps. He sometimes reaches for objects, but has an immature grip. His visual behavior is severely immature, fixing momentarily on bright objects and not consistently following objects or faces. He turns toward voices and sounds but does not turn to his own name. He shows no evidence of verbal comprehension, uses no speech or communicative gesture, does not follow single component instructions, and shows no evidence of recognition memory. Eye contact is absent, and he does not imitate actions or vocalizations. When agitated, he chews and bites his hands. He appears to be aware of pain. There has been no developmental regression.

Vineland Adaptive Behaviour Scales II, survey interview form (17) was completed with a parent. At chronological age 8 years 3 months, developmental age–equivalent attainments were as follows: gross motor skills, 8 months; fine motor skills, 6 months; communication, daily living skills, and socialization, all less than 1 month. Weight and height remain on the 50th percentile. Head circumference at 2 years 9 months was 49.5 cm (9th to 25th percentile) and at 8 years 11 months was 53 cm (25th percentile) (UK cross-sectional reference data, 1996; ref. 18). He is not dysmorphic and has no neurocutaneous or musculoskeletal abnormalities. Cardiac, respiratory, and abdominal examinations are normal. Neurological examination reveals no tremor or fasciculation, no facial asymmetry, and normal eye movements. Drooling is present but not severe. Axial tone is reduced. Peripheral tone is normal throughout all 4 limbs, with no

Figure 1. MRI and EEG in proband with de novo heterozygous SYT1 I368T mutation. (A) MRI at 2 years; (B) EEG at 2 years (awake); (C) EEG at 2 years (asleep); (D) MRI at 8 years; (E) EEG at 8 years (awake); (F) EEG at 8 years (asleep). T1-weighted MR images (midline sagittal slices) are presented in radiological orientation. See also Supplemental Figure 1 (spectral analysis).
ankle clonus. Power in all 4 limbs is normal and symmetrical. Deep tendon reflexes are easily elicited in the upper limbs and brisk in the lower limbs. Plantar responses are flexor. Optic fundoscopy is normal.

In summary, the main features of this childhood-onset disorder are involuntary movements, including dystonia and chorea, accompanied by severely delayed motor development and profound intellectual disability, with no evidence of overt seizures, autonomic dysfunction, bulbar dysfunction, or developmental regression. There was no apparent in utero, perinatal, or postnatal injury or infection to suggest an acquired cause. Clinical investigations including extensive metabolic testing and chromosome analysis at 500 kb resolution were normal (Supplemental Methods). This severe and unexplained phenotype is not typical of any known congenital syndrome.

MRI revealed no developmental or degenerative structural brain abnormality. MRI of the brain was obtained using standard clinical acquisition sequences at 1.5T at ages 2 years 2 months and 8 years 11 months. No neuroradiological abnormalities were detected, and maturation was within normal limits for chronological age at both time points (Figure 1). Magnetic resonance spectroscopy at age 8 years was within normal limits.

EEG demonstrates marked electrophysiological disturbance. Clinical EEG recordings were carried out in view of unexplained, progressive neurological symptoms at ages 2 years and 8 years (Figure 1). At both ages, background activity was diffusely slow and of high amplitude. At 2 years, the record was dominated by long periods (10 seconds to 1 minute) of very high-amplitude (up to 600 μV) notched slow waves (2–3 Hz). During drowsiness, abnormal discharges were almost continuous, and midcentral 5–6 Hz spike activity appeared. Sleep was characterized by intermittent showers of high-amplitude, notched slow-wave activity (0.5–4 Hz) originating posteriorly with variable spread. At 8 years, abnormal activity had evolved into bursts of low-frequency (5–6 Hz), high-amplitude oscillations, present during wakefulness and persistent into sleep, maximal over anterior regions. These low-frequency oscillatory bursts were not linked to external stimulation and not simultaneous with motoric abnormalities or altered behavior. Occasional 2- to 3-second runs of high-amplitude spike-wave complexes were observed over frontocentral regions during sleep only.

Global electrophysiological properties were quantified via spectral analysis at age 8 years and compared with age-matched and infant controls (Supplemental Figure 1). The proband showed increased global power (205.6 μV² compared with 34 to 40 μV² for age-matched controls and 41–68 μV² for infant controls), elevated relative power within the theta range as compared with controls, and reduced relative power in all other frequency bands, with a shift in theta band distribution toward posterior electrodes.

In summary, clinical EEG recordings point toward extensive disturbance to cerebral electrical activity with developmental evolution of abnormal features, no localized cortical focus, and no features diagnostic of a recognized electroclinical syndrome.

Evoked potentials highlight unusual abnormalities of cortical processing. Visual evoked potentials (VEPs) were recorded to assess basic properties of cortical excitability in a noninvasive manner not requiring subject cooperation (Figure 2). The proband’s VEPs to single-pulse flash stimulation (awake, alert) were consistently reproducible and within normal limits for morphology of the N70 and P100 components, but with reduced amplitude and increased latency in comparison with a healthy age-matched control. Paired-pulse stimulation revealed enhanced depression of the VEP response to the second-flash stimulus, sensitive to interpulse interval (IPI). At IPI of 500 ms, the proband’s N70/P100 amplitude to second flash was 80% to 85% of the amplitude to first flash. At IPI of 200 ms, the amplitude of the P100 was reduced to 45% to 50% of the amplitude to first flash, and at IPI of 100 ms, second VEP amplitude was further reduced to 33% to 40%. In a healthy age-matched control child, P100 amplitude elicited by second flash showed no decrement at any IPI, consistent with adult data indicating that paired-pulse depression is not normally apparent until an IPI of less than 150 ms (19) and developmental data indicating that VEP responses during rapid stimulation are normally mature by age 3 years (20).

Auditory event-related potentials (AEPs) were recorded to assess the maturation of cortical information processing (Supplemental Figure 2). Comparison with a developmental data set obtained using the same recording equipment and stimulation protocol indicated that the morphology and latency of the proband’s AEPs at age 8 years were similar to those of healthy infants aged 9 to 14 months (21). In contrast, age-appropriate AEPs were observed in children with epilepsy and intellectual disability due to known structural pathology, indicating that infant-like cortical information processing observed in the proband is not typical of severe neurodevelopmental disorder.

Identification of SYT1 I368T variant. Whole-exome sequencing was performed on DNA from the proband and both parents within the UK10K project (Rare Diseases Sample Sets, www.uk10k.org).
This included 76 probands with moderate-to-severe intellectual disability and unaffected parents. No coding variants in published genes associated with intellectual disability or congenital movement disorders were detected in the proband. Subtraction analysis to identify rare de novo variants in the proband compared with the parental DNA identified 9 variants using DeNovoGear (Supplemental Table 1). Of these variants, 6 were identified as being non-coding sequence variants (intronic, 3′ UTR sequence, or within a noncoding gene). Of the remaining 3 variants, 2 were identified as common polymorphisms rs649216 and rs145771593, leaving a single heterozygous de novo variant for further analysis at (chr12:79842738, hg19) within SYT1. The heterozygous de novo nonsynonymous variant that results in an isoleucine-to-threonine substitution at position 368 (I368T) was confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Figure 3).

The variant is absent from the 1000 Genomes data (22), more than 13,000 alleles from the Exome Variant Server (http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/), and more than 122,000 alleles from the ExAC cohort (http://exac.broadinstitute.org/). This variant was unique in the UK10K cohort of n = 9464 alleles in 4,732 patients with a range of clinical diagnoses (UK10K Neurodevelopment and Obesity sample sets). More specifically, the neurodevelopment sample set included 2,598 individuals with autism or intellectual disability and unaffected parents. No coding variants in published genes associated with intellectual disability or congenital movement disorders were detected in the proband. Subtraction analysis to identify rare de novo variants in the proband compared with the parental DNA identified 9 variants using DeNovoGear (Supplemental Table 1). Of these variants, 6 were identified as being non-coding sequence variants (intronic, 3′ UTR sequence, or within a noncoding gene). Of the remaining 3 variants, 2 were identified as common polymorphisms rs649216 and rs145771593, leaving a single heterozygous de novo variant for further analysis at (chr12:79842738, hg19) within SYT1. The heterozygous de novo nonsynonymous variant that results in an isoleucine-to-threonine substitution at position 368 (I368T) was confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Figure 3).

The SYT1 I368T variant affects both SV exocytosis and endocytosis. To determine whether the de novo SYT1 variant identified in the proband alters presynaptic function, we examined the effect of expressing rat SYT1 containing the equivalent mutation (corresponding to I367T in rat) in primary cultures of WT mouse hippocampal neurons. WT SYT1 and mutant SYT1 (I368T) were tagged at their N-terminal luminal domain with pHluorin, a green fluorescent protein with enhanced pH sensitivity (23), to enable visualization of their intracellular localization and quantification of SV recycling. When expressed in neurons, the localization of mutant SYT1I368T-pHluorin was identical to that of SYT1WT-pHluorin, indicating normal targeting to nerve terminals (Figure 4, A and B). This is reflected by a similar coefficient of variation value for both SYT1WT-pHluorin and SYT1I368T-pHluorin, which reports how punctate their distribution is along the neuronal axon (24): (SYT1WT-pHluorin 116.2 ± 7.3; SYT1I368T-pHluorin 124.0 ± 7.5, n = 3 for both; P = 0.50). Both SYT1WT-pHluorin and SYT1I368T-pHluorin were expressed to equivalent levels, with total SYT1 expression levels increased by approximately 1.6- to 1.8-fold over background endogenous SYT1 (fold expression over endogenous: SYT1WT-pHluorin 1.60 ± 0.14, n = 3; SYT1I368T-pHluorin 1.77 ± 0.18, n = 4; P = 0.53). Thus, the SYT1I368T mutant is expressed in mouse neurons at an approximately equal proportion to that of endogenous SYT1 (mimicking the heterozygous nature of the variant in the proband).

We next determined whether expression of the SYT1 I368T mutant affecting normal SV recycling, since a mutation of the equivalent isoleucine to glutamate in Drosophila resulted in a reduction in evoked neurotransmitter release (25). This was achieved by monitoring the traffic of SYT1-pHluorin. SYT1-pHluorin has little or no fluorescence when inside SVs, which have a pH of approximately 5.5 (26). Therefore exocytosis can be viewed as an increase in fluorescence and retrieval of SYT1-pHluorin from the plasma membrane.
as a decrease (since SV endocytosis is the rate-limiting step rather than SV acidification; ref. 23). To specifically report SV exocytosis, cultures were incubated with bafilomycin A1 to block the activity of the vesicular proton pump, thereby preventing quenching of pHluorin fluorescence by SV acidification after endocytosis (27).

When cultures expressing either SYT1WT-pHluorin or SYT1I368T-pHluorin were challenged with a train of 1,200 action potentials in the presence of 1 μM bafilomycin to prevent vesicle recadification and subsequently exposed to NH4Cl to reveal total pHluorin fluorescence (n = 8 WT, n = 6 I368T). (C) Time course of mean ΔF/40 of SYT1-pHluorin ± SEM, normalized to NH4Cl. *P < 0.05, over time indicated (2-way ANOVA). (D) Exocytosis time constant (τ) determined by fitting a single-phase association curve to the data presented in C. Data are presented as mean ± SEM (n = 8 WT and n = 6 I368T). *P < 0.03 (t test). (E) Neurons were stimulated with a train of 300 action potentials at 10 Hz. Graph displays the time course of mean ΔF/40, of SYT1-pHluorin ± SEM, normalized to the peak of stimulation (n = 7 WT, n = 6 I368T). *P < 0.05, over time indicated (2-way ANOVA). (F) Surface SYT1-pHluorin fluorescence, determined by normalizing SYT1-pHluorin fluorescence to 100% in alkaline buffer and 0% in acidic buffer. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. n = 4, P = 0.86 (t test).

To determine whether expression of the I368T mutant specifically affected SYT1 trafficking or had a general dominant negative effect on SV recycling, we examined the effect of coexpressing SYT1 fused to the cyan protein mCerulean (mCer) (28) with a separate pHluorin reporter, vGLUT-pHluorin (29). The vGLUT-pHluorin fluorescence response was observed during challenge with 1,200 action potentials in the presence of bafilomycin A1 as before. We found no significant difference in the size of the SV recycling pool between neurons expressing mCer-SYT1WT and mCer-SYT1I368T (Figure 5A, SYT1WT-pHluorin 55.3% ± 2.3%, n = 11; SYT1I368T-pHluorin 51.3% ± 1.2% of total SV pool, n = 9; P = 0.16). When the rate of vGLUT-pHluorin fluorescence increase was calculated, a significant slowing was observed in mCer-SYT1I368T—expressing neurons compared with those expressing mCer-SYT1WT (Figure 5B). Thus,
The SYT1I368T mutant has a dominant negative effect on the rate of SV fusion events in mammalian central nerve terminals.

To determine whether the expression of mCer-SYT1I368T influences SV endocytosis, cultures transfected with vGLUT-pHluorin and either mCer-SYT1I368T or mCer-SYT1WT were stimulated with a train of 300 action potentials (10 Hz) in the absence of bafilomycin. Neurons expressing mCer-SYT1I368T displayed a faster recovery in the vGLUT-pHfluorin response when compared with mCer-SYT1WT (Figure 5C), suggesting this mutant also displays dominant effects on global SV endocytosis kinetics.

Discussion

The identification and characterization of an extremely rare de novo variant in SYT1 associated with a severe and unusual neurodevelopmental phenotype indicate that dysregulation of rapid, synchronous SV fusion and subsequent recycling can have a profound impact on human neurological function and cognitive development. Investigation of this case exemplifies the opportunity to illuminate mechanisms of neurodevelopmental disorder when large-scale genome-sequence analysis is combined with experimental neuroscience and suggests that investigations of presynaptic mechanisms will yield further clinically relevant discoveries.

To test the hypothesis that the identified de novo nonsynonymous variant in SYT1 might explain the proband’s phenotype, we first examined the properties of the gene and variant according to existing literature. Postnatal SYT1 expression is abundant throughout the cerebral cortex, whereas SYT2 is the predominant isoform in the brain stem, cranial nerves, and spinal cord (30–32), consistent with the severe cognitive impairment but without impact on human neurological function and cognitive development. Investigation of this case exemplifies the opportunity to illuminate mechanisms of neurodevelopmental disorder when large-scale genome-sequence analysis is combined with experimental neuroscience and suggests that investigations of presynaptic mechanisms will yield further clinically relevant discoveries.

To test this hypothesis directly, we carried out in vitro functional studies to examine the impact of the identified heterozygous SYT1 variant on evoked SV cycling in WT mammalian neurons. This resulted in overexpression of SYT1 above endogenous levels; however, the ratio of mutant to WT SYT1 (0.8:1) approximated to that expected of heterozygous expression. Expression of the equivalent mutation in rat SYT1 (I367T) resulted in a dominant negative effect on SV fusion kinetics reported by either SYT1-pHfluorin or vGLUT-pHfluorin; since the same SV phenotype was observed with both reporters, we suggest that heterozygous expression of SYT1I368T slows exocytosis either by limiting evoked synchronous neurotransmitter release or via desynchronization, leading to increased asynchronous release. The dominant negative effect could result from
The need for multiple soluble NSF attachment protein receptor (SNARE) complexes to fuse a SV; thus, the displacement of endogenous SYT1 by SYT1<sup>I368T</sup> in some complexes results in inefficient fusion events (23). Alternatively, the deficiency in SV fusion could stem from multimerization of mutant SYT1<sup>I368T</sup> with WT SYT1. The C2AB domains of SYT1 can oligomerize at membranes into ring-like structures in vitro that dissociate upon addition of Ca<sup>2+</sup> (36). Formation of this structure is proposed to prevent completion of the SNARE complex assembly, with calcium removing this potential fusion clamp. Thus mutant SYT1<sup>I368T</sup> may act in a dominant negative manner by oligomerizing with WT SYT1 and reducing the efficiency of calcium-triggered disassembly.

SYT1 is also required for efficient SV endocytosis in central nervous terminals, with homozygous knockout of SYT1 resulting in retarded SV retrieval kinetics (10–14). We observed that the retrieval of SYT1<sup>I368T</sup> was faster than that of SYT1<sup>WT</sup> and that SV endocytosis occurred more quickly with SYT1<sup>I368T</sup> expression compared with SYT1<sup>WT</sup>. Accelerated SV endocytosis has been observed before, in neurons derived from stonin 2–knockout mice (37). In the absence of stonin 2, an endocytic adaptor protein selective for SYT1, accelerated endocytosis was accompanied by increased expression of SYT1 at the plasma membrane due to defective SYT1 retrieval (37). However, we saw no surface stranding of SYT1<sup>I368T</sup>, suggesting the observed acceleration of SV endocytosis is due to an alternative, currently unresolved mechanism.

The effects of the SYT1 I368T variant on evoked SV fusion and endocytosis may influence the fidelity of neurotransmission. Because of this, we evaluated the electrophysiological features of the proband to identify any abnormalities that could plausibly reflect presynaptic dysfunction. We observed reduction in the amplitude and prolonged time course of single-f volley VEP, in keeping with reduced cortical excitability, but not specifically indicative of presynaptic dysfunction. A more unusual feature was enhanced frequency-dependent paired-pulse depression of the VEP. Short-term synaptic depression is dependent on the probability of SV fusion, including replenishment of SV pools and recovery of calcium-sensitive release mechanisms, including SYT1 (38, 39), with presynaptic recovery predominating over postsynaptic desensitization at central mammalian synapses (40). Xu et al. (41) extensively characterized a SYT1 mouse model and identified dynamic regulatory functions for SYT1 during burst activity, showing that its knockdown impaired cortical learning via abnormal filtering of high-frequency activity. The proband's background EEG showed extensive low-frequency oscillations suggestive of impaired filtering of thalamocortical activity. Collectively, electrophysiological observations in the proband lead us to propose that abnormal SV cycling associated with this dominant negative variant disturbs the dynamic properties of synaptic transmission, severely limiting postnatal functional brain organization, as indexed by infant-like auditory evoked responses.

In summary, abnormal kinetics of neurophysiological function have been uncovered by in vivo assessment of a child with a heterozygous SYT1 mutation and in vitro investigation of the mutation in isolated mouse neurons. There is accumulating evidence that defects in the presynaptic vesicle release and reuptake cycle can contribute to the pathophysiology of severe neurodevelopmental disorders. Deletion of the SV proteins synapsin I or synapsin II in mice results in epilepsy, learning impairments, and social-behavioral deficits that mimic symptoms of autism (42). Cultures derived from synapsin I- or II-knockout mice have functional SV pools of reduced size, and this effect is not rescued by expression of synapsin variants identified in individuals with autism and seizure disorders (43, 44). Mutations in syntaxin-binding protein 1 (STXBP1; Munc-18) have been associated with epileptic encephalopathy, intellectual disability, and movement disorders (45–47), and recently mutations in syntaxin-1B (STX1B) have also been associated with temperature-sensitive seizure disorders (48), indicating that SNARE complex assembly, SV docking, and priming are important steps in the pathway leading to SV fusion (49). Mice lacking the SV protein synaptophysin (SYP) display behavioral deficits consistent with the association between human SYP mutations and intellectual disability (50, 51). Cultured neurons derived from SYP-deficient mice display reduced endocytic rate and SV cargo-retrieval defects (24, 52). Furthermore, expression of SYP mutations identified in individuals with X-linked intellectual disability failed to rescue this cargo-retrieval defect, suggesting that disturbed kinetics of endocytosis contribute to the pathophysiology of this rare inherited neurodevelopmental disorder (53). We propose that SYT1 mutation and consequent disturbance to the SV fusion step of exocytosis and endocytosis be considered within this emerging group of presynaptic disorders, in which dynamic disruption to neurotransmission influences functional neurodevelopment, leading to a range of movement disorders and intellectual impairments.

**Methods**

**Patient recruitment and phenotyping.** Clinical phenotyping was carried out by clinical geneticists and pediatric neurologists. Neuroimaging and neurophysiology investigations at 2 time points were qualitatively reviewed by a pediatric neuroradiologist and pediatric neurophysiologist. Clinical investigations, EEG acquisition, and analysis protocols are provided in Supplemental Methods.

**Exome sequencing and bioinformatics.** Exome sequencing and trio de novo analysis were carried out for the proband and both parents as described in Supplemental Methods. Raw data are available at the European Genome-phenome Archive (study accession number EGAS00001000128; data set accession EGAD-00001000416). The de novo SYT1 variant was confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

**Functional studies.** Materials for pHluorin constructs, plasmid preparation, and hippocampal neuronal culture methods are detailed in Supplemental Methods. Immunolabeling and fluorescent imaging were performed as described previously (24), and protocols are detailed in Supplemental Methods. In all cases, n refers to the number of independent experiments performed.

**Statistics.** Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software, and all data were tested by Student’s t test (2-tailed) except time-course experiments, which were assessed by 2-way ANOVA. P < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Study approval.** Institutional review board approval was obtained from the Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee for Scotland (study reference 03/0/014). The proband’s parents provided written, informed consent for all aspects of the study, including publication of identifiable material.
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