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Abstract

RNA silencing at the transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels regulates endogenous gene expression, controls invading transposable elements (TEs) and protects the cell against viruses. Key components of the mechanism are small RNAs (sRNAs) of 21-24 nucleotides (nt) that guide the silencing machinery to their nucleic acid targets in a nucleotide sequence-specific manner. Transcriptional gene silencing is associated with 24 nt sRNAs and RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) at cytosine residues in three DNA sequence contexts (CG, CHG and CHH). We previously demonstrated that 24 nt sRNAs are mobile from shoot to root in Arabidopsis thaliana and confirmed that they mediate DNA methylation at three sites in recipient cells. In this study we extend that finding by demonstrating that RdDM of thousands of loci in root tissues is dependent upon mobile sRNAs from the shoot and that mobile sRNA-dependent DNA methylation occurs predominantly in non-CG contexts. Mobile sRNA-dependent non-CG methylation is largely dependent on the DRM1/DRM2 RdDM pathway but independent of the CMT2/3 DNA methyltransferases. Specific superfamilies of TEs, including those typically found in gene-rich euchromatic regions, lose DNA methylation in a mutant lacking 22 to 24 nt sRNAs (dicer-like 2, 3, 4 triple mutant). Transcriptome analyses identified a small number of genes whose expression in roots is associated with mobile sRNAs and connected to DNA methylation directly or indirectly. Finally, we demonstrate that sRNAs from shoots of one accession move across a graft union and target DNA methylation de novo at normally unmethylated sites in the genomes of root cells from a different accession.

Significance statement

Small RNAs (sRNAs) of 24 nucleotides are associated with transcriptional gene silencing by targeting DNA methylation to complementary sequences. We demonstrated previously sRNAs move from shoot to root, where they regulate DNA methylation of three endogenous transposable elements (TEs). However, the full extent of root DNA methylation dependent on mobile sRNAs was unknown. We demonstrate that DNA methylation at thousands of sites depends upon mobile sRNAs. These sites are associated with TE superfamilies found in gene rich regions of the genome, which lose methylation selectively in an sRNA-deficient mutant. If the TEs were able to reactivate they could cause genome instability and altered gene expression patterns, with negative effects on the plant. Consequently, mobile sRNAs may defend against these TEs.
RNA silencing in plants and animals is a process that controls gene expression at both the transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels (1). In plants small non-coding RNAs (sRNAs), 21 to 24 nucleotides (nt) in length (2, 3), direct the RNA silencing machinery to target nucleic acids in a sequence-specific manner (4). The 21/22 nt sRNAs are primarily associated with mRNA cleavage and are involved in post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS) (3). The 24 nt sRNAs are primarily associated with RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) and transcriptional gene silencing (2, 5). However, a recent study suggests that both 21 and 24 nt sRNAs are involved in deposition of DNA methylation (6). It is proposed that the 21 nt sRNAs may establish DNA methylation, whereas the 24 nt species are involved in its amplification and maintenance (7, 8).

RdDM involves methylation of cytosine residues in CG, CHG and CHH sequence contexts (where H denotes any base except G) (9, 10). It is closely associated with repressive chromatin marks at target loci (11) and blocks gene transcription when present in promoter regions (12). RdDM maintains genome integrity by repression of transposable element (TE) activity, as well as contributing to environmental and developmental regulation of gene expression (4, 8, 13-17). The methylation status of DNA is heritable through both meiosis and mitosis, allowing it to persistently alter gene expression (18-20).

Initial establishment of RdDM involves cleavage of double-stranded RNA by DICER-LIKE (DCL) proteins to form 21 nt to 24 nt sRNAs, which load into ARGONAUTE (AGO) proteins (4, 21). These nucleoprotein complexes target chromatin-associated scaffold transcripts in a sequence-specific manner (22-24). The chromatin-bound complexes then recruit DOMAINS REARRANGED METHYLTRANSFERASES 1 and 2 (DRM1 and DRM2) that methylate DNA in CG, CHG and CHH sequence contexts (8, 25). A complex set of maintenance mechanisms ensures persistence of established DNA methylation through cell division and even between generations. Most of these mechanisms are independent of RNA and involve epigenetic histone marks. The VARIANT IN METHYLATION (VIM) family proteins 1, 2 and 3 and DNA METHYLTRANSFERASE 1 (MET1) maintain efficiently CG context methylation, resulting in near complete methylation of target sequences (26-28). Non-CG context methylation (i.e. CHG, CHH) is maintained by a self-reinforcing loop involving KRYPTONITE family enzymes (29). These proteins recognize non-CG context methylated DNA and methylate lysine 9 of adjacent histone H3 (H3K9me2). CHROMOMETHYLASE (CMT) proteins CMT2 and CMT3 bind H3K9me2 and methylate adjacent non-CG sites (30) of the newly replicated DNA. Redundancy exists between target sites of CMT2 and CMT3, but their predominant functions are to maintain CHH and CHG context methylation respectively (31). The activity of CMT2 is substantially less efficient than that of MET1 so that CMT2 target sites exhibit variable levels of DNA methylation. CMT3 efficiency is intermediate between MET1 and CMT3. Both CMT2 and CMT3 typically target long TEs and gene distal TEs (31).

There are also RNA-dependent mechanisms to maintain DNA methylation that involve plant-specific DNA-dependent RNA polymerases IV and V (POL IV and POL V). These polymerases are recruited to chromatin by methyl-DNA-binding proteins SHH1 and SUVH2 and 9 (32). POL IV produces precursor RNAs that are processed into 24 nt sRNAs, whereas POL V produces chromatin bound scaffold transcripts at sites of DNA methylation (33). Together, they ensure maintenance of CG, CHG and CHH context DNA methylation through an AGO-dependent mechanism similar to the mechanism that establishes methylation, in which an AGO-sRNA recruits DRM1 and DRM2 to maintain non-CG DNA methylation (8, 25). The target sites of the DRM1/DRM2 DNA methylation maintenance pathway are largely non-overlapping with those of CMT2 and CMT3, and tend to be short, gene proximal TEs and the edges of long TEs (31, 34).

RdDM can operate cell-to-cell and systemically due to translocation of 23-24 nt sRNAs from shoots to roots (35). In our previous studies we confirmed that these mobile 23-24 nt sRNAs
target RdDM and TGS at one transgene and RdDM at three endogenous TEs (35-37). Depletion of shoot sRNAs corresponded with reduction of 23-24 nt sRNAs in wild-type roots, indicating shoot-derived sRNAs contribute to the total root sRNA population (36). In this study we investigate the extent to which mobile sRNAs mediate genome-wide RdDM. Our approach, as before, is to analyze sRNA and DNA methylation in roots of grafted plants that are defective for the production of the 24 nt sRNA species associated with RdDM. The sRNAs in these grafted plants move predominantly from shoot to root following source-sink gradients (36) and, by grafting different genotypes as shoots, we identify changes in DNA methylation and gene expression in the roots that are dependent on mobile sRNAs.

We show that mobile sRNAs influence genomic DNA methylation at thousands of loci, and that the affected loci are predominantly associated with transposons of specific classes. A very small number of protein coding genes were influenced by this mobile RdDM. The mobile sRNA-dependent DNA methylation is associated with the DRM1/DRM2 RdDM pathway but not the CMT2/3 DNA methyltransferase pathway. Furthermore, we demonstrate that mobile sRNAs unique to one accession established DNA methylation de novo in unmethylated regions of the genome of a second accession.

**Results**

**Identification of DNA methylation loci targeted by mobile sRNAs**

The primary aims of our study were to determine how many genomic loci in *Arabidopsis* may be targeted by RdDM due to the direct action of mobile sRNAs (Fig. 1) and to compare these loci with other sRNA targeted and cytosine methylated regions throughout the genome. We reasoned that mobile sRNAs that direct RdDM may be associated with specific features of the genome and depend upon specific genes in the RdDM pathway. In addition, we aimed to determine how many of these directly-affected loci might influence gene expression. It could be, for example, that DNA methylation, leading to TGS, of a transposon target of mobile sRNA affects expression of an adjacent gene.

In previous work we compared sRNA populations in roots of WT *Arabidopsis thaliana* (accessions Col-0, termed Col, and C24) and a dicer-like 2 dicer-like 3 dicer-like 4 triple mutant (Col background, termed dcl234) that had been grafted to C24, Col and dcl234 shoots in order to identify loci producing mobile sRNAs in the *Arabidopsis* genome. The dcl234 triple mutant is unable to produce 22 to 24 nt sRNAs associated with RNA silencing (23). We reasoned that any 22 to 24 nt sRNAs present in dcl234 roots grafted to WT shoots must have moved from the grafted WT root (36). Loci similarly represented by sRNA reads in C24/C24, C24/Col, Col/Col and C24/dcl234 but not dcl234/dcl234 datasets (notation shoot/root, with underline indicating the analyzed plant part) could be confidently assigned as producing mobile sRNA, since the WT shoot complements the inability of dcl234 roots to produce sRNA. Contrastingly, the sRNAs from loci that were absent in C24/dcl234 and dcl234/dcl234 grafts and present in grafts with Col or C24 roots were interpreted as being dependent on DCL2, 3, 4 but not mobile. Loci where sRNAs were present in all datasets indicate sRNAs produced by DCL1 or in a DCL-independent manner.

We incorporated genome-wide MethylC-seq data in our analyses and applied the above reasoning to classify the genome-wide patterns of DNA methylation affected by graft transmissible sRNAs. We used five genotype combinations in two-way grafts to define six locus classes (A-F) by their combinations of DNA methylation and sRNA representation across graft combinations (Fig. 1, where high and low sRNA abundance are indicated by dark or light blue, respectively, and high and low DNA methylation levels by dark or light red, respectively; also SI Appendix Figs. S1, S2). Three classes of these were of primary interest:
A. “Direct” loci correspond to overlapping regions of cytosine methylation and sRNAs present in C24/C24, C24/Col, Col/Col and C24/dcl234, but not dcl234/dcl234. This model is consistent with direct targeting of DNA methylation by mobile sRNAs.

B. “Indirect” loci show the same pattern of DNA methylation between grafts as “Direct” loci, but these were not associated with sRNAs. Here we infer that there are undetectable levels of mobile sRNAs or that the change in methylation is due to indirect effects of a mobile signal.

C. “De novo methylated” loci correspond to overlapping regions of sRNAs and cytosine methylation present in C24/C24, C24/Col and C24/dcl234, but not in dcl234/dcl234 or Col/Col. These loci likely correspond to sRNAs produced uniquely by C24 that target DNA methylation to previously unmethylated regions of the Col genome.

The class A (direct) and C (de novo) loci because these are most likely to be associated with the mobile sRNA. We compared our characteristics with those of class B (indirect) loci that are associated with a mobile signal but, by definition, do not correspond with detectable candidate sRNAs. Three classes of loci (D-F) not associated with regulation of DNA methylation by mobile sRNA were also identified and are described in the Supplemental Results.

Mobile sRNA and DNA methylation

We developed a statistical framework that could be applied to sRNA and MethylC-seq data to accurately assess the models described in Fig. 1 (see Supplemental Materials and Methods sections 1, 3 and 4 for detailed explanation). Our previous sRNA abundance data (36) were reanalyzed using this framework to ensure consistency with analyses of the MethylC-seq datasets generated in the current study (Table 1). DNA methylation associated with mobile sRNA was examined separately by sequence context (CG, CHG, CHH), because the genetic requirements for each are distinct.

Our analyses revealed that non-CG DNA methylation is abundant at class A (direct) loci; we identified 13 CG, 398 CHG and 401 CHH-context class A loci with a false discovery rate (FDR) of 5% (Table 1, Dataset S1). These included the three loci we identified previously as possessing mobile sRNA associated DNA methylation (36). The association between regions of sRNA and DNA methylation that corresponded to the class A loci model was statistically significantly more frequent than expected by chance (Table 1, Z-scores; CG 154.69, CHG 77.123, CHH 84.250; p<0.05). Furthermore, class A loci of all three DNA methylation contexts overlapped statistically significantly (SI Appendix Fig. S3). Observation of co-localized DNA methylation in all three contexts is characteristic of RdDM. Fewer than 2.5% of the class A loci overlapped with regions identified as variable over 30 generations in Arabidopsis (18) and thus it is unlikely that the results are due to spontaneous epiallelic variation.

We investigated the genes potentially regulating DNA methylation at class A loci by analysis of MethylC-seq data from 86 Arabidopsis gene silencing mutant lines (31). We examined the effects of mutation of a subset of genes with known roles in RdDM (dcl2/3/4, met1, suvh4/5, ddm1, suvh4/5/6, vim1/2/3, cmt2, cmt3, and WT control) on DNA methylation at class A loci. We focused on non-CG methylation patterns because very few CG-context class A loci were identified. Mutation of drm1/2 caused the strongest reduction in non-CG context methylation in class A loci (Fig. 2, SI Appendix Fig. S4). The other mutations examined showed weaker effects, of which cmt2 and cmt3 caused the least changes in DNA methylation; cmt3 reduced CHG context DNA methylation to levels intermediate between WT and drm1/drm2 but had no effect in the CHH context, whilst cmt2 had no effect in either context. DNA methylation was reduced to levels intermediate between WT and drm1/2 in both sequence contexts by all others of these mutations. It should be noted that the MethylC-seq data from gene silencing mutant lines were generated using leaves of three-week old plants, whereas our MethylC-seq data were generated using roots of three-week old plants. We considered it appropriate to compare the two datasets because there is little evidence for tissue-specific variation in DNA methylation in Arabidopsis. We
examined the similarity of DNA methylation in these two tissues by making comparisons between
the likelihoods of methylation at loci identified as methylated in root tissue of the Col/Col graft
from our dataset and in the three-week old Col-0 (WT) leaf tissue of Stroud and colleagues (31).
DNA methylation was broadly consistent between the two tissues (Dataset S2, SI Appendix Fig.
S5). Additionally, a prior study demonstrated that DNA methylomes from two tissues in each of
eleven Arabidopsis accessions cluster by accession, not tissue type (38).

DNA methylation under indirect regulation by the mobile signal

Class B ("indirect") loci show the same pattern of DNA methylation between grafts as do class A
("direct") loci. However, they are distinguished by the lack of associated sRNA. We found that
class B and class A loci had extremely similar characteristics. Hundreds of class B loci were
detected almost exclusively in non-CG contexts (13 CG, 868 CHG, 1029 CHH; Table 1, Dataset
S1). These loci were unambiguously identified and located in the genome. We found fewer than
0.5% of the class B loci overlapped with regions previously identified as spontaneously variable
between parent and offspring plants, suggesting our results are not a consequence of this
phenomenon (18).

The distribution of the class B loci across DNA methylation contexts mirrors that of the class A
loci (13 CG, 398 CHG and 401 CHH), though substantially more class B loci were identified. We
focused on non-CG class B loci since so few CG loci were identified. The association between
regions lacking sRNAs and possessing DNA methylation, corresponding to the class B loci
model, was lower than would be expected by chance (Table 1; CHG, p=0, Z=-92.603; CHH, p=0,
Z=-105.48). This pattern suggests that methylation loci regulated by a mobile signal are
negatively associated with regions lacking in small RNAs.

The class A and B loci were also influenced similarly by mutations in RdDM pathway genes (31)
(Fig. 2, SI Appendix Fig. S4). Mutation of drm1/2 caused the strongest reduction in non-CG
context DNA methylation (Fig. 2, SI Appendix Fig. S4). All other mutations examined reduced
non-CG context methylation at class B loci except cmt2. Notably, cmt3 had the next weakest
effect, reducing CHG context DNA methylation but not affecting CHH context methylation.

In summary, there was very little to distinguish class A and class B loci, suggesting they are
ultimately under the regulation of the same mobile signal. In principle this signal could be an as
yet unidentified secondary regulatory factor dependent on the DCL234-dependent sRNA at the
class A loci. Alternatively it could be caused by the very low levels of DCL234-dependent sRNA
that fail to pass the significance thresholds in our model (SI Appendix Figs. S6-8), or which are
not well sequenced.

We used an independent statistical approach to estimate the total number of class A and B loci in
the dataset, as a complement to the results above (see Supplemental Materials and Methods
section 5 for detailed explanation). This approach evaluates all loci that may exist without
specifying genomic coordinates. We estimate that there exist 72 CG (59-85 with 95%
confidence), 1557 CHG (1506-1608 with 95% confidence) and 2238 CHH (2170-2303 with 95%
confident) loci of class A, and 526 CG (485-568 with 95% confidence), 5699 CHG (5597-5802
with 95% confidence) and 10943 CHH (10785-11099 with 95% confidence) loci of class B by this
approach. From these data we conclude that thousands of DNA methylation loci found
predominantly in the non-CG context may be regulated by the mobile signal.

DNA methylation regulated by the mobile signal associates with specific features of the
genome

Non-CG context class A loci were significantly associated with TEs and promoters containing
TEs, but not with promoters that do not contain TEs (Fig. 3A). Contrastingly, they were
significantly depleted in genes, coding regions and 5' UTRs. CG context class A loci were
significantly associated with TEs only. Further analysis showed that class A loci associated
significantly with many superfamilies of TE (Fig. 3B). RAlts, LINE and SINE superfamily TEs were targeted more strongly than other TEs, most clearly so by the non-CG context class A loci. TEs are broadly grouped according to their replication strategies as Type 1 (retroelements) or Type 2 (DNA elements), and the targeted superfamilies are all short, gene-proximal Type 1 TEs. Class A loci were targeted most highly by 23-24 nt mobile sRNAs, eliminated in dcl234/dcl234 grafts (Fig. 3C). These results indicate that DNA methylation directly targeted by the shoot-root mobile sRNA is associated with specific TE superfamilies.

Non-CG class B loci were also associated significantly with TEs and promoters containing TEs (Fig. 4A, SI Appendix Fig. S2), as were non-CG class A loci. They were associated with many different TE superfamilies, most strongly so with certain Type 1 retroelement superfamilies (RAlts, LINE, SINE). They also showed clear associations with specific retroelement superfamilies (Type 2 TEs) including DNA Mariner, Pogo and HAT.

The genome features associated with class A and B loci might either be specific to these locus classes and to the mobile signal or they could be driven by dependence of the loci upon DCL234-derived sRNAs. To address this point we compared the features associated with class A and B loci to all features that lose DNA methylation in dcl234/dcl234 grafts. The features that lost DNA methylation in dcl234/dcl234 grafts were highly similar to those associated with class A and B loci (SI Appendix Fig. S9). The data indicate a specific subset of TEs lose DNA methylation in the dcl234 mutant. Furthermore, they suggest that dependency upon DCL234 drives the features associated with class A and B loci rather than these features being specific to the mobile signal.

The influence of the mobile signal on gene expression

We examined whether DNA methylation regulated by the mobile signal may influence gene expression. Root transcriptomes of the graft combinations described by Fig. 1 and SI Appendix Fig S1 (excluding C24/C24) were profiled by RNA-seq. A total of 23 transcripts were identified as significantly differentially regulated in a manner that indicated association with the mobile signal; AT2G01880 - PURPLE ACID PHOSPHATASE 7, AT2G36490 - REPRESSOR OF SILENCING 1 (ROS1), AT3G43270 - pectin methylesterase. We measured the number of differentially expressed genes between C24/Col and dcl234/dcl234 roots as a control. Twenty-seven transcripts were identified as significantly differentially regulated in roots of dcl234 compared to WT roots (Datasets S4A, S4B). Differential expression of ROS1 (AT2G36490.1) was confirmed in roots of dcl234/dcl234 versus C24/dcl234 and C24/Col by quantitative real time PCR (Q-RT-PCR, SI Appendix Fig. S10). These findings demonstrate that loss of DCL2, DCL3 and DCL4 causes differential expression of a small number of genes, consistent with data in previous reports (39).

We investigated whether transcripts dependent upon DCL234 (Datasets S4A, S4B) or associated with the mobile signal (Datasets S3A, S3B) might also depend upon DRM1/2. This was done by identifying transcripts differentially regulated between WT and the drm1/2 mutant using published RNA-seq data. These data were generated from leaves of three-week old plants in parallel with the MethylC-seq data discussed by Fig. 3 and SI Appendix Fig. S4 (31). Eighty-six transcripts were significantly differentially regulated between WT and drm1/2 plants (Dataset S5). The transcript of ROS1 (AT2G36490) was shared between these and transcripts associated with the mobile signal (Dataset S3B). The transcript AT1G53480.1 was dependent upon DRM1/2 (Dataset S5) and DCL234 (Dataset S4A). We attribute the low degree of overlap between DRM1/2-dependent and mobile signal associated transcripts to tissue specificity of gene expression. Our sRNA-seq and MethylC-seq experiments were conducted on roots of three-week old plants. As discussed above, comparison between DNA methylomes from different tissues is appropriate because there is little evidence for tissue specific variation in DNA methylation. However, transcript abundance differs more between tissues. This was demonstrated by comparison of
RNA-seq data from two tissues of multiple *Arabidopsis* accessions, where transcript abundance correlated more highly between like tissues of different accessions than different tissues of one accession (38).

**Epialleles are transmitted across accessions by mobile sRNAs**

There is natural variation in RdDM and its associated sRNAs in *Arabidopsis* and the term epiallele describes genomic locations where methylation differs between accessions (38, 40, 41). We investigated whether sRNAs produced uniquely by C24, and not by Col, could direct DNA methylation *de novo* at previously unmethylated sites in the Col genome (Fig. 1; class C loci). Two class C loci were unambiguously identified in the CHG DNA methylation context and four in the CHH context (Dataset S1). Three distinct sites of *de novo* RdDM existed after taking account for overlap of these loci between DNA methylation contexts (Fig. 5). None of these loci had been previously identified as spontaneously variable between parent and offspring plants (18). These results indicate that exogenous sRNAs supplied by shoots can target *de novo* DNA methylation at unmethylated sites in the genome of root cells, thereby transmitting epiallelic states from one *Arabidopsis* accession to another.

**Discussion**

In this study we have characterized the genome-wide distribution of 23-24 nt shoot/root mobile sRNAs and identified regions of DNA methylation that they target directly (class A loci). We also identified regions of DNA methylation dependent indirectly upon mobile sRNAs (class B loci). We found that loss of mobile sRNAs generated in the shoots disrupts DNA methylation at thousands of sites in the roots. The class B (indirect) loci were most numerous, but the two classes were otherwise indistinguishable. Both were more restricted in the non-CG (CHG/CHH) DNA methylation context. The class A and B loci were also significantly associated with the same TE superfamilies. We found that these TE superfamilies also lost DNA methylation in the dcl234 mutant, which is deficient in 22 to 24 nt sRNAs, indicating that the feature association of class A and B loci was driven by the dependency of mobile sRNAs on DCL234. Our results suggest the function of mobile sRNAs is to reinforce silencing of these TEs. Furthermore, we have provided mechanistic insights into the specific RdDM pathways regulating mobile sRNA-dependent methylation. Data can be visualized in our interactive genome browser ([http://neomorph.salk.edu/mobile_methylome.php](http://neomorph.salk.edu/mobile_methylome.php)).

Our data confirm that mobile sRNA-dependent DNA methylation requires *DRM1* and *DRM2*, key components of the 24 nt RdDM pathway. There was only limited dependence upon *CMT3* and *CMT2*, both of which belong to a distinct DNA methylation maintenance pathway. Furthermore, class A and B loci were associated with the shortest superfamilies of TE (Figs. 2, 4, SI Appendix Table S1) including Type 1 retroelements, such as RATH elements (E1, E2, E3), SINEs and LINEs, as well as certain Type 2 DNA elements (Mariner, Pogo, RC Helitron). The DNA methylation pathways containing *DRM1/2* and *CMT2/3* are distinct, but overlapping, and both deposit non-CG methylation (8, 34). The *DRM1/2* pathway methylates small TEs and TE edges, whereas the *CMT2/3* pathway is responsible for methylation of long TEs (31, 34). Our data are in concordance with this pattern and they establish a relationship of mobile sRNA-dependent methylation with a specific RNA silencing mechanism.

The direct and indirect (class A and B) loci are essentially identical according to our data, except that no mobile sRNAs were identified associated with indirect class B loci. These findings lead to three hypotheses for further investigation. Firstly, that the mobile sRNAs from the shoot regulate an unidentified secondary signal in the roots. This secondary signal would then directly regulate DNA methylation at the B loci. Secondly, that mobile sRNAs can direct the RNA silencing machinery to sites that they match imperfectly (i.e. with which they have mismatches in sequence homology). We required perfect matching between sRNAs and genomic sequence when identifying sRNA target loci, but it is possible that a certain degree of mismatch between mobile sRNAs and their targets is either tolerated or required in certain circumstances. However,
allowing mismatches during sRNA mapping (and therefore in targeting) permits individual sRNAs to target multiple sites due to their inherently short sequence, many of which are presumably spurious (42). Therefore, detailed analysis of individual methylation regions and thorough experimental validation is required to test this hypothesis. Moreover, it appears unlikely that the conclusions of our study would be altered by the outcome of such experiments, because we find the characteristics of class A (direct) and class B (indirect) loci to be essentially identical. The third hypothesis is that these loci are targeted by mobile sRNAs of very low abundance, which could not be detected in our sRNA-seq libraries.

Class A and B loci were substantially more numerous than the other classes (Fig. 1, Table 1, SI Appendix Table S2 and Fig. S1). The class D, E and F loci described respectively loci not methylated by mobile sRNAs, not remethylated by mobile sRNAs, and loci whose methylation was independent of DCL234-derived sRNAs. Class F loci were the least numerous. This observation suggests there may be few mobile sRNA-targeted loci at which that sRNA does not regulate DNA methylation.

sRNAs of 24 nt length were proportionally the most common sRNA species detected in our sRNA-seq experiments and demonstrated the clearest shoot-root transmission (Fig. 2) (36). This study and another indicate that 21-22 nt sRNAs are also mobile and associated with DNA methylation (37). These data are consistent with previous proposals of a role for the 21-22 nt size class in RdDM (6, 7, 37, 43). Furthermore, the Type 1 retroelements that we find to be the predominant targets of mobile sRNA-dependent DNA methylation produce RNA intermediate replication stages, making it possible that if transcriptionally active they are also targets of PTGS via mobile 21 nt sRNAs (44). However, fewer 21-22 nt sRNAs were mobile than 24 nt sRNAs, suggesting 24 nt sRNAs are the primary shoot-root signal. The design of our experiment did not permit us to completely eliminate the possibility that “mobile” 21 nt sRNAs are produced in the roots. This is because the dcl234 mutant contains functional DCL1, which generates 21 nt sRNAs, and the dcl234 mutant eliminates their production only partially (23, 45-47). Detailed investigation of their contribution would involve generation of additional series of Arabidopsis mutant grafts and is complicated by the lethality of a dcl1 null plant (48).

Although mobile sRNAs have minimal effects on gene expression in Arabidopsis, we predict that their influence would be much greater in TE rich genomes. In such genomes, including those of many common crops, mobile sRNAs may be an important mechanism of genome defense. The TE superfamilies targeted by mobile sRNAs are enriched in euchromatic regions, typically within c. 500 nt of the nearest gene (49, 50). TEs inserted near genes can have dramatic effects on gene expression (15, 50). Expression of a small number of transcripts was differentially regulated in our study, consistent with previous observations. (39). However, the A. thaliana genome contains substantially fewer TEs than related outcrossing species, such as A. lyrata (51, 52). Furthermore, TEs in the A. thaliana genome show a lower rate of active transposition (51). This suggests that TEs in the A. thaliana genome may have relatively less influence on gene expression than TEs in outcrossing species, which would result in fewer mobile sRNA-dependent regulated genes. Nonetheless, those genes that did exhibit mobile sRNA-dependent regulation had diverse functions, indicating they may have significant influence in the correct conditions. Moreover, mobile sRNAs are able to move into both meristematic and meiotically active tissues, where they can alter DNA methylation and gene expression (37, 53). In these tissues it is essential to protect genome stability by repression of TEs so that gametes and developing organs are not harmed (54, 55).

Grafting is routinely used in agriculture to combine rootstocks and shoots (scions) with desirable characteristics, such as for grapevine, apples and tomatoes (56, 57). We have demonstrated that mobile sRNAs regulate patterns of DNA methylation genome wide, and that expression of specific genes exhibits mobile regulation. Base-resolution methylenes are being actively generated in multiple species, including crops and non-model plants (38, 58-60). Clear diversity in the epigenomes of closely related subspecies and accessions has been observed from these data (38, 58). With our demonstration that site-specific transmission of epiallele states from one
accession to another can be achieved by grafting and by de novo methylation of unmethylated DNA it is likely that at least some effects of grafting are due to the movement of RNA. Our findings also indicate that DNA methylomes may provide a potential new resource to growers who employ grafting. They could consider potential modification of gene expression patterns in sink tissues via sRNA transmission from source tissues. The mobile sRNAs can alter DNA methylation in germ line tissue (37) so that DNA methylation patterns altered by grafting may be heritable by progeny plants (37, 61).

In summary, we have shown that transmission of sRNAs from shoots to roots of Arabidopsis regulates DNA methylation at thousands of sites genome wide. Mobile sRNA-dependent methylation is predominantly in the non-CG context (CHG and CHH), and is associated with short Type 1 retroelements found in gene rich regions of the genome. We confirm that deposition of mobile sRNA-dependent methylation is dependent upon the DRM1 and DRM2 RdDM pathway, and largely independent of the CMT2 and CMT3 methylation pathway. Our conclusions underpin future research into why plants possess a system for communicating methylation status from shoot to root tissues.

Materials and Methods
See also Supplemental Materials and Methods.

Plant Materials and Grafting
Plants of Arabidopsis thaliana accessions Col-0 and C24 were used in our experiments, as well as a previously described dcl2-1/dcl3-1/dcl4-2 triple mutant (Col-0 background) (23). The C24 plants had a GFP transgene silenced by a partial GFP inverted-repeat, termed GxGF-IR, as previously described (36). Arabidopsis plants were grown under 10 h supplemental fluorescent lighting at 20ºC, on vertical plates of 0.8% agar, 1⁄2 Murashige and Skoog media, pH 5.7. Micrografting was conducted seven days after germination as previously described (57, 62). Plant tissue was harvested five weeks after grafting, taking care to separate root from shoot and exclude tissue 0.3-0.5 cm surrounding the graft junction. Two independent bioreplicates were conducted for all RNA-seq samples, and two to four for each MethylC-seq sample (SI Appendix Table S3).

MethylC-seq and RNA-seq Library Construction
DNA for the MethylC-seq libraries was prepared from 3-4 pooled plants per sample using the Gentra Puregene Tissue Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer's instructions. MethylC-seq libraries were constructed as described previously (63). RNA-seq libraries were prepared from total RNA extracted as previously described (36). RNA-seq libraries were generated with the ScriptSeq RNA-seq Library Preparation Kit (Epicentre) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Sequencing operations
MethylC-seq and RNA-seq libraries were sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq 2000 and 2500 platforms respectively according to the manufacturer's instructions. MethylC-seq libraries were sequenced for 101 cycles and RNA-seq libraries for 100 cycles. Image analyses and basecalling were carried out using the manufacturer supplied software and standard parameters.

Sequencing analyses
See Supplemental Materials and Methods for detailed description of analytical methods and software. In brief, sRNA data were aligned to the TAIR9 reference genome requiring perfect
When analyzing MethylC-seq data we used YAMA (Yet Another Methylome Aligner), based upon Bowtie 2, to map reads to the genome (source code available at https://github.com/tjh48/YAMA). Mapping statistics of libraries are given in SI Appendix Table S3. Methylation loci were then identified independently in each context (CG, CHG, CHH) using the segmentSeq R package (64), accounting for nonconversion rates in each sample (65). Subsequently, loci exhibiting various models of differential methylation were identified using the empirical Bayesian methods for analysis of methylation data (64) with the baySeq R package (66, 67). Average methylation profiles were calculated by dividing defined regions into an equal number of windows and calculating methylation across these windows. Gene annotations were retrieved from the Arabidopsis Information Portal (https://www.araport.org) (68) and transposon annotations from The Arabidopsis Information Resource (69). Estimations of the numbers of loci expected to fit a given model were calculated using the sum of the posterior likelihoods for that model and confidence intervals were calculated by simulating ten thousand sets of true and false positives based on the posterior likelihoods. Unambiguous lists of specific differentially represented loci were identified by applying a false discovery rate of lower than 0.05 to the model, which in general represents a more conservative analysis. Associations between DMRs, genome features and sRNAs were assessed using a block-bootstrap method (70). Intersections between loci and genome features were normalized for abundance of loci and features, the sizes of loci and features and how loci and features might cluster within the genome when plotting. We did so by calculating the number of features overlapped by the DNA methylation/sRNA loci per megabase total feature length per megabase total locus length. This approach gave a visually accurate representation of significance of association. Independent measurements of statistical significance based upon the block-bootstrap analyses are presented beneath the bars also. Promoters were defined as 2000 nt preceding a TSS. Data were deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (accession E-MTAB-3473).

Q-RT-PCR

Transcript abundance was assessed in roots of independent graft plants according as described previously (37). Fold changes in abundance of test transcripts relative to the ACTIN 2 transcript (AT3G18780), a stable control (71), were calculated using delta delta Ct methodology (72, 73). Primer sequences (5' - 3') were: GGATATTGTAGCAAGCCACAG (ROS1 forward), CAAAATCTCATGGAGAAAGAC (ROS1 reverse), GCCATCCAGCTGTCTTC (ACTIN 2 forward), CCCTCTTGGACTTGCCACAGT (ACTIN 2 reverse).
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Genomic loci in Arabidopsis roots were classified according to their combination of sRNA and DNA methylation status. Grafts were made between shoots and roots of various Arabidopsis genotypes (combinations indicated at top, denoted shoot/root). Target loci of sRNAs and DNA methylation were identified by analyzing MethylC-seq and sRNA-seq data from roots of all graft combinations. Each locus classification was defined by a specific combination of sRNA and DNA methylation (mC) levels across the five graft combinations. “+” denotes a relatively high level of mC or sRNA, whilst “−” denotes a relatively low level. Classifications were designated A–C and are indicated on the left. See also SI Appendix Fig. S1.

Figure 2. DNA methylation of mobile sRNA associated loci (class A, direct, and B, indirect) is reduced most strongly by drm1/2 mutation. The plots show the mean proportion of DNA methylation across class A and B loci in mutants of RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) pathway components and wild-type (WT) plants. The legend on the right indicates which color represents each mutant. Data are shown separately for non-CG (CHG and CHH) sequence contexts, which had the strongest association with mobile sRNAs. The proportion of methylation was calculated per base (between 0 and 1, unmethylated to fully methylated) for all loci within a class using published methylC-seq data from RdDM mutants (31). Loci were then normalized to same size and the mean proportion of DNA methylation calculated across them. The profiles of the mean proportion of methylation across the size-normalized loci are plotted between the dashed vertical lines (indicated by the solid black bar labeled loci). Mean proportion of methylation in flanking DNA, 4kb upstream and downstream of the loci, are indicated to the left and right of the dashed vertical lines, respectively. Total number of loci assessed is given in parentheses at the top right corner of each plot.

Figure 3. Mobile sRNA directly targets DNA methylation at promoters containing transposable elements. (A) CHG and CHH (non-CG) context class A loci are enriched in TEs and in promoters containing TEs, but are depleted in genes and coding sequences (CDS). Very few CG context loci were identified. (B) Non-CG context class A loci preferentially target classes of Type 1 retroelement, indicated by significant enrichment. They also, less strongly, target some Type 2 DNA element classes. (C) The class A loci are targeted by graft-transmissible 23-24 nt sRNAs (the known mobile class). Significance levels; # = 0 < p < 10^{-5}, + = 10^{-4} < p < 10^{-5}, * = 10^{-3} < p < 10^{-4}, ! = 10^{-2} < p < 10^{-3}, blue = under-represented, red = over-represented (relative to background). (A) CDS – coding sequence, TE – transposable element, UTR – untranslated region. (A, B) Y-axis units normalize the feature/locus overlap by both sum of genome feature size and sum of methylation locus size, which permits comparison between columns. These units are number of annotated features per total megabase (MB) of named feature per total MB of methylation in locus class. Numbers of loci in each DNA methylation context shown within parentheses in the legend. (C) Loci were normalized to same size on graph, indicated by dark bar on position axis, flanked by sRNA coverage 4kb upstream and downstream of locus. Numbers of loci assessed are given in parentheses.

Figure 4. Loci where DNA methylation is indirectly targeted by mobile sRNAs (class B) exhibit similar characteristics to loci that are directly targeted (class A). (A) Non-CG (CHG and CHH) context B loci are significantly enriched in TEs and in promoters containing TEs, but are depleted in promoters that do not contain TEs, genes and coding sequences (CDS). Very few CG context loci were identified. (B) Non-CG context B loci target most classes of TEs, but show most significant association with classes of Type 1 retroelement. They also, less strongly, target some Type 2 DNA element classes. Y-axis units normalize the feature/locus overlap by both sum of feature size and sum of methylation locus size, which permits comparison between columns. These units are number of annotated features per total megabase (MB) of named feature per total MB of methylation in locus class. Significance levels; # = 0 < p < 10^{-5}, + = 10^{-4} < p < 10^{-5}, * = 10^{-3} < p < 10^{-4}, ! = 10^{-2} < p < 10^{-3}, blue = under-represented, red = over-represented (relative to background). TE – transposable element, UTR – untranslated region. Numbers of loci assessed are given in parentheses.
Figure 5. C24-derived sRNAs can target DNA methylation \textit{de novo} at unmethylated regions of the Col-0 genome. Three such \textit{de novo} loci are shown in AnnoJ genome browser screenshots. The screenshots display sRNA reads and methylated cytosine residues (mC) for graft combinations C24/C24, Col/Col and C24/Col. Results from two independent biological replicates, suffixed 1 and 2, are shown for each graft combination. Note the groups of sRNA reads present only in C24/C24 and C24/Col, which correlate with the presence of mC. Red and green sRNAs indicate that they map to the Watson (W) and Crick (C) strands, respectively. Gold, blue and pink mC positions indicate methylation in the CG, CHG and CHH context, respectively.