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Key points

• Thermal switches can explain the behavior of small glaciers during LIA climate cycle
• The surges of large glaciers cannot be explained by thermal switching
• Enthalpy cycling can explain dynamic switches of both small and large Svalbard glaciers
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Abstract

Switches between cold- and warm-based conditions have long been invoked to explain surges of High Arctic glaciers. Here, we compile existing and new data on the thermal regime of six glaciers in Svalbard to test the applicability of thermal switch models. Two of the large glaciers of our sample are water-terminating while one is land-terminating. All three have a well-known surge history. They have a thick basal layer of temperate ice, superimposed by cold ice. A cold terminus forms during quiescence, but is mechanically removed by calving on tidewater glaciers. The other three glaciers are relatively small, and are either entirely cold or have a diminishing warm core. All three bear evidence of former warm-based thermal regimes and, in two cases, surge-like behavior during the Little Ice Age. In Svalbard, therefore, three types of glaciers have switched from slow to fast flow: (1) small glaciers that underwent thermal cycles during and following the LIA (switches between cold- and warm-based conditions), (2) large terrestrial glaciers which remain warm-based throughout the entire surge cycle but develop cold termini during quiescence, and (3) large tidewater glaciers that remain warm-based throughout the surge cycle. Our results demonstrate that thermal switching cannot explain the surges of large glaciers in Svalbard. We apply the concept of enthalpy cycling to the spectrum of surge and surge-like behavior displayed by these glaciers and demonstrate that all Svalbard surge-type glaciers can be understood within a single conceptual framework.
1. Introduction

The idea that glacier surges can be explained by changes in basal thermal conditions has a long history [e.g. Clarke, 1976; Mayer et al., 2011; Robin, 1955; 1969]. Simply stated, glaciers where the bed temperature is below the pressure-melting point (hereafter, cold-based glaciers) may be susceptible to thermal and dynamic instabilities if ice thickening raises basal temperatures and driving stresses, leading to increased rates of ice deformation and frictional heating. Positive feedbacks between strain heating and ice creep may raise the ice temperature to the pressure-melting point, whereupon continued heat generation will melt ice and produce water at the bed. If more meltwater is created that can be discharged, basal water pressure rises, reducing basal drag and accelerating glacier sliding. This accelerated motion (surge) yields geometric changes contributing to stretching and thinning of the glacier. Drainage of basal water and conductive cooling return the glacier to its initial state, whereupon the cycle can begin once more. It has long been recognized that the existence of temperate surging glaciers means that thermal instability cannot provide a general explanation of all surges [Clarke, 1976; Clarke and Goodman, 1975; Jarvis and Clarke, 1975], although thermal instability remains a viable surge mechanism for glaciers with basal temperatures near the melting point.

Inspired by observations of Bakaninbreen, Svalbard [Murray et al., 2000] and Trapridge Glacier, Yukon Territory, Canada [e.g. Clarke et al., 1984], Fowler et al. [2001] developed a system of equations representing the coupled thermodynamics, hydrology and dynamics of thermally controlled surging for a glacier underlain by deformable till and permeable bedrock. They considered three states of the bed; 'warm' (till unfrozen, with the freezing front at the ice-till interface), 'cold' (freezing front beneath the till-bedrock interface), and 'sub-temperate' (till partially frozen), varying in extent in space and time. Simulations with a simplified 1-D lumped parameter version of the model exhibited a range of oscillatory behaviors, including
'fast' and 'slow' surges. A key finding was that oscillatory behavior occurs when the hydraulic conductivity of the bed is small, and that a steady-state occurs where water can escape from the system fast enough to evacuate basal meltwater. The existence of a frozen glacier tongue and subglacial and proglacial permafrost may play a key role in controlling water flux, and consequently patterns of surge propagation and termination [e.g. Murray et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2002].

The aim of this paper is to test the hypothesis that thermal switching can explain surging behavior of polythermal glaciers in Svalbard. A wide range of glaciers are known or inferred to have surged in the archipelago, including small valley glaciers, tidewater glaciers, and land-terminating outlet glaciers, with varying thermal regimes from cold-based to polythermal [Dowdeswell et al., 1984; Hagen et al., 1993; Jiskoot et al., 2000; Lovell et al., 2015; Sund et al., 2009]. This variability makes Svalbard an ideal location to assess the role that switches in basal thermal regime play in the initiation and evolution of surges. In this study, we use ground-penetrating radar (GPR) data to investigate the thermal regime of six glaciers in Svalbard, and other lines of evidence to infer their longer-term thermal evolution and its relationship to their dynamic history. Published GPR data are available for many Svalbard glaciers, including most of the glaciers in this study [e.g. Bamber, 1987; Björnsson et al., 1996; Dowdeswell et al., 1984], although these data were acquired and processed using a wide variety of methods. In this study, therefore, we collected new GPR profiles to obtain a homogeneous dataset for a sample of glaciers with a range of sizes, terminus types, and dynamic histories. We show that thermal switches are implicated in some surges, but cannot explain others. We go on to consider whether multiple mechanisms must be invoked to explain Svalbard surges, or if all can be understood within a single conceptual framework.
2. Study sites

The Svalbard archipelago, Arctic Norway, contains one of the highest proportions of surge-type glaciers in the world [Sevestre and Benn, 2015], with the percentage of glaciers classified as surge-type varying from 13% to 90% [Jiskoot et al., 2000; Hagen et al., 1993]. To some extent, this range of estimates reflects different definitions of ‘surges’, and the criteria employed for their identification, an issue we return to later in this paper. Six glaciers in the main island of Spitsbergen were investigated in this study, chosen to provide a representative sample of different glacier sizes and geometries. These are: Von Postbreen, a large land-terminating glacier; Kongsvegen and Tunabreen, large tidewater glaciers, and Midtre Lovénbreen, Tellbreen and Longyearbreen, small valley glaciers. Previous studies have compared GPR profiles with borehole temperature data from two of the glaciers (Kongsvegen and Midtre Lovénbreen [Björnsson et al., 1996; Hagen et al., 1993]). Inclusion of these glaciers in our sample thus provides important elements for interpreting GPR data from other sites.

Von Postbreen (78.45°N; 17.82°E) is the largest glacier in our sample with a length of 31 km and an area of 168 km² [König et al., 2013] (Fig. 1c). The glacier has two tongues, both of which now terminate on land. Von Postbreen is known to have surged in 1870 [De Geer, 1910], when it advanced into Tempelfjorden and deposited a large moraine [Forwick et al., 2010]. Von Postbreen was formerly confluent with the tidewater glacier Tunabreen, which has an independent surge history (see below). In addition, Von Postbreen’s northern tributary Bogebreen surged in 1980 [Dowdeswell et al., 1984]. Von Postbreen is currently quiescent. Numerous studies have investigated the thermal structure and bed topography of Von Postbreen, including gravity surveys [Oélsner, 1966] and echo sounding surveys [Dowdeswell et al., 1984]. The latter revealed the two-layered thermal structure of the glacier with cold layer overlying thick temperate ice (Fig. 1e).
Kongsvegen (78.79°N; 13.15°E) is 24 km in length and 108 km² in area [König et al., 2013] (Fig. 2c). It terminates at the head of Kongsfjorden and is partially grounded and partially calving at its front. It is confluent with Kronebreen, one of the fastest-flowing glaciers of the archipelago [Lefauconnier et al., 1994]. Historical records indicate three large advances of the Kongsvegen-Kronebreen system: in 1800, 1869 and c. 1948, suggesting a cycle of 70 - 80 years [Lefauconnier, 1987; Liestøl, 1988; Voigt, 1965; Woodward et al., 2002]. The surge of 1948 resulted in an advance of 2 km, and is fully attributable to Kongsvegen, which compressed and deflected the tongue of Kronebreen. The glacier is currently in quiescence, with velocities of only 1.4 to 3.6 m a⁻¹ [Melvold and Hagen, 1998]. Since its last surge the glacier has been retreating at a rate of 250 m a⁻¹ [Lefauconnier et al., 1994]. Elevation changes on the glacier indicate that it may be building-up to a new surge. Between 1966 and 2005, Kongsvegen thickened by about 0.5 m a⁻¹ in its upper parts, and thinned by ~1 m a⁻¹ at lower elevations [Nuth et al., 2010]. Published work shows that the glacier consists of temperate ice overlain by a cold surface layer [Björnsson et al., 1996]. The cold layer becomes thinner with increasing elevation, and the glacier is temperate over its entire depth in its upper reaches. Direct temperature measurements in two boreholes [Hagen et al., 1993] showed ~150 m of warm ice below a ~100 m thick cold layer in the ablation zone (375 m a.s.l.), and >300 m of warm ice beneath only 15 m of cold ice in the accumulation zone (625 m a.s.l.) (Fig. 2e).

Tunabreen (78.56°N; 17.61°E) is a large tidewater glacier flowing from Filchnerfonna and Lomonosovfonna into Tempelfjorden (Fig. 3c). The glacier covers an area of 163 km² and is 27.5 km long [König et al., 2013]. Like Kongsvegen, Tunabreen is among the few glaciers of Svalbard known to have surged three times, first in the 1930s, then in the early 1970s and between 2003 and 2005 [Fleming et al., 2013; Flink et al., 2015; Forwick et al., 2010; Hodgkins and Dowdeswell, 1994] with each surge yielding an advance less extensive than the
previous one. During its last surge, the glacier advanced 1.4 km into Tempelfjorden. The surges of Tunabreen are characteristically short. The 1970 surge is believed to have ended in 1971 [Flink, 2013; Plassen et al., 2004], while the most recent surge lasted about two years. Tunabreen is separated from Von Postbreen by an ice-cored moraine deposited by the latter during its 1870 surge. The thermal regime of the glacier was determined in 1983 by the Scott Polar Institute during an extensive campaign collecting echo-sounding data on thirty four tidewater glaciers in Svalbard [Drewry and Liestøl, 1985]. A centerline profile collected at 60 MHz is available in Bamber [1987] (Figure 3d). Although it extends to the glacier terminus, neither the bed nor internal reflecting horizon (IRH) could be identified in this area due to multiple reflections from surface crevasses. Temperate ice was detected 2 km from the terminus and thickens with the glacier over 14 km. A surface layer of cold ice decreases in thickness from about 150 m to 100 m towards the upper end of the profile. The timing of the survey (1983) is particularly useful for the purposes of our study, because Tunabreen surged in 1970 and again in 2003-05. The survey thus provides valuable insight into the pre-surge state of the glacier. The IRH identified by Bamber [1987] intersects the 2002 calving front approximately 70 m above the bed. We believe that the position of the IRH is unlikely to have greatly changed in the intervening time, as heat conduction to the surface would be too slow to bring the front to a cold state. Thus, at surge onset, the bed of Tunabreen appears to have been entirely temperate due to efficient removal of ice by calving.

Midtre Lovénbreen (78.88°N; 12.03°E) is one of the most studied glaciers in Svalbard. It is about 4.4 km long and has an area of 5.2 km², and consists of four accumulation basins [König et al., 2013] (Fig. 4c). Since the late 19th century, the glacier has retreated ~ 1 km [Glasser and Hambrey, 2001]. Centerline velocities range from 7.3 to 3.7 m a⁻¹ in the upper and lower tongue respectively [Rippin et al., 2005]. The glacier has the longest record of mass-balance of the Arctic, covering nearly 47 years since 1967. It is currently thinning at an
overall mean rate of 0.37 m a\(^{-1}\) [Kohler et al., 2007]. Published data [Björnsson et al., 1996] indicate that the glacier is entirely cold where ice thicknesses are less than ~125 m, and that the tongue is frozen to the bed (Fig. 4e). Temperature data from two boreholes confirmed the depth of the cold-warm transition indicated by the GPR data [Björnsson et al., 1996]. Glasser and Hambrey [2001] and Hambrey et al. [2005] used a wide range of evidence to demonstrate that Midtre Lovénbreen was more dynamically active in the late 19\(^{th}\) century than now. The glacier was at or near its Neoglacial maximum in 1892, and photographs by Hamberg [1894] show a near-vertical terminal cliff traversed by sub-horizontal debris bands, interpreted by Hambrey et al. [2005] as actively forming thrusts containing basal debris. Aerial photographs from 1948, 1966 and 1995 reveal networks of arcuate transverse fracture traces and debris bands progressively melting out of the glacier tongue, interpreted as evidence of formerly widespread thrust faulting. Hambrey et al. [2005] concluded that at the Neoglacial maximum, much of the lower glacier was actively sliding over soft, wet till, and that longitudinal compressive flow in the terminal zone resulted in thrust faulting and elevation of basal debris to the surface. Following this active phase, a major reduction in dynamic activity took place in the early 20\(^{th}\) century, concurrent with loss of mass and retreat. As the ice thinned, the winter cold wave was able to penetrate the bed and freeze the substrate, effectively ending subglacial deposition in the terminal region.

Tellbreen (78.25\(^{\circ}\)N; 16.17\(^{\circ}\)E) is a land-terminating valley glacier 4.5 km in length and 3.9 km\(^2\) in area [König et al., 2013] (Fig. 5c), which flows from two cirques into a narrow tongue. Since the end of the LIA, the glacier has lost about 60 to 70\% of its volume [Bælum and Benn, 2011]. Mass balance and flow velocities of Tellbreen have been measured since 2010 by students from the University Centre of Svalbard. Average surface velocity is ~1 m a\(^{-1}\), and mass-balance is negative (annual balance of -2.3 x 10\(^6\) m\(^3\) w.eq. averaged over 2010-2014 [report AGF212, 2014]). Bælum and Benn [2011] reported results of GPR surveys that
indicated the glacier was entirely or almost entirely cold, although they suggested that a thin basal layer of temperate ice may exist under the thickest part. Tellbreen has never been defined as a surge-type glacier although new evidence suggests otherwise. Lovell et al. [2015] analyzed structures exposed on the glacier surface and investigated englacial and subglacial ice exposures accessed via ice caves. The glacier is currently frozen to its bed, although the ice-bed interface consists of subglacial traction till overlain by foliated, debris-rich basal ice. This indicates former wet-based conditions, with shearing of the basal till and stress-induced metamorphism of the basal ice. Above the basal ice layers, the englacial ice is traversed by dense networks of fracture traces, and arcuate bands of subglacially derived debris crop out on the glacier surface in the terminal zone. In combination, these features record a former phase of dynamic behavior, with a temperate bed, shearing of till and ice, and widespread crevassing and thrust faulting. This dynamic phase is inferred to have occurred at the LIA maximum, when the glacier was 60-70% larger, and approaching 200 m in thickness [Bælum and Benn, 2011].

Longyearbreen (78.17°N; 15.46°E) is a valley glacier 4.5 km long and 2.9 km² in area [König et al., 2013] (Fig. 6c). Although it is similar in length to Midtre Lovénbreen and Tellbreen, it is narrower with a single accumulation basin. Longyearbreen is currently a typical low-activity glacier, with a wide ice-cored moraine covering the terminus. GPR surveys have revealed a well-preserved V-shaped subglacial valley profile beneath the glacier [Etzelmüller et al., 2000]. These surveys indicated the glacier was entirely cold, with the possible exception of restricted areas of temperate ice close to the head of the glacier. An undisturbed in-situ paleosoil and vegetation were found at the base of the glacier near its the western margin 2 km upstream of the present front, dated from 1104 cal. yr BP [Humlum et al., 2005]. This implies that this part of the glacier has been cold-based since it advanced over this site at
c. 900 AD. Surface velocities are currently 1-4 m a\(^{-1}\) [Etzelmüller et al., 2000]. A mass balance program running from 1977 to 1992 showed that Longyearbreen was losing mass, with an average mean specific mass balance of -0.55 m a\(^{-1}\) [Hagen et al., 2003]. Studies have consistently classified Longyearbreen as non-surge-type [Etzelmüller et al., 2000; Humlum et al., 2005].

3. Methods

For this study, a total of 364 kilometers of GPR profiles were collected on the six glaciers between 2009 and 2015. GPR has been widely used to map the thermal regime of Svalbard glaciers [Dowdeswell et al., 1984; Hodgkins et al., 1999]. Cold and pure ice is relatively transparent to electromagnetic waves, whereas temperate ice creates scatter at the result of diffractions from water-filled voids [Bamber, 1987]. The transition between cold, dry ice and temperate ice containing a small percentage of water yields strong scattering, hence can be easily detected [Navarro and Eisen, 2009]. Comparisons between borehole temperature measurements and ground penetrating radar in Svalbard have shown that a continuous IRH corresponds to the cold-temperate transition surface (CTS) [Björnsson et al., 1996; Ødegard et al., 1997].

We employed a Malå radar system composed of a Malå XV monitor, a ProEx Professional Explorer control unit and fixed unshielded “rough terrain” antennae, with elements oriented in-line. The system uses an impulse transmitter with a pulse repetition frequency of 100 kHz. The number of samples/traces goes from 128 to 2048, while the maximum number of stacks can reach 32768. The sampling frequency can be adjusted between 0.4 and 100 GHz. All surveys were performed in auto-stacking mode to allow more flexibility in the data collection on site. Different center frequencies were used for different glaciers, ranging from 25 to 100
MHz (see Table 1). Although we expected the different antennae to have different sensitivities to dielectric discontinuities in the ice (see Table 2) we observed that the depth of the IRH was closely similar for the 25 and 100 MHz antennae on Von Postbreen and Tunabreen. Higher frequencies (>345 MHz [Pettersson, 2005]) are typically more sensitive to water content in the ice, therefore traces from the 25 and 100 MHz antennae will tend to give us a minimum thickness for temperate ice. Due to limitations of the Malå system, measurement capabilities were restricted to 267 m of ice. For thicker ice, the depth of the bed could not be determined although the thermal structure of shallower ice could still be observed.

The system was towed behind a snowscooter driven at speeds below 20 km h⁻¹, which equates to traces with a maximum spacing of 0.5 m when the signal is triggered every 0.1 s (see Table 1). All data were collected before the start of the melt season which would promote surface-antenna coupling that remains as constant as possible [Copland and Sharp, 2000]. No corrections were made for the presence of snow/firn at the surface of the glacier.

Due to the configuration of the antennae, only common offset (CO) surveys were performed. A constant velocity of 167 m µs⁻¹ was assumed for the propagation of radar waves in glacier ice for all glaciers [Paterson, 1994; Annan, 1999]. For horizontal and vertical positioning of the traces, two different GPS systems were used: a Garmin eTrex Legend and a Trimble SPS855 GPS (see Table 1). Uncertainties related to positioning are described below. GPS coordinates were recorded every 5-25 traces by the GPR system.

Data were processed using ReflexW version 7.5 (Sandmeier Scientific Software). The same processing sequence was used for bed and CTS mapping. Raw data were pre-processed in order to correct errors in the positioning from the GPS data, reset the time zero to when the signal was first sent, and remove repeated traces (see Table 3). The main processing consisted
of Dewow to suppress low frequency energy emitted by the field near the transmitter, then
exponential gain function to increase the strength of the signal with depth. The dewow filter
acts on each trace independently. A running mean value is calculated for each value of each
trace, and is then subtracted from the central point [ReflexW User’s guide]. A time window of
10 ns is used for the radargrams collected with the 100 MHz antenna, while a time window of
40 ns was employed for the radargrams collected with a 25 MHz antenna. Dynamic correction
and interpolation of the traces to a regular spacing aim to prepare the data for the migration.
They respectively look at individual traces and correct for the effects of antenna offset, and
reposition the data with an equal distance between all traces. A Kirchhoff migration was then
applied with a constant velocity of 167 m \( \mu s^{-1} \). Kirchhoff migration is done in the \( x-t \) range
and is applied on profiles where traces are equidistant. Migration aims to reset the position of
the elements in the radargrams so that the reflections and diffractions move back to their true
position; however a 2D Kirchhoff migration cannot correct off-transect reflectors that
typically affect valley-parallel transects close to the valley sides. As migration increases
noise, we filtered the unwanted high and low frequencies with a bandpass butterworth filter.
This sets the frequency spectrum below and above a “filter band” to zero (for 25 MHz: 0-80
MHz, for 50 MHz: 0-120 MHz, for 100 MHz: 25-180 MHz). An exponential gain is applied
next, in order to strengthen the signal with depth. The final steps consist of applying a time-
to-depth conversion and correcting for the topography of the glacier surface. The effects of
the main processing steps on the radar data are displayed in Figure 7. In the shown example
(Von Postbreen), reflections from the glacier bed and the CTS can be easily differentiated on
the lower 4 km of the glacier. The bed appears as a sharp, strong linear and continuous
reflector, while temperate ice is clearly distinguished from transparent and feature-free cold
ice by numerous stacks of small hyperbolas.
To confirm our interpretations, we used published work by Björnsson et al. [1996], Hagen et al. [1993], Dowdeswell et al. [1984] and Humlum et al. [2005] to ground truth our data, and compare the depth of the different reflectors to their observations, and direct temperature measurements. The retreat of the glaciers between the old and new surveys was measured. The x=0 of the old profiles served as a reference for the new profiles, making a direct comparison possible. Although the surface topography and IRH depth have changed between the old and new surveys, the original findings were used as a guide to our interpretation. The glacier bed, surface and CTS were then picked manually on the migrated profiles.

In order to improve the visualization of the spatial distribution of the thermal structure and bed topography of the glaciers we use the software Petrel (Schlumberger) to interpolate the picked reflectors between the radar lines, and extrapolate three nodes (150 m) beyond them. A convergent interpolation algorithm was used to interpolate between the lines. It is a general purpose algorithm which computes a surface (resolution 50 x 50 m) through the picked lines distributed across the glaciers. Three different surfaces (interpolated horizons) were created, namely glacier surface, CTS and glacier bed. Glacier thickness was obtained by subtracting the bed depth to the glacier surface depth, while temperate ice thickness was obtained by subtracting the bed depth to the CTS depth.

Uncertainties

Uncertainties in our results related to the resolution of our technique are described in Tables 1 and 3. The vertical resolution of our radar system is estimated as being equal to 1/2 or 1/3 of the wavelength of the antenna frequency [Annan, 1992], giving a resolution of 0.56 to 0.84 m for the 100 MHz antenna and to 2.23 to 3.34 m for the 25 MHz antenna, assuming a wave velocity of 167 m $\mu$s$^{-1}$. Horizontal resolution is defined as the diameter D of the first Fresnel zone, as is estimated by Fowler [1990] as equal to:
With $Z$ for depth and $\lambda$ for the antenna wavelength. At a depth of 100 m the horizontal resolution of our GPR system is equal to 18.3 m with the 100 MHz antenna, which doubles with the 25 MHz antenna.

Processing uncertainties encompass picking, migration, time-to-depth conversion as well as interpolation. Picking is subjective and its quality depends also on the sharpness of the bed reflectors (as reported by Gusmeroli et al., [2012] and Pettersson et al., [2003]). Areas with multiple bed reflections that made picking challenging were not picked. We estimate picking error as 1-5 m. Crossover analyses were performed on each glacier to assess offsets between the bed reflector of valley-parallel and valley-transverse lines, as well as the migration quality (Table 4). Offsets were greatest close to the valley sides. For this reason we did not use valley-parallel lines close to the valley sides, only one centerline profile per glacier.

Interpolation of the bed reflector was also assessed by measuring offsets between the interpolated surfaces and transverse profiles.

Time conversion to depth was performed using a constant radio wave velocity of 167 m $\mu$s$^{-1}$ for all lines, regardless of their thermal divisions. Warm ice is characterized by higher propagation velocities than that of cold ice (140-160 m $\mu$s$^{-1}$ compared to 167 – 170 m $\mu$s$^{-1}$ respectively). For our largest glaciers this could mean a reduction in thickness less than 10% of their total thickness.

Finally positioning errors have to be accounted for. Four of our glaciers were surveyed with a single-frequency Garmin eTrex Legend. Although this GPS has a theoretical accuracy of 15 m, large ‘steps’ in the vertical positioning could be observed on some of our radargrams. The centerlines of two large glaciers (Von Postbreen, Tunabreen) and the whole of Longyearbreen were surveyed using a higher-accuracy GPS in Real Time Kinematic 30/30 mode reaching a
theoretical accuracy of 30 cm both in the horizontal and in the vertical. The GPS data were manually checked for errors, and processed in standalone mode.

4. Glacier thermal regimes

On Von Postbreen, a centerline profile from the front to the top of the accumulation zone shows a clear two-layered structure (see Figure 1d). In the ablation zone, the upper cold ice layer is 70-110 m thick and intersects the bed ~800 m from the terminus, indicating that the tongue of the glacier is entirely cold and frozen to the bed. Intermittent, narrow zones of cold ice also occur around the lateral margins of the glacier. Most of the glacier, however, is warm-based, with temperate ice increasing in thickness to >200 m in the area of our survey. In the accumulation zone of Von Postbreen, more specifically in the tributary Potpeschniggbreen, the cold surface layer vanishes at an altitude of 725 m a.s.l..

Kongsvegen has a similar two-layered structure, with an upper cold layer 50-95 m thick overlying temperate ice (Fig. 2d). A frozen terminal zone is absent from Kongsvegen. The starting point of our survey line was located less than 500 m from the glacier front, but the calving cliff had retreated to this point by spring 2014. At that date, therefore, the glacier terminus consisted of a cold layer 60-70 m thick overlying a 30-40 m layer of warm ice. The glacier has narrow zones of cold ice around its lateral margins, but is otherwise entirely warm based. Since the survey of Björnsson et al. [1996] in 1990, the point at which temperate ice intersects the surface has moved at least 2.5 km upglacier, and at the location of borehole K1 the CTS has been lowered by about 45 m.

The thermal structure of Tunabreen 12 years after its last surge is shown Figure 3d. We can observe a thick layer of temperate ice from the beginning of our survey line (today approximately 1.7 km from the glacier front) all the way to 12 km upglacier. A layer of cold
ice overlies the warm ice, and varies in thickness from 100 to 25 m, and appears to get thinner with elevation. Since the Bamber [1987] survey, the CTS has migrated closer to the surface by an average of 97 m. This centerline was surveyed both by a 100 MHz and 25 MHz antenna, and the depth-to-scattering is very similar between the two antennae.

Midtre Lovénbreen is not as thick as Von Postbreen or Kongsvegen, with maximum ice thicknesses of 170-180 m. The centerline profile shows a basal temperate layer 20 to 60 m thick, overlain by cold ice (Fig. 4d). Our data do not extend to the glacier terminus due to deep snow at the time of our survey, but our surveys show wide fringes of cold ice around the lateral margins of the glacier. A survey line collected in the lowermost cirque shows temperate ice extending from the bed to the ice surface, although this is not connected to the warm core in the central part of the glacier (Fig. 8a). Only the uppermost survey line shows a narrow connection between temperate ice in the upper part of the glacier and the central core (Fig. 8b).

Tellbreen and Longyearbreen have similar thermal regimes to one another. Figures 5 and 6 clearly show the predominance of transparent, cold ice over the whole depth of both glaciers, confirming the observations of Bælum and Benn [2011], and Etzelmüller et al. [2000]. A basal temperature of about -4°C was measured below the margin of Longyearbreen in 2001, 2002 and 2003 [Humlum et al., 2005] (Fig. 6). Longyearbreen appears slightly thicker than Tellbreen with a maximum depth of 110 m. The steep V-shaped bed topography of Longyearbreen is the cause of clear off-nadir reflections. This made the interpretation of the radargrams difficult. Etzelmüller et al. [2000] tentatively suggested there might be temperate ice below the upper section of the glacier, but our survey did not confirm this. Bælum and Benn [2011] found some evidence suggesting that a thin basal layer of temperate ice may exist under the thickest part of Tellbreen, but although our radargrams were particularly clear in this case we could not find any temperate ice (Fig. 5).
In summary, Von Postbreen can be characterized as a polythermal glacier of type E [Pettersson, 2004]. That is, it is mostly composed of temperate ice with the exception of a cold surface layer in the ablation zone and lower accumulation zone. Tunabreen and Kongsvegen lack cold-based tongues and are therefore variants of Type E polythermal glaciers, in which the cold-based tongue is removed by ablation processes.

These thermal structures can be understood in terms of five processes: 1) formation of warm ice in the accumulation zone by latent heat released by refreezing of summer meltwater; 2) conductive cooling of near-surface ice due to heat losses to the atmosphere; 3) removal of cold ice in the ablation zone by melting (and calving in the case of Kongsvegen and Tunabreen); 4) strain heating by ice creep and basal motion; and 5) advection of heat through the system by ice flow. Calving is a particularly effective process in removing cold ice from glacier termini. Published work provides several additional examples of temperate ice layers extending to the termini of tidewater glaciers, including Negribreen [Dowdeswell et al., 1984], Hansbreen [Navarro et al., 2014] and Borebreen [Bamber, 1987]. Strain heating and ice advection during the 2003 surge can explain the observed thickening of the temperate layer on Tunabreen between 1983 and 2015. These processes are likely ineffective on the quiescent Von Postbreen and Kongsvegen, where the thermal structure appears to reflect the formation of warm firn in the accumulation zones, heat loss to the atmosphere where there is little or no net snow accumulation, and the removal of ice by ablation. In the case of Kongsvegen, we interpret thickening and expansion of the cold surface zone as the result of ongoing conductive cooling following termination of the 1948 surge.

Midtre Lovénbreen appears to be a glacier of type E in the process of becoming of type A. Although some accumulation still occurs in the cirques and refreezing of meltwater produces warm ice by latent heating, this temperate ice is barely connected to the core of temperate ice in the center of the glacier.
Tellbreen and Longyearbreen are both currently entirely cold and very slowly moving. Due to strongly negative mass balance in recent years, these glaciers have effectively no remaining accumulation zones. Consequently, warming of snow and firn by refreezing is no longer an effective process. The current heat budget of these glaciers, therefore, is likely dominated by conductive cooling. There is, however, evidence that these glaciers, together with Midtre Lovénbreen, were more active in the past, and that warm ice was formerly more extensive. This is discussed in the following section.

5. Evidence for former thermal regimes

As described in section 2, Midtre Lovénbreen and Tellbreen both present strong evidence of past thermal switching. Longyearbreen has been less studied, but investigation of the newly collected radargrams reveals a large number of elongated internal reflectors extending from the bed towards the surface in the lower half of the glacier (see arrows in Fig. 6). Similar englacial bands have been found close to the margins of Storglaciären, Sweden [Moore et al., 2013]. The features mid-way up the glacier dip up-glacier at about 28°, while they become less steep downglacier, and reach a dip of 12° close to the terminus. Some are concave-up, increasing in dip from 13° to 51° as they approach the surface.

Projecting the GPR profile on a recent aerial photograph of the glacier shows that the englacial structures intersect a series of arcuate debris bands cropping out in the lower 500 m of Longyearbreen (see Figure 9). In the field, the uppermost debris bands consist of coarse, angular debris of probable supraglacial origin. These bands lie parallel to well-defined convex-downglacier, arcuate ice foliation structures, and appear to have formed by deposition of debris in the snowpack. Farther downglacier, however, striated clasts are found on the glacier surface indicating subglacial provenance. Although this evidence shows that part of
the glacier may have been warm based at the Little Ice Age maximum, there are no surface
structures indicative of thrusting and fracturing, as seen on Midtre Lovénbreen and Tellbreen.
The presence of in situ paleosoil and vegetation at the glacier bed [Humlum et al., 2005], near
the glacier margin 2 km up from the current glacier front suggests that any wet-based ice must
have been confined to only the middle, thickest section of the glacier, while the thinner
margins stayed covered by cold ice only moving by ice creep.

6. Discussion
The evidence presented above indicates that the small glaciers in our sample are less
dynamically active now than in the past, reflecting changes in their thermal regime. The
thicker parts of Midtre Lovénbreen remain warm-based, but ice is becoming cold around the
margins as the ice thins. Tellbreen is more advanced in this process, and the glacier is now
entirely (or almost entirely) cold, although warm-based ice was formerly extensive.
Longyearbreen displays the fewest signs of a dynamic past, but still bears evidence that parts
of the glacier were once warm-based and erosive. Thinning and retreat of these glaciers from
their LIA maxima, therefore, has been accompanied by a transition from an active, warm-
based state to an inactive, cold state.

It follows that these glaciers must have undergone a corresponding thermal transition in the
opposite direction during ice build-up prior to the LIA maximum. Accumulation of snow on
top of permafrost would have initially produced thin, cold glaciers. Development of a warm
core in their ablation zones could only occur once the ice was sufficiently thick to provide
insulation against winter cooling. The transition to warm-based conditions could then result in
a step-change in rates of ice flow, causing additional heating from friction and the possibility
of further flow acceleration and advance. There is evidence that several small glaciers in
Svalbard advanced rapidly to their LIA maxima, including Midtre Lovénbreen, Drønbreen and Scott Turnerbreen [Garwood and Gregory, 1898; Hagen et al., 1993; Hodgkins et al., 1999; Liestøl, 1988]. Whether this behavior should be referred to as 'surges' has been widely debated. For example, Liestøl [1988] argued that Midtre Lovénbreen is surge-type, based on the observation of a steep frontal bulge between 1860 and 1880. Hansen [2003] agreed that the glacier surged during the Little Ice Age, but argued that it has now lost its ability to do so. In contrast, Hambrey et al. [2005] disagreed that the LIA advance was a surge, and argued that this was normal behavior for an arctic polythermal glacier. Jiskoot et al. [2000] and King et al. [2008] also argued against Midtre Lovénbreen being a surge-type glacier. This disagreement, however, is largely semantic. The key point is that the small glaciers in our sample - and probably many other small glaciers in Svalbard - underwent thermal transitions over the course of the LIA, which correspond closely to the sequence of events invoked in the thermal switch model of glacier surges [Fowler et al., 2001]. In the case of Midtre Lovénbreen and Tellbreen, the thermal switch resulted in a dynamic transition (a surge or surge-like advance), whereas for Longyearbreen the thermal switch affected a much more limited area, with no evidence of a dynamic transition. This may reflect geometric factors, as Longyearbreen is narrower and less branched than the other two glaciers.

It is also noteworthy that land-terminating glaciers in Svalbard have mostly not produced minor retreat moraines during the 20th century, despite there being climatic oscillations over this period [Nordli et al., 2014]. These glaciers, therefore, appear to have transitioned directly from a dynamically active, advance phase to inactivity and decline, consistent with surges or 'surge-like' behavior.

While small glaciers such as Tellbreen have reverted to a cold state following their active phase, large surge-type glaciers have not returned to a cold-based thermal regime following surges. This is not only the case for Tunabreen with its short surge cycle, but also for
Kongsvegen, 65 years after surge termination, and Von Postbreen, after ~140 years. Both Von Postbreen and Kongsvegen are deep into their apparent 'quiescent phases', yet temperate ice is still present over most of their beds. Land-terminating glaciers such as Von Postbreen and Bakaninbreen [Murray et al., 1997] are frozen to the bed in their terminal zones where ice is thin, but rapid removal of ice by calving prevents the development of cold tongues on tidewater glaciers such as Kongsvegen and Tunabreen.

Thus, in Svalbard three types of glaciers are known to have undergone switches from slow to fast flow: (1) small glaciers that underwent thermal cycles from cold- to warm- to cold-based over the course of the LIA climate cycle; (2) large terrestrial glaciers which remain warm-based throughout the whole surge cycle and develop a cold termini while thinning during quiescence; and (3) large tidewater glaciers that remain warm-based throughout the surge cycle (excepting narrow cold zones at their lateral margins).

This demonstrates two important points. First, thermal switching can explain the initiation of surges or surge-like advances of small glaciers over the Little Ice Age climatic cycle, but it cannot explain the cyclic surging behavior of large glaciers. Second, the absence of a frozen terminal zone on tidewater glaciers shows that thermal cycling plays no part in glaciers such as Tunabreen. On land-terminating glaciers, the existence of a cold tongue can influence surge evolution, and there are well-documented switches from cold-based to warm-based conditions during the down-glacier propagation of surge fronts [Fowler et al., 2001; Murray et al., 1997]. Surge initiation, however, typically occurs in thicker, warm-bedded regions, and is therefore unrelated to thermal transitions [e.g. Sund et al., 2014; Fowler et al., 2001; King et al., 2015; Murray et al., 2000]. Tidewater glaciers in many parts of the world can undergo ‘speed-ups’ due to changes in the force balance at the terminus [e.g. Howat et al., 2005; O’Neel et al., 2005]. It is possible that some ‘surges’ of Svalbard glaciers reflect tidewater dynamics [e.g. Murray et al., 2003], although one well-documented tidewater glacier surge
was initiated in warm-based ice in a tributary cirque, from where it propagated downglacier and activated the calving front [Kristensen and Benn, 2012]. The characteristics of tidewater glacier surges in Svalbard will be considered further in a forthcoming paper.

We conclude that thermal switching can explain surge-like behavior of small glaciers in Svalbard, but not surges of large, warm-based glaciers. Does this indicate that more than one surge mechanism is needed to explain the range of surge-type glaciers in Svalbard? Or, as expressed by Frappe-Seneclauze and Clarke [2007], does it imply that thermal regime is 'collateral to surging phenomena rather than essential'?

We believe that these questions can be answered by looking beyond the issue of thermal regime and considering the enthalpy balance of the glacier [Sevestre and Benn, 2015]. In a glaciological context, enthalpy is defined as the internal energy of the glacier system, a function of ice temperature and water content [Aschwanden et al., 2012]. Enthalpy balance is a more general concept than thermal regime, and replaces the binary concept of cold vs. warm with a more nuanced consideration of the evolving heat and water content of the system.

Enthalpy may enter or leave a glacier through exchanges at its upper and lower boundaries, and evolve within the glacier by conversion of potential energy during ice flow. At the glacier surface, enthalpy gains and losses include turbulent and radiative exchanges with the atmosphere coupled with conductive heat flux through the ice. Melting of snow followed by water storage results in net enthalpy gain, and enthalpy can be exchanged within the glacier when stored water contacts cold ice and refreezes, raising the ice temperature through latent heat release. At the bed, exchanges include geothermal heat and runoff of subglacial meltwater. Importantly, the bed can also gain enthalpy by the expenditure of potential energy during ice creep or sliding.
We argue that processes of enthalpy production and dissipation play a key role in glacier surging. Because strain rates and sliding speeds are sensitively dependent on ice temperature and water content, any change in enthalpy at or near the bed will produce a dynamic response. If enthalpy gains exceed losses, the glacier will tend to accelerate; if enthalpy losses exceed gains, the glacier will slow down. Positive feedbacks between enthalpy production and glacier velocity may occur, depending on the relationship between stress and strain (i.e. friction laws), and the changing efficiency of enthalpy sinks (e.g. subglacial drainage). If a glacier is to remain close to steady-state, therefore, there must be a broad equality between rates of build-up of gravitational potential energy (snow accumulation), conversion of potential energy into enthalpy by ice flow (mass flux rate), and loss of enthalpy by the dissipation of heat and meltwater.

We now apply these concepts to explain the past and present dynamic behavior of large and small Svalbard glaciers within a single framework. Under the present climate, Midtre Lovénbreen, Tellbreen and Longyearbreen are small, thin and slow-moving glaciers. They have not been observed to exhibit any dynamic instabilities for more than one hundred years. These glaciers can therefore be categorized as being non-surge-type glaciers under the climatic conditions of the 20th and 21st centuries [Hansen, 2003; Jiskoot et al., 2000]. They accumulate very little or no mass, and are low enthalpy producers. Indeed, all three appear to be undergoing net enthalpy loss by heat conduction (and possibly evacuation of subglacial meltwater in the case of Midtre Lovénbreen).

However, conditions during the Little Ice Age led to sustained mass accumulation and positive enthalpy balance. Mass accumulation reduced conductive heat losses from the glacier beds and increased their potential energy store, increasing driving stresses and enthalpy production at the bed. Net gains in enthalpy led to positive feedbacks, progressively warming the bed then increasing the volume of stored water. In some cases, this ultimately toppled the
glaciers into flow regimes above balance velocities. It is not known whether this occurred on Longyearbreen, suggesting that a thermal transition need not inevitably lead to dynamic instability. In contrast, other small Svalbard glaciers may have experienced two dynamic cycles during the LIA [Sletten et al., 2001]. For small glaciers undergoing surges or surge-like advances in the late 19th or early 20th centuries recovery and build-up were prevented by climate change and switching to a prolonged period of negative enthalpy balance. Dowdeswell et al. [1995] invoked recent climate change as a reason for the diminution of the number of surges in some regions, while Eisen et al. [2001] and Stribeger et al. [2011] have revealed the control that climate exerts on mass-balance and periodicity of surge cycles.

Many large glaciers in Svalbard undergo cyclic surging. During surges, thermal transitions occur only in the thin (<100 m), terminal parts of land-terminating glaciers, and tidewater glaciers may remain entirely warm-based throughout. Enthalpy cycling on these glaciers, therefore, does not involve freezing and thawing of large areas of the bed, but is expressed as fluctuations in the production and evacuation of basal meltwater. The large glaciers in our sample are thick (>300 m), and all contain large areas of temperate ice at their bed. For such thick glaciers under present climatic conditions, conductive heat losses are insufficient to cause freezing at the bed. Interestingly, the scale length used for glacier thickness in the Fowler et al. [2001] model is ~100 m, similar to that of the small glaciers in our sample, indicating that the model results are consistent with our conclusion that thermal cycling in Svalbard was limited to thin glaciers.

Studies of elevation changes have revealed that Svalbard glaciers with accumulation basins located at high altitude still accumulate mass today [e.g. Nuth et al., 2007]. The build-up of mass shows that these glaciers are flowing at rates below the balance velocity, and potential energy is building-up within the system. Enthalpy gains at the bed (due to gradually increasing driving stresses and ice creep rates) will gradually increase meltwater production.
If this cannot be fully discharged via the subglacial drainage system, pressure builds up in the system and triggers a surge [Fowler et al., 2001]. The surge may propagate downglacier into regions of cold-based ice, bringing larger areas of the bed to the melting point. The surge terminates when the water is able to drain either through the bed or through fractures in the glacier terminus [Smith et al., 2002].

Thus, we argue that enthalpy cycling can explain the full spectrum of surges and surge-like behavior of Svalbard glaciers. Enthalpy cycles are expressed as a meltwater production-evacuation cycle on warm-based glaciers, and a thermal plus meltwater production-evacuation cycle on cold-based glaciers Sevestre and Benn [2015]. Surges occurring as non-linear responses to a climatic signal can be regarded as forced dynamic instabilities, dictated by mismatches between their mass and enthalpy balances during the climate cycle. Conversely, cyclic surges occurring within a climate cycle may be termed unforced dynamic instabilities, reflecting out-of-phase oscillations in mass flux and enthalpy.

Some combinations of climate, topography and bedrock geology permit glaciers to find steady-state velocities, which match the balance mass flux with enthalpy losses. This condition appears to be most easily satisfied in cold, dry environments (thin, low-flux glaciers, efficient conductive heat losses), and warm, humid environments (high mass flux and meltwater discharges). The great majority of surging glaciers (including those in Svalbard) occur in intermediate climatic environments, where neither heat conduction nor runoff can effectively dissipate enthalpy from many glaciers (Sevestre and Benn, 2015). Small glaciers in Svalbard were moved into this critical state during the LIA, and removed from it by 20th century warming. Large glaciers remain within the envelope, and continue their endless struggle to reconcile their mass flux and enthalpy balance requirements.
7. Conclusions

We investigated the thermal regime of six glaciers in Svalbard by the means of new and published GPR profiles. The thinnest glaciers of the study are presently entirely cold, frozen to their beds or contain a 'fossil' core of temperate ice. However, investigations of glaciological and geomorphological features have revealed evidence of past short-lived fast flow of at least two of these glaciers. These glaciers underwent a thermal cycle during the LIA, similar to the thermal switch mechanism described by Fowler et al. [2001]. As a response to the transition to warm-state, their flow accelerated and produced a single surge-like episode before returning to a cold state.

The largest glaciers of the study are well-known surge-type glaciers. Our results clearly display their polythermal structure, with extensive temperate ice at their beds and the presence of a cold surface layer in their ablation zones and lower accumulation zones. Land terminating glaciers have frozen tongues, where the winter cold wave can penetrate thin ice. Tidewater glaciers, however, may lack frozen tongues and are commonly entirely warm based. Tidewater glaciers may remain fully warm based between surges, indicating that thermal switching is not implicated in surge initiation. A cold front can influence the development and propagation of a surge, but it is not a fundamental condition for surging in Svalbard.

Enthalpy cycling can explain the former surge-like behavior of small glaciers and the cyclic surges of large glaciers. For small glaciers, the cycle is expressed as a thermal switch, whereas for large glaciers it is expressed as a cycle of basal meltwater production and drainage. Small glaciers are inferred to have undergone a thermal and dynamic cycle during the LIA, representing a non-linear response to climatic forcing. They thus appear to have ‘become’ surge-type glaciers during the optimal climatic conditions of the LIA, but reverted
to inactive states in the warming climate of the 20th and 21st centuries. In contrast, large
glaciers remain surge type under present climatic conditions.

These conclusions can resolve the long-standing disagreement about the number of surge-type
glaciers in Svalbard. Under present climatic conditions, surging behavior is confined to large
glaciers (on average 68 km²), accounting for 21% of all glaciers. During the Little Ice Age,
surges or surge-like instabilities affected the much larger population of small glaciers. Only a
small fraction of these have been investigated, but our results suggest that thermal switching
may have been very widespread and that Liestøl's claim that 90% of Svalbard's glaciers were
'surge-type' may be realistic for the period prior to the early 20th century.

Classical surge cycles are a part of a continuum of dynamic instabilities that are more or less
coupled to environmental forcing. When climatic conditions allow, glaciers can undergo a
single episode of fast flow before returning into a 'normal' state. There thus appears to have
been a wave of surge-like advances during the LIA maximum across Svalbard.
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Figure 1: Compilation of new and existing GPR data collected on Von Postbreen. a. ratio of cold ice thickness over the glacier thickness, in percentage. b. location of the 2012 and 2015 survey lines and of the centerline profile collected in 1980 [Dowdeswell et al., 1984]. c. location of Von Postbreen (VP) in Svalbard. d. centerline radargram collected in 2015, corrected for elevation. The top pick marks the glacier surface, while the bottom pick follows the bed reflector. The red line follows the CTS. e. interpretation of the centerline radargram collected by Dowdeswell et al. [1984]. Modified from Dowdeswell et al. [1984].
Figure 2: Compilation of new and existing GPR data collected on Kongsvegen. a. ratio of cold ice thickness over the glacier thickness, in percentage. b. location of the 2012 survey lines and of the centerline profile collected in 1990 and 1991 [Björnsson et al., 1996]. c. location of Kongsvegen (KGS) in Svalbard. d. centerline radargram collected in 2012, corrected for elevation. The top pick marks the glacier surface, while the bottom pick follows the bed reflector. The red line follows the CTS. e. interpretation of the centerline radargram collected by Björnsson et al. [1996]. Modified from Björnsson et al. [1996]. K1 and K2 refer to two boreholes where temperature measurements were taken.
**Figure 3:** Compilation of new and existing GPR data collected on Tunabreen. a. location of Tunabreen (T) in Svalbard. b. centerline radargram of Tunabreen collected in 2015 with a 100 MHz antenna. The top pick marks the glacier surface, while the bottom pick follows the bed reflector. The red line follows the CTS picked on the data collected with the 100 MHz antenna, while the green line follows the CTS picked on data collected with the 25 MHz antenna along the same survey line. e. interpretation of the centerline radargram collected by Bamber [1987]. Modified from Bamber [1987]. The IRH is represented in grey. The position of the 2002 pre-surge front is indicated in red.
Figure 4: Compilation of new and existing GPR data collected on Midtre Lovénbreen. 

- **a.** ratio of cold ice thickness over the glacier thickness, in percentage.
- **b.** location of the 2012 survey lines and of the centerline profile collected in 1990 [Björnsson et al., 1996].
- **c.** location of Midtre Lovénbreen (MLB) in Svalbard.
- **d.** centerline radargram collected in 2012, corrected for elevation. The top pick marks the glacier surface, while the bottom pick follows the bed reflector. The red line follows the CTS.
- **e.** interpretation of a centerline radargram collected in by Björnsson et al. [1996]. Modified from Björnsson et al. [1996]. L3 and L9 refer to the location of two boreholes where temperature measurements were taken.
Figure 5: Compilation of new GPR data collected on Tellbreen. a. interpolation of the glacier thickness between the radar lines. b. location of the 2009 survey lines. c. location of Tellbreen (TB) in Svalbard. d. centerline radargram collected in 2009, corrected for elevation. The top pick marks the glacier surface, while the bottom pick follows the bed reflector.
Figure 6: Compilation of new GPR data collected on Longyearbreen. 

- **a.** Interpolation of the glacier thickness between the radar lines.
- **b.** Location of the 2015 survey lines.
- **c.** Location of Longyearbreen (L) in Svalbard.
- **d.** Centerline radargram collected in 2015 with a 100 MHz antenna, corrected for elevation. The top pick marks the glacier surface, while the bottom pick follows the bed reflector. Arrows point to the englacial reflectors discussed in section 5.
**Figure 7**: Main processing steps applied on the centerline profile of Von Postbreen.
**Figure 8:** Two un-migrated profiles reaching the accumulation zone of Midtre Lovénbreen. **a.** profile collected in the lowermost cirque showing discontinuity between the central temperate core and temperate ice produced in the cirque. **b.** profile collected in the upper reaches of the glacier showing narrow connection between the central temperate core and temperate ice produced in the accumulation zone. Topographic maps from the Norwegian Polar Institute.
Figure 9: Aerial view of the lower 1.5 km of Longyearbreen (Source: Norwegian Polar Institute 2011). The location of our survey line is indicated in blue. Arrows point to the englacial reflectors discussed in section 5.
### Tables:

**Table 1:** Surveys details and GPR settings chosen for each survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glacier name</th>
<th>Survey date</th>
<th>Antenna used</th>
<th>Distance Tx-Rx (m)</th>
<th>GPS system</th>
<th>Acquisition window (ns)</th>
<th>Sampling frequency (MHz)</th>
<th>Stacks</th>
<th>Time interval (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Von Postbreen</td>
<td>03/2012</td>
<td>100 MHz</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Garmin eTrex</td>
<td>3106</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centerline</td>
<td>04/2015</td>
<td>100 MHz</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Trimble SPS855</td>
<td>3243</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centerline</td>
<td>04/2015</td>
<td>25 MHz</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Trimble SPS855</td>
<td>3237</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kongsvegen</td>
<td>04/2012</td>
<td>25 MHz</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Garmin eTrex</td>
<td>3130</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunabreen</td>
<td>04/2015</td>
<td>100 MHz</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Trimble SPS855</td>
<td>3243</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/2015</td>
<td>25 MHz</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Trimble SPS855</td>
<td>3237</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midtre</td>
<td>05/2012</td>
<td>25 MHz</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Garmin eTrex</td>
<td>3130</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lovénbreen</td>
<td>04/2015</td>
<td>100 MHz</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Trimble SPS855</td>
<td>2245</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/2013</td>
<td>50 MHz</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Trimble SPS855</td>
<td>2431</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tellbreen</td>
<td>03/2009</td>
<td>100 MHz</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Garmin eTrex</td>
<td>2381</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tx-Rx stands for transmitter, receiver. Time interval denotes the length of time between each transmitted pulse.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antenna frequency (MHz)</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (m)</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>6.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical resolution (m)</td>
<td>0.56 to 0.84</td>
<td>1.11 to 1.67</td>
<td>2.23 to 3.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral resolution at 100 m (m)</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral resolution at 200 m (m)</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>51.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: GPR data processing sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-processing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Check coordinates, elevation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time zero correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove repeated traces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dewow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equidistant traces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirchhoff migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandpass butterworth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponential gain function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to depth conversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topographic correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4: GPR data processing-related errors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean crossover error (m)</th>
<th>Standard deviation crossover error (m)</th>
<th>Mean interpolation error (m)</th>
<th>Standard deviation interpolation error (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Von Postbreen</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kongsvegen</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>9.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midtre</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lovénbreen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tellbreen</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longyearbreen</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>