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Abstract

This paper introduces the Aix Map Task corpus, a corpus of audio and video recordings of task-oriented dialogues. It was modelled after the original HCRC Map Task corpus. Lexical material was designed for the analysis of speech and prosody, as described in Astésano et al. (2007). The design of the lexical material, the protocol and some basic quantitative features of the existing corpus are presented. The corpus was collected under two communicative conditions, one audio-only condition and one face-to-face condition. The recordings took place in a studio and a sound attenuated booth respectively, with head-set microphones (and in the face-to-face condition with two video cameras). The recordings have been segmented into Inter-Pausal-Units and transcribed using transcription conventions containing actual productions and canonical forms of what was said. It is made publicly available online.
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1. Introduction

Leading on from pioneering work on communicative skills, the Map Task protocol was designed in Edinburgh for the HCRC Map Task corpus (Anderson et al., 1991). The usefulness of the data produced with this protocol has led many teams to create their own Map Task corpora on various languages including Italian (different varieties), Japanese or Occitan, in order to answer specific research questions on language use. However, until now no Map Task corpus was available for French. Map Task corpora are useful in particular because the recording material can be simultaneously well controlled (in terms of lexical material, difficulty of the task, participant pairings, etc.), while allowing genuine spontaneous speech production exhibiting phenomena such as pauses, disfluencies, feedback, etc. With the collected data it is possible to target a wide range of theoretical issues. The lack of Map Task recordings in French can therefore be considered as a missing element on the way to the analysis of speech and discourse and the comparison of certain phenomena across languages. Moreover, the aim of the authors is to extend findings from read speech (Astésano et al., 2007) to speech in unscripted dialogue. The Map Task protocol in the audio-only condition serves this goal.

Although most interactions happen face-to-face, recordings of the visual part of such dialogues are still sparse. This corpus aims to provide an equivalent resource for the analysis of task-oriented dialogues with the addition of the visual modality. This makes it possible to analyse the verbal and the visual cues that are used by the participants in order to achieve their communicative goals. This consideration has led to the face-to-face condition.

This paper describes the creation of the corpus and presents its main features for the study of the audio-only and the audio-plus-visual condition of Map Task dialogues. Beside psycholinguistic studies, the corpus can also be used for the analysis of different “speech-exchange-systems” (Sche-
as described in Bard et al. (2013). An example for lexical items is: "les bonimenteurs et les baratineurs fameux" (see map in Figure 1 and 2), where the likelihood of an Initial Accent on baratineurs might change with the length of the conjoined nouns or with the syntax, depending on the adjective scope (on the last noun only or on both nouns).

2.2. Experimental Design
The experimental design follows the standard rules of Map Task experiments as described in Anderson et al. (1991). The task for two participants is to collaborate in order to reproduce on the Instruction Follower’s map (Figure 1) a route that is pre-printed of the Instruction Giver’s version (Figure 2). Neither can see the other’s map. They know that the maps describe the same features but that some details may differ. In fact, the maps differ in alternate route-critical landmarks, so that discussion of the mismatches is common. Participants are allowed to say anything necessary to accomplish their communicative goals. While the two speakers cannot see each other in the audio-only condition, any gestures spontaneously produced would not be seen by the other participant. In the face-to-face condition however, the participants could see each others’ visual movements. The participants were given the instruction that the result, i.e., the success of copying the path, will be assessed afterwards.

3. Data Collection
3.1. Participants
In each condition, 4 pairs of speakers performed 8 Map Tasks each (4 times as giver and 4 times as follower). In the face-to-face condition, the participants were recruited from the department where the recordings took place. They were either researchers, post-doctoral researchers or master students and knew each other. Pairs of participants were matched more or less in the sense of: researchers with researchers, students with students and according to gender (male with male and female with female). 5 sessions were recorded, involving 10 participants, 6 female and 4 male. For technical reasons, the recordings of one pair are not available, leaving 4 full sessions with 1 male and 3 female dyads.

3.2. Recording set-up
The audio-only condition includes one channel per speaker stereo recordings made in a studio. The recordings of the second condition took place in a sound attenuated booth. For technical reasons it was not especially necessary that the recording location was sound attenuated, as the audio was recorded on head-set microphones. However, all the equipment was already in place and sound attenuation further increased the signal to noise ratio. All participants were familiar with the room. As Figure 3 shows, members of a dyad sat face to face, each with his or her own map on an easily reached stand.

3.3. Technical aspects of the face-to-face condition
3.3.1. Digital audio recordings
The audio signal was recorded for each individual speaker via head-set microphones (AKG C520). They were connected to the video cameras and recorded on the audio channel of the cassettes. One microphone could use the phantom power of the video camera, the other was powered...
externally (ZOOM H4n Handy Recorder). As a backup, two additional head-set microphones were attached in parallel and transferred via XLR and an audio interface (RME Fireface UC) to a computer running Audacity\(^1\). Both channels are digitized with a sampling rate of 44.1 kHz and 16 bit resolution. Resampling was performed to remove an asynchrony between audio systems.

3.3.2. Video recordings

Each participant’s actions were captured using separate video cameras (Figure 4). To avoid interrupting any dialogue, assets were changed during a break after the 4th dialogue. Recordings were transferred on hard-drive and rendered under AVI format and DVSD encoding.

3.4. Segmentation, transcription and availability

The signals of the audio-only condition were transcribed in standard French orthography, using Transcriber\(^2\) (Barras et al., 2000). The transcription includes short pauses, truncated words and hesitations and was manually cross-checked and corrected by the transcribers.

The signals of the face-to-face condition were segmented into Inter-Pausal-Units (IPUs with 100ms minimum per speech unit and 220ms minimum per silence), using SP-PAS\(^3\) (Bigi, 2012) and transcribed orthographically. The remote condition is available on the SLDR (Speech and Language Data Repository)\(^4\), as well as the audio-visual condition\(^5\).

4. Example

An example should illustrate the kind of interaction the Map Task involves with special focus on the lexical item "ouais". Extract 1 (see next page) is taken from the audio-visual condition. Prior to this excerpt, the participants came to a point where the maps differed and a straightforward explanation of the path failed. Next to some "terrasses", the follower (F) did not have the same drawing as the giver (G). After having progressed to a common point, G comes back to that point where it is necessary to clarify what F has on his map next to the terrasse. G checks whether his assumption is correct: "and normally you don’t have any drawing on the left there” / "et normalement tu as pas de dessin du tout à gauche là" (l.1). The follower uses another understanding check (Kelly and Local, 1989) and repeats ‘à gauche’ (l.3). It is immediately confirmed by G (‘yeah’ / ‘ouais’ l.4). The ‘ouais’ is at least treated as a confirmation by F and interprets the point in the sequence as a place where a response to G’s overall check from line 1 is necessary. F responds with a ‘no’ / ‘non’ and adds information on the drawing that he has at that place.

The next ‘ouais’ (l.9) is produced by F who takes G’s guidance into account (‘you pass above’ / ‘tu passes au dessus’, that is produced in overlap, and proceeds by precis- ing: ‘but it’s really in the corner at the bottom left’ / ‘mais c’est vraiment dans l’coin en bas à gauche’, adding a reinforcement marker ‘hein’ (‘isn’t it’). After a silence of 0.7s, G takes this information into account and F comes back to the initial point of trouble: ‘however next to the terrasses above on the left of the terrasses almost glued together’ / ‘par contre à côté des terrasses en haut à gauche des terrasses assez collé’. These information are taken into account by G partly in overlap, partly just afterwards. F has still the turn as the syntactic construction is not completed at that point. F indicates that the drawing from his map at that point is a ‘flower bar’ / ‘bar fleuri’. The two ‘ouais’ employed by G serve here (l.13,14) to indicate F that he follows F or knows what F is talking about. Merely the last piece of information (‘bar fleuri’) is not part of G’s knowledge, what he indicates with ‘and no I don’t have that’ / ‘et non j’ai pas moi ça’ (l.16). From this excerpt it is also visible that the Map Task is a very cooperative task where constant feedback is necessary in order to keep track – from the follower’s as from the giver’s side. Not only the giver, but also the follower takes on active behaviour. The description of his map takes equally part as the description of the giver’s map. The giver gives instructions and the follower asks for instructions, as can also be seen in line 17, after the negative response from G, where F says: ‘ah (.) so I have to go where there’ / ‘ah (.) alors il faut que j’aile où là’.

\(^1\)http://audacity.sourceforge.net/
\(^2\)http://trans.sourceforge.net/
\(^3\)http://www.lpl-aix.fr/~bigi/sppas/
\(^4\)http://sldr.org/sldr000732
\(^5\)http://sldr.org/sldr000875
Extract 1: Interaction between giver (G) and follower (F) from the audio-visual condition (AG-YM; minute 9:36 to 9:58). The visual movements and gaze are not included in this example transcription.

1 G: ...et normalement tu as pas de dessin du tout à gauche là
2 (0.6)
3 F: à gauche
4 > G: ouais
5 F: non dans le coin en bas à gauche j’ai les lumières (0.2)
6 F: et-
7 G: ah ben alors c’est peut-être ça il faut ben tu passes au dessus...
8 F: ...
9 > F: =la d’là: ouais mais c’est vraiment dans l’coin en bas à gauche
10 F: hein (0.7) .hh et par contre à côté des terrasses en haut
11 G: mh mh bon
12 F: à gauche des terrasses assez collé
13 > G: o- 'ouais'
14 > G: ouais (0.2)
15 F: j’ai euh le bar fleuri (0.2)
16 G: et non j’ai pas moi ça
17 F: ah .(.) alors il faut que j’aie où là
18 G: .hh
19 G: eh ben tu tu tu remontes euh ...

5. Basic quantitative information

In each condition, 4 sessions of Map Task dialogues sum up to 1:50h (audio-only) and 2:18h (face-to-face) of data (see Table 1). Although the identical maps were used in both conditions, the duration is substantially longer in the face-to-face condition. This difference is also indicated by the average durations per map (see boxplots in Figure 5). Whether the time difference can be attributed to the two conditions is however speculative, as many other factors may play a role.

Table 1: Basic quantitative information per condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>audio-only</th>
<th>face-to-face</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>duration</td>
<td>6608s = 1h50</td>
<td>8287s = 2h18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># tokens</td>
<td>39792</td>
<td>26706</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SPPAS was used to generate initial descriptive statistics for the corpus, including separate TextGrid files for utterance, word, syllable, and phoneme segmentations.

From the word level, the relative frequencies were calculated (see Table 2). The focus is here on “feedback items”, which play a role in the project CoFee (Prévot and Bertrand, 2012). It can be seen that almost 10% of all words are of the type feedback. "Ouais" is the most frequent in both conditions, followed by "mh" in the audio-only and "d’accord" in the face-to-face condition (see Figure 6). The high number of instances of feedback items: 3,669 (audio-only) and 2,271 (face-to-face) makes an extensive analysis of phonetic, prosodic, contextual and visual cues possible. The visual domain will be of special interest in the future work on this corpus, as the number of tokens vs. the duration of the dialogues diverge according to conditions: Short dialogues (audio-only) have on average more tokens than long dialogues (face-to-face). This suggests that a lot happens in the visual domain that does not happen if this resource is not available during the dialogue.

Table 2: Relative frequency of “feedback items” according to the two conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>token</th>
<th>audio-only</th>
<th>face-to-face</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>oui</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
<td>0.0116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>voilà</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mh</td>
<td>0.0207</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d’accord</td>
<td>0.0112</td>
<td>0.0176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ok</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
<td>0.0094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ah</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>0.0922</td>
<td>0.0850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: Duration per map.
6. Conclusion and on-going work

The Aix Map Task corpus represents a resource for speech analysis that is both structured in terms of the selection of lexical items for intonation research and rich in spontaneity of task-oriented dialogues. It is orthographically transcribed and aligned on the word-level. The annotation of the visual movements is part of ongoing work. The integration of both modalities in the investigation of feedback items is the aim of the CoFee project where this corpus is an essential part of.
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