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Interhemispheric leakage of isotopically heavy nitrate in the eastern tropical Pacific during the last glacial period
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We present new high-resolution N isotope records from the Gulf of Tehuantepec and the Nicaragua Basin spanning the last 50–70 ka. The Tehuantepec site is situated within the core of the north subtropical denitrification zone while the Nicaragua site is at the southern boundary. The $\delta^{15}$N record from Nicaragua shows an “Antarctic” timing similar to denitrification changes observed off Peru-Chile but is radically different from the northern records. We attribute this to the leakage of isotopically heavy nitrate from the South Pacific oxygen minimum zone (OMZ) into the Nicaragua Basin. The Nicaragua record leads the other eastern tropical North Pacific (ETNP) records by about 1000 years because denitrification peaks in the eastern tropical South Pacific (ETSP) before denitrification starts to increase in the Northern Hemisphere OMZ, i.e., during warming episodes in Antarctica. We find that the influence of the heavy nitrate leakage from the ETSP is still noticeable, although attenuated, in the Gulf of Tehuantepec record, particularly at the end of the Heinrich events, and tends to alter the recording of millennial timescale denitrification changes in the ETNP. This implies (1) that sedimentary $\delta^{15}$N records from the southern parts of the ETNP cannot be used straightforwardly as a proxy for local denitrification and (2) that denitrification history in the ETNP, like in the Arabian Sea, is synchronous with Greenland temperature changes. These observations reinforce the conclusion that on millennial timescales during the last ice age, denitrification in the ETNP is strongly influenced by climatic variations that originated in the high-latitude North Atlantic region, while commensurate changes in Southern Ocean hydrography more directly, and slightly earlier, affected oxygen concentrations in the ETSP. Furthermore, the $\delta^{15}$N records imply ongoing physical communication across the equator in the shallow subsurface continuously over the last 50–70 ka.
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1. Introduction

Ice core records from Greenland and Antarctica have revealed that during the last glacial period Earth’s climate experienced high-amplitude, abrupt changes at millennial timescales [Chappellaz et al., 1993; Monnin et al., 2001; Petit et al., 1999]. Intriguingly, millennial timescale temperature changes in the high latitudes are asynchronous between both hemispheres [Blunier et al., 1998; Chappellaz et al., 1993; Petit et al., 1999] raising questions about the geographical origin of these climatic perturbations and the nature of the teleconnection between distant areas around the globe [Altabet et al., 2002; Haug et al., 2001; Koutavas et al., 2002; Stott et al., 2002]. In that regard the role of the tropics in initiating or amplifying abrupt climate changes has been extensively discussed [Altabet et al., 2002; Flückiger et al., 2006; Haug et al., 2001; Koutavas et al., 2002; Stott et al., 2002] but both the timing of changes in the low latitudes and the mechanisms involved remain unclear (Hendy and Pedersen [2006] and, e.g., Kiefer and Kienast [2005]).

Nitrous oxide (N$_2$O) and methane (CH$_4$) levels measured in air trapped in ice cores vary in concert with temperature changes in the Northern Hemisphere high latitudes [Flückiger et al., 1999; Spahni et al., 2005], pointing to a link between greenhouse gas emissions and climate change on millennial timescales. Denitrification is a biologically mediated process that transforms nitrate into gaseous N$_2$ and N$_2$O in the near absence of oxygen [Cline and Kaplan, 1975]. It is the main sink of fixed N for the ocean and hence has the potential to act as a climate rheostat through its ability to alter the biological pump of CO$_2$ [Altabet et al., 1995; Ganeshram et al., 1995; McElroy, 1983] and modulate greenhouse gas (N$_2$O and CO$_2$) emissions [Flückiger et al., 1999; Ivanochko et al., 2005; Nevison et al., 2003, 2004; Sowers et al., 2003]. The world’s three main oceanic denitrification zones, the eastern tropical North Pacific (ETNP) and eastern tropical South Pacific (ETSP) and the Arabian Sea are located in the tropics, yielding a low-latitude mechanism that could contribute to climate change via modulation of the oceanic N
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Isotopic discrimination among stable N isotopes (14N and 15N) associated with this process can be used to track denitrification intensity in suboxic areas by determining the N isotope composition of either the N2 produced [Brandes et al., 1998; Cline and Kaplan, 1975; Liu and Kaplan, 1989] or the sedimentary organic material [Altabet et al., 1999; Ganeshram et al., 2000] that incorporates isotopically enriched nitrate. Using N isotopes in sediment cores, numerous studies have established a strong link between millennial-scale denitrification changes in the Arabian Sea, atmospheric [N2O] and temperature variations in Greenland ice cores [Altabet et al., 2002; Ivanochko et al., 2005; Pichevin et al., 2007; Suthhof et al., 2001], fuelling the debate whether denitrification in the oxygen minimum zones (OMZs) plays a key role in triggering or amplifying abrupt climate changes [e.g., Altabet et al., 2002]. However, δ15N records from the ETP have yielded contradictory reconstructions of denitrification history over the last climatic cycle [Hendy and Pedersen, 2006; Kienast et al., 2002; Thunell and Kepple, 2004]. While denitrification changes in the ETSP have been documented to be in phase with temperature variations in Antarctica [De Pol-Holz et al., 2007; Robinson et al., 2007] N isotope records from the Santa Barbara Basin [Emmer and Thunell, 2000] and North American margin [Hendy et al., 2004] display a clear Greenland timing, implying a strong connection between denitrification and climate in the Northern Hemisphere. It is worth noting however that the N isotope signature off California is not a direct result of local denitrification intensity as this process does not occur in the water column north of 25°N. Rather, it reflects the advection of partially denitrified waters northward from the core of the OMZ which lies to the south [Hendy et al., 2004; Kienast et al., 2002]. Therefore, past changes in denitrification in the northern site have been assumed to reflect denitrification changes in the southern ETNP. Surprisingly, recent records from sites located in the core of the OMZ in the ETNP do not show a clear Greenland timing [Hendy and Pedersen, 2006; Thunell and Kepple, 2004], a paradox that has cast doubt on the relationship between denitrification history in the ETP and abrupt climate changes.

Here, we present new high-resolution nitrogen isotope records from two well-dated cores distributed on a north-south transect across the ETNP that document changes in denitrification over the last 70 ka. The Gulf of Tehuantepec site is situated within the core of the subtropical denitrification zone while the Nicaragua site is located at the southern extreme of the OMZ. Denitrification history has never been documented in the Nicaragua Basin in spite of its key location in the ETNP at the boundary between the equatorial divergence zone and the tropical coastal upwelling area. Comparisons between these new results and existing records from both the northeastern and southeastern tropical Pacific [Cannariato and Kennett, 1999; Hendy and Pedersen, 2006; Thunell and Kepple, 2004; De Pol-Holz et al., 2006, 2007] provide new constraints on the timing of denitrification changes in the ETP and on their climatic impact at the millennial timescale.

2. Material and Methods

Calypso core MD 02-2524 (12°00.55N; 87°54.83W; 863 m water depth) was recovered from the Nicaragua Basin and Calypso core MD 02-2520 (15°40.14N; 95°18.00W; 719 m water depth) from the Gulf of Tehuantepec during the MONA (Marges Ouest Nord Americaines) cruise of the Marion Dufresne (Internal Marine Global Changes (IMAGES) VIII) in June 2002. The locations of sediment cores used are shown in Figure 1.

2.1. Construction of the Age Models

Cores MD 02-2524 and MD 02-2515 are very well dated: the age models are based on 21 and 29 AMS14C dates, respectively (Figure 2, blue and red squares and Tables 1 and 2). The AMS14C dates have been obtained on organic matter samples. Organic matter in both these highly productive, rapidly accumulating sites is of marine origin (as confirmed by the stable C isotope data shown in Tables 1 and 2). A few mixed planktonic foraminifera-based 14C dates have been paired with organic carbon-based 14C dates (Tables 1 and 2) for core MD 02-2524 and on other Marion Dufresne cores from the ETNP (not shown (E. Arellano-Torres, manuscript in preparation, 2010; R. S. Ganeshram, unpublished data, 2008; L. E. Pichevin, manuscript in preparation, 2010)) and both sample types yield contemporaneous ages within error. Therefore, we are confident that 14C dating on organic carbon is as accurate as radiocarbon dating on mixed planktonic foraminifer samples. Moreover, the carbonate fraction throughout most of the sediment cores from this region is poorly preserved and scarce, with the exception of the few intervals where dating on foraminifera was possible. Hence, radiocarbon dating on the more abundant organic carbon fraction offers the opportunity to date the record at high resolution and on crucial intervals while achieving greater precision.

The analytical error on the 14C dates ranges from 30 to <400 years over the last 25 ka B.P. (Tables 1 and 2) and increases substantially toward the limit of the dating window. Radiocarbon error around the last climatic Termination is small (<200 years; see Tables 1 and 2). To calibrate the 14C dates into calendar ages B.P. we applied the MARINE04 model (P. J. Reimer et al., 2004, available at http://calib.qub.ac.uk/calib/) for radiocarbon dates younger than 21 ka and the equation of Bard et al. [2004] for older ages. Existing radiocarbon data show similar marine reservoir ages today for both sites and little variation across the basin (see http://calib.qub.ac.ukmarine/index.php). Therefore, we applied a total reservoir age correction of 450 (±50) years for both sites MD-02 2524 and MD-02 2520 in accordance with previous studies [Berger et al., 1966; Ganeshram et al., 1995].
2.2. Isotopic and Elemental Analyses

Determination of the opal content (%) was performed by molybdate blue spectrophotometry following Mortlock and Froelich [1989]. Organic carbon (Corg (%)) and N (%) contents were determined by using a CE instrument NA2500 elemental analyzer. A sediment standard (PACS-2) was used for calibration.

The isotopic compositions of sedimentary nitrogen ($\delta^{15}N$) and carbon ($\delta^{13}C$) were measured by continuous flow–isotope ratio mass spectrometry using a CE instrument NA2500 elemental analyzer directly coupled to a VG Isotech Prism mass spectrometer. About 10–20 mg of freeze-dried, ground bulk sediment is used for elemental and isotopic analyses. Following flash combustion, the $N_2$...
and CO₂ gases released from the sample are separated chromatographically. These gases are transferred to the mass spectrometer using helium as a carrier gas. Masses 28, 29, 30 and 44, 45, 46 are monitored for N and C isotopic measurements, respectively. The ratios of $^{15}$N-$^{14}$N and $^{13}$C-$^{12}$C in the sample are calculated and reported as $\delta^{15}$N relative to atmospheric N₂ and $\delta^{13}$C relative to Pee Dee belemnite, respectively. Analytical precision of the instrument based on multiple analyses of a laboratory bulk sediment standard is +0.2% (1 sigma).

[11] Minor (Mo) and major (Al) element concentrations were measured by X-ray fluorescence on pressed pellets and glass disks, respectively. The disks and pellets are prepared with 1 g of dry powdered bulk sediment. All geochemical
analyses were performed at the School of Geosciences, the University of Edinburgh. Results are presented in Figures 2 and 4.

3. Oxygen Distribution and Denitrification in the Modern ETNP

[12] The distribution of \( O_2 \) in the thermocline waters of the ETNP is shown in Figure 1. Denitrification takes place when \( O_2 \) falls below 0.2 mL/L [Naqvi and Jayakumar, 2000]. At 200 m water depth in the ETNP the only region that fills this condition year-round is limited to the Mexican Margin between 15^N and 25^N and the Gulf of California (dashed line in Figure 1). The latitudinal extent and vertical distribution of water column denitrification in the ETNP today has been delimited by Brandes et al. [1998] and Sigman et al. [2003]. Denitrification occurs at significant rates between 15^N and 25^N at around 200–300 m water depth [Brandes et al., 1998; Sigman et al., 2003; Thunell and Kepple, 2004]. There, nitrate \( \delta^{15}N \) exceeds 15%o

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Calibration Method</th>
<th>Calendar Age (years B.P.)</th>
<th>(^{14}C) Age Error (1( \sigma ))</th>
<th>(^{13}C) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>1,048</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>–21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>2,645</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>–21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>3,907</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>–20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>534</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>5,442</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>–21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>669</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>6,564</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>–21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>844</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>8,535</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>–21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>969</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>10,155</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>–21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,073</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>11,865</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>–21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,123</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>13,007</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>–21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,173</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>13,954</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>–21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,222</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>14,976</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>–21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,387</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>16,947</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>–21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,442</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>18,310</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>–20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,492</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>18,795</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>–20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,571</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>19,255</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>–20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,797</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>21,394</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>–20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,112</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>24,551</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>–20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,277</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>Bard et al. [2004]</td>
<td>25,422</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,475</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>Bard et al. [2004]</td>
<td>28,088</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>–20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,670</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>Bard et al. [2004]</td>
<td>30,626</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,981</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>Bard et al. [2004]</td>
<td>33,765</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,150</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>Bard et al. [2004]</td>
<td>36,154</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>–21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,284</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>Bard et al. [2004]</td>
<td>36,506</td>
<td>1,363</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,438</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>Bard et al. [2004]</td>
<td>41,146</td>
<td>1,016</td>
<td>–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,493</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>Bard et al. [2004]</td>
<td>43,078</td>
<td>1,326</td>
<td>–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,573</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>Bard et al. [2004]</td>
<td>45,600</td>
<td>3,116</td>
<td>–21.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NA, not available.

Table 2. AMS\(^{14}C\) Date-Based Age Model for Core MD 02-2524 and \(^{13}C\) Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Calibration Method</th>
<th>Calendar Age (years B.P.)</th>
<th>(^{14}C) Age Error (1( \sigma ))</th>
<th>(^{13}C) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>3679</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>–20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>8,681</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>–20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>11,009</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>–20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>foraminifera</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>12,149</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>–0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>13,134</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>–20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225</td>
<td>foraminifera</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>12,920.5</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>–0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>15,090</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>–20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>371</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>20,255</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>–20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>455</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>20,757</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>–20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>MARINE04</td>
<td>23,875.5</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>–20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>731</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>Bard et al. [2004]</td>
<td>26,583.2</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>–20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>771</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>Bard et al. [2004]</td>
<td>26,657.9</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>–20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>876</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>Bard et al. [2004]</td>
<td>29,260.2</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>–20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>971</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>Bard et al. [2004]</td>
<td>32,027.5</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>–20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,016</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>Bard et al. [2004]</td>
<td>33,032.6</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>–20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,041</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>Bard et al. [2004]</td>
<td>33,999.9</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>–20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,166</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>Bard et al. [2004]</td>
<td>36,080.7</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>–20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,410</td>
<td>organic carbon</td>
<td>Bard et al. [2004]</td>
<td>43,596.0</td>
<td>1,426</td>
<td>–20.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
[Brandes et al., 1998; Sigman et al., 2003; Thunell and Kepple, 2004] and [NO₃⁻] falls below the concentration expected by the N/P Redfield ratio [Gruber and Sarmiento, 1997], causing a deficit of nitrate (N*) relative to phosphate. North of 25°N, both NO₃⁻/δ¹⁵N and N* decline, recording the cessation of local denitrification and the decreasing influence of denitrified waters as the California Undercurrent moves northward from the core of the OMZ. Nevertheless, Kienast et al. [2002] show that part of the heavy nitrate formed in the OMZ travels over more than 20 degrees of latitude and influences the δ¹⁵N signal of nitrate and organic particles as far north as the west coast of Canada.

[13] The Nicaragua Basin is situated at southern boundary of ETNP denitrification zone (Figure 1). In the subsurface waters (upper 200 m) [O₂] is always >0.2 mL/L (WOA05 data), i.e., more oxygenated than the core of the OMZ between 15°N and 25°N (Figure 1). Therefore, it is unlikely that denitrification occurs in the Nicaragua Basin at significant rates today.

4. Reconstruction of Past Denitrification in the ETNP

4.1. Gulf of Tehuantepec

[14] Denitrification changes in the ETNP have been variously attributed to variations in the lateral supply of oxygen (ventilation) and in the oxidant demand resulting from local changes in upwelling and associated productivity, or to a combination of both [Ganeshram et al., 2000; Hendy and Kennett, 2003; Ivanochko and Pedersen, 2004; Kienast et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2005; Stott et al., 2000]. The new δ¹⁵N record from Tehuantepec (Figure 2) shows glacial-interglacial and millennial-scale variations, in agreement with known variations in oxygen concentration at intermediate depth in the ETNP [Cannariato and Kennett, 1999]. The new δ¹⁵N record compares well with existing records from the Gulf of Tehuantepec over the last 40 ka or so [Hendy and Pedersen, 2006; Thunell and Kepple, 2004] and shows the same progressive increase during the deglaciation and subsequent symmetrical decrease during the Holocene. The Holocene trend has been attributed to a global feedback mechanism between denitrification and N fixation [Deutsch et al., 2004]. Peaks in the δ¹⁵N records from Tehuantepec are generally contemporaneous with warm events in Greenland (Dansgaard-Oeschger (D/O) interstadials) while episodes of reduced denitrification occur during cold events, i.e., D/O stadials and Heinrich events (Figure 2). However, in comparison to δ¹⁵N records from the Arabian Sea (Figure 2), the new Tehuantepec record bears only a partial resemblance to temperature records from Greenland. In particular, the interstadial increases in δ¹⁵N from the Tehuantepec site consistently precede the onset of abrupt warming in Greenland by a few hundred to a thousand years. In addition, the peaks in δ¹⁵N are relatively symmetrical (progressive increases and decreases) and do not show the typical asymmetry of D/O events (Figure 2, arrows), seen for example in Arabian Sea [Altabet et al., 2002; Pichevin et al., 2007] and northern ETNP records [Hendy et al., 2004].

[15] Existing δ¹⁵N records from cores located north of 25°N, in the Santa Barbara Basin and on the California-Oregon margins [Cannariato and Kennett, 1999; Hendy and Pedersen, 2006; Kienast et al., 2002; Thunell and Kepple, 2004] clearly show a distinctive Greenland timing with sharp increases at the onsets of high-latitude Northern Hemisphere warming periods and progressive decreases during cooling periods (Figure 2). The δ¹⁵N variations there correlate with N₂O records from ice cores, and previous studies have suggested a strong link between denitrification in the ETNP, greenhouse gas emission and past climate change [Flückiger et al., 1999; Sowers et al., 2003; Sprovieri et al., 2005], an hypothesis that has been recently supported by the modeling results of Schmittner et al. [2007]. However, water column denitrification does not occur north of 25°N (Figure 1); the δ¹⁵N records from these locations are known to reflect the advection of heavy nitrate from the core of the OMZ, i.e., Gulf of Tehuantepec and Baja California [Hendy et al., 2004; Kienast et al., 2002]. Surprisingly our δ¹⁵N record from Tehuantepec does not show a typical Greenland timing, unlike the northern records. These intriguing results raise a number of questions on the history of denitrification changes in the ETNP: Why does the variability in δ¹⁵N signal recorded in the California Margin lags δ¹⁵N variations in the ETNP, which is thought to be the source area of the signal? What is the source of the nitrate supplied to the California Margin north of 25°N?

4.2. Nicaragua Basin

[16] Core MD 02-2524 from the Nicaragua Basin core has provided the first δ¹⁵N record south of 15°N in the ETNP. The N isotopic signal from this core varies between 5.5‰ and 9‰ (Figure 2), comparable to the amplitude of changes measured in the Tehuantepec core. Surprisingly, the δ¹⁵N record from this core is radically different from the northern records and shows (1) no synchronicity with temperature changes in Greenland and (2) a timing comparable to Antarctic temperature changes over the last Termination and arguably during the major warming episodes recognized in the Southern Hemisphere high latitudes (A1, A2, A3 and A4 in Figure 2). During the Last Termination, for instance, the N isotopic signal from the Nicaragua core starts to increase at 20 ka B.P. and peaks at around 15 ka, a thousand years prior to the Bolling-Allerod (B/A). Subsequently, a marked decrease is observed at 13 ka B.P., concurrent with the Antarctic Cold reversal (ACR) observed in Antarctic δ¹⁸O records.

[17] We use two different statistical methods to constrain the phase relationship between high-latitude temperatures and δ¹⁵N changes in the Nicaragua Basin and the Gulf of Tehuantepec (Figure 3). The best fit results (calculated as the smallest arithmetic difference between two records) and the time lag correlations both show that the Tehuantepec δ¹⁵N record lags the Nicaragua δ¹⁵N record by 1300 years, comparable to the phase lag between Greenland and Antarctic temperatures (δ¹⁸O), as shown in Figure 3. Such a large and consistent lag cannot be explained by the uncertainty attached to the ¹⁴C dates nor the choice of the reservoir ages (section 2.1). This timing difference further suggests that, in spite of early increases in δ¹⁵N during
Heinrich events (H1, H4 and H5; Figure 2) denitrification changes in the Gulf of Tehuantepec are largely synchronous with temperature changes observed in Greenland whereas the Nicaragua record is in phase with Antarctic climate. Thus, the timing of $\delta^{15}N$ variations in the Nicaragua Basin differs from the rest of the ETNP, suggesting that the histories and causes of denitrification changes in the ETNP could have multiple origins. There are two potential explanations for the observed contrasts: (1) denitrification history in the Nicaragua Basin is local and controlled by specific mechanisms related to climate changes in the Southern Hemisphere high latitudes and (2) the $\delta^{15}N$ signal in the Nicaragua Basin does not reflect local denitrification and is related to episodic advection of heavy nitrate from elsewhere. These hypotheses are explored in section 5.

5. Origin of the $\delta^{15}N$ Signal off Nicaragua

5.1. Past Changes in Productivity, Oxygen, and $\delta^{15}N$

Oxygen profiles point to the absence of local denitrification in the Nicaragua basin today: oxygen concentration at 200–300 m water depth is above the 0.2 mL/L limit required for denitrification to take place (section 3 and Figure 1). This suggests that the heavy $\delta^{15}N$ signal observed during the late Holocene in core MD 02-2524 and today south of 15°N [Altabet, 2001; Farrell et al., 1995] cannot
result from local denitrification. Therefore, we propose that Holocene $\delta^{15}$N values greater than the oceanic $\delta^{15}$N$_{NO3}$ average (5‰) [Sigman et al., 1997] represent advection of “heavy” nitrate from the northern or the southern denitrifying zone. The situation could have been different during the last glacial transition, however. Could denitrification have occurred locally at that time?

[19] In both the ETNP [Hendy et al., 2004] and the Arabian Sea [i.e., Altabat et al., 2002; Ivanochko et al., 2005] sedimentary Corg and/or opal contents have been shown to correlate with denitrification changes ($\delta^{15}$N) at millennial timescales implying that upwelling-induced productivity changes modulate denitrification rates. The temporal relationship between $\delta^{15}$N and local productivity using Corg and opal contents in the Nicaragua core over the last 42 ka is evaluated in Figure 4. At this site, between 55% and 85% of the sediment is constituted by terrigenous material. Therefore, it is important to correct C$_{org}$ and opal concentrations for the effect of dilution by terrigenous input before using these parameters for paleoproductivity reconstruction; accordingly, the C$_{org}$ and opal records are also presented on a terrigenous-free basis in Figure 4. Organic matter $\delta^{13}$C measured down core varies between $-19\%$ and $-21\%$ (not shown), indicating that the organic matter is of strictly marine origin [Calvert et al., 2001]. In contrast with the northern part of the ETNP [Hendy et al., 2004], C$_{org}$ concentrations (on a terrigenous-free basis) show no apparent correlation with the $\delta^{15}$N signal in core MD 02-2524. In addition, opal percent (terrigenous-free basis) content and $\delta^{15}$N show an inverse relationship: high siliceous production (glacial MIS 2) is indicated when the $\delta^{15}$N is low, and low siliceous production (Holocene and Heinrich events) when $\delta^{15}$N values are generally high. Similarly, records of opal and organic carbon mass accumulation rates (MAR) (Figure 4) calculated for the Nicaragua core are not correlated with the $\delta^{15}$N signal. Collectively, these results indi-

Figure 4. (a) Opal mass accumulation rates (MAR), wt % on a terrigenous-free basis, and wt % and (b) organic carbon MAR, wt % on a terrigenous-free basis, and wt % compared to (c) $\delta^{15}$N (%) in core MD 02-2524.
cate that productivity and the associated variations in oxidant demand in the underlying waters cannot explain the $\delta^{15}$N changes in the Nicaragua Basin.

In rapidly accumulating margin sediments like the Nicaragua Basin (40 cm/ka), where oxidation depth is likely to be small compared to burial rate, [Mo] excess (i.e., Mo in excess of lithogenic material calculated as Mo/Al) can be considered a reasonably robust tracer of reducing conditions [Crusius et al., 1999]. The Mo record shown in Figure 5 illustrates changes in bottom water or uppermost pore water oxygen concentration at around 800 m water depth at the core site. Figure 5 reveals that episodes of increased Mo reduction (low oxygen) do not occur in phase with increased productivity or $\delta^{15}$N in the Nicaragua Basin but are contemporaneous, within dating errors, with $\delta^{15}$N increases recorded further north on the California Margin during MIS 3 and the B/A (with the exception of 2 data points at around 16 ka). This suggests that oxygen concentrations at the sediment-water interface in the Nicaragua Basin are not controlled by oxidant demand created locally by settling organic matter, but rather are modulated by preformed intermediate water oxygenation. This implies that oxygen concentration at 800 m water depth in the Nicaragua basin and denitrification in the northern ETNP vary in concert and hence are both controlled by the contractions and expansions of the OMZ on millennial timescales.

One can argue that the Mo/Al and Mo MAR records from 800 m water depth presented here do not capture changes in O$_2$ concentration at shallower depths (100–300 m water depths) in the Nicaragua Basin. Such [O$_2$] variations in the subsurface ocean might have triggered and modulated local denitrification with a Southern Hemisphere timing. However, this hypothesis is weakened by two caveats: First, it is expected that in highly productive regions, oxidant demand related to organic matter degradation would be an overriding factor in controlling O$_2$ concentrations and potential denitrification at shallow water depth. Second, subsurface waters coming from the equatorial region to the Costa Rica Dome and Nicaragua Basin are well oxygenated due to permanent ventilation via the equatorial subsurface current system [Fiedler, 2002; Fiedler and Talley, 2006; Kessler, 2006]. Although variations in oxygen concentration at 800 m water depth in the Nicaragua basin and denitrification in the northern ETNP vary in concert and hence are both controlled by the contractions and expansions of the OMZ on millennial timescales.
supply to the low-latitude Pacific during the last deglaciation (18–11 ka) cannot be completely ruled out given the probable large-scale reorganization in ocean circulation at that time, we find no evidence for such change in the records.

[22] Given the absence of correlation between the δ¹⁵N record from core MD 02-2524 and the productivity and oxygenation data, we find no evidence that denitrification occurred at ¹²C¹⁷N. Therefore, the δ¹⁵N record from the Nicaragua Basin does not reflect past variations in local denitrification. Instead we propose that it records the advection of (heavy) nitrate from the Southern Hemisphere OMZ.

5.2. Heavy Nitrate Leakage From the Peru-Chile Margin

[23] Denitrification changes on the Peru-Chile Margin [De Pol-Holz et al., 2007; Higginson and Altabet, 2004; Robinson et al., 2007] and the δ¹⁵N signal off Nicaragua over the last 70 ka are in good agreement; all three records display an enrichment in the heavy N isotope during warming episodes in Antarctica (yellow stripes in Figure 6). Some mismatches at around 35 ka between core GeoB7139-2 and our record may be related to dating uncertainties close to the limit of the ¹⁴C window. This comparison suggests that the δ¹⁵N record from core MD 02-2524 results from the advection of heavy nitrate from the denitrification zones of the ETSP (Figures 6 and 7). During Heinrich events denitrification is reduced in the ETNP but is at its maximum in the ETSP, matching Antarctic warming phases [De Pol-Holz et al., 2006, 2007; Higginson and Altabet, 2004; Robinson et al., 2007] (Figure 6). Therefore we postulate that the contemporaneous δ¹⁵N enrichments recorded off Nicaragua are the result of northward, cross-equatorial advection of heavy nitrate generated from intense denitrification in the Peru-Chile Margin. The complex current and water mass structures and interactions in the eastern equatorial Pacific have been only partially described [Fiedler and Talley, 2006; Kessler, 2006], and water mass exchanges across the equator are still incompletely known in this region [Kessler, 2006]. However, extant hydrographic data can be used to define a potential route by which denitrified waters could be advected across the equator.

[24] The distribution of N* at 50 m water depth and cross sections of salinity and N* in the upper 500 m water depth are shown in Figure 7. N* represents the deficit of nitrate, relative to the predicted N:P Redfield ratio, via denitrification [Gruber and Sarmiento, 1997]. It is not a conservative tracer as it is affected, for instance, by N fixation or the relative regeneration of organic N and P as the water travels...
away from its source. However, N* has the potential to indicate whether a parcel of water has been denitrified along the way. Maximum denitrification in the ETNP occurs between 200 and 350 m water depth [Brandes et al., 1998; Sigman et al., 2003] whereas it occurs at 100–200 m water depth in the ETSP. At 200 m depth, a severe nitrate deficit is observed in both the southern and northern OMZs (more negative N*) but this feature is not present in the Nicaragua basin, reflecting the absence of local denitrification at that depth in this area as suggested earlier. This implies that the leakage of heavy nitrate from the ETSP might occur above this depth, in the 50–200 m subsurface interval. The cross sections in Figure 7 suggest the passage of denitrified water (as a tongue of negative N*) from the southern tropical region to the equatorial region and arguably to the Northern Hemisphere at around 50–100 m water depth. A pool of denitrified water at around 50 m depth stretches from the Peruvian coast into the EUC and arguably the Nicaragua basin.

[25] We attribute this northward propagation of denitrified water to the subduction of high-salinity Subtropical Surface Water (STSW) from above the core of the southern OMZ at around 25°S–10°S (Figure 7). This well-oxygenated, subpolar water mass contains a large pool of nitrate regenerated from the degradation of organic matter produced in the Peru-Chile upwelling region [Toggweiler and Carson, 1995]. As part of the subtropical mode water, the STSW subsequently reaches into the EUC and into the North Pacific Subtropical Subsurface Water (STSSW), shown in Figure 7 as a broad salinity maximum between 100 and 200 m water depth. The STSSW, a derivation of the EUC, fuels the Costa Rica Dome and Nicaragua Basin [Fiedler and Talley, 2006; Kessler, 2006; Wyrtki, 1966].

[26] In their model, Toggweiler and Carson [1995] illustrate the role of the equatorial Pacific current system in distributing nutrients to the ETSP and ETNP. In particular, they show that the pools of nitrate regenerated in the subsurface in the equatorial and Peru-Chile regions merge in the eastern part of the basin, enabling mixing of the partly denitrified nitrate from the southern OMZ with the equatorial water, and subsequent redistribution via the equatorial subsurface circulation. Although this pathway inevitably results in the dilution of the heavy nitrate pool, we expect that a substantial proportion reaches the Costa Rica Dome and Nicaragua Basin.

[27] Although modern observations can only be used as a rough guide for the past, they do demonstrate the feasibility for cross equatorial exchange of denitrified heavy nitrate. Therefore, we propose that the advection of denitrified waters from the South Pacific could have significantly
altered the N isotopic composition of nitrate supplied to the Nicaragua Basin (and potentially Tehuantepec), especially during past periods of intense denitrification in the Peru-Chile OMZ and decreased denitrification in the ETNP. In particular, we speculate that the southward shifts of the ITCZ documented during cold periods such as Heinrich events and the associated changes in the surface water current and upwelling systems around the equator [Ivanochko et al., 2005; Kienast et al., 2006; Koutavas and Lynch-Stieglitz, 2003, 2004] could have enhanced the passage of subsurface water from the south to the Nicaragua Basin.

Figure 8. (top) The $\delta^{15}$N records from core GeoB7139-2 (black) [De Pol-Holz et al., 2007] from the Chile Margin representing denitrification changes in the ETSP, core MD 042876 (green) [Pichevin et al., 2007] from the Arabian Sea, and core ODP1017 [Hendy et al., 2004] (gray) from the California margin representing denitrification changes in the Northern Hemisphere OMZs are compared to N$_2$O concentrations in EPICA Dome C and GRIP ice cores [Flückiger et al., 1999; Spahni et al., 2005] during selected millennial timescale events: Heinrich 1–Bølling-Allerød (H1/B-A), Heinrich 4–Dansgaard-Oeschger 8 (H4-D/O8), and Heinrich 5–Dansgaard-Oeschger 12 (H5-D/O12). (bottom) Oxygen concentration at 250 m water depth (WOCE data) showing the distribution of the world’s OMZs.
Basin at times of intense denitrification in the Peru-Chile OMZ.

6. Implications for the Timing of Denitrification Changes in the ETNP

[28] To date, millennial-scale denitrification history in the ETNP and its connection with the northern or southern high-latitude climate has remained unclear [Hendy and Pedersen, 2006; Hendy et al., 2004; Thunell and Kepple, 2004]. Records located north of the ETNP denitrification zones display δ15N changes that are apparently synchronous with Greenland temperature changes over the last glacial cycle [Hendy et al., 2004; Kienast et al., 2002; Thunell and Kepple, 2004]. Such comparisons were interpreted as indirect evidence that denitrification in the ETNP (between 15°N and 25°N) varies in concert with Northern Hemisphere high latitudes climate. However, a recent δ15N record from the Gulf of Tehuantepec, within the core of the OMZ, has cast doubt on this supposition [Hendy and Pedersen, 2006], suggesting that hydrographic variability in the Antarctic region modulated denitrification in the southern part of the ETNP. This inference was based on the observation that the deglacial N isotopic signal in Gulf of Tehuantepec sediments starts to increase at 17–18 ka B.P., in phase with the deglacial temperature increase in Antarctica. Reconciliation of the contrast between the Tehuantepec and more northerly records can be achieved if the Tehuantepec record represents a combination of both local denitrification changes and an advected signal from the south.

[29] Our new Tehuantepec record exhibits both Northern Hemisphere and Southern Hemisphere climatic influences. Early increases in δ15N occur mainly during H1, H4 and H5 (Figure 2, arrows), but maximum δ15N values occur during Greenland (Dansgaard-Oeschger) warm spells and not during Antarctic warming episodes. This implies that denitrification in the ETNP is maximum during interstadials (when temperatures were highest in Greenland). In the Nicaragua Basin, south of the denitrification zone, the early and gradual increases in δ15N during Heinrich events almost certainly result from the advection of heavy nitrate from the Southern Hemisphere OMZ (section 5) that penetrates further north into the ETNP denitrification zone and influences the δ15N signal in the Gulf of Tehuantepec (and probably beyond). Thus, we propose that the sedimentary δ15N records from this locale reflect the interplay of two components: (1) local/regional denitrification changes that peak during Greenland warm spells and (2) the advection of heavy nitrate from the southern OMZ that causes the gradual and early increase in δ15N during Heinrich events. A broader implication is that local denitrification in the ETNP varies abruptly with a Northern Hemisphere climate pacing in concert with denitrification in the Arabian Sea, and abrupt changes in Greenland temperatures and atmospheric N2O [Flückiger et al., 1999, 2006; Sowers et al., 2003].

[30] It is well documented that denitrification in the Peru-Chile margin varies with an Antarctic timing [De Pol-Holz et al., 2006; Robinson et al., 2007]. This should have influenced the timing of past oceanic N2O emissions at millennial timescales, but to what extent relative to the integrated global efflux? Figure 8 qualitatively addresses this question. During Heinrich events, the early increases in denitrification in the Peru-Chile area are compensated by decreases in denitrification in the other OMZ (Figure 8). During D/O warm events however denitrification in the Peru-Chile area plateaus whereas it sharply increases in the Northern Hemisphere tropics (Figure 8). The very close correspondence between variations in the concentration of ice core N2O and the Arabian Sea and California Margin denitrification records strongly suggests that N2O production in the ocean has been dominated by Northern Hemisphere OMZ denitrification for at least the last 50 ka.

7. Conclusions

[31] Sedimentary δ15N variability in a core from the Nicaragua Basin collected just south of the modern ETNP denitrification zone exhibits an Antarctic timing similar to denitrification changes off Peru-Chile. We attribute this to the leakage of isotopically heavy nitrate from the South American OMZ to the Nicaragua Basin. This imprints a Southern Hemisphere signal on a Northern Hemisphere δ15N record, and reflects an earlier start to denitrification in the ETSP that corresponds with warming in Antarctica during the initial stages of Heinrich events.

[32] It follows that the δ15N signal from Tehuantepec and the ETNP in general cannot be interpreted directly as a tracer of local denitrification; rather it represents an amalgam of Southern Hemisphere and Northern Hemisphere climatic influences. The corollary to this finding is that local denitrification in the ETNP varied abruptly in synchronicity with Greenland temperature changes, further connecting denitrification changes in the Northern Hemisphere tropics and climate in the northern high latitudes.
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