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Aromatase Inhibition Reduces Insulin Sensitivity in Healthy Men

Fraser W. Gibb, Natalie Z.M. Homer, Abdullah M.M. Faqehi, Rita Upreti, Dawn E. Livingstone, Kerry J. Mclnnes, Ruth Andrew, Brian R. Walker

British Heart Foundation Centre for Cardiovascular Science, University of Edinburgh, Queen’s Medical Research Institute, Edinburgh, EH16 4TJ, United Kingdom

Context: Deficiency of aromatase, the enzyme which catalyzes the conversion of androgens to estrogens, is associated with insulin resistance in humans and mice.

Objective: We hypothesized that pharmacological aromatase inhibition results in peripheral insulin resistance in humans.

Design: Double-blind randomized controlled crossover study.

Setting: A clinical research facility.

Participants: 17 healthy male volunteers (18 – 50 years).

Intervention: Oral anastrozole (1mg daily) and placebo, each for 6 weeks with 2 week ‘washout’ period.

Main outcome measure: Glucose disposal and rates of lipolysis were measured during a stepwise hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp. Data are mean (SEM).

Results: Anastrozole therapy resulted in significant estradiol suppression (59.9 \pm 3.6 vs. 102.0 \pm 5.7 pmol/L, \( P = 0.001 \)) and more modest elevation of total testosterone (25.8 \pm 1.2 vs. 21.4 \pm 0.7 nmol/L, \( P = 0.003 \)). Glucose infusion rate, during low dose insulin infusion, was lower following anastrozole administration (12.16 \pm 1.33 vs. 14.15 \pm 1.55 \mu mol/kg/min, \( P = 0.024 \)). No differences in hepatic glucose production or rate of lipolysis were observed.

Conclusion: Aromatase inhibition reduces insulin sensitivity, with respect to peripheral glucose disposal, in healthy men. Local generation and action of estradiol, at the level of skeletal muscle, is likely to be an important determinant of insulin sensitivity.

Although best known for their role in reproduction, both androgens and estrogens exert metabolic effects (1). In men, testosterone deficiency is associated with an increased risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) (2) and pharmacological androgen deprivation, employed in the treatment of prostate cancer, is associated with deteriorating insulin sensitivity (3). There is inconsistent evidence that testosterone replacement therapy improves insulin sensitivity in hypogonadal men (4, 5).

In postmenopausal women, estrogen replacement reduces the risk of T2DM (6). Estrogens are generated from substrate androgens through the action of the cytochrome P450 enzyme aromatase (7). The circulating concentration of estrogens may be much less important than local tissue generation, particularly in men and postmenopausal women, in whom the local activity of aromatase in skeletal muscle and adipose tissue is likely to account for most estrogen production and action (8). It is possible that...
the metabolic phenotype in androgen-deficient men is largely a consequence of downstream estrogen deficiency.

Supporting this hypothesis, male aromatase knock out mice have increased adiposity (9), hepatic steatosis (10) and insulin resistance (11), with similar features observed in rare cases of human aromatase deficiency (12); in both cases, estrogen replacement largely reverses the abnormal phenotype. In healthy male volunteers anastrozole has been shown to increase adiposity, particularly in the intra-abdominal compartment (13). Aromatase inhibitors are widely used for long-term treatment in breast cancer, but any effect on fuel metabolism has not been addressed.

We hypothesized that pharmacological aromatase inhibition induces insulin resistance in healthy male volunteers. To test this hypothesis we performed a randomized placebo-controlled crossover study using the aromatase inhibitor anastrozole, assessing insulin sensitivity with gold-standard stable isotope tracer methodology.

**Subjects and Methods**

**Study design**

This was a double-blind, randomized placebo-controlled balanced crossover study in healthy male volunteers. Ethical approval was obtained from the Lothian Research Ethics Committee and informed written consent was obtained from participants.

Following a screening visit, participants received 6 weeks of anastrozole (1 mg daily; Astra Zeneca) and 6 weeks of placebo, administered in identical capsules (Tayside Pharmaceuticals), in random order with a 2 week ‘washout’ period.

**Participants**

Participants were recruited through newspaper and poster advertisements. Inclusion criteria were men aged 18 to 65 years with normal screening blood tests (urea & electrolytes, liver function tests, lipid profile, thyroid function tests and full blood count). Exclusion criteria were any significant current illness, use of regular medication, alcohol excess (defined as greater than 28 g/day) and history of obesity. Nineteen individuals elected not to proceed to randomization. Adherence was assessed by the presence of anastrozole in plasma and suppression of circulating estrogens in the active phase.

**Outcomes**

The primary outcome measure was insulin sensitivity as assessed by glucose disposal during a hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp (14). Additional endpoints included rates of endogenous glucose production and lipolysis, body fat, lipid profile, plasma adipocytokines and mRNA transcript abundance in subcutaneous adipose tissue.

**Clinical methods**

Participants attended the Clinical Research Facility at 07:30 hours following an overnight fast on two occasions (at completion of each 6 week course of tablets). Height, weight and blood pressure (BP) (seated) were measured. Body fat was assessed with an OMRON BF306 bioimpedance device (OMRON Healthcare). A three-phase hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp study was conducted with infusion of d2-glucose (6,6-3H2-glucose) and d5-glycerol (1,1,2,3,3,5H5-glycerol) tracers over 270 minutes. From 0 to 90 minutes only stable isotope tracers were infused, with initial priming doses of d2-glucose (17 μmol/kg) and d5-glycerol (1.6 μmol/kg) over one minute, followed by continuous infusion of d2-glucose (0.22 μmol/kg/min) and d5-glycerol (0.11 μmol/kg/min). Tracer infusion rates were maintained for the remainder of the clamp study. From 90 to 180 minutes, low-dose insulin (Actrapid; Novo Nordisk; 10 mU/m2/min) was infused to assess suppression of lipolysis and endogenous glucose production. From 180 to 270 minutes, high-dose insulin was infused (40 mU/m2/min) to assess peripheral glucose disposal. Between 90 and 270 minutes, 20% dextrose (Baxter) was infused to maintain euglycemia (4.5 – 5.5 mM) as determined by measurement of arterialized blood samples every 5 minutes (Accu-Chek Advantage; Roche). Arterialized venous blood samples were obtained at baseline and as 4 steady-state samples taken over 15 minutes at the end of each phase of the clamp study.

Biopsies of subcutaneous, peri-umbilical adipose tissue were taken 2 days in advance of clamp studies using a 14-gauge needle, under local anesthesia, and frozen immediately on dry ice.

**Laboratory methods**

Glucose, urea and electrolytes, thyroid and liver function and lipid profile were measured by autoanalyzer (Architect c16000 analyzer; Abbott Diagnostics Ltd). SHBG was measured by enzyme-linked immunoassay (Demeditec Diagnostics); plasma insulin by ultrasensitive ELISA (DRG); LH by in-house ELISA (Supplemental material); plasma nonesterified fatty acids (NEFAs) by a coupled enzyme reaction assay (Zen-Bio Inc); and plasma leptin, monocyte chemoattractant protein 1, interleukin 8, adiponectin and resistin by Milliplex immunoassay (Merck Millipore). Plasma glucose, d2-glucose, glycerol and d5-glycerol were quantified by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (15). mRNA abundance of transcripts of interest in subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue was assessed by real-time quantitative PCR (16) and presented in relation to that of the housekeeping gene cyclophylbin A, which did not differ between groups and is not known to be influenced by sex steroid hormones.

Plasma testosterone and androstenedione, were quantified by LC-MS/MS (17), adapted for smaller volume of plasma (30 μL) and using Oasis HLB 10 mg cartridges (Waters, Manchester, UK). Estradiol and estrone were quantified by LC-MS/MS (18). Bioavailable and free testosterone were calculated as previously described (19). Plasma anastrozole was quantified by LC-MS/MS (Supplemental material).

**Tracer kinetic calculations**

Steady state of tracer:tracee ratios (TTR) was tested by Spearman’s correlation of time vs TTRs of the 4 measurements during each steady state period and steady state accepted when \( P > .10 \). Tracer kinetics were calculated using mean values from the relevant steady state period of the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp. M value = glucose infusion rate at steady state; rate of disposal (Rd) of glucose = d2-glucose infusion rate/tracer:tracee ratio (TTR); endogenous glucose production (EGP) = Rd glucose – glucose infusion rate; and rate of appearance (Ra) of glyco-
erol = d5-glycerol infusion rate / TTR. Adjustments were made to account for naturally occurring mass +2 glucose.

Sample size and statistical analysis

Based on previously published data (20), a sample size of 20 was calculated to have 80% power to detect a 15% difference in glucose disposal to \( P < .05 \). Due to difficulties in recruitment only 17 participants completed the study. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows, version 19 (IBM). Data are presented as mean (SEM). Comparisons between the anastrozole and placebo treatment periods were assessed with paired Student’s t-tests. When data were not normally distributed, logarithmic transformation was undertaken. When transformation did not result in normally distributed data, Related-Samples Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test was employed.

Results

Participant characteristics

17 individuals entered the study, all of whom completed the protocol with no significant adverse events reported. The first treatment phase was anastrozole in nine subjects and placebo in the remaining eight. Six participants consented to paired subcutaneous adipose biopsies at the completion of each treatment period. The mean age of volunteers was 27.7 ± 2.5 years (range 18 – 50).

Anastrozole was detected in plasma from all participants at the end of the active phase, ranging from 18.4 to 197.5 ng/mL (mean 59.9 ± 3.6 ng/mL), and not detected at the end of the placebo phase. One individual had very high anastrozole levels, perhaps due to poor compliance with therapy, so that without this subject anastrozole levels ranged from 18.4 to 93.6 and mean 50.8 ± 5.1 but results were not substantially affected if this participant was omitted from analysis., and there were no correlations between anastrozole concentrations and changes in insulin sensitivity.

Effects of anastrozole on insulin sensitivity

Plasma glucose concentrations were stable (Supplemental figure 1) and steady state d2-glucose enrichments were achieved during each phase of the hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp (Figure 1), although glucose TTRs fell modestly during low-dose insulin infusion (-0.004 ± 0.001, \( P < .001 \)) and substantially during high-dose insulin infusion (-0.012 ± 0.001, \( P < .001 \)). Predicted suppression of endogenous glucose production, inhibition of lipolysis and stimulation of peripheral glucose disposal by insulin were observed (Tables 1 and 2).

Anastrozole had no effect upon endogenous glucose production or insulin-mediated suppression of endogenous glucose production (Table 1). During low dose insulin infusion, a significantly lower glucose infusion rate (M value) was required to maintain euglycemia and Rd glucose tended to decrease following anastrozole administration (Table 1). During high dose insulin infusion, no significant difference in M value was observed (Table 1).

Lipolysis, as determined by Ra glycerol, was not influenced by anastrozole, although nonsignificant trends towards higher plasma NEFA concentration and attenuation of insulin-mediated suppression of NEFAs were observed during low dose insulin infusion (Table 2).
Effects of anastrozole on BP, body composition and lipids

Anastrozole administration was associated with a 4 mmHg increase in systolic BP compared with placebo (138 ± 3 vs. 134 ± 3 mmHg, P < .05) although no significant difference was observed in diastolic BP (79 ± 2 vs. 78 ± 2 mmHg, P = .847). Heart rate was correspondingly significantly lower during anastrozole treatment (66 ± 3 vs. 71 ± 3 bpm, P < .05). No significant differences in weight (82.2 ± 3.4 vs. 81.8 ± 3.4 kg, P = .404), BMI (25.9 ± 1.1 vs. 25.7 ± 1.1 kg/m², P = .445) or percentage body fat (16.4 ± 1.9 vs. 16.4 ± 1.9%, P = .957) were observed between anastrozole and placebo treatment. Plasma leptin was significantly lower following anastrozole (4249 ± 999 vs. 5890 ± 1349 pg/mL, P = .039) although no difference was observed in adiponectin, resistin, IL-8 or MCP-1 (Supplemental Table 2). Total cholesterol was lower during the anastrozole phase (3.86 ± 0.13 vs. 4.12 ± 0.14 mmol/L, P = .041), as a consequence of nonsignificant trends towards lower HDL cholesterol (7.4%, P = .08) and LDL cholesterol (6.6%, P = .12) (Table 2). Aromatase inhibition exerted only minimal effects upon subcutaneous adipose mRNA transcript expression. Of 27 genes assessed (Supplemental Table 3), only down regulation of estrogen receptor β (45.1%, P = .14) and perilipin 2 (8.8%, P = .045) were statistically significant.

Table 1. Effect of anastrozole on indices of insulin sensitivity for glucose metabolism.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Anastrozole</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>During tracer without insulin infusion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mM)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.3)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (mU/liter)</td>
<td>5.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>5.8 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous glucose production (μmol/kg/min)</td>
<td>7.77 (0.46)</td>
<td>7.50 (0.46)</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>During low dose insulin infusion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mM)</td>
<td>6.5 (0.4)</td>
<td>6.5 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (mU/liter)</td>
<td>15.1 (1.2)</td>
<td>16.7 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGP (μmol/kg/min)</td>
<td>3.79 (1.01)</td>
<td>3.37 (1.10)</td>
<td>0.562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Suppression endogenous glucose production</td>
<td>61.2 (13.0)</td>
<td>65.0 (12.5)</td>
<td>0.723*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M Value (μmol/kg/min)</td>
<td>12.16 (1.33)</td>
<td>14.15 (1.55)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rd Glucose (μmol/kg/min)</td>
<td>15.04 (1.13)</td>
<td>16.46 (1.50)</td>
<td>0.044*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>During high dose insulin infusion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mM)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.2)</td>
<td>5.8 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (mU/liter)</td>
<td>48.1 (3.7)</td>
<td>53.6 (4.4)</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M Value (μmol/kg/min)</td>
<td>41.80 (3.66)</td>
<td>43.13 (4.16)</td>
<td>0.599</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SEM). *Compared by Related-Samples Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test.

Table 2. Effects of anastrozole on lipid profile and insulin sensitivity indices for lipolysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Anastrozole</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fasting before infusion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mm)</td>
<td>3.86 (1.13)</td>
<td>4.12 (1.14)</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-Cholesterol (mm)</td>
<td>2.39 (0.14)</td>
<td>2.56 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-Cholesterol (mm)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.05)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mm)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.09)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFAs (μM)</td>
<td>1011.6 (88.2)</td>
<td>982.4 (87.6)</td>
<td>0.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>During tracer infusion without insulin infusion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol (μM)</td>
<td>62.3 (5.1)</td>
<td>66.4 (4.7)</td>
<td>0.223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ra Glycerol (μmol/kg/min)</td>
<td>2.85 (0.36)</td>
<td>2.79 (0.34)</td>
<td>0.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFAs (μM)</td>
<td>1084.0 (71.0)</td>
<td>1051.4 (104.3)</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>During low-dose insulin infusion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol (μM)</td>
<td>35.5 (5.2)</td>
<td>38.1 (4.0)</td>
<td>0.388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ra glycerol (μmol/kg/min)</td>
<td>1.87 (0.19)</td>
<td>1.73 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFAs (μmol/liter)</td>
<td>399.1 (43.3)</td>
<td>294.6 (35.4)</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Suppression Glycerol from baseline</td>
<td>52.8 (4.3)</td>
<td>57.3 (3.4)</td>
<td>0.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Suppression Ra Glycerol from baseline</td>
<td>28.0 (4.6)</td>
<td>32.0 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Suppression NEFAs from baseline</td>
<td>61.8 (0.04)</td>
<td>68.7 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>During high-dose insulin infusion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol (μM)</td>
<td>27.9 (5.0)</td>
<td>32.1 (3.9)</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ra Glycerol (μmol/kg/min)</td>
<td>1.69 (0.18)</td>
<td>1.77 (0.20)</td>
<td>0.525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFAs (μM)</td>
<td>173.8 (18.9)</td>
<td>153.7 (24.8)</td>
<td>0.437</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SEM).
Effects of anastrozole on sex steroid concentrations

Aromatase inhibition resulted in a 20.5% increase in plasma total testosterone ($P = .003$), a 41.3% decline in estradiol ($P < .001$), a 43.6% ($P < .001$) fall in estrone, and a compensatory 31.4% rise in luteinizing hormone (LH) ($P < .001$) (Table 3). These pharmacodynamics changes did not correlate with changes in insulin sensitivity (not shown).

None of the effects of anastrozole were explained by an “order effect”, since all were independent the order of drug vs placebo administration (not shown).

Discussion

In a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study we have shown that aromatase inhibition with anastrozole impairs insulin-stimulated peripheral glucose disposal, without affecting hepatic glucose production or lipolysis. An effect on the primary endpoint for the study was achieved despite recruiting 17 of a target 20 participants.

Previous investigators assessed effects of letrozole administered for 4 weeks in 18 healthy men (divided into younger and older age groups) (21) and reported improved insulin sensitivity, inferred from changes in fasting glucose and insulin, but only in younger subjects. A subsequent study by the same investigators assessed letrozole glucose and insulin, but only in younger subjects. A subsequent study by the same investigators assessed letrozole effects over a 1-week period either alone or in combination with transdermal estradiol (22); hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp studies suggested improved insulin sensitivity, inferred from changes in fasting insulin, but only in younger subjects. A subsequent study by the same investigators assessed letrozole effects over a 1-week period either alone or in combination with transdermal estradiol (22); hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp studies suggested improved insulin sensitivity, inferred from changes in fasting insulin, but only in younger subjects. A subsequent study by the same investigators assessed letrozole effects over a 1-week period either alone or in combination with transdermal estradiol (22); hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp studies suggested improved insulin sensitivity, inferred from changes in fasting insulin, but only in younger subjects. A subsequent study by the same investigators assessed letrozole effects over a 1-week period either alone or in combination with transdermal estradiol (22); hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp studies suggested improved insulin sensitivity, inferred from changes in fasting insulin, but only in younger subjects. A subsequent study by the same investigators assessed letrozole effects over a 1-week period either alone or in combination with transdermal estradiol (22); hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp studies suggested improved insulin sensitivity, inferred from changes in fasting insulin, but only in younger subjects. A subsequent study by the same investigators assessed letrozole effects over a 1-week period either alone or in combination with transdermal estradiol (22); hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp studies suggested improved insulin sensitivity, inferred from changes in fasting insulin, but only in younger subjects. A subsequent study by the same investigators assessed letrozole effects over a 1-week period either alone or in combination with transdermal estradiol (22); hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp studies suggested improved insulin sensitivity, inferred from changes in fasting insulin, but only in younger subjects. A subsequent study by the same investigators assessed letrozole effects over a 1-week period either alone or in combination with transdermal estradiol (22); hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp studies suggested improved insulin sensitivity, inferred from changes in fasting insulin, but only in younger subjects. A subsequent study by the same investigators assessed letrozole effects over a 1-week period either alone or in combination with transdermal estradiol (22); hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp studies suggested improved insulin sensitivity, inferred from changes in fasting insulin, but only in younger subjects. A subsequent study by the same investigators assessed letrozole effects over a 1-week period either alone or in combination with transdermal estradiol (22); hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp studies suggested improved insulin sensitivity, inferred from changes in fasting insulin, but only in younger subjects. A subsequent study by the same investigators assessed letrozole effects over a 1-week period either alone or in combination with transdermal estradiol (22); hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp studies suggested improved insulin sensitivity, inferred from changes in fasting insulin, but only in younger subjects. A subsequent study by the same investigators assessed letrozole effects over a 1-week period either alone or in combination with transdermal estradiol (22); hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp studies suggested improved insulin sensitivity, inferred from changes in fasting insulin, but only in younger subjects. A subsequent study by the same investigators assessed letrozole effects over a 1-week period either alone or in combination with transdermal estradiol (22); hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp studies suggested improved insulin sensitivity, inferred from changes in fasting insulin, but only in younger subjects. A subsequent study by the same investigators assessed letrozole effects over a 1-week period either alone or in combination with transdermal estradiol (22); hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp studies suggested improved insulin sensitivity, inferred from changes in fasting insulin, but only in younger subjects. A subsequent study by the same investigators assessed letrozole effects over a 1-week period either alone or in combination with transdermal estradiol (22); hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp studies suggested improved insulin sensitivity, inferred from changes in fasting insulin, but only in younger subjects.

We found a significant effect of anastrozole to suppress glucose disposal during low dose insulin infusion, measured both by $M$ value (glucose infusion rate) and $R_d$ glucose (tracer kinetics). The 14.1% decrease in glucose disposal we observed following a short course of aromatase inhibitor therapy is likely to be of biological significance. We did not detect a difference in $M$ value during high dose insulin infusion, which may have been a consequence of a particularly insulin sensitive study population in whom ED50 effects of insulin may occur at lower concentrations, combined with a predominant effect of anastrozole on ED50 rather than maximum insulin response. Moreover, we do not report glucose tracer kinetic data during high dose insulin infusion because we did not infuse additional D2-glucose tracer in proportion to the increased glucose infusion rate and, in this insulin-sensitive group, this led to lowering of tracer:tracee ratios and potentially artifactual underestimation of endogenous glucose production (29). Glucose disposal data were also analyzed following correction for fat free mass (the primary site of glucose uptake), which did not materially affect the result (not shown).

The absence of an effect upon $R_a$ glycerol suggests that aromatase inhibition does not influence lipolysis in either the fasting or insulin-stimulated state, although the trend for attenuation of insulin-stimulated plasma NEFA suppression following anastrozole therapy suggests that there could be a small effect which this study was underpowered to detect. Results were similar when data were adjusted for fat mass as well as body weight (not shown). A lack of effect on lipolysis is supported by the minimal effects observed upon adipose tissue mRNA transcript levels for genes involved in the regulation of lipolysis, mirroring...
earlier observations in aromatase knockout mice (30), albeit the number of paired biopsies we obtained was small and these investigations of secondary endpoints risk being underpowered. Since insulin stimulates skeletal muscle NEFA uptake (31) and estrogen deprivation in mice reduces the capacity for skeletal muscle fat oxidation (32), it is also possible that any change in NEFA suppression reflects effects of aromatase inhibition in skeletal muscle.

No differences were observed in body composition as determined by BMI, weight or body fat percentage between placebo and anastrozole phases. This is not unexpected after only 6 weeks of therapy. 16 weeks of aromatase inhibition therapy in men has been shown to increase body fat, particularly in the intra-abdominal compartment, as assessed by sensitive CT and DEXA analysis (13). Following anastrozole treatment, we observed a 28% reduction in leptin, consistent with the findings of a previous study investigating the effects of letrozole in healthy men (21). Leptin is preferentially secreted by subcutaneous rather than omental adipocytes (33), raising the possibility that the observed difference in leptin may represent a shift from subcutaneous to visceral adipose deposition. There was no effect of anastrozole on subcutaneous adipose leptin mRNA expression, suggesting lower serum leptin concentration is not a consequence of a direct effect upon transcription in the subcutaneous depot. A subtle shift in fat distribution might indirectly contribute to the observed changes in insulin sensitivity.

Aromatase inhibition in postmenopausal women is associated with profound (>90%) suppression of estradiol (34). However this is not the case in men, where a compensatory increase in LH (and substrate androgens) attenuates the degree of estradiol suppression. While the 41.3% decline in estradiol we observed is consistent with previous reports with letrozole (35, 21) (22), the compensatory rise in testosterone was several-fold lower. Letrozole is more abundant than anastrozole in mouse brain tissue following systemic administration (36), providing a potential explanation for the more modest elevation in LH with anastrozole (31.4% compared to 335%) (35). Notably, this is the first investigation of the metabolic effects of aromatase inhibition to utilize LC MS/MS analysis of plasma sex steroid concentrations. The superiority of mass spectrometric analysis has been demonstrated in the context of aromatase inhibition, where immunometric methods are prone to significantly underestimate estradiol suppression in postmenopausal women (34).

Accordingly, this study with anastrozole is less susceptible than previous studies with letrozole to the criticism that metabolic sequelae are attributable to testosterone excess rather than estrogen deficiency, although we cannot exclude an effect related to the modest (mean 4.4 nmol/L) rise in total testosterone. In men and postmenopausal women, local generation and action of estradiol in adipose tissue and skeletal muscle is likely to be more physiologically relevant than distant action. Plasma concentration of estradiol does not necessarily reflect tissue concentration, as evidenced by the similar breast tissue levels observed in pre- and postmenopausal women, despite markedly divergent plasma concentrations (37). In previous aromatase inhibitor studies in men (21) where supra-physiological plasma testosterone concentrations were achieved, the greater delivery of substrate androgens may have limited the desired effect of minimizing local estrogen generation and action in target tissues. It would be desirable to directly assess the effects of aromatase inhibition upon target tissue sex steroid hormone concentration.

Anastrozole resulted in a significant reduction in total cholesterol, in contrast to previous studies, which have shown the opposite effect upon LDL cholesterol in healthy younger men (21) or no effect in older men with mild hypogonadism (27). These conflicting findings may reflect differences in the populations studied as well as the less pronounced elevation in plasma testosterone observed in our study.

The rise in systolic BP and lower heart rate observed during aromatase inhibitor treatment was unexpected and contrasts with lower diastolic BP, elevated heart rate as well as reduced baroreflex sensitivity in aromatase knockout mice (38). Estrogens are likely to exert hemodynamic effects at both a central and peripheral level, potentially in a gender-specific manner, demanding further detailed assessment of the consequences of aromatase inhibition.

In summary, as hypothesized, in healthy men aromatase inhibition resulted in decreased insulin sensitivity, primarily manifest as reduced peripheral glucose disposal. No significant effects upon hepatic glucose production or lipolysis were observed. These results suggest suppression of estrogen action in skeletal muscle is the principal mechanism through which aromatase inhibitors exert a deleterious effect on glucose metabolism. This lends support to the hypothesis that, in male androgen deficiency, insulin resistance is largely a consequence of reduced aromatase substrate availability and consequent local estrogen deficiency in target tissue. The metabolic consequences for patients treated with aromatase inhibitors for breast cancer deserve closer investigation.
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