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The Museum as a Memory Institution

Jason Rutter, Cristina Alexandru, Elaine Niven, Maria Wolters & Robert Logie

Overview

This sociological aspect of the ForgetIT project seeks to develop a conceptual framework for organisational memory. Based on interviews with curatorial and management staff in a national museum the research attempts to identify the range of memory and forgetting practices within the museum. An attempt is made to develop a taxonomy which can be tested with other organisations with the intention to explore the theoretical and practical value in using human memory as a metaphor for understanding organisational memory.

Organisational Forgetting

Managed forgetting has the potential support dynamic change. Knowledge of the past can become problematic from an organisational perspective when it:
- Presents a barrier to adopting new knowledge
- Supports outdated practices
- Is used to develop future strategies based on information which has been superseded
- Reinforces unproductive boundaries across teams and within an organisation
- Encourages the rejection of innovation and innovative practices

Problem

For an organisation key issues for managing organisational memory and forgetting include:
- Integrating key organisational memory across systems;
- Enabling shared processes for encoding and retrieving organisational memory;
- Developing a framework for managing staff’s tacit knowledge;
- Reflexively developing a strategy for what knowledge should be preserved or forgotten;
- Supporting change while preserving key organisational memory;

Organisational Memory

Research on organisational memory has largely taken a functional approach (O’Adderio, 2001; Feldman & Feldman, 2006; Spender, 1993, 1996, 1998). Memory is seen as:
- Data and information which is be stored in systems or individuals;
- A resource in need of management;
- A tool to support efficiency and streamline business activities;
- Supporting the firm as a system for generating revenue.

However, memory is not simply a process of storage and retrieval of veridical data but rather the synthesis of different sources of information. (Loftus & Palmer, 1974) We are interested in shared and negotiated processes of organisational memory, seeing memory work as practice and routines. Organisational memory is irreducibly embedded in a collective practice that underlies even individual knowledge and action” (Necher, 2012).
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Curators trained to preserve everything. Management emphasise current practice.

The record becomes an artefact

Over time analogue records take on historical value

Organisational Forgetting

Documentality
- Accession Register
- Journal research
- Curator’s notes
- Display labels
- Web pages
- Photos of exhibitions

Procedurality
- Who added to the records?
- What is the register based on?
- Who has access?
- How are exhibitions arranged?
- Areas of responsibility – preservation, public engagement, research, learning, etc.?•

Physicality
- The stone itself
- Location of form
- Location of records
- Proximity to other items
- Cultural context
- Access and access?
- Archived/On loan?

Understanding
- Provenance & history
- Polich relic
- Scotland’s Christianity (Unesco) headstone
- Garden ornament
- Famous writer
- John’s speciality

Current Operations
- Arranging meetings
- Answering queries
- Directing public

Practices of memory work create value for objects

Documentality
- Storage
- Retrieval
- Distribution

Procedurality
- Practice
- Interaction
- Roadway
- Training

Physicality
- Technology
- Location
- Team proximity

Understanding
- Beliefs
- Trust
- Prediction
- Protection
- History/Myth

Current Operations
- Responsive Operations

Organisational Forgetting

Organisational Memory

Doing Organisational Memory

• Documentality (Organisational Memory for Knowledge)
• Externalisation of memory – writing, pictures, databases, etc.
• Procedurality (Organisational Procedural Memory)
• Practices, routines and schedules routinely enacted
• Physicality (Organisational Action Memory)
• Technological affinances, division & segmentation of space
• Understanding (Personal Memory of Organization Members)
• Institutionalised values and beliefs, tacit knowledge
• Current Operations (Organisational Working Memory)

Organisational Memory: “Stored information from an organization’s history that can be brought to bear on present decisions.” (Walsh & Ungson, 1991)
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