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INTRODUCTION

The inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD), Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), affect a large number of Europeans\(^1,2\). Despite the introduction of new treatments, CD and UC remain chronic conditions with severe disease morbidity, often complicated by surgery and frequent admissions to hospital\(^1,3\).

Although studies of the genome have found 200 loci associated with IBD, the variation in the IBD phenotype explained by these finding is still below 25%-30%\(^4,5\), suggesting a role of environmental factors in IBD pathogenesis. Several studies indicate environmental impact on IBD pathogenesis including; exposure to pathogens\(^6\), disease associated dysbiosis\(^7\), metabolic disequilibrium\(^8\), or epigenetic modifications\(^9\). More comprehensive studies, addressing these and other potential causes of IBD, could provide invaluable new insight into the pathogenesis of IBD\(^10\), though studies using unrelated individuals would require large populations to overcome genetic variation between unrelated subjects\(^11\).

Monozygotic (MZ) twins share common genotypes and epigenetic profiles at conception\(^12\). While some epigenetic differences arise during the lifetime of MZ twins\(^13\), the inter-individual variation in relation to e.g., the epigenome and the gut microbiome remain lower between twin pairs than between unrelated persons\(^14\).

Consequently, comprehensive studies of the exposome using IBD discordant MZ twin study designs could prove a powerful tool to assess the combined effects of environmental and endogenous factors, and identify targets for treatment and prevention\(^15\).

A major challenge in such discordant twin pair studies is that quiescent or subclinical disease may blur the boundaries between cases and their co-twin controls\(^16,17\). Another major challenge is that IBD discordant twin pairs are also treatment discordant, hence observed differences might derive from differential medication rather than disease discordance. Given enough power, studies using concordant twin pairs in addition to discordant twin pairs could allow researchers to adjust for disease-associated exposures such as medication, as both twins have IBD but may be discordant for some of the applied treatments. Further, calprotectin correlates with intestinal inflam-
We describe the establishment of a Danish IBD twin cohort including sampling of biological material, and illustrate the importance of treatment discordance and measurement of inflammatory markers for future bioinformatics studies using IBD affected twins.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We identified MZ twins, likely to be discordant or concordant for IBD, by merging information from the Danish Twin Register and the National Patient Register\(^\text{[21,22]}\). The Danish Twin Register enabled identification of MZ twins living in Denmark at the time of inclusion, with assessment of zygosity correct in 96% of cases\(^\text{[23]}\). The Register contains 72% of all twin pairs born between 1931-1968, with complete ascertainment of all live born twins since 1968\(^\text{[21]}\).

**Copenhagen diagnostic criteria for CD and UC**

Copenhagen Diagnostic Criteria for CD (at least two of the criteria present)\(^\text{[26,27]}\): (1) History of abdominal pain, weight loss and/or diarrhoea for more than three months; (2) Characteristic endoscopic findings of ulceration (aphthous lesions, snail track ulceration) or cobblestoning or radiological features of stricture or cobblestoning; (3) Histopathology consistent with Crohn’s disease (epitheloid granuloma of Langerhans type or transmural discontinuous focal or patchy inflammation); and (4) Fistula and/or abscess in relation to affected bowel segments.

National patient register

The National Patient Register is a nationwide register of all hospital discharge diagnoses, including surgical and other procedures recorded in Danish hospitals since 1977\(^\text{[22]}\). The Register provides outpatient data from 1994 and surgical procedures since 1996. Diagnoses of UC and CD were identified using the international classification of diseases (ICD) 8th and 10\(^\text{th}\) revision codes for CD (563.00-563.09 and K50) and UC (563.19, 569.04 and K51).

The diagnosis of IBD has previously been found to be accurate in over 90% of IBD cases in the national patient register, using a pathology register as reference\(^\text{[24]}\).

**Cohort recruitment**

Merging the Danish Twin Register and the National Patient Register, identified 159 MZ twin pairs in which at least one twin had a diagnosis of either CD or UC according to the National Patient Register as of May 1\(^\text{st}\) 2013. Of these, 113 index twins (the first twin to contract IBD according to the register) responded to the invitational letter of whom 42 twins declined to participate. Of the 71 positive index twin responders, nine co-twins did not wish to participate, leaving 62 pairs for inclusion, Figure 1.

**Data collection**

The participants filled out a questionnaire including age, sex, smoking status, medication, dietary patterns including a food frequency questionnaire, a 48-h dietary recall, time of last meal or exercise, travel history, and pregnancies and disease activity at time of sampling, either Harvey Bradshaw Index (CD) \(^\text{[33]}\) or Simple Clinical Colitis Index (UC) \(^\text{[34]}\).

Data collected from the patient record included disease staging using the Montreal classification \(^\text{[32]}\), any IBD complications, extra intestinal manifestations, and gastrointestinal operations as well as prior IBD medication.

The register diagnosis of CD, UC or IBDU, was validated by hospital records and pathology descriptions using the Copenhagen criteria\(^\text{[25]}\): Copenhagen Diagnostic Criteria for CD (at least two of the criteria present)\(^\text{[26,27]}\): (1) History of abdominal pain, weight loss and/or diarrhoea for more than three months; (2) Characteristic endoscopic findings of ulceration (aphthous lesions, snail track ulceration) or cobblestoning or radiological features of stricture or cobblestoning; (3) Histopathology consistent with Crohn’s disease (epitheloid granuloma of Langerhans type or transmural discontinuous focal or patchy inflammation); and (4) Fistula and/or abscess in relation to affected bowel segments.

![Figure 1 Collection of twin pairs.](Image)

IBD: Inflammatory bowel diseases; CD: Crohn’s disease; UC: Ulcerative colitis.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National Patient Register</th>
<th>Danish Twin Register</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis of either CD or UC</td>
<td>All MZ twins alive and aged above 18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>159 likely MZ IBD pairs as of 2013-01-05</th>
<th>145 discordant pairs and 14 concordant pairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>113 index twins responded to the invitational letter</th>
<th>71 index twins accepted to participate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9 co-twins did not respond or rejected to participate</th>
<th>62 twin pairs was included in the study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IBD according to treating physician</th>
<th>Copenhagen criteria not fulfilled</th>
<th>Copenhagen criteria fulfilled</th>
<th>IBD unlikely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(n = 54) pairs</td>
<td>(n = 11) pairs</td>
<td>(n = 43) pairs</td>
<td>(n = 8) pairs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1 Collection of twin pairs.**
Table 1 Clinical characteristics n (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair type</th>
<th>Discordant twin pairs</th>
<th>Concordant twin pairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Co-twin</td>
<td>CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males/Females</td>
<td>23/29</td>
<td>2/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>50(26-78)</td>
<td>47 (26-67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset</td>
<td>32 (21-47)</td>
<td>43 (23-73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis</td>
<td>34 (25-46)</td>
<td>41 (29-72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPH criteria fulfilled</td>
<td>6 (75)</td>
<td>4 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1 ileal</td>
<td>2 (25)</td>
<td>2 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2 colonic</td>
<td>3 (38)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3 ileocolonic</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4 isolated upper disease</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 non stricturing non penetrating</td>
<td>4 (50)</td>
<td>2 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2 stricturing</td>
<td>2 (25)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 penetrating</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P perianal disease</td>
<td>1 (13)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proctitis</td>
<td>1 (20)</td>
<td>6 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left sided</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>9 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td>1 (20)</td>
<td>10 (32)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n denotes the number of participants with the phenotype described in status. IBD: Inflammatory bowel diseases; CD: Crohn’s disease; UC: Ulcerative colitis.

or rectal bleeding and pus for more than one week or repeated episodes; (2) characteristic endoscopic findings of continuous ulceration, vulnerability or granulated mucosa; and (3) histopathology consistent with ulcerative colitis (neutrophils within epithelial structures, cryptitis, crypt distortion, crypt abscesses).

Inter-observer variation has previously been found with regards to the Montreal classification[29]. To avoid potential inter-observer variation one researcher validated the diagnoses and assessed the Montreal classification (FTM). Furthermore, to improve validity of diagnoses and phenotypes, complicated cases were reviewed by a gastroenterological specialist and senior physician (VAN). In daily clinical practice, the diagnosis may remain difficult; therefore, we included cases, which were perceived to have IBD by the treating physician although not fulfilling the Copenhagen criteria as IBD cases according to available information from the files. Cases where the diagnosis of IBD was unlikely were designated “Gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms not IBD” for future reference.

### Biological samples

Due to the geographical challenges in sampling a nationwide cohort, a mobile lab was set up using a camper previously fitted for a similar purpose. The camper was equipped with a small lab bench, heating, refrigeration, -20 °C freezer, a mobile -80 °C freezer, as well as a swinging bucket centrifuge.

The mobile lab setup allowed researchers to visit the twins in their home or another private location. The samples were collected adhering to the Sample PRE-analytical Code (SPREC) and Biospecimen Reporting for Improved Study Quality (BRISQ) guidelines, logging primary container, pre- and post-centrifugation conditions, centrifugation parameters and storage conditions, see supplementary materials Table 1[30,31]. Faeocal specimens were sampled by participants up until 48 h before the visit and stored in their own freezer at -20 °C[32]. Samples were then transferred to a -80 °C freezer at the visit, under which conditions faecal samples have been found to be stable in composition[33]. Oral samples were collected with a cytobrush (Cytok™ Transwab® Labelled Tube MW148) from the dorsum of the tongue, suspended in a buffer medium, and immediately frozen at -80 °C. Paraffin was used to collect sputum samples that were either suspended in RNA later or frozen directly at -80 °C. One researcher conducted the collection of all samples. All samples were analysed using standard methods centrally to avoid sampling variation between different centers.

The mean time from collection of samples to storage in the -80 °C mobile freezer was less than one hour, except for blood samples, which were 60 min and 15 s please see Supplementary materials Table 2. Records where kept to ensure identification of any deviations from protocol in future analysis.

### Statistical analysis

The study included only basic descriptive statistics using R version 3.2.0. In order to ensure confidentiality, no grouping of the twins below five pairs was presented. The statistical methods of this study were reviewed by statistician Mikael Andersson from department of epidemiology at Statens Serum Institut.

### RESULTS

#### Study cohort

Out of 62 MZ twin pairs, after scrutinizing patient records, register data, and questionnaires, we found the index case of six pairs unlikely to have IBD.
The 8 cases were afflicted by the following diagnoses: lymphocytic colitis, irritable bowel syndrome, Clostridium difficile infection, ischemic bowel changes and abscesses without pathologic CD features and grouped as GI symptoms not IBD. At least one twin suffered from IBD in all remaining 54 pairs according to patient records before verification of diagnostic criteria. Forty-four were discordant for IBD, of whom 24 out of 31 UC pairs, four out of five IBDU pairs, and six out of eight CD pairs fulfilled the Copenhagen diagnostic criteria. Of the 10 concordant pairs, there were eight CD/CD pairs, and six out of eight CD co-twins received surgery after their IBD diagnosis. Conversely, 50% received azathioprine and 63% of CD co-twins received surgery after their IBD diagnosis. Though numbers are small, 25% of CD index twins received azathioprine as GI symptoms not IBD. At least one twin suffered from IBD in all remaining 54 pairs according to patient records before verification of diagnostic criteria. Forty-four were discordant for IBD, of whom 24 out of 31 UC pairs, four out of five IBDU pairs, and six out of eight CD pairs fulfilled the Copenhagen diagnostic criteria. Of the 10 concordant pairs, there were eight CD/CD pairs, and six out of eight CD co-twins received surgery after their IBD diagnosis. Conversely, 50% received azathioprine and 63% of CD co-twins received surgery after their IBD diagnosis. Though numbers are small, 25% of CD index twins and 63% of CD co-twins received surgery after their IBD diagnosis. Conversely, 50% received azathioprine among the CD index twins vs 13% among the CD co-twins.

Assessment of inflammatory activity in concordant and discordant twin pairs

Figure 2A shows inflammatory activity in discordant co-twin pairs as measured by calprotectin, at the time of sample collection. There was evidence of gut inflammation in the apparently non-affected co-twin, with faecal calprotectin > 100 µg/g in two individuals and > 50 µg/g in a further five (Figure 2A). In two of the index twins whose IBD diagnosis could not be verified, faecal calprotectin was > 100 µg/g. Values of patient reported disease scores were also slightly increased though slightly less pronounced (Figure 2B and C).

DISCUSSION

We have established a nationwide cohort of 62 affected or suspected IBD monozygotic twin pairs, which allow assessment of a range of disease- and treatment-associated and phenotypical traits amongst both discordant and concordant MZ IBD twins. Validation of the CD, UC, and IBDU diagnoses resulted in 8 pairs where the diagnosis was unlikely, and 11 pairs where the diagnosis was likely, but the clinical information was too sparse to validate this. Therefore, 43 twin pairs fulfilled the Copenhagen diagnostic criteria. The cohort included 10 concordant pairs, and several of these IBD concordant pairs were discordant for either treatment or surgery. The 44 IBD discordant pairs comprised 31 UC pairs, five IBDU pairs, and eight CD pairs. Inflammatory activity was above the normal range in 7 of the co-twins not affected by IBD, with calprotectin above 100 µg/g in two co-twin pairs and above 50 µg/g in a further five pairs.

The strength of the presented twin cohort lies in the wide range of data collected, from questionnaire...
data, patient file and public register data, to multiple biological samples. Our mobile laboratory enabled uniform collection of biological material with few deviations from existing guidelines regarding sample collection, storage, and handling. The average time from sampling to storage at -80°C was 1 h or less for all samples. Our uniform sample collection method using a mobile laboratory reduced aberrant data handling normally affecting nationwide multicentre studies, and allowed for a single-site analysis of disease activity data. A drawback of this approach is that more advanced laboratory handling, like cell separation and preserving viable cells, was not performed. Instead, the study used CPT tubes, a commercial cell preservative, and gradual freezing of cells using a “mister frosty”, which has previously been shown to preserve viable cells and cell integrity.

While the collection of biological material in this study is more uniform than previous twin studies, we were unable to perform invasive manoeuvres such as endoscopy with our mobile setup. Though we expect to achieve access to some biopsy material taken from routine endoscopies, a large proportion of the healthy twins had not recently undergone endoscopy, thus limiting opportunities for comparison. Our assessment of clinical characteristics and IBD medication use aggregated data from patient files and questionnaire data. Consequently, treatment not documented by hospital-based physicians or recalled by patients may remain unaccounted for, but this potential bias should be similar between concordant and discordant pairs.

Our inclusion rate was lower than expected at 62 pairs out of the contacted 159, perhaps due to the extent of collected samples, and the need for including both twins. Indeed, some selection bias favouring the inclusion of concordant pairs over discordant pairs could not be ruled out, based on the proportion of concordant pairs invited to the proportion of concordant pairs accepting to participate.

The IBD twins were identified using nationwide registers, reducing bias often bestowed upon twin studies relying on advertising for recruitment. Given sufficient power, concordant pairs may play a crucial role in discerning the effects of disease-associated traits, such as medical therapy, from the effects of IBD, e.g., on the methlylome or metagenome. In addition, though we did not have the power to test this formally, the mean age at diagnosis seemed lower in the CD concordant pairs at 25 years vs 32 years among CD discordant pairs. Results from previous twin studies are conflicting on this point. If indeed such a difference exists, one possible explanation might be that concordant pairs carry a larger genetic liability to disease, with a lower threshold for disease throughout life, increasing the risk of both twins contracting IBD, resulting in twin concordance. A previous Swedish twin study found the total allele frequency of Nod/Card mutations to be 4.4 times higher among concordant twin pairs compared to discordant twin pairs contributing to, but not explaining concordance.

Figure 2  Figure shows fecal calprotectin measures stratified (A), harwey bradshaw index stratified by phenotype (B) and simple clinical colitis index stratified (C) by phenotype. IBD: Inflammatory bowel diseases; CD: Crohn’s disease; UC: Ulcerative colitis.
Phenotypical characteristics differentiate CD and UC from each other and from other conditions such as IBS, microscopic colitis and infections. A combination of clinical evaluation, endoscopic, histological, radiological, and/or bio-chemical investigations provides the diagnostic foundation for CD and UC [44,45]. The correct classification of twin discordance is paramount. Of note, classification is not only dependent on the correct diagnosis but also on time interval following the diagnosis of the index twin, with the risk of contracting IBD declining with time for the co-twin. Although the maximum time-span between concordant pairs in this cohort was below the mean disease duration of 15 years in the present cohort, final verification of twin discordance can only be assessed at the end of the lifespan of both twins. Methods do however exist that take time to event into account [46]. While future disease may not be a problem in a discordant twin study design, this is only true if the exposures causing this disease are not already present. Quiescent and subclinical disease may complicate the distinction between cases and their co-twin controls. Indeed, a newly published study indicates the presence of latent or emerging disease in family members of affected IBD cases [46]. In addition, family members of affected IBD cases have increased calprotectin levels as compared to the background population [17]. Though a normal calprotectin level does not exclude IBD, due to the dynamic nature of this condition, and a low level in well treated IBD patients, calprotectin levels correlate with intestinal inflammation [18-20], and could thus reflect an increased liability to IBD in familial members of IBD-affected cases [47,48]. One twin study published in the past 10 years has reported on increased levels of intestinal inflammatory activity biomarkers such as calprotectin among the unaffected co-twins, while the majority of previous twin studies have not [14,35-41]. We found two co-twins with no history of IBD with calprotectin values exceeding 100 µg/g, and a further 5 with values above the normal range. This may be important, as increased calprotectin may reflect that many of the exposures leading to disease may already be present in a co-twin, if subclinical disease is not already present. As a result, inter-individual differences with impact on disease pathogenesis within pairs may be harder to assess, suggesting that calprotectin levels should be considered in analysis.

Disease discordant IBD twins remain rare and precious to research [14,35-41]. Though providing a powerful model for research, this will often mean insufficient power for planned analyses. Hence, collaboration between twin resources is crucial. Collaboration with
the Nixon Twin and Multiplex (TAM) United Kingdom IBD cohort, analysing epigenetic data within similar biological material, has already been established[300]. Thus, both the Danish and the British IBD twin cohorts will include a range of clinical, epidemiological and biological data enabling researchers to study a cross section of the IBD exposome (Figure 3).

The present cohort demonstrates the importance of assessing inflammatory biomarkers reflecting subclinical inflammatory activity among otherwise healthy co-twins in discordant twin studies. The present cohort will be part of international collaborations, thereby increasing the power to detect disease-associated factors, and allow sufficient discordant twins to be included in studies to adjust for treatment effects. Hypotheses that may be tested include whether epigenetic differences controlling IBD loci previously identified in GWAS studies exists within the twin pairs. Other approaches may include rodent models where rodent responses to biological material from discordant pairs may differ. Consequently, analysis of a range of data from cohorts of monozygotic IBD pairs using bioinformatic methods such as metagenomics, metabolomics, proteomics and epigenetics could provide new insight into the role of the exposome in IBD pathogenesis.

**Comments**

**Background**

Although studies of the genome have found 200 loci associated with inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD), the variation in the IBD phenotype explained by these loci is still below 25%-30%, suggesting a role of environmental factors in IBD pathogenesis. Several studies indicate environmental impact on IBD pathogenesis, including exposure to pathogens, disease-associated dysbiosis, metabolic disequilibrium, or epigenetic modifications. Comprehensive studies of the exposome using IBD discordant MZ twin co-twin study designs could prove a powerful tool to assess the combined effects of environmental and endogenous factors, and identify targets for treatment and prevention.

**Research frontiers**

Historically twin studies have been used to calculate the heritability of complex traits and diseases. The co-twin control design constitutes an excellent model to investigate environmental factors associated with disease due to the genetic match between monozygotic twins. To date only a few studies have applied this method using bioinformatic methods in IBD. Most prominent is the work of Jonas Halvforsen and his group in Orebro Sweden that identified differential microbial stool patterns between IBD discordant twin pairs, underlining the potential of this methodology.

**Innovations and breakthroughs**

Co-twin control designs may result in complexity reduction, thus increasing power to identify microbial or epigenetic patterns associated with IBD and the interplay between these complex traits. Such studies necessitate cohorts as the one described in this study designed for downstream bioinformatics studies, and special emphasis was on pre-analytical sample handling.

**Applications**

The present cohort demonstrates the importance of assessing inflammatory biomarkers reflecting subclinical inflammatory activity among otherwise healthy co-twins in discordant twin studies. Using co-twin study designs to investigate environmental determinants of disease holds promise for future discovery. However, as MZ IBD discordant twins are rare this often means insufficient statistical power. Hence, collaboration between twin resources is crucial. Through international collaborations analysis of a range of data from cohorts of monozygotic IBD pairs using bioinformatic methods such as metagenomics, metabolomics, proteomics and epigenetics could provide new insight into the role of the exposome in IBD pathogenesis.

**Terminology**

Concordant twin pairs: twin pairs where both twins are affected by disease or trait. Discordant twin pairs: twin pairs where only one twin is affected by disease or trait. According to Wild (2005), the exposome encompasses all human environmental exposures from conception onwards.

**Peer-review**

As the authors realize, the real strength of this cohort is in the future translational studies, primarily as it relates to epigenetics. While they very briefly and superficially discuss these plans in the last paragraph of the conclusion, expanding on future plans for hypothesis-driven translational research would further strengthen the manuscript. Otherwise, this is a nice introduction to a novel cohort that hopes to generate fascinating future work.
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