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Plants sense the light environment through an ensemble of photoreceptors. Members of the phytochrome class of light receptors are known to play a critical role in seedling establishment, and are among the best-characterized plant signaling components. Phytochromes also regulate adult plant growth; however, our knowledge of this process is rather fragmented. This study demonstrates that phytochrome controls carbon allocation and biomass production in the developing plant. Phytochrome mutants have a reduced CO$_2$ uptake, yet overaccumulate daytime sucrose and starch. This finding suggests that even though carbon fixation is impeded, the available carbon resources are not fully used for growth during the day. Supporting this notion, phytochrome depletion alters the proportion of day/night growth. In addition, phytochrome loss leads to sizeable reductions in overall growth, dry weight, total protein levels, and the expression of CELLOLUE SYNTHASE-LIKE genes. Because cellulose and protein are major constituents of plant biomass, our data point to an important role for phytochrome in regulating these fundamental components of plant productivity. We show that phytochrome loss impacts core metabolism, leading to elevated levels of tricarboxylic acid cycle intermediates, amino acids, sugar derivatives, and notably the stress metabolites proline and raffinose. Furthermore, the already growth-retarded phytochrome mutants are less responsive to growth-inhibiting abiotic stresses and have elevated expression of stress marker genes. This coordinated response appears to divert resources from energetically costly biomass production to improve resilience. In nature, this strategy may be activated in phytochrome-disabling, vegetation-dense habitats to enhance survival in potentially resource-limiting conditions.

Arabidopsis thaliana | light | phytochrome | growth | sucrose

Plant life is completely dependent on light for photosynthesis; thus, it is paramount that growth and metabolism be adjusted to accommodate the variations in light and carbon resource availability that frequently occur in nature. Indeed, plants possess a multitude of light-sensing receptors; however, the role of these receptors in coordinating growth with carbon resources remains unknown (1). Possibly the most well-characterized photoreceptors are the phytochromes, which regulate plant growth and development in response to changes in the external light environment (2). The model plant Arabidopsis has five phytochromes, designated phyA-phyE. These photoreceptors exert a strong influence through the entire life cycle, controlling numerous responses including germination, seedling establishment, the transition to photoautotrophic growth, adult plant architecture, and flowering time (2–6). Phytochromes act as light-regulated switches that toggle between Pr (inactive) and Pfr (active) isomeric forms (7). Red light activates phytochrome by photoconverting Pr to Pfr, whereas far-red light converts it back to Pr, switching it off. Phytochrome Pfr also undergoes nonphotochemical reversion back to Pr, a process commonly referred to as dark reversion. Once activated, phytochromes translocate to the nucleus, where they directly or indirectly regulate several transcription factors (8, 9). Phytochrome interacting factors (PIFs) were the first known direct targets and are the best-characterized of these targets to date (10). PIF1, PIF3, PIF4, and PIF5 preferentially interact with the Pfr form of phyB, an event that induces PIF proteolytic degradation, whereas phyA can interact with and degrade PIF1 and PIF3 (11). Interestingly, the phyB-PIF interaction also activates phyB degradation; thus, phyB-PIF operates as a dual feedback module (12, 13). In addition, phyB has been shown to control PIF1 and PIF3 activity by sequestering them from the promoters of target genes (14). Recently, mathematical models have been developed that consolidate this knowledge and provide a conceptual framework for understanding the photosensory and signaling properties of phyA and phyB (13, 15–17).

In Arabidopsis, the seedling hypocotyl (seedling stem) system has been used to great effect to elucidate the phytochrome signaling pathways. When Arabidopsis is grown in light, there is an inverse correlation between hypocotyl length and phytochrome activity, making hypocotyl elongation a simple, reliable assay for identifying and studying signaling components. It is in part due to the success of the seedling system that we do not more fully understand the role of phytochrome in the developing plant. Mutant analysis has identified phytochromes as important regulators of plant architecture and growth rate. Plants that lack phyB have altered leaf area and slower growth compared with wild type (WT) plants (6). These characteristics become more exaggerated in multiallele phytochrome mutants, such as phyABDE, that produces rosette leaves at ~53% the rate of WT plants when grown at 16 °C (6). One possible explanation for this retarded growth comes from earlier work showing that multiallele mutant seedlings have substantial reductions in chlorophyll and, most likely, photosynthetic rate (18, 19).

Photosynthesis enables plants to fix carbon from CO$_2$ to provide energy and building blocks for biomass accumulation during vegetative growth. The photosynthetic sucrose is produced in leaves and transported to other tissues to support growth and development. During the day, a proportion of the assimilated carbon is converted to starch reserves, which are remobilized to support nighttime metabolism and growth. Disruption of this nocturnal carbon supply can cause starvation and cessation of growth (20–22). It is now widely accepted that sucrose is not
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simply a fuel for growth, but also an important signaling molecule that controls aspects of growth and development (23, 24). Interestingly, studies in the 1990s implicated sucrose in phytochrome signaling (25, 26). More recent work in etiolated seedlings has shown that CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENESIS 1 (COP1) control of phyA abundance is sucrose-dependent (27), whereas PIFs have been implicated in sucrose-mediated hypocotyl elongation (28–30). These studies suggest that the phytochrome pathways connect with sucrose signaling at the seedling stage.

One of the most obvious characteristics of mutants that lack phyB or multiple phytochromes is retarded growth (6). We set out to establish the underlying causes by quantifying growth rate and biomass along with chlorophyll levels, CO₂ assimilation rate, and sugar content in adult plants. Our findings show that phytochrome deficiency impacts carbon uptake, as well as the allocation of carbon resources to photoperiodic growth. We found that phytochrome mutants also exert strong control on plant biomass production and metabolic state. Here we discuss the importance of these coordinated changes in enabling plants to thrive under differing light conditions.

Results

**phyBD and phyABDE Mutants Fix Less CO₂, yet Accumulate More Daytime Sucrose and Starch, than WT.** Previous studies have shown that phytochrome deficiency slows the pace of adult plant growth (6, 18, 19, 31). This situation could arise from reductions in carbon supply. For instance, seedling studies have shown that red light stimulates photosynthetic pigment production, while red light-grown phytochrome mutant seedlings have significantly lower chlorophyll levels (18, 19, 32). In keeping with these findings, inspection of microarray data from Michael et al. (33) revealed a clear reduction in the expression of photosynthesis and chlorophyll biosynthetic genes in phyB-9 seedlings compared with Col-0 WT seedlings (Fig. S1).

To test whether this deficit persisted beyond the seedling stage, we grew the plants for 2 wk in 100 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ white light, on a 8-h light (L):16-h dark (D) photoperiod, then for 4 wk on a 12-h L:12-h D photoperiod, with the temperature set to 18 °C to prevent early flowering of phytochrome mutants. Compared with Ler WT, we observed a sequential chlorophyll depletion in the phyB/phyD (phyBD hereinafter) mutant and in the severe phyABD mutant that carries four of the five phytochromes (Fig. 1A). Here and for the following phytochromes (Figs. 1B–1D), the fold-change in our more mature, light-grown phytochrome mutants was more subtle than that reported previously for red-light-grown seedlings (18, 19). In keeping with our chlorophyll data, the CO₂ exchange rate, commonly used to indicate photosynthetic carbon fixation, was also slightly reduced in the phyBD and phyABDE plants (Fig. 1B). In contrast, the rate of CO₂ release in darkness (i.e., respiration) was similar in the WT and phytochrome mutant plants (Fig. 1B). Therefore, we expect that the continued impairment of photosynthesis in the developing plant is likely to impact vegetative growth.

Photosynthetic carbon fixation generates organic sugars, including sucrose, the most abundant sugar for translocation and energy supply. A proportion of the carbon fixed during daytime is stored in rosette leaves as starch reserves, which are degraded (to sucrose) after photosynthesis ceases to sustain leaf respiration and night growth. Given that phytochrome mutants fix slightly less CO₂ than WT, it follows that sucrose levels may be lower in these plants. However, our data show that phyBD and phyABDE mutants accumulate higher daytime sucrose and starch levels than WT plants (Fig. 1C and D). Even though phyBD and phyABDE plants have more starch at dusk, starch levels are depleted to levels comparable with those in WT plants at the end of the night (Fig. 1D). Likewise, dusk sucrose levels in phyBD and phyABDE plants are significantly higher than in WT plants, but decrease to nearly WT levels through the night (Fig. 1C). These data imply that phytochrome plays an important role in carbon resource allocation, because phytochrome loss leads to sucrose and starch overaccumulation during the day and accelerated depletion at night.

It has been suggested that phytochromes are needed for sucrose transport from cotyledon to root at the seedling stage (34). Thus, one possible reason for the high levels of sucrose in phytochrome mutant rosette leaves is impaired sucrose transport to the root. In this scenario, overall carbon levels would be lower than those in WT plants, but a higher proportion of carbon would be located in the shoot. However, our data show that phytochrome deficiency results in reduced shoot and root biomass (Fig. S2), but higher levels of metabolizable sugars in both shoot and root tissue (Fig. 1 E and F). In this experiment, a triple phyABD mutant was used instead of phyABDE, because adventitious roots emerge from the phyABDE hypocotyl, hindering separation of shoots and roots. Our data suggest that the increase in leaf sucrose levels observed in phytochrome-deficient plants does not arise from reduced shoot-to-root translocation.

**phyBD Retarded Daytime Growth Relative to Nighttime Growth.** We have shown that even though phytochrome depletion reduces CO₂ uptake, phyBD, phyABD, and phyABDE plants accumulate more daytime sucrose (Fig. 1 C and E). Therefore, we reasoned that phytochrome-deficient mutants may be less efficient at using their sugar to grow during the day. Conversely, the nearly complete nocturnal depletion of the abundant starch and sucrose pools suggests that phytochrome mutants may grow more
at night (Fig. 1D). To test these hypotheses, we quantified end-of-day (EOD) and end-of-night (EON) rosette leaf blade expansion through seven consecutive diurnal cycles in WT and phyBD plants. During this time period, WT rosettes grew steadily, with comparable rates of leaf expansion during the day and night (Fig. 2). Matching our previously published data (6), phyBD plants grew at a slower rate than WT plants (Fig. 2A); however, day and night rosette expansion rates were more variable in the phyBD plants, with a tendency toward faster growth at night (Fig. 2B and Fig. S3A). These data indicate that loss of phyB and phyD severely impairs overall growth rate and disrupts the diurnal pattern of rosette expansion. The latter finding suggests that the altered daily sucrose and starch profiles in phytochrome mutants may result, at least in part, from diurnal shifts in the demand for growth.

Phytochromes Are Major Regulators of Plant Biomass. The severe growth defects seen in the phytochrome mutants led us to investigate whether they resulted from reductions in fresh weight (FW) or dry weight (DW) (6, 35). Loss of phytochrome resulted in sizeable reductions in both fresh and dry weight, which was extreme in the phyABDE mutant, which achieved only 20% of WT biomass (Fig. 3A and B). Cell wall material constitutes the largest component of plant biomass, and indeed, in phyABDE plants we found significantly lower levels of key regulatory genes, including CELLULOSE SYNTHASE-LIKE genes CSLB4 and CSLG3, EXPANSIN 1 (EXP1), and XYLOGLUCAN ENDOTRANSGLUCOSYLASE/HYDROLASE 7 (XTH7). These genes control cell wall synthesis and reorganization and exhibit diurnal regulation, with a dawn peak of expression that is substantially suppressed in phyABDE (Fig. 3C and Fig. S3B). ChIP analysis has shown that PIF4 can bind to the EXP1 promoter, suggesting the possibility that these genes may be direct PIF targets (36).

Like cell walls, protein is a major constituent of plant biomass, and so we wished to establish whether phytochrome mutants also regulate overall protein content. We observed a sequential reduction of total protein levels (per gram FW) in 2-wk old phyBD and phyABDE plants compared with WT plants (Fig. 3D). These data imply that the phytochrome mutant biomass deficit may arise, at least in part, from lower cell wall and protein production. These findings recast phytochromes as important environmental regulators of biomass in the developing plant. This appears to be accomplished by controlling carbon uptake and the major energy-fueled processes of protein synthesis and cell wall production.

Fig. 2. phyBD has altered diurnal rosette expansion and retarded growth. (A) Quantification of WT and phyBD rosette leaf area during week 3–4 postgermination. (Insets) Diurnal differences in phyBD compared with WT. Measurements were taken at the end of each day and night. (B) Mean relative day and night expansion rates plotted for the experimental period. Values are presented as mean ± SEM. Asterisks indicate a significant difference between values of day and night growth rate in phyBD. *P ≤ 0.05, Student’s t test.

Fig. 3. Phytochrome deficiency strongly impacts plant biomass. (A) Image depicting the severe biomass phenotypes of 6-wk-old phyBD and phyABDE compared with Ler WT. (B) Fresh weight (FW) and dry weight (DW) of 6-wk-old WT and phytochrome mutants. (C) Diurnal expression profiles of cell wall-associated genes CSLM, CSLG3, EXP1, and XTH7, determined by qRT-PCR, in 5-wk-old WT and phyABDE plants. (D) Total protein quantification in 2-wk-old phyBD, phyABDE, and WT plants. Values are presented as mean ± SEM. Asterisks indicate a significant difference between values of the phytochrome mutants and WT. **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001, Student’s t test.

Phytochrome Mutants Have an Altered Metabolic Profile. The altered carbon status of phytochrome mutants prompted us to conduct GC-MS analyses to gain a broader view of how phytochromes impact primary metabolism. Using the GC-MS method adapted from the Fernie laboratory (37), we identified and quantified approximately 40 primary metabolites at EOD and EON in 5-wk-old WT, phyBD, phyABDE, and quintuple-mutant phyABCDE (lacking all five phytochromes) plants (Dataset S1).

The principal component analysis (PCA) plot in Fig. 4A shows clear separations between samples based on genotype difference (PC2) and sampling time (PC1). This indicates that metabolic profiles differ between the phytochrome mutants and WT, and that there are distinctions between EOD and EON samples. There is significant overlap between the phyABDE and phyABCDE clusters, suggesting that these severe phytochrome loss-of-function genotypes have comparable metabolic states. This was expected, considering that these genotypes are phenotypically similar (19). Reassuringly, the phyBD sample clusters fall in between WT and these higher-order phytochrome mutants.

Consistent with our sugar quantification (Fig. 1C, E, and F), compared with WT plants, the phyBD, phyABDE, and phyABCDE plants all had significantly elevated levels of metabolizable sugars, including glucose and sucrose, particularly at EOD (Fig. 4B and Fig. S4A). Interestingly, phytochrome depletion enhanced levels of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle organic acids (succinate, malate, fumarate, glutamate, and aspartate) and of specific amino acids (proline, 4-hydroxy-proline, glutamine, leucine, valine, phenylalanine, serine, and glycine) at both EOD and EON (Fig. 4C and D). For most of these metabolites, the loss of phyB and phyD appears to have the greatest impact. This type of metabolic profile in which TCA cycle intermediates, amino acids, and sugars overaccumulate may be an inevitable consequence of the retarded growth phenotype. Because phytochrome loss does not give rise to obvious differences in cellular respiration rate (Fig. 1B), a reduction in the demand for protein synthesis and/or cellulose production (Fig. 3C and D and Fig. S3B) could lead to an accrual of intermediates and products of the metabolic supply pathways.
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Given that phytochromes are important regulators of transcription, we tested the alternative hypothesis that elevated metabolism levels may result from underlying changes in gene expression. We found that despite its elevated levels of TCA intermediates, the phyABDE mutant exhibited lower-amplitude expression of TCA enzymes FUMARASE 1 (FUM1) and CITRATE SYNTHASE 4 (ATCS, or CSY4) (Fig. 4E). Furthermore, the glyoxylate enzyme ACETATE NON-USING 1 (ACN1) and cytosolic FUMARASE 2 (FUM2), which provide potential alternative sources of TCA metabolites, are also expressed at lower levels in phyABDE plants (Fig. 4E). This suggests that the observed changes in TCA metabolites did not simply arise from increased transcriptional activation of the pathway.

We also noted that phytochrome loss led to proportionally higher levels of proline and raffinose (Fig. 4B and D and Fig. S4 A and C), which appear to be regulated primarily by phyB and/or phyD. This is particularly intriguing because these metabolites have been linked with stress responses (38–40). We again found that, as for the TCA cycle, the expression of several genes involved in proline (P5CS1 and P5CR) and raffinose (SIP1 and SIP2) biosynthesis were down-regulated in phyABDE plants (Fig. S5). On the other hand, the proline catabolic gene PRODH was also suppressed, whereas RAFFINOSE SYNTHASE 6/DARK INDUCED 10 (DIN10) expression was elevated in phyABDE plants, which may help boost the levels of proline and raffinose, respectively (Fig. 5A and B). Collectively, our data show that phytochrome loss alters CO2 uptake (Fig. 1) and the plant metabolic profile, increasing the abundance of organic acids, amino acids, sugars, and stress indicators such as proline and raffinose. These changes appear to result not from an elevated expression of metabolic pathway genes, but rather from a reduced need for carbon- and nitrogen-fueled growth. This finding highlights a hitherto unknown role for phytochrome in controlling the supply and demand of resources for growth.

**Stress Response Is Altered and Stress-Marker Genes Are Induced in Phytochrome Mutants.** Numerous studies have shown that increased proline and raffinose levels can be induced in abiotic stress conditions, such as abscisic acid (ABA) and salinity (38–42). This is thought to enhance plant tolerance to stress, at least partly through osmotic adjustment and by providing an energy supply for resumption of growth once stress is removed. Because phytochrome mutants have enhanced levels of proline and raffinose, we reasoned that phytochrome-deficient plants may be more primed for possible future stress scenarios.

The application of ABA or salt stress is known to restrict growth, enabling the plant to deploy resources to withstand stress (43, 44). This property raises the possibility that the retarded growth phenotype and associated metabolic changes in phytochrome mutants may provide some degree of protection from stress. This scenario predicts that the phytochrome mutant reduced-biomass phenotype will be accompanied by an altered sensitivity to stress treatment. Our data concur with this prediction (Fig. 5A and B and Fig. S6). Although we found dose-dependent growth inhibition by ABA and NaCl in WT seedlings, this was sequentially reduced in the phyBD, phyABDE, and phyABCDE seedlings (Fig. 5A and B). Similar results were obtained for adult plants exposed to ABA and NaCl (Fig. S6A). In line with a previous study, we found that NaCl application depletes chlorophyll levels, but this response was also perturbed in phyABDE plants (Fig. S6 B and C) (45).

Interestingly, an analysis of previously published array data (33) indicates that phyB-9 seedlings exhibit a mild but global up-regulation of stress response genes compared with Col-0 WT plants (Fig. 5C). This difference may be expected to be more severe in higher-order phytochrome mutants. In adult plants, we observed a change in waveform in ABA signaling genes ABI1 and ABI5 that resulted in their elevated daytime expression in phyABDE relative to WT plants (Fig. S7A). For the stress genes DARK INDUCIBLE 1 (DIN1), DIN10, and RESPONSIVE TO DESECATION 20 (RD20), we detected an increase in peak expression in phyABDE plants, with DINs peaking at Zeitgeber time (ZT) 0 and RD20 at ZT4 in both genotypes. In comparison, transcript levels of COLD-REGULATED 15A (COR15A), RD29A, and KIN1 (At5g15960), genes that peak at dusk, showed
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more subtle up-regulation in phyABDE compared with WT plants, but this was sustained through the rising phase (Fig. S7B).

In summary, our data demonstrate that the already growth-retarded phytochrome mutants are less sensitive to growth-restricting stresses, have elevated proline and raffinose levels, and exhibit a mainly mild but extensive up-regulation of stress genes in control (i.e., stress-free) conditions. These combined traits may conspire to improve plant resilience when phytochromes are inactivated. The experimental conditions in our experiment, which was run at 18 °C, were comparable to those of an earlier study (at 16 °C) that showed that phytochrome deactivation prepares plants to withstand freezing temperatures by up-regulating the C-repeat binding factor regulon and stress genes (46). Our data are compatible with that finding, and suggest that phytochromes have a dual role in coordinating stress physiology and growth.

Discussion

Because of the expediency of the Arabidopsis seedling hypocotyl system, we now have detailed knowledge of how phytochromes mediate the transition to phototropism (47, 48). However, previous reports have shown that phytochromes also exert a strong influence on growth as the plant matures (6). This study moves beyond the prevailing view of light signal transduction to demonstrate that phytochromes control carbon supply, resource utility, and, most likely, metabolic flux.

In nature, plants commonly experience conditions, such as shading from nearby plants, that inactivate the phytochrome light receptors. We have shown that phytochrome depletion can have a profound impact on plant growth and biomass (Fig. 3 A and B). Cellulose and protein are major constituents of plant biomass; indeed, 30–50% of typical plant biomass consists of cellulose (49). We have shown that the expression of genes that control cell wall synthesis and reorganization is compromised and total protein levels are reduced in phytochrome mutants (Fig. 3 C and D and Fig. S34). These findings point to a novel role for phytochrome in regulating these major components of plant biomass.

Our data show that despite having moderately reduced chlorophyll and photosynthetic CO₂ uptake, phyBD and phyABDE plants accumulate higher daytime sucrose and starch carbon reserves than WT plants and mobilize these resources faster at night (Fig. 1). In keeping with this result, although phytochrome mutants generally have compromised growth, they have a tendency to grow more during the night than in the daytime (Fig. 2). These results highlight a hitherto unknown function of phytochrome in coupling carbon resource availability to diurnal growth.

By probing the metabolome of the phytochrome multimutant series using GC-MS, we have shown that phytochrome loss disrupts core metabolism. Phytochrome depletion leads to the accumulation of several sugar derivatives during the daytime, whereas TCA components and amino acids are constitutively elevated (Fig. 4 and Fig. S4). Our results broadly concur with a study in rice showing a comparable profile in young leaves of phyABC mutants (50). We have demonstrated that the metabolite excess phenotype does not simply arise from enhanced transcriptional activation of biosynthesis genes, but instead, phytochrome loss curtails the exit of plant biomass, which may signify feedback regulation.

We found that the phytochrome-deficient metabolite profile had substantial degrees of overlap with the profiles observed in ABA-treated and NaCl-treated plants (41, 42) (Fig. 4 and Fig. S4). In particular, phytochrome depletion leads to markedly enhanced levels of proline and raffinose, two key indicators of stress (40). Interestingly, the application of ABA or NaCl is known to restrict growth to allow a redeployment of resources for stress relief. Our present findings show that the growth-compromised phytochrome mutants are less sensitive to ABA and salt inhibition of growth, and have elevated levels of stress genes in the absence of applied stress (Fig. 5 and Figs. S6 and S7). This illustrates that phytochrome status impacts stress physiology, in agreement with an earlier report showing that phytochrome depletion enhances freezing tolerance (46). The ability of phytochrome mutants to withstand stress appears to be influenced by such factors as temperature, given that phytochrome-dependent cold acclimation gene expression requires cooler ambient temperatures. Likewise, inactivation of phytochrome by low red/far-red light has been shown to elevate levels of soluble sugars and aldehyde at 16 °C, but not at 22 °C (51).

In summary, the present study supports a more holistic view of phytochromes as important regulators of carbon supply, biomass production, and metabolic status. We provide evidence that phytochromes play an important role in partitioning carbon metabolism to growth, revealing a broader role for phytochromes in controlling the switch between stress physiology and growth than previously envisioned. This strategy could be valuable in vegetative-dense, far-red-light-rich conditions that are common in nature. The inactivation of phytochrome by far-red light could redirect resources to cope with a more stress-inducing environment, where competition of light is intensified and resources can become limiting.

Materials and Methods

Information on plant materials and growth conditions, along with detailed quantification procedures for biomass, chlorophyll, gas exchange rate, starch and sucrose, rosette expansion rate, and total protein concentration, can be found in SI Materials and Methods. Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR), GC-MS, and principal component analysis are also described in SI Materials and Methods. The primers used in this study are listed in Table S1.
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