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In the aftermath of the Brexit vote – whereby Scotland voted 62 per cent in favour of remaining in the EU, but 52 per cent of the UK as a whole voted against – Scottish First Minister Nicola Sturgeon has stated that the prospect of taking Scotland out of the EU against its will is 'democratically unacceptable'.

Sturgeon has vowed to explore all options to keep Scotland in the EU. But what might these options be? And how likely are they to be successful? Here I will try to identify four possible scenarios – amidst myriad possibilities and uncertainties – that might allow Scotland to stay in the EU.

No Exit?

The first scenario is that the UK does not exit the EU after all. The process of withdrawing from the EU and disentangling UK laws from forty years of European legislation and directives, is going to take many years of negotiation. Indeed, PM David Cameron has left the decision to activate Article 50 – setting a two-year countdown to Brexit – to his successor, whoever that might be, at a later point in time.

During these years of negotiations with Brussels, we may see changes in leadership (especially if an election is called), raising the possibility of the UK government seeking to steer a different course on the UK's relations with Europe. Furthermore, the UK Parliament will be able to vote on any withdrawal deal.

Given that there is a current majority in favour of remaining in the EU, they may withhold their consent and/or demand some form of 'EU membership light' – if, of course, that's even possible given the attitude of EU leaders towards the UK right now. SNP MPs are likely to be active in opposing any withdrawal treaty in the UK Parliament.

Withholding Consent
If UK exit from the European Union is, on the other hand, pursued and approved by both the UK government and a majority in the UK Parliament, then it is arguable that such significant legislation should also be approved by the devolved administrations. And it is highly likely that a majority in the Scottish Parliament will not approve the Brexit withdrawal deal (given that MSPs are overwhelmingly in favour of EU membership) – or, in legal terms, Scotland will ‘withhold legislative consent’.

This may (or may not) put a spanner in the works for Brexit. Under the revised Scotland Act, Section 28, ‘it is recognised that the Parliament of the United Kingdom will not normally legislate with regard to devolved matters without the consent of the Scottish Parliament’.

While the EU is a reserved matter, European legislation has a huge impact on devolved matters (indeed, by some estimates, 80 per cent of devolved legislation in Scotland is affected by the EU) and thus exiting the EU could be interpreted as changing the nature of the devolution settlement and thus devolved matters.

However, this is a complex legal issue, open to political interpretation, and the UK might decide to ignore the Scottish Parliament’s withholding of consent to withdraw from the UK, or indeed narrowly reject the interpretation that EU membership affects devolved matters. Though it is also possible, given that the majority of MPs favour EU membership, that the need for Scottish consent could be used as a political weapon to defeat any ‘full withdrawal’ treaty at Westminster under the first option above.

Scotland (and NI) Stays – The Rest of the UK Leaves?

If Scotland’s attempt to withhold consent on Brexit does not have the desired effect, and the UK Parliament and Government plough on with Brexit regardless, there is a third (far more tricky) option. Scotland could try to retain EU membership (possibly together with Northern Ireland), by representing the part of the UK that seeks to remain in the EU.

Here, the closest model is Greenland, which seceded from the EU in 1985 while still being a part of Denmark. However, this model may not be easily transplanted to the UK–Scottish situation. Greenland has a different constitutional relationship to Denmark – it is a ‘federacy’, with much stronger powers to veto any Danish/external attempts to alter its competences.

Indeed, Greenland has the right to veto any international treaty that affects its powers. Scotland, at present, does not have such legislative clout. If it were a federacy, then Scotland would have been able to reject more easily Brexit as negatively affecting its competences. Still, Greenland provides a useful example of only part of a state seceding from the EU, which could form the basis of a legal case to keep Scotland (representing the UK) in the EU.

IndyRef #2
The final option, if all else fails, is for Scotland to hold another referendum on independence. Nicola Sturgeon has confirmed that this option is on the table, given the ‘significant and material change in circumstances’ that a possible Brexit creates. However, there are a few hurdles to achieving the desired outcome.

First, there would have to be a large and sustained swing in support in favour of independence. A few days after the referendum, a poll put support for independence at 59 per cent. However, Sturgeon has previously said that she would not seek another IndyRef until polls consistently showed that 60 per cent of the public wished to leave the UK.

It is too early to say whether this level of support will be sustained. Second, the UK government would have to agree to another IndyRef, which is probable, but new conditions may be imposed on any future agreement with Edinburgh. And third, the EU would have to approve Scotland’s application.

Here, it is likely that Scotland would have to apply as a newly independent state under Article 49 of the Treaty on European Union, though given its previous EU membership and compliance with EU laws Scotland’s application may be fast-tracked. But this all depends on the views of EU Member States. And while a senior German politician recently said he would welcome Scotland in the EU as a new member, other states facing secessionist movements at home – such as Spain, Belgium and Italy – may not be so sympathetic.

Author information:

Eve Hepburn
The University of Edinburgh

Dr Eve Hepburn is Senior Lecturer in Politics at the University of Edinburgh. Her research interests include Scottish politics, European integration, territorial politics, regionalism, federalism and multi-level governance. She is also Associate Editor of Scottish Affairs.

Publication license:
Creative Commons (Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International)

Additional information:
Please note that this article represents the view of the author(s) alone and not European Futures, the Edinburgh Europa Institute nor the University of Edinburgh.