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Metformin increases cortisol regeneration by 11βHSD1 in obese men with and without type 2 diabetes mellitus
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¹University/ BHF Centre for Cardiovascular Science, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, United Kingdom

STRUCTURED

Context: The mechanism of action of metformin remains unclear. Given regulation of the cortisol-regenerating enzyme 11βHSD1 by insulin, and limited efficacy of selective 11βHSD1 inhibitors to lower blood glucose when co-prescribed with metformin, we hypothesized that metformin reduces 11βHSD1 activity.

Objective: To determine whether metformin regulates 11βHSD1 activity in vivo in obese men with and without type 2 diabetes.

Design: Double blind randomised placebo controlled crossover study

Setting: A hospital clinical research facility

Participants: Eight obese non-diabetic men (OND) and eight obese men with type 2 diabetes (ODM)

Intervention: Participants received 28 days of metformin (1g twice daily), placebo, or (in the ODM group) gliclazide (80mg twice daily) in random order. A deuterated cortisol infusion at the end of each phase measured cortisol regeneration by 11βHSD1. Oral cortisone was given to measure hepatic 11βHSD1 activity in the ODM group. The effect of metformin on 11βHSD1 was also assessed in human hepatocytes and SGBS adipocytes.

Main outcome measures: The effect of metformin on whole body and hepatic 11βHSD1 activity.

Results: Whole body 11βHSD1 activity was ~25% higher in the ODM than OND group. Metformin increased whole body cortisol regeneration by 11βHSD1 in both groups compared with placebo and gliclazide, and tended to increase hepatic 11βHSD1 activity. In vitro, metformin did not increase 11βHSD1 activity in hepatocytes or adipocytes.

Conclusions: Metformin increases whole body cortisol generation by 11βHSD1 probably through an indirect mechanism, potentially offsetting other metabolic benefits of metformin. Co-prescription with metformin should provide a greater target for selective 11βHSD1 inhibitors.

Obese men with and without type 2 diabetes received 28 days of metformin or placebo then underwent a deuterated cortisol infusion to measure 11βHSD1 activity. Metformin increased whole body 11βHSD1 activity in both groups.

Metformin is the mainstay of treatment in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), yet the mechanism of action remains unclear. Metformin lowers glucose concentrations in part by suppressing hepatic gluconeogenesis (1), an effect thought to be primarily mediated through inhibition of the respiratory-chain com-
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Metformin increases 11βHSD1 unlike those with T2DM), using a deuterated cortisol infusion to measure whole body 11βHSD1 activity (15).

Materials and Methods

In vivo study protocol

Eight obese nondiabetic (OND) men and eight obese men with T2DM (ODM) were recruited to this double blind placebo controlled crossover study. Inclusion criteria were: body mass index (BMI) ≥ 30 kg/m²; aged 18–70 years; alcohol intake < 21 U per week; no exogenous glucocorticoid exposure in the pre-

ceding 6 months; normal screening blood tests (full blood count, kidney, liver and thyroid function, and normal glucose in ODM group); <5% change in body weight over the preceding 3 months; not on any medications known to regulate cortisol me-
tabolism (eg, antifungals, 5α-reductase inhibitors or opiates); glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) <10% (86 mmol/mol) if diet controlled or < 8% (64 mmol/mol) if on metformin mono-
therapy (ODM group only). Informed consent was obtained from all participants and approval was obtained for this study from the local research ethics committee. ODM participants re-

Effects of metformin on 11βHSD1 activity in vitro

Human primary hepatocytes (Bioreclamation IVT, Frank-
furt, Germany) were cultured according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Human Simpson-Golabi-Behmel syndrome (SGBS) preadipocytes were cultured as previously described (17). Three days after plating (hepatocytes), or following completion of differ-
tentiation on day 12 (adipocytes), cells were cultured for 24 hours in either vehicle, 100 nM, 1 μM, 10 μM, 100 μM, 1 mM or 10 mM metformin hydrochloride (Sigma, Poole, UK). There-

after, cells were incubated with medium containing 1 μM corti-
sone (enriched with 20 nM 1,2-[^3]H]-cortisone (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, U.K.) for either 120 (hepatocytes) or 240 minutes (adipocytes) at 37°C to measure conversion to cortisol.

Laboratory analysis

Biochemical measurements

Plasma glucose was measured using a colorimetric assay and insulin by immunoassay using Abbott Architect analysers. HbA1c was measured by HPLC (HA8180 analyzer, Menarini Diagnos-
tics, Berkshire, UK). Endogenous and tracer glucocorticoids (cortisol, D4-cortisol, D3-cortisol, cortisone and D3-cortisone)
were measured by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) as previously described (6).

Metformin and gliclazide were extracted from plasma (100 μL) using an SLE + plate (Biotage, UK) following enrichment with D6-metformin and D3-glyburide as internal standards (200 ng). Calibration standards ranged from 0.5–1000 ng. Analytes were eluted, reduced to dryness under nitrogen (40°C) and reconstituted in water/acetonitrile (100 μL; 80:20, v/v). Analysis was carried out by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Chromatographic separation was on an ACE Excel Super2C18 column (100 × 3 mm; 2 μm) protected by a Kinetex KrudKatcher® (Phenomenex, UK) and detected on a 5500 QTrap (Seiex, UK) operated by selective reaction monitoring in positive electrospray ionization mode. The mobile phase was 0.1% formic acid in water (A), 0.1% FA in acetonitrile (B) at 0.2 mL/min, 30°C. Gradient elution from 20%–90% B were eluted at 1.1 minute and gliclazide and D3-glyburide eluted at 2.0 and 2.3 mins with a total run time of 5 minutes. Transitions monitored for were m/z 130.1 → 60.1 and m/z 136.2 → 60.1 for metformin and D6-metformin, respectively and m/z 324.2 → 153.1 and m/z 497.1 → 372.1, for gliclazide and d3-glyburide, respectively.

**Analysis of tritiated steroids**

Tritiated steroids were extracted from 200 μL of medium using methanol. [3H]2-Cortisone and [3H]2-cortisol were quantified by HPLC with online β-scintillation counting (Berthold LB509 detector; Berthold Technologies, Harpenden, U.K.). Samples were analyzed in quadruplicate. Total protein was measured in each sample using the DC™ protein assay (Bio-Rad, CA, USA) and cortisol production rates normalized for protein content.

**Cortisol kinetics**

Cortisol kinetics were calculated as previously described (6). Steady state samples were collected from 150 to 240 minutes in the OND group and from 150 until 180 minutes (time of cortisone ingestion) in the ODM group. Rate of appearance (Ra) of endogenous cortisol in whole body during steady state was calculated using Equation 1:

\[
Ra_{Cortisol} = \frac{\text{Cortisol infusion rate}}{\text{Cortisol/D3-cortisol ratio}}
\]

Rate of appearance of cortisol following oral cortisone ingestion (a measure of hepatic 11βHSD1 activity) in the ODM group was calculated using Steele’s non steady state equation (Equation 3) where \(t\) denotes time, \(V\) is the volume of distribution, \(C(t)\) is the total cortisol concentration at time \(t\) and \(E(t)\) is the tracer to tracee ratio (D4 cortisol/cortisol). Volume of distribution for cortisol was taken as being 12 L as in previous studies (12, 18).

\[
Ra_{Cortisol} = \left( \frac{D4-Cortisol infusion rate}{E(t)} \right) - \frac{\left( \frac{V \times C(t) \, dE(t)}{1 + E(t)} \right)}{E(t)}
\]

**Figure 1.** Endogenous and tracer cortisol measurements Data are mean ± SEM for metformin (black squares), gliclazide (open triangles) and placebo (open circles) on A,D) plasma cortisol, B,E) D4-cortisol enrichment and C,F) D4-cortisol/D3-cortisol ratio in obese nondiabetic (OND, n = 8, panels A-C) and obese diabetic (ODM, n = 8, panels D-F) groups.
Results

Anthropometric and biochemical data

Subjects in the ODM group were older and had higher fasting glucose and Hba1c than the OND participants (Table 1). BMI was not different between the two groups (P > .2) and body weight did not change between phases (data not shown). One of the OND subjects developed transient diarrhea during the metformin phase, no other side effects were reported by any of the participants. Metformin and gliclazide decreased fasting glucose to a similar extent in the ODM group with similar trends in Hba1c, but metformin did not alter fasting glucose in OND participants (Table 1). Metformin and gliclazide were only detected in the plasma during the appropriate phases (data not shown).

Cortisol kinetics

Fasting cortisol was similar between OND and ODM groups and was unaltered by metformin or gliclazide treatment (Figure 1A,D).

Steady state measurements

Steady state D4-cortisol enrichment was achieved after 150 minutes of D4-cortisol infusion in both groups (Figure 1B,E). Metformin increased the rate of appearance of D3-cortisol (Ra D3-cortisol, a specific measure of whole body 11B-HSD1 activity) compared with placebo (both groups) and gliclazide (ODM group only) (Figure 2B). Ra D3-cortisol was higher in ODM compared with OND participants. Ra cortisol (Figure 2A) and D4-cortisol clearance

Table 1. Anthropometric and biochemical data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OND</th>
<th>Metformin</th>
<th>ODM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>43.6 ± 4.6</td>
<td>37.3 ± 2.8</td>
<td>65.8 ± 0.8#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>37.4 ± 2.6</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>34.2 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose (mmol/liter)</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.2 (38.8 ± 2.0)</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.2 (38.4 ± 2.2)</td>
<td>10.8 ± 1.0#/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%/mmol/mol)</td>
<td>17.1 ± 5.1</td>
<td>10.6 ± 2.2</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting insulin (μl/liter)</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.9</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>24.2 ± 9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>9.4 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/liter)</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.2#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4-Cortisol clearance (L/min)</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± SEM for data from obese non-diabetic (OND, n = 8) and diabetic (ODM, n = 8) participants. Phases were compared using paired t tests in the OND group and repeated measures ANOVA with post hoc Fisher’s LSD testing in the ODM group. Placebo-phase data for OND and ODM groups were compared using unpaired t tests. *P < .05 vs. placebo; #P < .05, ###P < .01 v OND group.
Repeated measures ANOVA with post hoc Fisher’s LSD testing. *P tended to increase Ra cortisol following oral cortisone ingestion, and removal of this subject’s data led to a strongly significant increase in hepatic cortisol production by 11βHSD1 in the ODM group.

Contrary to our hypothesis, metformin increased whole body cortisol regeneration by 11βHSD1 in obese men with and without T2DM. This substantial increase in Ra D3-cortisol (~15%) in both groups suggests that the liver is the most likely tissue responsible as the liver accounts for >90% of extra-adrenal cortisol production (8, 19). Furthermore, metformin tended to increase conversion of orally administered cortisone to cortisol (a measure of hepatic 11βHSD1 activity) in the ODM group; in one individual where there was, surprisingly, no increase in either circulating cortisone or cortisol concentrations following oral cortisone ingestion, and removal of this subject’s data led to a strongly significant increase in hepatic cortisol generation on the metformin phase in the remaining 7 subjects (P < .01). Adipose tissue and skeletal muscle are alternative tissues which could be responsible, but this is unlikely since the increase in Ra D3-cortisol induced by metformin is greater than the contribution of both tissues combined to whole body cortisol regeneration under normal conditions (20).

In addition, we have determined that whole body 11βHSD1 activity is increased in obese men with T2DM compared to obese men without diabetes. There have been conflicting results from previous work examining whether hepatic and whole body 11βHSD1 activity is altered in T2DM (6, 12, 21), however these results are consistent with the interpretation that hepatic 11βHSD1 is decreased in euglycaemic obesity but not in obesity-associated T2DM (4, 22). While the ODM group were older which could be a potential confounder, we have not observed any increase in cortisol regeneration by 11βHSD1 with age in previous studies (6, 16).

We hypothesized that insulin could mediate the effect of metformin on 11βHSD1 as insulin decreases hepatic activity (13). Although it is possible that metformin may have reduced insulin levels in the ODM group, there was no suggestion of metformin reducing insulin concentrations in the ODM group so it is unlikely that insulin drives this regulation, while if changes in insulin sensitivity were responsible we may have expected to see a greater effect in the ODM group. Similarly, alterations in glucose concentrations are not responsible as levels were similar during the gliclazide phase without altering 11βHSD1 activity. Our in vitro data suggest this is not a direct effect, although it is possible that longer incubations may have increased cortisol concentrations at the highest concentration was due to cytotoxicity, as metformin has been reported as cytotoxic in the millimolar range although this is supraphysiological (25).

Recent work has shown that metformin decreases ACTH secretion in humans (26) and reduces ACTH-stimulated adrenal secretion (27). This is consistent with our observation of enhanced peripheral regeneration of cortisol and hence increased negative feedback to the HPA axis; conversely, inhibition of 11βHSD1 results in elevated ACTH (14). However, we did not confirm reduction in clearance of cortisol or decrease in total (adrenal plus 11βHSD1) cortisol production with metformin, albeit these may be more insensitive measurements.

Figure 3. The effect of metformin on 11βHSD1 activity in vitro. Data are mean ± SEM for the rate of cortisol production in A) primary human hepatocytes and B) human SGBS adipocytes following incubation with vehicle (white columns) or increasing doses of metformin (black columns) for 24 hours (n = 4 per concentration). Comparisons were performed using repeated measures ANOVA with post hoc Fisher’s LSD testing. *P < .05 vs vehicle.

(Tables 1) rates were unaltered by either treatment and not different between ODM and OND groups.

Nonsteady state measurement of hepatic 11βHSD1 activity

Cortisol production by hepatic 11βHSD1 was calculated using Equation 3 in the ODM group. Metformin tended to increase Ra cortisol following oral cortisone (P = .07) (Figure 2C).

Effect of metformin on 11βHSD1 activity in vitro

[3H]2-Cortisol was readily detected in all samples following incubation. Metformin did not increase conversion of [3H]2-cortisone to [3H]2-cortisol in either the hepatocytes or the adipocytes (Figure 3). In both the hepatocytes and adipocytes, the highest metformin concentration (10 mM) decreased cortisol generation by 11βHSD1.

Discussion

Contrary to our hypothesis, metformin increased whole body cortisol regeneration by 11βHSD1 in obese men with and without T2DM. This substantial increase in Ra D3-cortisol (~15%) in both groups suggests that the liver is the most likely tissue responsible as the liver accounts for >90% of extra-adrenal cortisol production (8, 19). Furthermore, metformin tended to increase conversion of orally administered cortisone to cortisol (a measure of hepatic 11βHSD1 activity) in the ODM group; in one individual where there was, surprisingly, no increase in either circulating cortisone or cortisol concentrations following oral cortisone ingestion, and removal of this subject’s data led to a strongly significant increase in hepatic cortisol generation on the metformin phase in the remaining 7 subjects (P < .01). Adipose tissue and skeletal muscle are alternative tissues which could be responsible, but this is unlikely since the increase in Ra D3-cortisol induced by
Our initial hypothesis was that suppression of 11βHSD1 activity by metformin could be the reason for the lack of efficacy of selective 11βHSD1 inhibitors in improving HbA1C (14). However, metformin increased 11βHSD1 activity, an effect which could offset the other metabolic benefits of metformin and potentially enhance any benefit of 11βHSD1 inhibitors. This does not appear, therefore, to be a reason for the lack of efficacy of these drugs.

In conclusion, metformin increases whole body and likely hepatic regeneration of cortisol by 11βHSD1 in obese men with and without type 2 diabetes mellitus, so that coprescription of metformin with selective 11βHSD1 inhibitors may maximize the metabolic benefits of these agents.
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