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Abstract

This paper describes the NII speech synthesis entry for Blizzard Challenge 2016, where the task was to build a voice from audiobook data. The synthesis system is built using the NII parametric speech synthesis framework that utilizes Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) for acoustic modeling. For this entry, we first built a voice using a large data set, and then used the audiobook data to adapt the acoustic model to the target speaker. Additionally, the recent full-band glottal vocoder GlottDNN was used in the system with a DNN-based excitation model for generating glottal waveforms. The vocoder estimates the vocal tract in a band-wise manner using Quasi Closed Phase (QCP) inverse filtering at the low-band. At synthesis stage, the excitation model is used to generate voiced excitation from acoustic features, after which a vocal tract filter is applied to generate synthetic speech.

The Blizzard Challenge listening test results show that the proposed system achieves comparable quality with the benchmark parametric synthesis systems.

Index Terms: Blizzard Challenge, parametric speech synthesis, speaker adaptation, glottal vocoding, LSTM

1. Introduction

The TTS system for this entry is based on the NII statistical parametric speech synthesis framework, where the latest version of glottal vocoders [1] developed in Aalto University, the full-band glottal vocoder GlottDNN [2], is used instead of more conventional vocoding techniques. Acoustic modeling in our synthesis framework is based on Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) Recurrent Neural networks (RNN), while HTS [3] is used for duration modeling. Additionally, the system uses a feedforward DNN-based glottal excitation model.

This year’s task in Blizzard Challenge was to build a voice based on audiobook data read by a British English female speaker. While the data set is fairly large, the acoustic model typically needs even more data to benefit from the RNN architecture. For this reason we chose an adaptation approach, where the acoustic model is first trained on a large data set and then tuned with the target speaker data. Another issue was posed by the parametrization of the data, due to some reverberation and background noise being present in the recordings. After initial experiments with STRAIGHT [4] and WORLD [5] vocoders, we decided to use the current version of the GlottDNN vocoder.

Previously, female voices have been problematic for the glottal vocoding [6, 7], in contrast to the good results with male voices reported in [1, 2] in comparison with the STRAIGHT vocoder. However, recent improvements with a high-pitched voice in [8] encouraged us to use the new full-band glottal vocoder version to this voice building task. Since the vocoding method in this work is fairly novel, and no audiobook specific techniques were developed yet for the synthesis system, this paper focuses on giving detailed descriptions on the used vocoding and acoustic modeling techniques.

This paper is structured as follows: section 2 describes the data sets and pre-processing steps used for building the voice, while section 3 details the speech parameterisation and synthesis techniques, along with the acoustic and excitation models used. The results from the Blizzard Challenge listening tests will be discussed in section 4, with concluding remarks in section 5.

2. Data

2.1. Overview of the speech corpora

The data corpus released for the Blizzard Challenge this year consists of English audiobooks, all read by the same female speaker with a British accent. We utilize all the released data for system construction, including the pilot data released last year. In total, the utilized corpus contains 5729 utterances with the total duration of 300 minutes.

Because this audiobook corpus may not be sufficient to train the acoustic model based on deep neural network,
we also utilize the Nancy corpus from Blizzard Challenge 2011 [9] to pre-train the neural network. This corpus contains 12092 utterances with a total duration of 963 minutes. Although this speaker has an American accent, the data set benefits from being specifically designed for speech synthesis and from being of high recording quality.

2.2. Speech data pre-processing

While the quality of recordings of the Nancy corpus is well controlled, the quality of the audiobook may not be ideal for parametric speech synthesis. Thus, pre-processing is conducted on the audiobook data as follows:

1. De-reverberation: the deverberator of Postfish [10] is utilized to take the unwanted room echo out of the speech recordings;
2. Noise reduction: the noise reduction function of Audacity [11] is used to attenuate the constant background noise in recordings. This function is in essence a multi-band digital noise gate, automatically shaped by the property noise extracted from a small segment of the recording;
3. Energy level normalization: the root mean square (RMS) energy level of all the recordings are normalized after voice activity detection and RMS level calculation.

2.3. Text data pre-processing

The text data was manually checked. First, because the text and audio segmentation was not always consistent, the text was manually checked so that the text matched the content of the speech. Second, non-speech content in the speech waveform was annotated in the text. The re-annotated text data were also shared with the Blizzard Challenge participants.

3. Speech synthesis system

An overview of the synthesis system back-end is shown in Figure 1. This section presents the procedure for feature extraction, acoustic and excitation model training, and speech waveform synthesis. The acoustic model uses LSTM RNN, while the glottal excitation model is a feed-forward DNN.

3.1. Feature extraction

3.1.1. Acoustic features

For other acoustic feature extraction and synthesis-time waveform generation we use the newly introduced full-band glottal vocoder, GlottDNN [2]. The vocoder extends the Quasi Closed Phase (QCP) [12] inverse-filtering analysis, and the DNN-based glottal excitation prediction presented in [8] to the 48 kHz sampling rate. Figure 2 shows the analysis program flow implemented in the GlottDNN vocoder, as explained in detail in [2]. The main vocoder property, regarding full-band spectral analysis, is the splitting of the speech signal into two frequency bands with Quadrature Mirror Filtering (QMF) [13]. With QMF, the signal is split into two frequency bands with mirrored frequency response filters and down-sampled on both bands separately, resulting in half rate signals representing high and low frequency bands. This allows using the QCP analysis in the low-band, where the periodicity caused by the glottal excitation is more prominent, while using conventional linear prediction in the more aperiodic high-band. As a result, more parameters can be allocated to the perceptually more important lower frequencies. For modelling, the Line Spectral Frequency (LSF) representation is used for both vocal tract features.

Another novelty in the vocoder is in the modeling of the aperiodic component of the excitation signal. First, a glottal source estimate is obtained by inverse-filtering the speech signal with the combined vocal tract filter formed...
from the band-wise filters. Second, the glottal source is median filtered to obtain a noise-like residual that closely resembles the prediction residual of the DNN-based excitation model [4]. and finally, the spectral shape of this noise signal is parametrized with line spectral frequency (LSF). The acoustic features and their dimensions are summarized in Table 1.

Due to the high expressiveness of the audiobook data, voting from several fundamental frequency ($f_0$) estimators was used for increased robustness. The extracted $f_0$ trajectory is based on the merged results of five $f_0$ extractors, comprising the glottal autocorrelation method [1], SWIPE [14], RAPT [15], SAC [16], and TEMPO [17]. Given the $f_0$ candidates of each frame, the median is selected as the $f_0$ observation. For the DNN acoustic model, a binary voiced/unvoiced decision (VUV) is separated from the $f_0$, and the $f_0$ trajectory is linearly interpolated to have a continuous value also at unvoiced regions. The median $f_0$ trajectory was also used in the vocoding.

3.1.2. Text features

The system specifically uses two kinds of text features: first, the full-context phonetic labels for the HMM-based duration model, and second, the frame-rate input to the neural network acoustic model. The utilized text features are similar to those in the standard HTS system [3]. Because the neural network acoustic models are pre-trained on the Nancy data [9], the General American (GAM) accent of Combilex lexicon [18] was chosen as the phoneme set. For both the training and test data, the letter-to-sound conversion, part-of-speech tagging, syllable accent inferring, and Tone and Break Index (ToBI) intonational boundary tone prediction are all conducted by Flite [19]. The text features as input to the neural network also include the position of current frame in the phoneme and utterance. In this entry, passage or paragraph feature is not taken into consideration.

3.2. Acoustic model training

The overview of the acoustic and excitation models in the synthesis system is shown in Figure 2. Left side of the figure depicts the model used to generate acoustic features from text-derived input features, and the right side shows the glottal excitation model used to generate glottal waveforms from acoustic features.

Differing from the glottal vocoding framework in [2], where one neural network is utilized for predicting the glottal waveform and another network for predicting all the acoustic features, the implemented framework in our system utilized an additional network to model the $f_0$ trajectory separately. Thus, there are in total three neural networks. This is motivated by our recent finding that a neural network may devote most of its network capacity to model the spectral features while assigning less priority to the perceptually important $f_0$ trajectory [20]. Note that instead of directly using the log $f_0$, the $f_0$ trajectory is converted to mel-scale with the relation $m = 1127 \times \log(1 + f_0/700)$ where $f_0$ is the fundamental frequency in Hz [21].

The neural networks for predicting $f_0$ and other acoustic features are implemented based on the RNN with bi-directional LSTM units. For the $f_0$ trajectory prediction, the neural network is constructed with two feedforward layers near the input side, followed by two

Table 1: Acoustic features and their dimensions (including their $\Delta$ and $\Delta^2$ values) used in the system. The first five acoustic features are utilized as the input to predict the glottal waveform.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>dim.</th>
<th>$\Delta$ dim.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fundamental frequency ($\log f_0$)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy ($\log$)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-band vocal tract (LSF)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-band vocal tract (LSF)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glottal source spectral tilt (LSF)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice-unvoiced decision (VUV)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise shape (LSF)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise energy ($\log$)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Vocoder analysis module block diagram [2]. Vocal tract (VT) filter analysis is performed in two frequency bands, where QCP is used on the low-band and conventional linear prediction (LP) is used on the high-band.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy</th>
<th>$f_0$</th>
<th>Noise energy</th>
<th>Noise shape</th>
<th>Spectral tilt</th>
<th>Low-band VT</th>
<th>High-band VT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>log</td>
<td>log</td>
<td>log</td>
<td>log</td>
<td>LSF</td>
<td>LSF</td>
<td>LSF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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LSTM layers. The layer size of the feedforward layers is set to 1024, while the size of the LSTM layers is 512.

The training stage of the acoustic model consists of two steps. First, the network is randomly initialized and trained given the data from the Nancy corpus. 500 sentences from this corpus are utilized as the validation set and the rest of the data are used for training. Stochastic gradient descent with early stopping is adopted. Given the network trained on the Nancy data, the second step is to fine-tune the network using the audiobook data of the current task. The training process for the second step is similar to the first step, except the size of the validation set is 200.

The duration model at the phoneme level, which is not shown in Figure 1, uses a fairly standard HMM-based parametric HTS framework. The decision-tree-based model clustering process results in 2087 clustered models out of the 162781 full-context models.

### 3.2.1. Excitation model

The synthesis system utilizes a DNN-based excitation model that predicts glottal excitation waveforms from the features generated by the acoustic model. This concept was first introduced in [22], while this paper follows the waveform processing method presented in [8]. For training the model, glottal pulses are extracted from the signal estimated by inverse-filtering, as illustrated in Figure 3. First, glottal closure instants (GCI), defined as the periodic minima in the glottal flow derivative waveform, are detected. Using the GCI, two pitch-period glottal pulses are extracted, cosine windowed, and zero padded to a desired fixed length. In this case, pulse length of 1600 was chosen, corresponding to a minimum $f_0$ of 60 Hz.

The network for modelling the glottal waveform is implemented with a fully connected feedforward neural network. The input features include the first five kinds of acoustic features listed in Table 1, i.e. the noise features and the binary VUV decision are excluded. The output is the feature vector corresponding to the 1600 sampling points of the glottal waveform. This network consists of 4 hidden layers (with sizes 250, 100, 250 and 1400), and each layer utilizes the sigmoid activation function. The excitation model was trained using data only from the target speaker.

### 3.3. Speech synthesis

At the synthesis front end, the input text is first split into sentence-length segments, as the current text-to-phonetic-labels system only handles context up to the sentence level. The paragraph level text segments required for testing are simply concatenated from the individual sentences after synthesis. For the sentence-level text inputs, Flite is used to create phonetic labels from the input. HTS-based duration model trained on the target speaker is used with the Combilex lexicon to create the frame-rate text features for the neural network inputs.

At the synthesis back-end, the text feature vectors are used to generate the dynamic acoustic features listed in Table 1. The Maximum Likelihood Parameter Generation (MLPG) algorithm is utilized to create smooth feature trajectories, and the resulting features are used for both the input of the excitation model and final waveform generation with the vocoder. An overview of the synthesis system back-end is shown in Figure 1, while the vocoder synthesis procedure is detailed in Figure 4.

The waveform synthesis process is done similarly to [2]: first, the voicing decision is determined from the $f_0$, and in the voiced case the acoustic features are fed into the excitation DNN to create glottal excitation pulses. These pulses are first truncated to match the generated $f_0$ and cosine windowed, summing up to a Hann window, which is required for the overlap-add procedure. The pulses are then modified for aperiodicity by adding a noise component based on the noise shape LSFs, after which spectral matching is applied to compensate any difference between predicted spectral tilt and generated pulse spectrum. As a final modification, the pulses are scaled by energy. The modified pulses are then assembled into a voiced excitation signal with the pitch-synchronous overlap-add method [23], using synthesis pitch marks determined by the $f_0$. Unvoiced excitation is simply created by scaling white noise to the desired energy level. Finally, the vocal tract filter is merged from the generated high-band and low-band LSFs and used to filter the excitation, resulting in synthetic speech.

### 4. Results and analysis

The synthesis system was evaluated as a part of the Blizzard Challenge listening tests, where the participating entries were evaluated by speech experts and paid listeners in controlled listening conditions, and online volunteers

---

**Figure 3:** Glottal excitation pulses are formatted for DNN by taking a two pitch-period segment delimited by GCI, cosine windowing the pulse, and zero-padding to a fixed length.
Although parametric synthesis is generally not yet as good as unit-selection synthesis, a positive finding from the glottal vocodings perspective in the present study was that we achieved a similar performance to known benchmark parametric systems. It is worth emphasizing that this happened even though the synthesis was based on a female voice, which is known to be challenging speech data for glottal inverse-filtering analysis [6, 7]. Building this system also furthered the development of the new GlottDNN vocoder and DNN-based voice adaptation.

We feel that the audiobook data set was challenging for parametric synthesis, partially due to the expressiveness inherent to audiobooks, but also because of the signal level non-idealities affecting vocoding. In the future, more attention should be given to data preprocessing, namely experimenting more with state-of-the-art de-reverberation and noise suppression methods, and applying a more strict speech/non-speech classification, as the audiobook data also contained non-speech signals such as ambient effects.
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Figure 6: Speaker similarity ratings. System K is the proposed system, C is the HTS benchmark, and D is the DNN benchmark.
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