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Introduction

Portable energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescence (pXRF) has become a widely used tool for chemical characterization (source identification) of obsidian found in archaeological contexts. While laboratory techniques like neutron activation analysis (NAA) and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) can analyze more elements and have lower detection limits, pXRF can provide quantitative data of sufficient resolution to be able to match obsidian artefacts with their volcanic sources. At the same time pXRF offers several advantages for obsidian research: (i) it can be deployed ‘in the field’ (i.e. on site or in a museum) without the need to bring samples back to a lab for analysis, (ii) information on elemental composition can be obtained relatively quickly, and (iii) measurements require no special preparation of a sample and cause no visible damage to it.

The research outlined here forms part of a wider study of archaeological obsidian in Southeast Europe involving archaeologists from Bulgaria, Romania and the UK, with the aim of reconstructing changes in patterns of procurement, production and use of obsidian between the Middle Palaeolithic and the Iron Age.

Bulgarian finds

Obsidian is a scarce commodity in archaeological contexts in Bulgaria. It has been reported from just a few sites, and the number of obsidian pieces from any individual site is very small.

We identified and analyzed artefacts from four sites: Ohoden, Dzhuiljunica and Varna in northern Bulgaria, and Dzherman at the foot of the Rila Mountains in the southwest of the country (Figure 1). The sites range in age from Early Neolithic to Chalcolithic (c. 6050–4200 cal BC) and are located some 600–800 km from the nearest geological sources of obsidian in the Aegean, Carpathians and Central Anatolia (Figure 2).

pXRF analyses of 32 minor and trace elements were performed with a ‘Niton XL3t ultra’ analyzer, operated in the fundamental parameters ‘mining mode’. Results were compared with measurements taken on geological samples from various sources, and both sets of data were calibrated against 23 geological reference standards (CRMs) (Figure 3).
Results

Two varieties of obsidian were identified among the artefacts analyzed. Comparison with measurements made on geological source samples from the Carpathians, Aegean, the Central Mediterranean and Central Anatolia, shows the chemical profiles of the Bulgarian finds to be most similar to sources in the Carpathian Mountains (cf. Rosania et al. 2008). Group 1 matches closely with the Carpathian 1 (C1) source area in Slovakia, while Group 2 most likely comes from the Carpathian 2 (C2) source area in Hungary (Figure 4).

Within this very small sample of artefacts there are several regular blades or blade segments, all made from C1 obsidian – which generally is considered to have better knapping qualities than C2 obsidian (Tripković 2004; Dobosi 2011; Milić 2016).

The work presented here represents the first systematic chemical characterization study of archaeological obsidian from Bulgaria. It expands the number of recorded find spots of Carpathian obsidian south of the River Danube, and helps to fill a major gap in the spatial distribution of Carpathian obsidian between the finds in northern Serbia and southern Romania and what previously were seen as remote outliers, at Mandalo (Kilikoglou et al. 1996) and Dispilio (Milić 2014) in northern Greece (Figure 5).

Our work also raises questions about the consumption of Carpathian obsidian in Bulgarian prehistory. How was the material transmitted over distances of more than 600 km – what were the social mechanisms involved and how did they develop through time? Were the C1 and C2 sources utilized simultaneously, or at different periods? Was C1 obsidian favoured over C2 for the production of regular blades? Was obsidian acquired mainly in the form of raw material or as pre-prepared blanks? Was it only from Carpathian sources that obsidian reached prehistoric settlements in Bulgaria?

To address these questions, it will be necessary to increase our sample of obsidian from Bulgarian archaeological sites and to date much more precisely the contexts in which obsidian occurs. These will be among the priorities for the next phase of our research.
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Figures

Figure 1. Obsidian artefacts from Ohoden (A), Dzherman (B), Dzhuljunica (C) and Varna (D) in Bulgaria.

Figure 2. Site locations in relation to major obsidian source areas (base map: Google Earth 7.0, viewed 8 June 2016): Da – Dzhuljunica, Dn – Dzherman, O – Ohoden, V – Varna.

Figure 3. Comparison of pXRF and recommended values for Rubidium (Rb) in 23 pressed powder geochemical reference standards. Values for goodness of fit ($r^2$), slope and intercept are a measure of the performance of the Niton XL3t Ultra analyzer and are used to derive calibration factors. Performance was good ($r^2 >0.9$) for all elements of interest.

Figure 4. Zr-Sr-Rb compositions of obsidian artefacts from Džerman, Džuljunica, Ohoden and Varna, plotted against the compositional ranges of (calibrated) pXRF data for infinitely thick samples from geological sources in the Carpathians: C1 – Slovakia; C2 – Hungary; C3 – Ukraine (cf. Rosania et al. 2008).

Figure 5. Bulgarian sites (★) in relation to previously reported finds of artefacts made from Carpathian obsidian (●) – the circle has a radius of 500 km (adapted from Burgert 2015).
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