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SUMMARY STATEMENT

This work analyses how the UV-B specific photoreceptor UVR8 regulates signalling, development and growth when expressed only in specific tissues. We show that early steps of UVR8-dependent signalling, such as accumulation of the key regulatory transcription factor HY5, occur strictly in tissue-autonomous fashion. In contrast, complex UV-B-induced changes, including proper acclimation of adult plants requires simultaneous signalling in the epidermal and mesophyll cells and/or inter-tissue signalling.

ABSTRACT (162 words)

The Arabidopsis UV-B photoreceptor UV RESISTANCE LOCUS 8 (UVR8) orchestrates the expression of hundreds of genes, many of which can be associated with UV-B tolerance. UV-B does not efficiently penetrate into tissues, yet UV-B regulates complex growth and developmental responses. To unravel to what extent and how UVR8 located in different tissues contributes to UV-B-induced responses, we expressed UVR8 fused to the YELLOW FLUORESCENT PROTEIN (YFP) under the control of tissue-specific promoters in a uvr8 null mutant background. We show that (i) UVR8 localized in the epidermis plays a major role in regulating cotyledon expansion, and (ii) expression of UVR8 in the mesophyll is important to protect adult plants from the damaging effects of UV-B. We found that UV-B induces transcription of selected genes, including the key transcriptional regulator ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL 5 (HY5), only in tissues that express UVR8. Thus we
suggest that tissue-autonomous and simultaneous UVR8 signalling in different tissues mediates, at least partly, developmental and defence responses to UV-B.
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INTRODUCTION

Plants must adapt to the environment to optimize growth and development for survival and successful reproduction. Light is an essential environmental factor and necessary not only for photosynthesis but also as a signal for proper development and growth. Plants evolved various photoreceptors that are able to monitor changes in the quantity and quality of the ambient light environment. These include the blue/UV-A light absorbing phototropins, cryptochromes and Zeitlupe family receptors; the red/far-red absorbing phytochromes (phyA-phyE), as well as the UV-B photoreceptor UV RESISTANCE LOCUS 8 (UVR8) (Galvao & Fankhauser, 2015).

UV-B radiation (280-315 nm) is an integral part of sunlight reaching the Earth’s surface, as it is only partially absorbed by the stratospheric ozone layer. UV-B can damage several macromolecules (DNA, proteins etc.) (Hollosy, 2002). However, UV-B also activates UVR8-dependent signal transduction pathways and triggers responses that manifest as inhibition of hypocotyl elongation, reduction of leaf size, entrainment of the circadian clock, modification of shade avoidance response, alteration of phototropism, increased accumulation of photo-protective flavonoids and increased survival under UV-B stress (Kliebenstein et al., 2002; Brown et al., 2005; Favory et al., 2009; Feher et al., 2011; Morales et al., 2013; Hayes et al., 2014; Jenkins, 2014; Vandenbussche et al., 2014).

At the cellular level the UVR8 photoreceptor can be detected both in the cytoplasm and the nucleus in visible light, but irradiation with UV-B increases accumulation of UVR8 in the nucleus (Kaiserli & Jenkins, 2007; Yin et al., 2016). Nuclear localization of UVR8 is required but not sufficient for UV-B signalling (Brown et al., 2005; Kaiserli & Jenkins, 2007; Yin et al., 2016). It is a matter of debate whether or not UVR8 directly associates with chromatin to regulate UV-B-
dependent transcription of target genes, including HY5 (Cloix & Jenkins, 2008; Binkert et al., 2016). The ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL 5 (HY5) transcription factor is a major positive regulator of photomorphogenesis both in visible (Lee et al., 2007) and UV-B light (Ulm et al., 2004; Brown et al., 2005; Oravecz et al., 2006; Binkert et al., 2014). hy5 mutants are largely impaired in UV-B-responsive gene expression and the accumulation of UV-B-protective flavonoid pigments, leading to reduced UV-B tolerance and survival (Brown et al., 2005; Oravecz et al., 2006; Stracke et al., 2010). UV-B irradiation was shown to rapidly induce HY5 gene expression (Ulm et al., 2004; Brown & Jenkins, 2008; Binkert et al., 2014; Binkert et al., 2016) and the accumulation of HY5 protein in the nucleus (Oravecz et al., 2006). UV-B penetrates rather poorly into tissues below the epidermis. Indeed, leaf epidermal transmittance of UV-B is less than 10 %, measured in many different species under various circumstances (Robberecht et al., 1980; Day et al., 1993; Markstadter et al., 2001; Qi et al., 2003; Nybakken et al., 2004). UVR8 is expressed ubiquitously in different organs of mature Arabidopsis (Rizzini et al., 2011), but the precise distribution pattern and the accumulation level of the photoreceptor in various tissues have not yet been investigated. It follows that it is not understood how the action of UVR8 in different tissues/organs is integrated to regulate complex physiological responses as hypocotyl growth inhibition or leaf size, and how the strongly varying UV-B intensities in different tissues modulate UVR8-dependent signalling.

Here we characterized the spatio-temporal aspects of UV-B-induced, UVR8-mediated signalling to provide insight into the molecular mechanism mediating signal integration between different tissues/organs. We first determined the distribution pattern and level of YFP-UVR8 under the control of its own promoter. Next we
characterized to what extent UV-B-induced physiological and molecular responses are mediates by tissue-autonomous and/or inter-tissue signalling in transgenic lines that expressed the photoreceptor in a tissue-specific fashion. Our data suggest that UVR8 responses are mediated partly by tissue-autonomous signalling, but proper regulation of hypocotyl growth inhibition and establishment of UV-B tolerance require either UVR8 action in different tissues and/or inter-tissue signalling.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Molecular cloning**

The coding region of *YFP* and *UVR8* were cloned into the pPCV812 plasmid (Bauer *et al.*, 2004) as *SmaI*-EcoRI and *EcoRI*-SacI fragments, respectively. The *MERISTEM LAYER 1 (ProML1), SUCROSE /H+ SYMPORTER 2 (ProSUC2)* and *CHLOROPHYLL A/B BINDING PROTEIN 3 (ProCAB3)* promoter fragments were cloned as described by Kirchenbauer *et al.* (2016) whereas the *ProUVR8* was inserted as a 2569 bp *SalI*-BamHI fragment including the 5` leader sequence. The coding sequence of the β-glucuronidase (*GUS*) as a *SmaI*-XhoI fragment (Adam *et al.*, 1995), *GFP* as a *XhoI*-ClaI fragment and *NLS* as a *ClaI*-SacI fragment (Wolf *et al.*, 2011) were cloned into the pPCVB812 binary vector (Bauer *et al.*, 2004) resulting in *GUS*-GFP-NLS pPCVB. This vector was digested with *HindIII* and *SmaI* restriction enzymes and the *ProHY5* (Oravecz *et al.*, 2006) was inserted as a *HindIII*-StuI fragment replacing the *Pro35S* promoter. *ProELIP2* and *ProPRR9* were cloned as 2772 bp (*BamHI*-XbaI) and 1324 bp (*BamHI*-*SmaI*) fragments including the 5` leader sequences, respectively. Cloning of *ProHY5:HY5-GFP* was described in detail by Kirchenbauer *et al.* (2016).
Throughout the study we used the *Arabidopsis thaliana* L (Heynh.) *uvr8*-6 null mutant (Favory *et al.*, 2009), with the Columbia accession as wild type (WT) control. We raised 10 independent transgenic lines per construct and selected those which segregated the transgene as a single Mendelian trait. At least 3 independent lines were studied and comparable results are presented. Arabidopsis transformation, principles of selection and handling of transgenic lines were described earlier in detail (Kirchenbauer *et al.*, 2016).

**Seedling growth conditions and light treatments**

Seeds were surface sterilized and subsequently stratified for 72 h in the dark (4 °C) on ½ Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (Sigma-Aldrich, Budapest, Hungary) containing 1% sucrose and 0.8% agar. For microscopic analysis, the seedlings were grown in 12 h white light (WL, 80 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹)/12 h dark at 22 °C for 6 days (MLR-350, Sanyo, Gallenkamp, UK) and then placed under continuous white light supplemented with UV-B for 16 h at 22 °C. White light was produced by PHILIPS TL-D 18W/33-640 tubes (10 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹). Non-damaging photomorphogenic (low-fluence) UV-B was produced by PHILIPS ULTRAVIOLET-B TL20W/01RS tubes (1.5 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹). To modulate UV-B light we used 3-mm thick transmission cut-off filters of the WG series (Schott, Mainz, Germany), as described previously (Ulm *et al.*, 2004). UV-B treated seedlings (+UV-B) were covered with WG305 filter with half-maximal transmission at 305 nm, whereas non-UV-B irradiated control seedlings were covered with WG385 filter with half-maximal transmission at 385 nm (-UV-B) as applied in work published earlier (Oravecz *et al.*, 2006; Favory *et al.*, 2009; Rizzini *et al.*, 2011). UV-B was measured with a VLX-3W UV light meter equipped with a
CX-312 sensor (Vilber Lourmat, Eberhardzell, Germany) and the visible part was measured with an LI-250 Light Meter (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA). For hypocotyl and cotyledon measurements, seedlings were grown for 3 days in light/dark chambers before being exposed to continuous WL supplemented with UV-B for 4 days or 5 days.

**Microscopy techniques**

Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) settings and quantification of nuclear fluorescence were described in detail by Kirchenbauer *et al.* (2016)

**Flavonoid detection using confocal laser scanning microscopy**

Seeds were stratified and germinated as described above. Seedlings were grown for 2 days in 12 h light/12 h dark chambers and were placed under 1.5 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ WL supplemented with 1.5 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ UV-B light for 4 days. Seedlings treated with UV-B were covered with a WG305 filter, whereas the negative controls (-UV-B) were covered with WG385. Prior to microscopic analysis seedlings were incubated in 0.1% (w/v) Naturstoffreagenz A (DPBA, Sigma-Aldrich) in 0.15 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) in the dark. After 15 min incubation time DPBA was removed by exchanging the buffer for fresh phosphate buffer twice. CLSM was used to detect DPBA-flavonoid specific fluorescence (488 nm laser; pinhole: 200 µm; spectral emission detector: 501-601 nm).

**Hypocotyl length and cotyledon area measurements**

Measurements of hypocotyl length and cotyledon area were performed as described earlier (Adam *et al.*, 2013). At least 40 seedlings (hypocotyl length) or 100
cotyledons were measured for each line and each treatment. Ratios of UV-B treated/non-treated hypocotyl lengths and cotyledon areas were calculated in each experiment. Experiments were repeated at least three times. The calculated ratio values were averaged and the standard error values of the means were obtained and plotted.

**Protein isolation and western blot**

Preparation of plant protein extracts and western blotting were described by Bauer *et al.* (2004). Application of anti-UVR8, anti-ACTIN antibodies and signal processing were also described earlier (Heijde & Ulm, 2013; Medzihradszky *et al.*, 2013). All protein extraction and western blotting was repeated three times and a representative image is presented. Signal quantification was made using Image J software (NIH).

**Determination of transcript levels**

Total RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and quantitative RT-PCR analysis were performed as described by Feher *et al.* (2011).

**Propagation and UV-B treatment of adult plants for phenotype analysis and chlorophyll determination**

Arabidopsis seeds were sown on soil, stratified for three days at 4 °C and then grown in a climate-controlled growth chamber (Grobank, CLF Plant Climatics, Werdingen, Germany) in short days conditions (8 h light / 16 h dark) under WL (120 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) or WL supplemented with UV-B at 22°C. The visible part was measured with an LI-250 Light Meter (Li-Cor). The light conditions in the chambers were set following
the general guidelines described by Aphalo et al. (2012) and the full spectra of the
applied light was analysed with a QE65000 spectrometer (Ocean Optics, Dunedin,
FL, USA) (Figure S1). We used white fluorescent tubes (Osram L18W) and the same
type narrowband UV-B tubes, what were used in the seedling irradiation treatments
(TL20W/01RS, Philips) without plastic filtering. The applied UV-B fluence rates (2
or 12 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) were comparable to the natural values measured in Szeged,
Hungary on an average sunny summer day (7-15 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ between 11:00-13:00
CET on 09.06.2010.). UV-B was measured with a VLX-3W UV light meter equipped
with a CX-312 sensor (Vilber Lourmat). Rosette diameter was quantified in images of
7-week-old plants using ImageJ. Three repetitions of each experiment were performed
using two independent lines for the tissue-specific lines. At least four plants were
measured in each repetition for each genotype and independent line. Determination of
chlorophyll levels were described earlier (Porra et al., 1989)

RESULTS

Expression of the ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8 transgene is restricted to epidermal and
mesophyll cells
To address where UVR8 is expressed, we generated transgenic lines expressing the
YFP-UVR8 fusion protein under the control of its own promoter in a uvr8 null mutant
background and determined its expression pattern by using CLSM. We found that the
UVR8 promoter drives the expression of YFP-UVR8 in the epidermal and, to a lesser
extent, the mesophyll/subepidermal cells of cotyledons and hypocotyls (Figures 1A-C,
S2-S4). Accumulation of the YFP-UVR8 fusion protein was below detection level in
the vascular bundles. But it should be noted that the YFP-UVR8 amount corresponded
to ~10% of the native UVR8 protein detected in WT seedlings (Figure 2A) and that we did not identify any ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8 line with higher YFP-UVR8 protein amounts.

Characterization of transgenic lines expressing YFP-UVR8 in selected tissues

To assess the function of UVR8 located in different tissues we expressed YFP-UVR8 in the uvr8 mutant background under the control of ProML1, ProSUC2 and ProCAB3 promoters that have already been used in numerous studies to express proteins of interest in epidermal, companion and mesophyll cells, respectively (Mitra et al., 1989; Sessions et al., 1999; Srivastava et al., 2008; Kirchenbauer et al., 2016). Figure 1D-I and Figures S2-S4 demonstrate that the ProML1 drives the expression of YFP-UVR8 selectively in epidermal cells, whereas ProCAB3 in the sub-epidermal (mesophyll) cells of cotyledons and hypocotyls. As expected, no activity of these promoters was detected in the vascular bundles. By contrast, ProSUC2 expressed YFP-UVR8 in the vasculature and sub-epidermal cells of cotyledons and hypocotyls (Figure 1J-L and S2-S4). Western blot analysis showed that the total amount of YFP-UVR8 in ProML1:YFP-UVR8 was ~5%, in ProSUC2:YFP-UVR8 ~25% and in ProCAB3:YFP-UVR8 75% of the amount of endogenous UVR8 in WT seedlings (Figure 2A). To facilitate direct comparison of the level of YFP-UVR8 in different cell types, we monitored its accumulation by CLSM. The amount of YFP-UVR8 was (i) comparable in the epidermal cells of ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8 and ProML1:YFP-UVR8, (ii) about 4-5-fold lower in the mesophyll cells of ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8 as compared to ProCAB3:YFP-UVR8 and about the same in ProSUC2:YFP-UVR8 (Figure S5). It was not feasible to compare its accumulation by this method in the vascular bundles.
Complementation of seedling phenotypes of the *uvr8*-6 mutant by tissue-specific expression of YFP-UVR8

To assess the function of UVR8 in different tissues, we measured typical photomorphogenic responses such as inhibition of hypocotyl elongation and expansion of cotyledons, of the various transgenic seedlings exposed to UV-B irradiation. Figure 2B shows that supplemental narrowband UV-B inhibited hypocotyl growth in the wild-type seedlings, whereas the *uvr8* mutant seedlings were much less responsive, in agreement with previous results (Favory et al., 2009). All transgenic seedlings, except *ProSUC2:YFP-UVR8*, showed pronounced UV-B-induced hypocotyl growth inhibition, but did not fully complement the phenotype of the *uvr8* mutant (Figure 2B). We also measured the changes of cotyledon area caused by UV-B irradiation. Figure 2C illustrates that UV-B irradiation decreased the cotyledon size of the *uvr8, ProCAB3:YFP-UVR8* and the *ProSUC2:YFP-UVR8* seedlings, whereas the same UV-B treatment slightly increased the cotyledon size in the *ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8, ProML1:YFP-UVR8* and wild-type plants.

The above results indicate that (i) the YFP-UVR8 fusion protein is a functional photoreceptor, confirming previous reports (Brown et al., 2005; Kaiserli & Jenkins, 2007; Huang et al., 2014; Binkert et al., 2016); (ii) UVR8 signalling contributes to UV-B-induced inhibition of hypocotyl growth both in the epidermal and mesophyll cells; (iii) UVR8 expression in the epidermis is necessary for proper cotyledon expansion under UV-B light; and (iv) YFP-UVR8 expressed in vascular bundles plays a very limited role, if any, in regulating hypocotyl growth and cotyledon expansion (Table S1).

The UV-B-induced, UVR8-regulated induction of *HY5* is tissue-autonomous
Increase in the mRNA level and nuclear accumulation of the key UV-B signal transduction component HY5 are among the early steps of the UV-B-induced signalling cascade initiated by UVR8 (Ulm et al., 2004; Brown et al., 2005; Oravec et al., 2006). To examine the tissue specificity of these responses, we introduced the ProHY5:HY5-GFP (to determine the cell-specific accumulation of HY5 protein) and ProHY5:GUS-GFP-NLS (to determine the cell-specific induction of HY5 transcription) reporters into transgenic uvr8 mutant lines expressing YFP-UVR8 in different tissues. Figure 3 demonstrates that (i) the abundance of HY5-GFP was low in seedlings grown in white light, and (ii) UV-B irradiation promoted accumulation of HY5-GFP only in those cells which also contained detectable amounts of YFP-UVR8. Similarly, we found that the UVR8-dependent induction of HY5 transcription is also restricted to YFP-UVR8-containing cells (Figure S6). Thus our results indicate that regulation of the expression of HY5 by UVR8 is a tissue-autonomous response.

UV-B induction of the transcription of HY5-dependent and -independent genes is controlled by UVR8 in a tissue-autonomous fashion

To get more insight into the tissue-related organization of UVR8 signalling, we also introduced the ProELIP2:GUS-GFP-NLS and ProPRR9:GUS-GFP-NLS transgenes into the ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8, ProML1:YFP-UVR8 and ProCAB3:YFP-UVR8 expressing lines. The EARLY LIGHT-INDUCED PROTEIN 2 (ELIP2) is involved in the photoprotection of thylakoid membranes (Hutin et al., 2003). UV-B irradiation induces accumulation of ELIP2 mRNA (Ulm et al., 2004; Feher et al., 2011), and this response requires functional UVR8 and HY5 (Figure S7) (Oravec et al., 2006; Favory et al., 2009). Figure 4 demonstrates that the activity of ProELIP2 is low in white light, and that UV-B irradiation strongly enhances its activity only in
those cells which also contain detectable amounts of YFP-UVR8, indicating that the photoreceptor regulates HY5-dependent expression of ELIP2 in a tissue-autonomous fashion.

\textit{PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR 9 (PRR9)} is a component of the plant circadian clock (Nakamichi \textit{et al.}, 2005). UV-B-induction of \textit{ProPRR9} depends on UVR8 (Feher \textit{et al.}, 2011), but it is independent of HY5 (Figure S7). In contrast to the \textit{HY5} and \textit{ELIP2} promoters, \textit{ProPRR9} was active in the sub-epidermal cells of cotyledons in transgenic plants grown in white light. UV-B strongly induced \textit{ProPRR9} activity only in those sub-epidermal cells that contained detectable amounts of YFP-UVR8 (Figure S8). Elevated expression of \textit{ProPRR9:GUS-GFP-NLS} was not detectable in the epidermis of \textit{ProML1:YFP-UVR8} and \textit{ProUVR8:YFP:UVR8} lines, although these cells express YFP-UVR8.

\textbf{UVR8-dependent flavonoid accumulation occurs in a tissue-autonomous fashion}

DPBA forms complexes with flavonoid compounds, which can be visualized by CLSM (Schnitzler \textit{et al.}, 1996; Hutzler \textit{et al.}, 1998; Peer \textit{et al.}, 2001). We applied an irradiation protocol which allowed detectable accumulation of flavonoids under supplemental UV-B in wild-type but not in \textit{uvr8} seedlings. We detected the highest level of UV-B-induced flavonoid accumulation on the inner side of the adaxial epidermal cells in WT seedlings (Figure 5), as previously reported (Hutzler \textit{et al.}, 1998; Agati \textit{et al.}, 2011). Moreover, all YFP-UVR8-expressing lines accumulated flavonoids UV-B-dependently, with a similar accumulation pattern but to lower levels than WT.
Adult plants require UVR8 in the mesophyll cells for proper acclimation and survival under UV-B

UVR8 plays a role not only at the seedling stage but also in acclimation to UV-B of adult plants (Favory et al., 2009). We examined 7-week-old plants expressing YFP-UVR8 in different tissues and found that all plants developed equally without UV-B (Figure 6A). Weak supplemental UV-B triggered rosette growth inhibition and shortening of petioles in the wild-type plants, whereas the uvr8-6 mutant showed a very limited rosette growth reduction and developed light green leaves, indicating that this dose of UV-B elicited mainly UVR8 photoreceptor-mediated photomorphogenic responses (Figure 6A). Thus, uvr8 mutants are hyposensitive to UV-B considering UV-B-induced photomorphogenesis and acclimation, as previously reported (Favory et al., 2009). Transgenic lines expressing YFP-UVR8 displayed WT-like acclimation (Figure 6A), with comparable rosette development (Figure 6B) and chlorophyll accumulation (Figure 6C), except for the ProCAB3:YFP-UVR8 plants, which had small rosettes (Figure 6B) and accumulated chlorophyll to higher levels (Figure 6C).

Stronger supplemental UV-B was lethal to uvr8 mutants that, in contrast to wild type, were not able to acclimate to UV-B (Figure 6A). Thus under these conditions the effect of UV-B acclimation on UV-B tolerance can be assayed. Next to wild type, also the ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8 and ProML1:YFP-UVR8 lines survived the higher UV-B levels but developed smaller rosettes. The ProCAB3:YFP-UVR8 and ProSUC2:YFP-UVR8 plants displayed a strong over-expression phenotype characteristic for plants producing high amounts of UVR8 under the control of constitutive promoters (Favory et al., 2009; Heijde et al., 2013; Fasano et al., 2014).

We found that these lines indeed over-expressed UVR8 in adult plants grown on soil...
as compared with WT (Figure S9). Taken together, these results indicate that the expression of UVR8 in subepidermal or epidermal tissues efficiently facilitates acclimation and survival under UV-B.

**DISCUSSION**

Analysis of transgenic ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8 plants revealed the presence of the YFP-UVR8 fusion protein in the epidermal and sub-epidermal cells of cotyledons and hypocotyls of seedlings exposed to UV-B. However, in these lines YFP-UVR8 accumulated to levels lower than endogenous UVR8, thus we cannot exclude the presence of low amounts of UVR8 in the vascular tissues of WT seedlings. The fusion protein was biologically active, since the ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8 transgenic seedlings and adult plants displayed partially or fully complemented UV-B responses. Thus we assume that UVR8 signalling does not play a role in the vasculature, independently of the developmental stage.

ProML1:YFP-UVR8 displayed fully complemented UV-B-induced cotyledon expansion and partially restored hypocotyl growth inhibition, suggesting that epidermal UVR8 is critical for the regulation of these responses. ProCAB3:YFP-UVR8 seedlings containing high levels of YFP-UVR8 in the subepidermal cells also displayed a partially complemented hypocotyl growth inhibition but a non-complemented cotyledon phenotype. The ProSUC2:YFP-UVR8 line, despite the fact that it contained a relatively high amount of fusion protein, failed to complement cotyledon growth and displayed only a weak hypocotyl growth inhibition response (Table S1, Figure 2). The latter could be the result of the UVR8 action in mesophyll cells rather than in the vasculature. Based on these data we conclude that at the seedling stage the primary sites of UV-B perception are the epidermis and, to a lesser
extent, the mesophyll/sub-epidermal cells. The low penetration of UV-B into deeper
layers of plant organs (Day et al., 1993), lends further support to the above
conclusion. The distinguished role of epidermis in regulating hypocotyl growth is not
unique to UVR8 action, as similar data were reported for phyA (Kirchenbauer et al.,
2016) phyB (Endo et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2016) and brassinosteroid signalling
(Savaldi-Goldstein et al., 2007). However, both Kirchenbauer et al. (2016) and
Savaldi-Goldstein et al. (2007) concluded that exclusive action of phyA or
brassinosteroid signalling in the epidermis is not sufficient to recapitulate full
regulation of this response. Therefore we assume that the UVR8-mediated inhibition
of hypocotyl growth is also mediated partly by the simultaneous action of UVR8 in
various tissues and/or inter-tissue signalling.

Adult ProCAB3:YFP-UVR8 and ProSUC2:YFP-UVR8 plants having high
levels of YFP-UVR8 in the mesophyll displayed an over-expression phenotype,
whereas the phenotype of ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8 plants was similar to WT when
exposed to strong UV-B (Figure 6). Although subepidermal/mesophyll cells also
contain flavonoids (Agati et al., 2011) we do not attribute the over-expression
phenotype directly to the accumulation of flavonoids in these cell types. However, we
assume that (i) UVR8 in the mesophyll is required for maintaining photosynthetic
efficiency under elevated UV-B (Davey et al., 2012) maybe by regulating the levels
of the D1 and D2 core proteins, as described recently in Chlamydomonas (Tilbrook et
al., 2016), and that (ii) this process needs UVR8 located in cells containing
chloroplasts. As for proper rosette development, the phenotypes of the ProML1:YFP-
UVR8 and ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8 lines suggest that together with the mesophyll
UVR8, the action of epidermal UVR8 is still required. As for the ProSUC2:YFP-
UVR8 plant, it remains to be seen whether the activity of UVR8 in the vasculature
contributes to the acclimation response, or it is due to ProSUC2 promoter action in subepidermal cells. Taken together, we conclude that in mature plants, simultaneous signalling in the epidermal and mesophyll cells and/or inter-tissue signalling is required to optimise growth and development under UV-B.

UV-B-induced flavonoid accumulation both in the epidermis (ProML1:YFP-UVR8 or ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8) and mesophyll cells (ProCAB3:YFP-UVR8 or ProSUC2:YFP-UVR8) appears to be regulated by YFP-UVR8 located in the same tissue, i.e. in a tissue-autonomous fashion (Figure 5). However, at present the contribution of inter-tissue signalling or transport of flavonoids (Buer et al., 2007) in regulating their accumulation can not be ruled out.

To provide a mechanistic explanation for UV-B-induced developmental responses, we examined the expression patterns of various genes shown to be regulated by UVR8. UV-B-induced transcription and accumulation of the key regulator HY5 was restricted to cells containing UVR8 (Figures 3, S6). The phyA photoreceptor was also shown to regulate HY5 expression in a similar fashion (Kirchenbauer et al., 2016). These data suggest that far-red and UV-B light regulated expression of HY5, probably an early, rate-limiting step of both signal transduction cascades, is mediated in a tissue-autonomous fashion by both photoreceptors.

Similarly to HY5, the UV-B-induced expression of ELIP2 which requires functional HY5 and that of PRR9 whose expression is not regulated by HY5 occurs in a strictly tissue-autonomous way (Figures 4, S8).

Taken together, we found no evidence at the molecular level that UVR8-signalling initiates signal crosstalk between different tissues. However, it was reported that UV-B irradiation of certain parts of the plants results in changes of gene expression in shielded organs, indicating that UV-B-induced inter-organ signalling
can occur in higher plants (Casati & Walbot, 2004). Therefore we hypothesize that
inter-tissue signalling, mediated by yet unknown mobile compounds contributes to the
manifestation of UVR8-regulated responses. For example, it was reported that HY5
regulates auxin signalling under different light treatments including UV-B irradiation
(Cluis et al., 2004; Sibout et al., 2006; Hayes et al., 2014; Vandenbussche et al.,
2014). However, to unravel the molecular aspects of UVR8-modulated hormone
signalling requires the development of new cellular markers.
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Figure 1

Tissue-specific expression of YFP-UVR8 in the cotyledons of transgenic \textit{uvar8-6} seedlings.

Localization of the YFP-UVR8 fusion protein was monitored by CLSM in the cotyledons of seedlings grown in constant WL supplemented with UV-B. To facilitate comparison of the expression levels of YFP-UVR8 in the examined transgenic lines, images representing the same tissue were obtained using identical microscope settings. The epidermis (A, D, G, J), the sub-epidermal mesophyll cells (B, E, H, K) and the vasculature (C, F, I, L) of seedlings expressing \textit{ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8}, (A, B, C) or \textit{ProML1:YFP-UVR8} (D, E, F) or \textit{ProCAB3:YFP-UVR8} (G, H, I) or \textit{ProSUC2:YFP-UVR8} (J, K, L) were examined. White arrows mark positions of selected nuclei in the epidermis, yellow arrows point to nuclei in the mesophyll, whereas red arrows indicate nuclei/cells in the vasculature. Scale bar = 50 \textmu m.
Figure 2

Expression and mutant phenotype complementation of YFP-UVR8 in uvr8-6

A. Determination of endogenous and YFP-UVR8 protein levels.

Total protein extract was isolated from 4-day-old seedlings grown under constant WL supplemented with UV-B. The proteins were detected using UVR8-specific antibody (anti-UVR8). The blot was reprobed with anti-ACTIN antibody as loading control.
B. Effect of UV-B on hypocotyl length.

Hypocotyl lengths of seedlings irradiated with constant WL supplemented with (UV-B) or without (no-UV-B) UV-B for 4 days were measured and relative hypocotyl lengths (UV-B/no-UV-B) were calculated. Each measurement was repeated 3 times; error bars represent standard error of the mean. Lines: Col= Columbia wild type; uvr8 = uvr8-6 mutant, ProUVR8= ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8; ProML1= ProML1:YFP-UVR8; ProCAB3= ProCAB3:YFP-UVR8; ProSUC2= ProSUC2:YFP-UVR8. Each transgene is expressed in the uvr8-6 background. Asterisks mark lines that display significant differences as compared with the uvr8 mutant line calculated by the Student’s t-test (significance: *P< 0.05, **P< 0.01, ***P < 0.005).

C. Effect of UV-B on cotyledon expansion.

Cotyledon areas of seedlings irradiated with constant WL supplemented with (UV-B) or without (no UV-B) UV-B were measured and relative cotyledon areas (UV-B/no UV-B) are plotted here. Each measurement was repeated 3 times; error bars represent standard error of the mean. Lines: Col= Columbia wild type; uvr8 = uvr8-6 mutant, ProUVR8= ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8; ProML1= ProML1:YFP-UVR8; ProCAB3= ProCAB3:YFP-UVR8; ProSUC2= ProSUC2:YFP-UVR8. Each transgene is expressed in the uvr8-6 background. Asterisks mark lines that display significant differences as compared with the uvr8 mutant line calculated by the Student’s t-test (significance: *P< 0.05, **P< 0.01, ***P < 0.005).
Figure 3
UV-B induction of *ProHY5:HY5-GFP* in the cotyledon cells of transgenic lines expressing YFP-UVR8 in different tissues.

*ProHY5:HY5-GFP* was introduced into transgenic *uvr8* lines expressing *ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8* (UVR8), *ProML1:YFP-UVR8* (ML1) or *ProCAB3:YFP-UVR8* (CAB3). Localization of the HY5-GFP fusion protein was monitored by CLSM in the epidermis and mesophyll cells of the cotyledon of 7-day-old seedlings irradiated with constant WL supplemented with UV-B (+UV-B) or not supplemented (-UV-B).

Identical microscope settings were used to allow determination of the difference between the visual signals of the +UV-B and –UV-B image pairs. White arrows mark the positions of selected nuclei in the epidermis; yellow arrows indicate nuclei in the mesophyll. Scale bar = 50 µm.
Figure 4
UV-B induction of ProELIP2:GUS-GFP-NLS in the cotyledon cells of transgenic lines expressing YFP-UVR8 in different tissues.

ProELIP2:GUS-GFP-NLS was introduced into transgenic uvr8-6 lines expressing ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8 (UVR8), ProML1:YFP-UVR8 (ML1) or ProCAB3:YFP-UVR8 (CAB3). Localization of the GUS-GFP-NLS fusion protein was monitored by CLSM in the epidermis and mesophyll cells of the cotyledon of 7-day-old seedlings irradiated with constant WL supplemented with UV-B (+UV-B) or not supplemented (-UV-B). Identical microscope settings were used to allow determination of the difference between the visual signals of the +UV-B and –UV-B image pairs. White arrows mark the positions of selected nuclei in the epidermis, yellow arrows indicate nuclei in the mesophyll. Scale bar = 50 µm.

Figure 5

UV-B-induced flavonoid accumulation in the epidermis is regulated by UVR8 localised in both the epidermis and mesophyll cells.

3-day-old seedlings were grown under WL supplemented with weak UV-B for 4 days and were covered with WG305 (+UV-B) or with WG385 (-UV-B) filter. After
incubation with DPBA, flavonoids were visualized (green colour) using CLSM. All images were taken using the same microscope settings. The focal plane was set to the bottom zone of the adaxial epidermis, where the highest signal was obtained (see bottom panel). Scale bar = 50 µm.

Figure 6

Effect of YFP-UVR8 expressed in different tissues of adult Arabidopsis plants

A,B. Phenotypic characterization of adult plants grown under white light supplemented with UV-B.
A. Phenotypic characterization of 7-week-old Arabidopsis plants grown under white light (WL, 120 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}), WL plus UV-B at 2 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} or WL plus UV-B at 12 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} in short-day conditions. Scale bar: 5 cm.

B. Rosette diameter of 7-week-old plants grown as described above. Bars represent the average values calculated from three independent experiments. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean.

C. Chlorophyll content of UV-B irradiated adult plants.

Chlorophyll levels were determined from 7-week-old plants grown under white light or white light supplemented with UV-B (1.5 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}) under short day conditions. Chl (mg/g) represents total chlorophyll content (mg/g fresh weight). Five plants were used as biological replicates for each line and light treatment. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean. Asterisks indicate values that are significantly different from WL treatment in the same genotype (Student’s t-test, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.005). NS, no significance.
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Table S1

**Complementation of uvr8 phenotype by different transgenes.**

This table summarizes the results obtained from different phenotype analyses. Crosses mark the level of uvr8 mutant complementation. Wild type (Col) plants show fully extended responses (+++) whereas uvr8 mutant shows no UVR8-specific responses in the assays (-). The first column also indicates the tissue types where the YFP-UVR8 was observed by CLSM.
Figure S1

Full spectra of the applied light in the GroBank growth chambers

A. White light only.

B. White light supplemented with UV-B.
Figure S2
Detection of YFP-UVR8 in the cotyledon.
Localization of YFP-UVR8 fusion protein in uvr8-6 mutant seedlings expressing ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8, or ProML1:YFP-UVR8 or ProCAB3:YFP-UVR8 or ProSUC2:YFP-UVR8 was monitored by confocal laser scanning microscopy in the cotyledons of seedlings grown for 4 days in constant white light supplemented with UV-B. Images were obtained using identical microscope settings and YFP-specific signal is presented. White arrows mark positions of selected nuclei in the epidermis, yellow arrows point at nuclei in the mesophyll whereas red arrows indicate nuclei/cells in the vasculature.
Figure S3
Detection of YFP-UVR8 in the upper part of the hypocotyl.
A. Focus was set to the epidermis and subepidermis.
B. Focus was set to the subepidermis and vasculature.
Localization of the YFP-UVR8 fusion protein was monitored by CLSM in the upper part of the hypocotyls of seedlings grown for 4 days in constant white light supplemented with UV-B. Images were obtained using identical microscope settings, with the exception of images taken of the ProSUC2:YFP-UVR8 line which is presented with enhanced signal for better visibility. White arrows mark positions of selected nuclei in the epidermis, yellow arrows point at nuclei in the mesophyll whereas red arrows indicate nuclei/cells in the vasculature.
Figure S4
Detection of YFP-UVR8 in the lower part of the hypocotyl.
A. Focus was set to the epidermis and subepidermis.
B. Focus was set to the subepidermis and vasculature.
Localization of the YFP-UVR8 fusion protein was monitored by CLSM in the lower part of the hypocotyls grown for 4 days in constant white light supplemented with UV-B. Images were obtained using identical microscope settings. White arrows mark positions of selected nuclei in the epidermis; yellow arrows point at nuclei in the mesophyll whereas red arrows indicate nuclei/cells in the vasculature.
Figure S5

Determination of YFP-UVR8 accumulation in certain tissues.

Nuclear YFP-UVR8 signal was determined using confocal laser scanning microscopy. *uvr8-6* seedlings expressing (A) *ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8* (UVR8) and *ProML1:YFP-UVR8* (ML1) transgenes in the epidermal cell layer of the cotyledon (B) *ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8* (UVR8) and *ProCAB3:YFP-UVR8* (CAB3) *ProSUC2:YFP-UVR8* (SUC2) transgenes in the subepidermal cell layer of cotyledon were examined using identical microscope settings. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean (n>60).
Figure S6

UV-B induction of ProHY5:GUS-GFP-NLS in the cotyledon cells of transgenic lines expressing YFP-UVR8 in different tissues.

ProHY5:GUS-GFP-NLS was introduced into transgenic uvr8-6 lines expressing ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8 (UVR8) ProML1:YFP-UVR8 (ML1) or ProCAB3:YFP-UVR8 (CAB3). Localization of the GUS-GFP-NLS fusion protein was monitored by confocal laser scanning microscopy in the epidermis and mesophyll cells of the cotyledon of 7-day-old seedlings irradiated with constant WL supplemented with (+UV-B) or without (-UV-B) UV-B (1.5 µmol m\(^{-2}\) sec\(^{-1}\)). Identical microscope settings were used to allow the visualisation signal difference between the +UV-B and –UV-B image pairs. White arrows mark positions of selected nuclei in the epidermis; yellow arrows indicate nuclei in the mesophyll. Scale bar = 50 µm.
Figure S7

The UV-B-specific messenger accumulation of \textit{ELIP2} does whereas the accumulation of \textit{PRR9} does not depend on \textit{HY5}.

7-day-old seedlings were irradiated with a 90 min WL pulse mixed with UV-B. Half of the seedlings were covered with WG305 filter (UV-B), the other half was covered with WG385 filter (no UV-B). After the irradiation, seedlings were collected and transcript level of \textit{ELIP2} (A) and \textit{PRR9} (B) was determined using quantitative RT-PCR. The relative transcript level (UV-B/no UV-B) is plotted in the figure. \textit{Ws=} Wassilewskaya wild type; \textit{uvr8=} uvr8-7 mutant; \textit{hy5=} hy5-ks50 mutant (both in Ws ecotype).
Figure S8

UVB induction of ProPRR9:GUS-GFP-NLS in the cotyledon cells of transgenic lines expressing YFP-UVR8 in different tissues.

ProPRR9:GUS-GFP-NLS was introduced into transgenic uvr8-6 lines expressing ProUVR8:YFP-UVR8 (UVR8) ProML1:YFP-UVR8 (ML1) or ProCAB3:YFP-UVR8 (CAB3). Localization of the GUS-GFP-NLS fusion protein was monitored by CLSM in the epidermis and mesophyll cells of the cotyledon of 7-day-old seedlings irradiated with constant WL supplemented with (+UV-B) or without UV-B (-UV-B). Identical microscope settings were used to allow the visualisation signal difference between the +UV-B and –UV-B image pairs. White arrows mark positions of selected nuclei in the epidermis, yellow arrows indicate nuclei in the mesophyll. Scale bar = 75 µm.
Figure S9

**Determination of endogenous and YFP-UVR8 protein levels in adult plants.**

Total protein extract was isolated from 7-week-old seedlings grown under short day conditions in the greenhouse. Proteins were separated by gel electrophoresis blotted onto a membrane and hybridized with anti-UVR8-specific antibody (anti-UVR8). The blot was reprobed with anti-ACTIN antibody to check the even loading.