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Eye-tracking-based assessment of cognitive function in low-resource settings
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ABSTRACT

Background Early development of neurocognitive functions in infants can be compromised by poverty, malnutrition and lack of adequate stimulation. Optimal management of neurodevelopmental problems in infants requires assessment tools that can be used early in life, and are objective and applicable across economic, cultural and educational settings.

Objective and design The present study examined the feasibility of infrared eye tracking as a novel and highly automated technique for assessing visual-orienting and sequence-learning abilities as well as attention to facial expressions in young (9-month-old) infants. Techniques piloted in a high-resource laboratory setting in Finland (N=39) were subsequently field-tested in a community health centre in rural Malawi (N=40).

Results Parents’ perception of the acceptability of the method (Finland 95%, Malawi 92%) and percentages of infants completing the whole eye-tracking test (Finland 95%, Malawi 90%) were high, and percentages of valid test trials (Finland 69–85%, Malawi 68–73%) satisfactory at both sites. Test completion rates were slightly higher for eye tracking (90%) than traditional observational tests (87%) in Malawi. The predicted response pattern indicative of specific cognitive function was replicated in Malawi, but Malawian infants exhibited lower response rates and slower processing speed across tasks.

Conclusions High test completion rates and the replication of the predicted test patterns in a novel environment in Malawi support the feasibility of eye tracking as a technique for assessing infant development in low-resource setting. Further research is needed to test–retest stability and predictive validity of the eye-tracking scores in low-income settings.

INTRODUCTION

Human brain development is highly dependent on access to ‘optimal’ environment during the first years of life and can be compromised in children born in settings with scarce access to nutrition and cognitive stimulation. To understand the prevalence and burden of children’s neurodevelopmental problems in such settings, it is critical to develop assessment techniques that are applicable across economic, cultural and educational contexts, and allow for early identification of children at risk for long-term cognitive deficits.

Existing techniques for assessing early cognitive development in infants are limited by the requirement for manual test administration and subjective judgements of infant behaviour. As such, the tests are time consuming, error prone and difficult to standardise for widescale use. The tests have also been criticised for a lack of sensitivity to specific neurocognitive processes. Because of these limitations, there is interest in the potential utility of alternative technologies, such as automated tracking of infants’ eye movements in assessing early cognitive development.

Automated eye-tracking-based tests have been developed for assessing infants’ visual acuity, visuospatial orienting (gaze shifts to novel stimuli), and attention to salient social cues, such as faces. These cognitive processes provide a ‘building block’ for the development of more advanced cognitive and social skills and, as such, can provide useful markers of early cognitive development in infants. For example, tests assessing the speed of visuospatial orienting at 3.5 or 7 months of age predict cognitive performance later in childhood, as assessed by standardised IQ tests at age 4 or tests of executive function at age 11. Similarly, tests assessing infants’ attentional bias for faces at 7 months predict socio-emotional development at the age of 14 months.

Eye-tracking technologies have been extensively used in Europe, North America and Japan, but there is a paucity of studies using this method in low-income countries. Cultural differences, such as unfamiliarity with the concept of infant cognitive testing, lack of experience of screen-based stimuli and test settings, could interfere with the method being successfully implemented in low-income settings.

We examined the feasibility of eye tracking as a novel technique for assessing infants’ cognitive function in low-resource settings. We created a
battery of tests that have been previously used in high-resource settings, piloted the test battery in a high-resource laboratory in Finland and subsequently tested it in a rural low-income environment in Malawi. As a direct test of feasibility, we compared the two test sites for (1) parents’ perception of the acceptability of the method, (2) test completion rates and (3) patterns of infants’ test responses. Similar comparisons were conducted for traditional observational assessments of infant cognition. For the eye-tracking method to be defined as feasible, we expected (1) that most parents accepted the method in Finland and Malawi, and test completion rates in Finland and Malawi were comparable and within the range reported in previous studies (82–100% for test completion rates and 72–86% for percentage of valid trials); (2) a replication of the predicted pattern of test responses in Malawian infants, (ie, active visual search of a target and sensitivity to visual interference, anticipatory saccades and perceptual learning, and heightened attention to facial expressions) and (3) similarity of the feasibility indices for eye-tracking and observational tests.

METHOD
Participants
Based on previous studies, a sample size of 30–40 infants per group was expected to be sufficient for testing feasibility and detecting group differences. To be included in the study, the child’s biological mother had to come to the study site and speak the local language fluently. Exclusion criteria included preterm birth (<37 gestational weeks), low birth weight (<2500 g) and known visual impairment, neurological disorder or congenital malformation, based on parent report. The Finnish sample was recruited by sending invitations to families with a <9-month-old infant in Tampere city area (population 222 500). Families indicating interest to participate were contacted by phone and screened for inclusion and exclusion criteria. The Malawian sample was recruited by providing verbal information about the study to village chiefs and community advisers in the Lungwena health centre area (approximate population 30 000). If a mother indicated interest in participating, her child was screened for appropriate age and an appointment to the health centre was made for further screening and determination of eligibility for enrolment in the study.

Each study visit took 1–1.5 hours to complete. Finnish participants received a T-shirt worth ~£5 for participating and Malawian participants a compensation for travel cost and 1 kg of rice (~€0.75). The study protocol was approved by the ethics committees of the College of Medicine, Malawi, Pirkanmaa Hospital District and University of Tampere, Finland. Written informed consent was obtained from the participants’ biological mother.

Assessment of infants’ cognitive and social function
Eye tracking
Eye-tracking assessment took place in a quiet and dimly lit room (figure 1). The infants were seated on their mother’s lap at an ~60 cm viewing distance in front of a 22-inch monitor and a Tobii X2-60 eye tracker (Tobii Technology, Stockholm, Sweden). Three tests of early cognitive function were administered: a Visual Search task—a\(^{19}\)—assessing the ability to search for a target (a red apple) when presented alone (one-object condition), or among distractors of one kind (distractor condition), or two kinds (conjunction condition); a Switch-Task—\(^{20}\)—assessing the ability to learn to anticipate the side where a target would appear in the preswitch and postswitch phases; and a Disengagement task—\(^{22}\)—assessing the disengagement of attention from a non-face pattern or a happy or fearful face to a salient lateral stimulus.

Eye-tracking data were analysed using automated MATLAB scripts (MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts, USA) and similar criteria as those used in prior studies.\(^{13}\) For each of the three tasks, the proportion of test trials with a gaze shift to the target stimulus in a prespecified time window out of all scorable trials for the task were coded and served as a dependent variable in the analyses. A measure of the infants’ overall processing speed was created by averaging gaze shift latencies across the three tasks.

Structured observation
Traditional structured observation tests were administered to assess infants’ ability to respond to and initiate non-verbal social communication.\(^{23}\) Video records of infants’ behaviour during the test were analysed for the proportion of correct gaze following and alternating responses (see online supplementary information for details).

Mother’s perception of the acceptability of the eye tracking
Mothers were asked (1) whether they had enjoyed participating with their child in the assessment and (2) whether they would
recommend a friend to participate in the assessment with their child. The responses were marked as ‘yes’ or ‘no’.

Socio-demographics and anthropometric assessment

Descriptive data were collected by interviewing the mothers using a socio-demographic questionnaire, including questions about maternal age, parental education level and family structure. Infants’ length was measured to the nearest 1 mm by using a length board (Harpenden Infantometer, Holtain Limited, Crosswell, UK) and infant weight by using an electronic infant weighing scale (SECA 735) with reading increments of 10 g. Mid upper arm circumference (MUAC) and head circumference were measured to the nearest 1 mm with non-stretchable plastic insertion tapes. Anthropometric measurements were done in triplicate by trained personnel, and the mean of the triplicate measurements was used to calculate age-standardised and sex-standardised anthropometric indices (weight-for-age, length-for-age, weight-for-length, head circumference-for-age and MUAC-for-age Z-scores) using the WHO standards.

Statistical analysis

The samples were compared by using Pearson’s χ² tests for dichotomous variables (ie, parental acceptance measures, test completion rates and valid trials), t-tests for normally distributed continuous variables and non-parametric Mann-Whitney U tests for non-normal continuous variables.

RESULTS

A total of 39 Finnish and 40 Malawian infants were enrolled for the study in May/June 2014 (Finland) and September 2014 (Malawi). Three of the Malawian infants did not participate in eye tracking because their mothers opted out (n=2) or because the assessments could not be conducted (n=1; fussy infant). No data were obtained from these participants, but parental acceptance rates were calculated by including the two mothers who opted out, and test completion rates by including the infant who was not assessed due to fussiness (ie, on an intent-to-test basis). All other statistics, including sample descriptives (table 1), percentages of valid test trials (table 2) and test scores (table 3), are reported for participants assessed with eye tracking. The Finnish and Malawian infants assessed with eye tracking did not differ in sex ratios or age, but significant group differences were found in socio-demographic and anthropometric variables (table 1).

Feasibility of the eye-tracking method

In total, 95% (N=37) of the Finnish mothers and 92% (N=36) of the Malawian mothers reported that they enjoyed participating in the eye-tracking assessment with their child, and 100% (N=39) of Finnish and 95% (N=37) of Malawian mothers stated they would recommend a friend to participate with their child. And 95% (N=37) of the Finnish and 89.5% of the Malawian (N=34) infants completed the whole test battery (~15–25 min of testing). For five infants, the assessment was terminated because the infant became too tired/inattentive (Finnish: n=2; Malawian: n=1), a discontinuation in electricity supply (Malawan: n=1) or the infant reacted negatively to the stimuli in one task (Malawan: n=1). These five infants were still able to provide sufficient number of valid trials to meet the inclusion criteria for most of the eye-tracking tasks.

The completion rates for the eye-tracking tasks were slightly higher in Finland than in Malawi, although none of the differences were significant (p values=0.073–0.377, table 2). Finnish children had a significantly higher proportion of valid trials for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Description of the sex, age, socio-demographic and anthropometric measures of infants who were assessed with the eye-tracking tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Malawian infants (n=37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Females, N and percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age, days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-demographics</td>
<td>Mothers’ years of education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fathers’ years of education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mothers’ age, years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of children in the household</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of people in the household</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child anthropometrics</td>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Length, cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MUAC, cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Head circumference, cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight-for-age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight-for-length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Length-for-age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Head circumference-for-age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MUAC-for-age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Means were compared with t-tests, percentage differences and nominal data were compared with χ²; †Mean (SD) all such values. MUAC, mid upper arm circumference.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Test completion rates (number of participants completing the assessments), the overall number and percentage of valid trials, and the median and IQR for the number of valid trials per child for the eye-tracking tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Malawi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye tracking</td>
<td>Overall completion rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visual search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid trials per child</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switch task</td>
<td>Completion rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid trials per child</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disengagement</td>
<td>Completion rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid trials per child</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structured observation</td>
<td>Gaze following</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid trials per child</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alternating gaze</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid trials per child</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Proportions were compared with Pearson’s χ² tests and median trials per child with Mann-Whitney U tests. |
We examined the feasibility of eye tracking in socioeconomically different settings (p<0.03, table 3), had slightly lower increase in anticipatory saccades for stimuli presented repeatedly early with increasing level of visual distraction (indicating sensitivity to social signals22 in infants in adverse environments, we are reluctant to make a strong case for this interpretation at this point given that a variety of alternative explanations cannot be ruled out (eg, familiarity with electronic displays), and longitudinal data on cognitive outcomes were not available. The differences were found in the eye-tracking-based assessment, but not in the more naturalistic structured observations. Eye tracking may be more sensitive to subtle differences in cognitive performance between the two groups or may be capturing different aspects of cognitive function than the observational tasks, but, again, the possibility that Malawian infants are simply less familiar (and therefore more disadvantaged) in perceiving and responding to stimuli on digital displays compared with more naturalistic settings cannot be ruled out.

Our study did not have adequate power to detect small to medium differences (Cohen’s d<0.8) in feasibility indices between the sites. It is unlikely, however, that more subtle differences not detected in this study would create major challenges for the implementation of the test. The current study was also limited to one low-income setting. Whereas we consider it unlikely that the implementation of eye tracking would be markedly different in other low-income settings, such heterogeneity cannot be ruled out. These limitations notwithstanding, the present study provides a demonstration of the feasibility of eye tracking in low-resource setting and motivates further studies to examine individual variations in eye-tracking test scores, their psychometric properties and, ultimately, predictive value in low-income settings.
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