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Genome-wide association study implicates immune activation of multiple integrin genes in inflammatory bowel disease
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Genetic association studies have identified 215 risk loci for inflammatory bowel disease\textsuperscript{1-8}, which have revealed fundamental aspects of its molecular biology. We performed a genome-wide association study of 25,305 individuals, and meta-analyzed with published summary statistics, yielding a total sample size of 59,957 subjects. We identified 25 new loci, three of which contain integrin genes that encode proteins in pathways identified as important therapeutic targets in inflammatory bowel disease. The associated variants are correlated with expression changes in response to immune stimulus at two of these genes (\textit{ITGA4}, \textit{ITGB8}) and at previously implicated loci (\textit{ITGAL}, \textit{ICAM1}). In all four cases, the expression increasing allele also increases disease risk. We also identified likely causal missense variants in the primary immune deficiency gene \textit{PLCG2} and a negative regulator of inflammation, \textit{SLAMF8}. Our results demonstrate that new common variant associations continue to identify genes relevant to therapeutic target identification and prioritization.

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a chronic, debilitating, disorder of the gastrointestinal tract that includes two common disease subtypes, Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis. Disease pathogenesis is poorly understood but is likely driven by a dysregulated immune response to unknown environmental triggers in genetically susceptible individuals. Treatment regimes often use potent immunomodulators to achieve and maintain remission of symptoms. However, patients commonly experience side effects, lose response to treatment, or develop complications of IBD, with many ultimately requiring major abdominal surgery. Previous genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and targeted follow-up using the Immunochip have been very successful at identifying genetic risk loci for IBD, but increased biological understanding has not yet had a significant impact on therapy for these disorders.

In order to further expand our understanding of the biology of these disorders we carried out a GWAS of 12,160 IBD cases and 13,145 population controls of European ancestry that had not been included in any genome-wide meta-analysis of IBD to date (Supplementary Table 1, Online Methods). We imputed genotypes using a reference panel comprising whole genome sequences from 4,686 IBD cases\textsuperscript{9} and 6,285 publically available population controls\textsuperscript{10,11}. Following quality control (Online Methods) we tested 9.7 million sites for association. At the 232 IBD associated SNPs in the latest meta-analysis by the International IBD Genetics Consortium\textsuperscript{1}, 228 had effects in the
same direction in our data, 188 showed at least nominal evidence of replication (P<0.05) and none showed significant evidence of heterogeneity of effect by Cochrane’s Q test. Among these replicated loci was a genome-wide significant association on chromosome 10q25 that was only previously significantly associated with Crohn’s disease in individuals of East Asian ancestry\(^3,7\), further supporting near complete sharing of genetic risk loci across populations\(^1\). We meta-analyzed our new GWAS data with previously published summary statistics from 12,882 IBD cases and 21,770 population controls imputed using the 1000 Genomes Project reference panel\(^1\) (Supplementary Figures 1-3, Supplementary Table 2). We observed inflation of the summary statistics (\(\lambda_{GC} = 1.23\) and 1.29 for Crohn’s and ulcerative colitis, respectively), but LD score regression demonstrated that this was due to broad polygenic signal, rather than confounding population substructure (both intercepts = 1.09, Online Methods).

We identified 25 new loci at genome-wide significance (Table 1). In order to identify causal variants, genes and mechanisms, we performed a summary-statistic fine-mapping analysis on these loci, as well as 40 previously discovered loci that were genome-wide significant in our data but where fine-mapping had not yet been attempted\(^12\) (Online Methods, Supplementary Table 3). In order to be confident about fine-mapping inferences, we restricted subsequent analyses to 12 signals where we had high quality imputed data for all relevant variants (Online Methods). At 6 of these 12 loci we identified a single variant with >50% probability of being causal (Table 2, Supplementary Figures 4-6). Among these were two loci where a single variant had >99% probability of being causal: a missense variant predicted to affect protein function in \textit{SLAMF8}, (rs34687326, p.Gly99Ser, Figure 1a), and an intronic variant in the key regulator of Th17 cell differentiation, \textit{RORC}\(^13\). \textit{SLAMF8} is a cell surface receptor that is expressed on activated myeloid cells and has been reported to negatively regulate inflammatory responses by inhibiting their migration to sites of inflammation\(^14\) and repressing their production of reactive oxygen species (ROS)\(^15\). This, together with the observation that the risk-decreasing allele (MAF=0.1) is predicted to affect protein function (CADD=32.0, 92\(^{th}\) percentile of missense variants)\(^16\), suggests further experiments evaluating a possible gain-of-function mechanism may be worthwhile. \textit{RORC} encodes ROR\(\gamma\)t, the master transcriptional regulator of Th17 cells\(^13\) and group 3 innate lymphoid cells\(^17\). Both of these cell types play important roles in defence at mucosal surfaces, especially in the intestine, and have been shown to contribute to the homeostasis between the intestinal immune system and gut.
microbiota\textsuperscript{18,19}, an equilibrium that is known to be lost in inflammatory bowel disease\textsuperscript{20}. Pharmacologic inhibition of ROR\gamma\textsubscript{t} has been shown to offer therapeutic benefit in mouse models of intestinal inflammation, and reduces the frequency of Th17 cells isolated from primary intestinal samples of IBD patients\textsuperscript{21}. In loci where fine-mapping was less clearly resolved, we searched for likely functional variants, observing a missense variant (CADD=16.5, 50.2\% probability of causality) in PLCG2. Furthermore, after conditioning on this variant, we discovered a second, independent, likely functional (CADD=34.0, 74.6\% probability of causality) missense variant in the same gene (P=2x10\textsuperscript{-8}). PLCG2 encodes a phospholipase enzyme that plays a critical role in regulating immune pathway signalling\textsuperscript{22}, and has previously been implicated in two autosomal dominant immune disorders. Intragenic deletions in its autoinhibitory domain cause antibody deficiency and immune dysregulation (familial cold autoinflammatory syndrome 3, MIM 614468)\textsuperscript{23} and heterozygous missense variants (e.g. p.Ser707Tyr) lead to a phenotype that includes intestinal inflammation\textsuperscript{24} (Figure 1b).

A more general overlap between candidate IBD GWAS genes and Mendelian disorders of inflammation and immunity has been previously observed in 163 loci discovered at that time\textsuperscript{25}. We replicated this finding in our list of 241 loci (p < 10\textsuperscript{-6}, Supplementary Table 4), and observed that this enrichment is even stronger when considering just the 26 loci where a gene can be confidently implicated by fine-mapping to a coding variant or colocalisation with an eQTL (27\% vs 3\%, p=2x10\textsuperscript{-5}). In addition to PLCG2 we identified an association between Crohn’s disease and an intronic variant in NCF4 (P=1.76x10\textsuperscript{-8}). This gene encodes p40phox, a component of the NADPH-oxidase system that is responsible for the oxidative burst in innate immune cells and which is a key mechanism of killing phagocytosed bacteria. Rare pathogenic variants in NCF4 cause autosomal recessive chronic granulomatous disease, characterized by Crohn’s disease-like intestinal inflammation and defective ROS production in neutrophils\textsuperscript{26}. Our associated variant, rs4821544, had previously been suggestively associated with small bowel Crohn’s disease\textsuperscript{27,28}, and when we stratified patients by disease location we found that the effect was consistently stronger for small bowel compared to large bowel disease (Supplementary Figure 7).

Among the remaining 21 novel loci we noted three that were within 150kb of integrin genes (ITGA4, ITGAV and ITGB8), while a previously associated locus overlaps with a fourth integrin, ITGAL.
Furthermore, a recent study demonstrated that there is an IBD specific association that affects expression of ICAM1, which encodes the binding partner of ITGAL. Integrins are cell adhesion mediators with bi-directional signalling capabilities that play a crucial role in leukocyte homing and cell differentiation in inflammation and cancer. Given the strong candidacy of these genes, we sought potentially causal molecular mechanisms that would connect the IBD associated SNPs to integrin regulation. Our fine-mapping analysis excluded the possibility that these associations are caused by protein-coding changes, so we next tested for effects of IBD risk SNPs on integrin gene expression in immune cells using twelve publicly available eQTL datasets. While many eQTLs and GWAS signals show some degree of correlation, inferences about causality require more robust statistical co-localization of the two signals. Remarkably, we observed three of our five associations had >90% probability of being driven by the same variants as monocyte-specific stimulus response eQTLs (ITGA4, \(P_{\text{LPS}_{24hr}}=0.984\); ITGAL, \(P_{\text{LPS}_{24hr}}=0.980\); ICAM1, \(P_{\text{LPS}_{24hr}}=0.961\). Supplementary Table 5). A fourth association, ITGB8, is difficult to map due to extended linkage disequilibrium in the locus, but shows intermediate evidence of co-localization (\(P_{\text{LPS}_{24hr}}=0.712\)) in response to the same stimulus (Figure 2). All four of the IBD risk increasing alleles upregulate expression of their respective genes, suggesting that increased levels of pro-inflammatory cell surface markers in response to stimulus may be a consistent mechanism of action. Proving this hypothesis would require showing that IBD risk alleles causally change stimulus-response expression (e.g. by targeted editing of each allele in cell lines homozygous for the low risk haplotype), and moreover that such changes have physiological relevance to disease processes.

One line of evidence that supports such disease relevance for integrins and their counter-receptors is their recent emergence as important therapeutic targets in IBD. Most promisingly, the monoclonal antibodies vedolizumab and etrolizumab, which target the components of the α4β7 dimer (encoded by ITGA4 and ITGB7, and responsible for the gut-homing specificity of certain leukocytes), have demonstrated efficacy in IBD. Additionally, an antisense oligonucleotide targeting ICAM1 has shown promise in the treatment of ulcerative colitis and pouchitis. The importance of gut-selectivity for therapeutic approaches is highlighted by the antibodies that bind the αL and α4 integrin subunits (encoded by ITGAL and ITGA4, respectively). Therapies targeting αL (efalizumab) and α4 (natalizumab) demonstrated potential in Crohn’s disease, but both medications have been associated with progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML). This potentially fatal condition...
is likely mediated by binding to integrin dimers that are not gut-specific, leading to impaired
leukocyte migration to the central nervous system and JC virus infection of the brain. Owing to the
risk of PML, efalizumab has been withdrawn from the market and natalizumab is not licensed for
Crohn’s disease in Europe.

Integrins are not only important in cell trafficking, but can also participate in cellular signalling. For
example, the αVβ8 heterodimer – both subunits of which are encoded by genes which are now
within confirmed IBD loci (ITGAV and ITGB8, respectively) – is a potent activator of TGFβ, with a
range of cell-type specific effects. Furthermore, mice with dendritic-cell specific deletion of this
complex had impaired regulatory T cell function and severe colitis, whereas deleting the complex in
regulatory T cells themselves prevented them from suppressing pathogenic T cell responses during
active inflammation. While no current IBD therapeutics target αVβ8 directly, promising early results
of an oral antisense oligonucleotide to the inhibitory TGFβ-signalling protein SMAD7, itself
encoded by a locus identified by genetic association studies, demonstrate the therapeutic potential
of modifying TGFβ signaling in Crohn’s disease.

In addition to the connections to anti-integrin and anti-TGFβ therapies described above, IBD GWAS
have previously implicated loci containing other therapeutically relevant genes, such as those in
signalling pathways relevant to the targets of anti-TNF and anti-p40 IBD therapies (Figure 3,
Supplementary Table 6). These discoveries have demonstrated that the importance of the biological
pathways underlying associations, and their potential therapeutic relevance, are not necessarily
reflected in their GWAS effect sizes. For example, the modest odds ratios of the signals near integrin
genes (1.10-1.12) required tens of thousands of samples to detect at genome-wide significance.
Furthermore, analyses aimed at understanding the specific cellular contexts in which these genes
are active in IBD, as well as the risk-increasing direction of effect (e.g. consistent up-regulation of
integrins in response to LPS stimulus), are only beginning to bear fruit.

Our study has demonstrated that continuing to pursue GWAS, even in a well studied complex
disease like IBD, has the potential to complement other powerful approaches, such as targeted
genotyping (via the Immunochip) and large-scale genome and exome sequencing. In two cases we
have implicated genes in which different variants have previously been shown to cause immune-
related Mendelian disorders, echoing a connection made to the very first Crohn’s disease risk gene,
NOD2, in which rare missense mutations cause the autosomal dominant granulomatous disorder Blau syndrome. Finally, while the individual effect sizes of our newly discovered associations are modest, our results show that GWAS continues to deliver new loci, which help understand many aspects of disease biology, including possible mechanisms of known therapies. For example, four IBD associations that plausibly co-localize with changes in integrin expression underscore the value of comprehensive catalogs of the regulatory consequences of GWAS variants in specific cells and contexts. Even when specific genes are implicated, cellular assays with relevance to disease physiology (for example, protein response to bacterial stimulus in colonic organoids) will be needed to achieve the ultimate payoff from prospectively mining these signals for promising targets for new therapeutics.
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Figure legends

Figure 1. Likely causal missense variants. For A) SLAMF8 and B) PLCG2, local association results are plotted with point size corresponding to LD to our lead variant and color to fine-mapping probability (purple > 50%, intermediate blue 10-50%, navy blue <10%). Gene body diagrams and protein domain annotations are taken from ENSEMBL, and partial predicted crystal structures for both proteins are obtained from the SWISS-MODEL repository.

Figure 2. Co-localization of disease association and stimulus response eQTLs in monocytes. The local pattern of disease association (IBD: (A) ITGA4, (B) ITGB8, (C) ICAM1; (D) UC: ITGAL) in grey, and the association of that variant with response to LPS (lipopolysaccharide) stimulation in red. Evidence of co-localization (probability > 70%) is observed for all for signals.

Figure 3. IBD-associated loci containing genes in immune pathways related to classes of approved therapeutics. All IBD loci are divided into the studies where they were first identified\textsuperscript{1}. Loci that contain a gene in one of four signalling pathways related to targets of three classes of approved IBD therapeutics (Online Methods) are highlighted, with those where the pathway gene has been confidently identified as the causal IBD gene labelled. Despite the general pattern that effect size decreases from left to right, therapeutically relevant associations continue to be found.
### Table 1. Novel IBD-associated loci.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rsid</th>
<th>Chr</th>
<th>Position bp</th>
<th>Left - right Mb</th>
<th>Risk Allele</th>
<th>No - risk Allele</th>
<th>Risk Allele Frequency in 1000 Genomes CEU+GBR</th>
<th>P_allele</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Implicated gene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs34687326</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>159799910</td>
<td>159.80 - 159.80</td>
<td>G A</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>1.06 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.12 - 1.24</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>SLAMF8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs59043219</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>209970610</td>
<td>209.97 - 210.02</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>1.09 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.05 - 1.10</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs740647</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>182308352</td>
<td>182.31 - 182.33</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>1.22 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.07 - 1.12</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>ITGAA3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs11548656</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>187576378</td>
<td>187.50 - 187.68</td>
<td>A AT</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>1.29 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.08 - 1.16</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1811711</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>228670476</td>
<td>228.67 - 228.67</td>
<td>C G</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>6.09 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.10 - 1.18</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs75527535</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>242484701</td>
<td>242.47 - 242.49</td>
<td>C T</td>
<td>0.745</td>
<td>2.87 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.06 - 1.12</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2581828</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>53133149</td>
<td>53.10 - 53.17</td>
<td>C G</td>
<td>0.597</td>
<td>6.46 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.07 - 1.13</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2593855</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>71175495</td>
<td>71.16 - 71.19</td>
<td>C T</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>2.54 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.06 - 1.11</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs503734</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>101023748</td>
<td>100.91 - 101.27</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td>2.67 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.05 - 1.10</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs6116661</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>188401160</td>
<td>188.40 - 188.40</td>
<td>C T</td>
<td>0.795</td>
<td>5.67 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.10 - 1.18</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs11734570</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>38588453</td>
<td>38.58 - 38.59</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>4.80 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.05 - 1.10</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs17656349</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>149605994</td>
<td>149.59 - 149.63</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>1.54 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.06 - 1.13</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs133986290</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19781099</td>
<td>19.72 - 19.83</td>
<td>C T</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>7.59 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.25 - 1.46</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs67289879</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42007403</td>
<td>42.00 - 42.01</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>3.04 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.06 - 1.13</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs11763865</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6545188</td>
<td>6.50 - 6.55</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>3.88 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.06 - 1.12</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs149169037</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20577298</td>
<td>20.58 - 20.58</td>
<td>G A</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>3.26 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.10 - 1.19</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>ITGAA3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs243505</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>148435338</td>
<td>148.40 - 148.58</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>3.04 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.06 - 1.11</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs7911117</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27179596</td>
<td>27.16 - 27.18</td>
<td>T G</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td>1.84 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.10 - 1.19</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs111456533</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>126439381</td>
<td>126.32 - 126.55</td>
<td>G A</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>1.18 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.08 - 1.14</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs80244186</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>42917861</td>
<td>42.84 - 42.94</td>
<td>C T</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>3.66 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.09 - 1.18</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs11548656</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>81916912</td>
<td>81.91 - 81.92</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>0.961</td>
<td>5.18 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.20 - 1.34</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>PLCG2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs10492862</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>82867456</td>
<td>82.87 - 82.92</td>
<td>A C</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>1.26 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.08 - 1.15</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs4256018</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6093889</td>
<td>6.08 - 6.10</td>
<td>G T</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>1.23 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.05 - 1.11</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs138788</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35729721</td>
<td>35.72 - 35.74</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>0.418</td>
<td>2.95 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.06 - 1.13</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs4821544</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>37258503</td>
<td>37.26 - 37.26</td>
<td>C T</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>1.76 x 10^-10</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.07 - 1.13</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Variants fine-mapped to >50% probability of being causal in their given signal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variant</th>
<th>Chr</th>
<th>Position (bp)</th>
<th>P_Causal</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Credible set size</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>P_Meta</th>
<th>Locus type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs34687326</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>159799910</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>SLAMF8 p.Gly99Ser (missense)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>1.06 x 10^{-38}</td>
<td>Novel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs4845604</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>151801680</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>RORC (intronic)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>7.09 x 10^{-14}</td>
<td>Known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1811711</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>228670476</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>6.09 x 10^{-39}</td>
<td>Novel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs56116661</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>188401160</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>LPP (intronic)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>5.67 x 10^{-30}</td>
<td>Novel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs11548656</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>81916912</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>PLCG2 p.His244Arg (missense)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>5.18 x 10^{-13}</td>
<td>Novel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1143687</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>81922813</td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>PLCG2 p.Arg268Trp (missense)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>3.83 x 10^{-32}</td>
<td>Novel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs4821544</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>37258503</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>NCF4 (intronic)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>1.76 x 10^{-26}</td>
<td>Novel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Online Methods

New genome-wide genetic data

GWAS samples and genotyping. Following ethical approval by Cambridge MREC (reference: 03/5/012), 11,768 British IBD cases, diagnosed using accepted endoscopic, histopathological and radiological criteria, were consented into the study and genotyped on the Human Core Exome v12.1. 10,484 population control samples genotyped on the Human Core Exome v12.0 were obtained from the Understanding Society Project. Genotypes were called using optiCall\textsuperscript{43}.

GWAS quality control. We removed variants that did not overlap between the two versions of the chip, had missingness > 5%, a significant difference in call rate between cases and controls (P < 1x10\textsuperscript{-5}), deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in controls (P < 1x10\textsuperscript{-5}), or that were affected by a genotyping batch effect (significant association [P < 1x10\textsuperscript{-5}] between an outlier group of cases discovered using principal component analysis [PC1 < -0.005], and the remainder of the samples). We then removed samples with missingness > 1%, heterozygosity ±3 standard deviations from the mean, mismatch between reported and genotypic sex, first-degree relatives or closer (kinship coefficient > 0.177), and non-European samples identified through principal component analysis with HapMap3 populations. After quality control, data were available for 4,474 Crohn’s disease, 4,173 ulcerative colitis, 592 IBD-unclassified cases and 9,500 controls for 296,203 variants.

Whole-genome sequenced samples. We generated low-coverage whole genome sequences for 4,686 IBD cases and 3,781 population controls from the UK IBD Genetics Consortium (UKIBDGC) and UK10K Consortium, respectively. Detailed information on sequencing, genotype refinement and quality control are described elsewhere\textsuperscript{9}.

Imputation. These sequences were combined with 2,504 samples from the Phase 3 v5 release of the 1000 Genomes project (2013-05-02 sequence freeze) to create a phased imputation reference panel enriched in IBD-associated variants. We used PBWT\textsuperscript{44} to impute from this reference panel (114.2 million total variants) into our new GWAS described above.
Association testing, meta-analysis, and quality control.

**Association testing.** Prior to association testing, we removed all samples that were included in previous IBD GWAS meta-analyses (Supplementary Table 1). We then tested for association to ulcerative colitis, Crohn’s disease and IBD separately within the sequenced samples and new GWAS using SNPTEST v2.5, performing an additive frequentist association test conditioned on the first ten principal components for each cohort. We filtered out variants with minor allele frequency (MAF) < 0.1%, INFO < 0.4, or strong evidence for deviations from HWE in controls ($p_{\text{HWE}}<1\times10^{-7}$).

**Meta-analysis.** We used METAL (release 2011-03-05) to perform a standard error weighted meta-analysis of our sequencing and GWAS cohorts with the publicly available International Inflammatory Bowel Disease Genetics Consortium (IIBDGC) meta-analysis summary statistics, after applying the additional MAF $\geq 0.1\%$, and INFO $\geq 0.4$ filters to the IIBDGC data.

**Quality control.** The output of the fixed-effects meta-analysis was further filtered, and sites with high evidence for heterogeneity ($I^2>0.90$) were discarded. Only sites for which all cohorts passed our quality control filters were included in our analysis. In addition, we discarded genome-wide significant variants for which the meta-analysis $p$-value was not lower than all of the cohort-specific $p$-values.

**LD score regression.** We performed LD score regression using LDSC v1.0.0 and European linkage disequilibrium (LD) scores from the 1000 Genomes Project (downloaded from https://data.broadinstitute.org/alkesgroup/LDSCORE/eur_w_ld_chr.tar.bz2) on our filtered meta-analysis summary statistics for all sites with INFO $> 0.95$. This INFO threshold is to avoid confounding due to poor imputation, as recommended by the authors.

**Locus definition**

*Computing LD windows.* An LD window was calculated for every genome-wide significant variant in any of the three traits (Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, IBD), defined by the left-most and right-most variants that are correlated with the main variant with an $r^2$ of 0.6 or more. The LD was calculated in the GBR and CEU samples from the 1000 Genomes Phase 3, release v5 (based on 20130502 sequence freeze and alignments). Loci with overlapping LD windows, as well as loci
whose lead variants were separated by 500kb or less, were subsequently merged, and the variant
with the strongest evidence of being associated was kept as the lead variant for each merged locus.

Identifying novel loci. A locus was annotated as known if it contained at least one variant previously
reported at genome-wide significance (irrespective of the LD between that variant and the most
associated variants in the locus). To ensure that putatively novel signals were not due to long-range
LD with variants in previously reported loci, we conducted conditional analysis in our new GWAS for
all variants in loci which were less than 3Mb away from a known locus. Putatively novel loci already
known in a lower order IBD trait (e.g. a previously known Crohn’s disease locus coming up as an
IBD locus) were also removed from this list. This did not apply where, for example, a known Crohn’s
disease locus was now associated with ulcerative colitis, or vice versa.

Fine-mapping

Approximate Bayes factors were calculated from the meta-analysis effect sizes and standard errors
described above by applying equation (2) of Wakefield, assuming a prior variance on the log odds
ratios of 0.04 (the default prior used by the software SNPTest, and used by Maller et al). We then
performed fine-mapping using these Bayes factors as described in Maller et al to calculate the
posterior that each variant is causal, and the 95% credible set for each association (the smallest set
of variants with posteriors that sum to at least 95%). For each association we use the meta-analysis
results for the phenotype (Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis or IBD) specified in Supplementary
Table 2. We only consider a locus to be confidently fine-mapped if there are no variants in the Phase
3 v5 release of the 1000 Genomes project (2013-05-02 sequence freeze) in high LD ($r^2 \geq 0.6$) with
our hit SNP, but missing from our dataset, and no variants in our data within high LD ($r^2 > 0.8$) that
fail during our QC procedure.

eQTL overlap

Identifying eQTL overlaps. Twelve eQTL datasets were searched to identify variants within the 25
newly identified IBD risk loci that are associated with variation in gene expression (Supplementary
Table 7). Splice-QTLs based on exon-ratio and transcript-ratio were also included in the
search where available (Supplementary Table 7). The most significant variant-gene associations
were extracted from each eQTL/splice-QTL dataset and were reported as candidates if that variant had $r^2 > 0.8$ with any of the lead SNPs in the 25 IBD risk loci.

**Testing for co-localization.** We tested for co-localization between IBD association signals and eQTLs using the coloc2 method\(^\text{52}\), implemented in the R package coloc. We used a window size of 250kb on either side of the IBD association, and implemented the default settings as recommended. Each test was repeated using two different values for the prior probability of co-localization, $p_{12}: 1 \times 10^{-5}$ and $1 \times 10^{-6}$.

**Signalling pathway definitions**

We identify the following immune pathways as relevant to classes of approved IBD therapeutics: the IL12 and IL23 signalling pathways (ustekinumab\(^\text{53}\)), the TNFa signalling pathway (infliximab\(^\text{54}\), adalimumab\(^\text{55}\)), and the integrin signalling pathway (vedolizumab\(^\text{31,32}\)). Genes involved in these pathways were identified from the Molecular Signatures Database canonical pathways gene sets (C2; [http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/msigdb/genesets.jsp?collection=CP](http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/msigdb/genesets.jsp?collection=CP)). These gene lists had been previously curated by the Pathway Interaction Database\(^\text{56}\). The integrin signalling gene list was comprised of all unique genes from the following gene sets: integrin beta1 pathway (PID_INTEGRIN1_PATHWAY), integrin beta7 pathway (PID_INTEGRIN5_PATHWAY) and integrin cell surface interactions (PID_INTEGRIN_CS_PATHWAY). The list of TNFa signalling genes was obtained from PID_TNF_PATHWAY and the list of IL-23/IL-12 p40 signalling genes was comprised of all unique genes from the PID_IL12_PATHWAY and PID_IL23_PATHWAY.
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