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A B S T R A C T
We examined whether apolipoprotein E (APOE) status interacts with vascular risk factors (VRFs) to predict the progression of white matter hyperintensities (WMHs) on brain MRI scans over a specific period of life in older age when the risk of dementia increases. At age 73 years, baseline VRFs were assessed via self-reported history of diabetes, hypertension, smoking, and hypercholesterolemia, and via objective measures of blood HbA1c, body mass index, diastolic and systolic blood pressure, and blood high-density lipoprotein to total cholesterol (HDL) ratio. APOE e4 allele was coded as either present or absent. WMH progression was measured on MRI over 3 years in 434 older adults, in a same-year-of-birth cohort. APOE e4 carriers with either a self-reported diagnosis of diabetes (β = 0.160, p = 0.002) or higher glycated hemoglobin levels (β = 0.114, p = 0.014) exhibited greater WMH progression, and the former survived correction for multiple testing. All other APOE-VRF interactions were nonsignificant (βinteraction < 0.056, p > 0.228). The results suggest that carrying the APOE “risk” e4 allele increases the risk of greater age-related WMH progression over the early part of the eighth decade of life, when combined with poorer glycemic control. The interaction effect was robust to co-occurring VRFs, suggesting a possible target for mitigating brain and cognitive aging at this age.
© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Brain white matter hyperintensities (WMHs) are a prevalent MRI feature of healthy and pathologic states in older age and are associated with important life outcomes (Debette and Markus, 2010; Kloppenborg et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2016; Longstreth et al., 1996) and vascular risk factors (VRFs) such as hypertension, diabetes, smoking, obesity, and hypercholesterolemia (de Leeuw et al., 2004; Dufouil et al., 2001; Prins and Scheltens, 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Wardlaw et al., 2014). Compared with cross-sectional WMH volumetric measures, WMH progression shows a stronger relation with important age-related functional changes (Prins and Scheltens, 2015; van Dijk et al., 2008), and it is possible that different VRFs are relevant at different ages (Zhang et al., 2015). Hence, longitudinal studies with a narrow age range are essential to identify the most pertinent VRFs at specific periods in life.

Alongside evidence of VRF-WMH associations, WMH heritability is estimated at 55%–80% (Atwood et al., 2004; Carmelli et al., 1998; Turner et al., 2004). Variation in the apolipoprotein E (APOE) gene (which delivers essential lipids to neurons and is linked to accelerated neurodegeneration Bu, 2009; Mahley and Rall, 2000), is a plausible candidate to explain some of this heritability. Possession of the e4 “risk” allele is associated with more WMHs...
and poorer white matter microstructure in older adults (Brickman et al., 2014; Heise et al., 2011) and greater cognitive decline (Bangen et al., 2013; Schiepers et al., 2012; Wisdom et al., 2011). However, APOE was not associated with cross-sectional WMH load in 2 large studies (Fornage et al., 2011; Paternoster et al., 2009). Discrepancies between heritability estimates of complex disease and the risk explained by the common genetic variants might be due to interactions with VRFs (Manolio et al., 2009). Prior examinations of APOE-VRF interactions involved cross-sectional white matter measures across participants of a broad age range, yielding inconsistent results (de Leeuw et al., 2004; Foley et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015).

The current study is the first, to our knowledge, to test the interactions between APOE e4 status and VRFs on longitudinal WMH progression.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

Members of the Lothian Birth Cohort 1936 were initially 1091 older adults, most of whom took part in the Scottish Mental Survey 1947 when aged 11 years and were living in the Edinburgh and Lothian areas of Scotland at the start of Wave 1 of testing (at ~70 years of age in 2004–2007; Deary et al., 2007, 2012). All were White Caucasian and reported no diagnosis of dementia at baseline. 488 participants attended brain MRI scans at both Waves 2 and 3 (mean ages 72.65 and 76.36 years). Of these, 434 provided blood for genotyping at Wave 1 and VRF data at Wave 2. The Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee for Scotland (MREC/01/0/56), the Scotland A Research Ethics Committee (07/MRE00/58) and the Lothian Research Ethics Committee (LREC/2003/2/29) approved use of the human subjects in this study; all participants provided written informed consent and these have been kept on file.

2.2. APOE status

Genotyping on the 2 polymorphic sites (rs429358 and rs7412) that account for the e2, e3, and e4 alleles (Wenham et al., 1991) was performed on genomic DNA, isolated from whole blood, using TaqMan technology by the Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Facility Genetics Core, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh. An exact test (Web Reference 1) confirmed that the APOE genotypes were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($p = 0.657$).

2.3. Vascular risk factors

The World Heart Federation, UK National Health Service, Framingham Heart Study, and the British Heart Foundation (Web References 2–5) overlap in their identification of diabetes, hypertension, smoking, high body mass index (BMI), and hypercholesterolemia as important VRFs and are pertinent to WMH burden in older age (de Leeuw et al., 2004; Dufouil et al., 2001; Prins and Scheltens, 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Wardlaw et al., 2014). VRFs were assessed during a cognitive and physical testing appointment at age 73 years. During a medical interview, diagnosis of diabetes, hypertension, smoking (current, ex, or never), and hypercholesterolemia were reported. Objective VRFs were BMI (weight kg/height m$^2$), pulse pressure (difference between average systolic and diastolic blood pressure, taken over 6 consecutive measurements, 3 sitting and 3 standing, from an Omron 705IT monitor), blood glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c using a Menarini HAB160 analyser), and the ratio of high-density lipoprotein to total cholesterol (HDL ratio; Milián et al., 2009).

2.4. MRI acquisition and processing

Whole brain MRI was performed on each participant at ages 73 and 76 years using the same scanning protocol in the same scanner (GE Signa Horizon 1.5 T HDx; Milwaukee, WI, USA). T1-, T2-, T2*- and FLAIR-weighted sequences were coregistered at a resolution of $1 \times 1 \times 2$ mm. A semi-automated multispectral fusion method (Valdés Hernández et al., 2010) combined these sequences to measure the intracranial and WMH volumes. WMHs were explicitly defined as punctate, focal, or diffuse lesions in all subcortical regions (Wardlaw et al., 2013). All segmented images were visually examined for accuracy on anonymized scans to correct errors and ensure that infarcts (including lacunar infarcts, $n = 3$ at baseline in this sample) and enlarged perivascular spaces were excluded from WMH masks. Full details are available in an open-access protocol (Wardlaw et al., 2011).

2.5. Statistical analysis

WMH volumes at age 73 and 76 years were expressed as a proportion of intracranial and corrected for age in days on the date of image acquisition. Residuals of the regression between log WMH volume at Waves 2 (IV) and 3 (DV) were derived to index WMH volume change ($\Delta$WMH) over a mean of 3.71 (SD = 0.27) years. Using the "sem" function in the "lavaan" package (v.0.5–22) in R v3.2.2, we used $\Delta$WMH as the dependent variable in models with VRFs and APOE status (e4 allele present or absent) as predictors, alongside an interaction term (APOE e4 status x VRF), for each VRF. We used full information maximum likelihood estimation to reduce bias due to missingness, under the assumption of “missing at random” (Rubin, 1976), including the following "auxiliary" variables (previously used to model dropout in this cohort; Ritchie et al., 2016): age 11 IQ, years of education, father’s and own social class, Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation at recruitment, forced expiratory volume over 1 second, 6-meter walk, hand grip strength (best of 6; 3 with each hand), a binary variable indicating a self-reported diagnosis of dementia or MMSE score <24 at any wave of testing ($n = 22$). In instances where interaction terms were significant, coincident VRFs were inserted as covariates. Standardized $\beta$s, corrected for false discovery rate (FDR) are reported throughout. Variance inflation factors (VIFs) among VRFs was ascertainment using "vif" in the "usdm" package for R. In a supplementary, a posteriori analysis, we further explored interactions between APOE status and both HbA1c and diabetes. We split APOE e4 and e2/e3 groups by diabetes diagnosis or high/low HbA1c level (median split at 5.59 DCCT), visualized, and tested (t-tests) group differences in WMH progression.

3. Results

Participant characteristics are shown in Table S1, and density plots of the raw and corrected measures of WMH change are shown in Fig. 1. There was a significant increase in corrected WMH volume in under 4 years ($t (865.34) = 3.685, p < 0.001$) in the total sample. Associations among the VRFs were modest (Table S2) and showed low variance inflation factor (all <1.87). Exploratory factor analysis provided no basis to extract 1 ($x^2 = 164.8, p = 8.85 \times 10^{-25}$; 18.4% of the variance) or 2 ($x^2 = 59.96, p = 5.33 \times 10^{-8}$; 27.5% of the variance) factors of general vascular risk. A total of 136 participants were APOE e4 carriers, who were not significantly different to non-e4 carriers in terms of age, cross-sectional WMH volume at either wave, male:female ratio, MMSE score at Wave 2, or VRF status. APOE e4 carriers did, however, show a significantly lower MMSE score at Wave 3 ($p = 0.020$, Table S1).

Effects of VRFs on WMH volume change—without reference to APOE—have previously been reported in this sample (Dickie et al., 2011).
The main effects are therefore reported here for illustrative purposes, but the focus of the current analyses is specifically to examine VRF-APOE interactions on WMH progression. Main effects of APOE and VRFs on WMH volume change, and their interaction, are reported in Table 1. APOE e4 carriers and those with a lower HDL ratio showed nominally greater WMH progression than non-e4 carriers ($\beta = 0.118$, $p = 0.014$ and $\beta = -0.101$, $p = 0.034$, respectively), but these did not survive FDR correction.

Participants with either a self-reported diagnosis of diabetes ($\beta = 0.160$, $p = 0.002$) or higher HbA1c ($\beta = 0.114$, $p = 0.014$) showed greater WMH progression, but only for APOE e4 carriers. Only the interaction between APOE e4 and diabetes survived FDR correction. Correcting these relationships for other, co-occurring VRFs (BMI, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia based on Table S2), did not substantially alter the interaction effects for diabetes ($\beta = 0.167$, $p < 0.001$) and HbA1c ($\beta = 0.118$, $p = 0.008$). Further group-wise analysis showed that participants with diabetes, or high HbA1c, who carried the APOE e4 allele exhibited significantly greater change in WMH volume progression over 3.7 years than all other groups (all $t$-values >2.34; Fig. 1).
4. Discussion

The present study tested whether APOE status interacted with important VRFs in contributing to WMH volume change from age 73–76 years in a large group of community-dwelling older adults with a narrow age range. Our novel results suggest that WMH growth was greater in those with diabetes and a higher HbA1c, but only in those who possessed an APOE e4 allele, though only the former interaction survived FDR correction.

The finding that APOE e4 is associated with WMH progression extends previous cross-sectional associations between APOE status and WMH volume in older age (Brickman et al., 2014; de Leeuw et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2015). Rather than being an index of the degree to which APOE status relates to accumulated WMH burden up to a specific (single) point of assessment, the current data suggest that carrying the e4 allele continues to influence the degree of WMH progression at this specific age. In isolation, diabetes was not associated with WMH progression (consistent with previous studies; Prins and Scheltens, 2015), but, importantly, we found that those with a current diagnosis of diabetes showed significantly greater WMH progression, but only if they carried the APOE e4 allele. The effect size was similar for an objective measure of glycemic control, but this finding did not survive FDR correction. These findings are consistent with prior associations between diabetes risk—including glycemic control in “pre-diabetes”—and APOE allele status for cognitive decline and dementia (Dore et al., 2009; Irie et al., 2008; Peila et al., 2002; Roriz-Filho et al., 2009). Taken together, this evidence provides support for the hypothesis that, as with other complex diseases, genetic interactions are important for understanding relationships between VRFs and WMH progression in older age, and identifying potential targets for amelioration of brain aging and dementia.

The duration, level, and success of medical intervention for VRF-control in the current sample (such as statins for hypercholesterolemia, antihypertensive, or anti-diabetic medication) are unknown, and may affect these findings. We also relied on self-report for information on a variety of clinical diagnoses. Nevertheless, the use of blood biomarkers such as HDL ratio and HbA1c allow objective measures, independent of self-report for some VRFs. More importantly, although the current total sample is relatively large, the proportion of individuals with both diabetes and the e4 allele was small, thus the specific diabetes-APOE interaction effect should be tested independently. However, the presence of the same interaction (with similar magnitude) with HbA1c might ostensibly corroborate the biological plausibility of this result. Having only 2 waves of data makes our results more susceptible to artifacts such as regression to the mean (see Nesselroade et al., 1980) and other sources of bias such as measurement error. Our use of full information maximum likelihood in this relatively large sample can only guard against these possibilities to some degree. Finally, some sample characteristics limit the confidence with which these findings can be generalized to groups of different ages, ethnicities, and pathologic states. Nevertheless, these sample characteristics can also be viewed as study strengths, as they attenuate important potential confounds of age, ethnicity, and the effect of infarcts on WMH measurement, offering valuable insights into specific age and interactions that might influence the progression of structural brain damage.

The reduction of average blood glucose levels is identified here as a potentially meaningful predictor of WMH progression in the mid-1970s among APOE e4 carriers. This work requires replication in other larger prospective imaging studies, and also at different ages to examine whether, and which, interactions contribute to life-course WMH volume changes. Furthermore, APOE is only one of the many potential genetic contributors to WMH burden in older age. Its consistent association with dementia and cognitive decline make it an obvious candidate for interaction studies, but WMH risk is likely to be polygenic (Lopez et al., 2015), and future studies should examine other genetic-VRF interactions for predicting WMH volume and progression.
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