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Metabolites of 2,3-diketogulonate delay peroxidase action and induce non-enzymic \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) generation: Potential roles in the plant cell wall

Anna Kärkönen, Rebecca A. Dewhirst, C. Logan Mackay, Stephen C. Fry

A proportion of the plant’s l-ascorbate (vitamin C) occurs in the apoplast, where it and its metabolites may act as pro-oxidants and anti-oxidants. One ascorbate metabolite is 2,3-diketogulonate (DKG), preparations of which can non-enzymically generate \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and delay peroxidase action on aromatic substrates. As DKG itself generates several by-products, we characterised these and their ability to generate \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and delay peroxidase action.

DKG preparations rapidly produced a by-product, compound (1), with \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) 271 and 251 nm at neutral and acidic pH respectively. On HPLC, (1) co-eluted with the major \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)-generating and peroxidase-delaying principle. Compound (1) was slowly destroyed by ascorbate oxidase, and was less stable at pH 6 than at pH 1. Electrophoresis of an HPLC-enriched preparation of (1) suggested a strongly acidic (pK\text{a} \approx 2.3) compound. Mass spectrometry suggested that un-ionised (1) has the formula \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{O}_5 \), i.e. it is a reduction product of DKG (\( \text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_7 \)).

In conclusion, compound (1) is the major \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)-generating, peroxidase-delaying principle formed non-enzymically from DKG in the pathway ascorbate \( \rightarrow \) dehydroascorbic acid \( \rightarrow \) DKG \( \rightarrow \) (1). We hypothesise that (1) generates apoplastic \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (and consequently hydroxyl radicals) and delays cell-wall crosslinking — both these effects favouring wall loosening, and possibly playing a role in pathogen defence.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

l-Ascorbic acid (\( \text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_6 \); vitamin C) is an important redox compound in all plants and animals. In plants, it is synthesised in the protoplasm, and a proportion of it is released into the apoplast (aqueous solution that permeates the cell wall) [11,37], where some of it is enzymatically and non-enzymically oxidised by \( \text{O}_2 \) to form monodehydroascorbate, which rapidly disproportionates into ascorbate and dehydro-l-ascorbic acid (DHA; \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{O}_6 \)). Ascorbate oxidase is well established to be a wall-localised enzyme capable of modulating the ascorbate:DHA ratio [43]. Apoplastic ascorbate and its downstream metabolites have been widely discussed as important players in protecting the plant against environmental oxidative stresses, especially ultraviolet irradiation, atmospheric ozone pollution and pathogen challenge [4,5,10,40,41,44,47,53]. Apoplastic ascorbate metabolites have also been proposed to serve roles in modulating the cell wall’s biophysical properties, especially influencing the softening of ripening fruits and the extensibility of the primary wall [1,9,12,15,25].

DHA is unstable in neutral aqueous solutions and is easily de- lactonised to 2,3-diketo-l-gulonic acid (DKG; \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{O}_7 \)) which itself converts non-enzymically to several further degradation products depending on the incubation conditions [8,26,35,46,51]. In the apoplast of cultured rose cells, a portion of the DHA is oxidised to oxalate and l-threonate (and esters thereof), some of these reactions being proposed to generate \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), and a further portion of the DHA is hydrolysed to DKG [17,18]. The balance between these two pathways (oxidation:hydrolysis ratio of DHA) is dictated by the severity of the ambient oxidising conditions [38,39]. Some
ascorbate degradation products are of interest in cell-wall physiology and in pathogen defence because of their unique redox properties.

DKG is a highly unstable compound, readily forming a wide range of by-products. Some of the many reported ascorbate degradation products, probably formed via DKG, include 2,3-enediol-DKG (C₆H₁₂O₃; the 2,3-enediol form of 2,3-diketogulono-5-lactone), 3,4-enediol-DKG (also C₆H₁₂O₃; the 3,4-enediol form of 2,3-diketogulono-5-lactone) and l-erythroascorbic acid (C₅H₇O₅), have reducing activity and might function as reducing agents in a similar way to ascorbate in vivo [26,27,35,48].

The pathway from DKG to erythroascorbate was suggested to proceed via 2,3-enediol-l-lyxose, with O₂ (or DHA if present) serving as oxidant in the conversion of 2,3-enediol-l-lyxose to erythroascorbate [19]. However, the physiological significance of erythroascorbate formation from DKG may be limited, as the process was only rapid in the presence of cyanide, 0.5 M phosphate, and a pH of 8.

De-lactonisation of DHA to DKG is often considered to be irreversible [35]. However, some formation of DHA by re-lactonisation of DKG has been demonstrated, especially at lower pH values [31]. DHA can be reduced to ascorbate when a suitable reductant is present; 3,4-enediol-DKG formed from DKG was considered to be this reductant [48]. Indeed, the concentration of 3,4-enediol-DKG rose to 10% of that of DKG after 30 min incubation of DKG at neutral pH in a nitrogen atmosphere [48].

DKG has also been reported to undergo decarboxylation to l-xylosulose (= l-xylosone) [19,32,55], from which erythroascorbate may be formed [21]. l-Xylosulose may also give rise to several strongly acidic redox compounds e.g. 2-furoic acid and the de-lactonised form of 5-methyl-3,4-dihydroxytetrone, although most of these were only formed under highly unphysiological conditions such as 0.5 M H₂SO₄ at 90 °C [26]. Non-acidic dioxo products may be formed from DKG after the loss of oxalate by hydrolysis to form l-erythrulose and subsequently 3-deoxy-l-threosulose (= 3-deoxy-l-threosone) or after the loss of oxalate by an oxidative pathway to form l-threosulose — all of which are compounds discussed as being of relevance to the ageing of animal lens proteins [34]. However, we are not aware of any of these particular dioxo products being reported to delay peroxidase action or to reduce O₂ to H₂O₂.

Additional products of DKG degradation, formed in the plant apoplast, include compounds ‘C’ and ‘E’ [17], provisionally identified as C = 2-carboxy-l-xylosonolactone plus 2-carboxy-l-lyxono lactone and E = their de-lactonised product; C and E are interconvertible, but otherwise relatively stable both in vivo and in vitro.

DKG preparations have biologically interesting redox properties, probably due to the formation of DKG degradation products. An ene–diol group or some other functional group that is as easily oxidisable as an ene–diol is thought to be a common feature of the ascorbate degradation products having reducing properties [51]. For example, ‘DKG’ (≥120 μM) has been reported to be an anti-oxidant against the oxidative modification of yolk protein in a copper-containing solution, whereas it has a pro-oxidative effect at lower concentrations (≤75 μM; [29]). Furthermore, ‘DKG’ at micromolar concentrations delays copper-induced oxidative formation of conjugated dienes in yolk lipoprotein, the lag time lengthening with increasing ‘DKG’ concentrations [29]. Since DKG itself has no reducing activity, it was suggested that 3,4-enediol-DKG, the most prevalent breakdown product detected, was responsible for the anti-oxidative effect [29]. 2,3-Enediol-DKG was also present and possibly contributed to the anti-oxidative function. Likewise, [42] hypothesised that the protective effect of DHA on copper-induced oxidative modification of human low-density lipoprotein was due to stable modification of the protein by DHA or its breakdown product(s).

’DKG’ has previously been observed to accelerate the peroxidation of linoleic acid in neutral but not in slightly acidic solutions [49]. A superoxide-scavenging agent, Tiron, suppressed linoleate peroxidation whereas catalase had no inhibitory effect, suggesting that superoxide was the reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated during incubation with DKG. Although H₂O₂ was the ROS detected in the present study, the possibility remains that superoxide was the original ROS generated, forming H₂O₂ by dismutation.

Ascorbate induces clear non-enzymic H₂O₂ generation when added into a solution containing a transition metal[7,12]. Also DHA, and especially a DKG preparation prepared from commercial DHA, led to H₂O₂ generation when added into a solution containing a trace of copper ions [22]. To detect the H₂O₂ generated after DKG addition we used two separate assays: the xylene orange (XO) assay [3,16] and an indirect peroxidase activity assay in which o-dianisidine was used as a peroxidase substrate. Differences in the results obtained by these two methods led to the discovery that the DKG preparation contained a compound that inhibits peroxidase activity. Since the DKG preparation contained several breakdown products, as observed by paper electrophoresis followed by silver staining (Fig. 9 in Ref. [22]), we wanted to resolve which of these was the major active component inducing non-enzymic H₂O₂ generation and delaying peroxidase action.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals

Ascorbate oxidase (AAO), 2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS), catalase, dehydroascorbic acid and dehydro-l-ascorbic acid dimer, o-dianisidine dihydrochloride and horseradish peroxidase type II were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. AAO was dissolved as a stock at 1000 U ml⁻¹ in 50 mM succinate (Na⁺) buffer, pH 5.6, supplemented with 0.05% bovine serum albumin. Peroxidase was dissolved (1 μg μl⁻¹) and further diluted in the same buffer.

DKG was prepared from the commercial DHA by alkali treatment [56]. A stock of DHA (50 mM) was prepared in water (it took at least 30 min to dissolve DHA). A slight molar excess of NaOH (1.3 × ) was added and the mixture incubated at 20 °C for 6 min. Routinely, the hydrolysis was then stopped with 1 M l-tartaric acid and the pH was checked by pH paper (3.5–4.0). However, for samples to be fractionated by HPLC, hydrolysis was stopped with 1 M H₂SO₄ to a final pH of ~1 or ~6. Freshly-made DHA and DKG solutions were stored on ice before the assays.

DKG was prepared also by an iodate method [20]. A solution of ascorbic acid (0.12 M) was incubated with potassium iodate (0.36 M) for 5 min. KOH (1 M) was then added dropwise until the solution became colourless. Cold ethanol (8 vol., −20 °C) was added, and the precipitated DKG was vacuum filtered, rinsed in 70% ethanol, dried and stored at −80 °C.

2.2. In-vitro peroxidase activity assays

The effects of various ascorbate breakdown products on peroxidase activity were tested in vitro. DHA and DKG stock solutions were freshly prepared and added at various concentrations to a reaction mixture (total volume 1.0 ml) that contained either 550 μM ABTS or 800 μM o-dianisidine, and 250 or 500 μM H₂O₂, 3.13 or 6.25 ng/ml horseradish peroxidase type II and the compound of interest, in 44 mM succinate (Na⁺) buffer, pH 5.6. [All concentrations quoted are final, in the complete reaction mixture, unless otherwise stated.] The reaction was initiated by the addition
of the enzyme and followed at 420 and 405 nm for ABTS and o-dianisidine respectively. When an ascorbate oxidase (AAO) pre-treatment was included, the compound of interest (~0.5 mM) was pre-incubated in 4 U/ml AAO and 44 mM succinate (Na\(^+\)) buffer, pH 5.6, for 10, 15 or 60 min at 20°C before addition of the other assay components.

2.3. Search for the active component(s) in the DKG preparation that stimulates H\(_2\)O\(_2\) production and inhibits peroxidases

As the DKG preparation contained several compounds in addition to DKG (Fig. 9 in Ref. [22], these 'metabolites' were separated by preparative high-voltage paper electrophoresis at pH 2.0, 3.5 and 6.5 according to [14]. Each electrophoretogram was cut into strips, and the compounds were eluted from the paper in water, concentrated in vacuo (SpeedVac, Savant) and stored at -75°C. The effect of eluted compounds on non-enzymic H\(_2\)O\(_2\) production was tested in vitro: 10 µl of each fraction, supplemented with 1 µM CuSO\(_4\), was tested for H\(_2\)O\(_2\) formation by the xylenol orange (XO) method [3]. The XO method detects hydroperoxides that oxidise Fe\(^{2+}\) in an acidic solution, and the amount of ferric product is measured as a XO complex [16]. Also the effect of each fraction on peroxidase activity in vitro was tested. To confirm the identity and stability of compounds used in the assays, we re-electrophoresed each fraction at the original pH, and stained the solutes with AgNO\(_3\) [13].

2.4. Search for the AAO-responsive 'metabolite' in the DKG preparation by HPLC

DKG [4.7 mM, in 45 mM succinate (Na\(^+\)) buffer, pH 5.6] was treated with AAO (12 U/ml) or denatured AAO (10 min boiling) at 25°C for 15 min with gentle mixing, then the enzymic reaction was terminated by addition of H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) to pH ~1. Reaction products,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>(\lambda_{\text{max}}) at acidic pH (nm)</th>
<th>(\lambda_{\text{max}}) at neutral pH (nm)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cmpd (1)</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>present work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Ascorbate</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dehydro-L-ascorbic acid(^a)</td>
<td>&lt;195</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3-Diketo-L-gulonate</td>
<td>&lt;195</td>
<td>223w</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3-Erythroascorbate</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3-Enediol-DKGL</td>
<td>210, 300</td>
<td>225, 345</td>
<td>[29,51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,4-Enediol-DKGL</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>[29,36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Furoic acid</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>[26,50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Methyl-3,4-dihydroxytetrone</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Erythrose</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxalate</td>
<td>&lt;205</td>
<td>&lt;205</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succinate(^b)</td>
<td>&lt;205</td>
<td>&lt;205</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^w\) Weak absorbance maximum.

\(^a\) Fig. 3a of [21] shows that fresh dehydroascorbic acid has almost no absorbance at ~300 nm, but acquires absorbance at or near that wavelength after lengthy storage of the solution.

\(^b\) Not a product of ascorbate catabolism, but used in the present work as a buffer.

![Fig. 1. Effect of dehydroascorbate and a diketogulonate preparation on the peroxidase reaction with o-dianisidine as substrate. The effect of the DKG preparation pre-treated with AAO is also shown (+ AAO). DHA, dehydroascorbic acid; DKG, diketogulonate.](image-url)
analysed by HPLC, were compared with those in an untreated DKG aliquot.

2.5. Semi-purification of the active compound(s) in DKG preparation by HPLC

HPLC was used to purify the compound(s) that inhibits peroxidases and generates H2O2 when added into 1 mM Cu2+. DKG preparations (~46 mM, pH ~1 and ~6) were filtered (0.4 μm, Chromacol), and 40 μl was fractionated on a Phenomenex Rezex ROA column, run (0.5 ml min⁻¹) at 35 °C, routinely with 47 mM H2SO4 as mobile phase. In some experiments, 13 mM TFA [0.1% (v/v)] was used when a volatile mobile phase was required. Degradation products were detected by UV absorbance at various wavelengths.

The major peak of cmpd (1) was collected and stored frozen prior to analysis. Mass spectrometry measurements were performed by electrospray on a 12T SolarisX Fourier transform mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics) equipped with an infinity cell and operating in positive mode. Spectra were the sum of 20 mass analyses and collected with a data size of 4 Mword. Agilent tune mix was used for external calibration. Analysis was achieved with Data Analysis version 4.4 (Bruker Daltonics).

2.6. Analysis of redox properties of HPLC-fractionated metabolites

For preparative purposes, HPLC fractions (0.5 ml) were collected and used in peroxidase or H2O2 assays either immediately or after storage. In the latter case the fractions were frozen in liquid nitrogen before storage at −75 °C. Since ascorbate degradation products were eluted from the HPLC column in 47 mM H2SO4 (pH ~1), the assays were modified as follows. The peroxidase activity assay mixture (1.0 ml) contained (added in the following order: final concentrations are given): 25 mM Na2-succinate, 37 mM succinate (Na+) buffer (pH 5.6), ≤225 μl of the HPLC fraction (if <225 μl, the remaining volume was added as 47 mM H2SO4), 550 μM ABTS, 250 μM H2O2, and 3.13 ng/ml peroxidase. When an AAO treatment was included, AAO (1 U/ml; active or boiled) was added after the HPLC fraction had been mixed with the succinate; then, after 10 min incubation at 20 °C, ABTS and H2O2 were added and the assay was started by addition of peroxidase.

The assay mixture for non-enzymic H2O2 generation contained (final volume 3.0 ml): 8.3 mM Na2-succinate and ≤225 μl of HPLC fraction (if <225 μl, the remaining volume was added as 47 mM H2SO4) and 1 μM CuSO4 (added last). When an AAO pretreatment was included, the enzyme (0.7 U/ml) was added after the HPLC fraction had been mixed with the succinate, and the vials were incubated for 10 min on a shaker (100 rpm) at 20 °C before addition of CuSO4 (to 1 μM). As a control for the AAO treatment, the HPLC fraction was treated for 10 min with denatured AAO (10 min boiling). This treatment was also important to show whether incubation at an increased pH was enough to alleviate the effect of the compound, i.e. whether the compound was more labile at pH~5 than at pH~1.

H2O2 generated was measured by the XO assay [3,16,24]. Aliquots (100 μl) of the reaction mixture were sampled at time points and immediately added to 1 ml of XO mixture (containing 125 μM XO, 100 mM d-sorbitol, 250 μM FeSO4, 250 μM (NH4)2SO4 and 25 mM H2SO4). All sample-XO mixtures were incubated for 40 min at room temperature before measurement of A560 against a blank containing the same volume of 47 mM H2SO4 as the reaction mixture.

Fig. 2. HPLC of diketogulonate and its by-products. (a) The DKG preparation was fractionated by HPLC with 47 mM H2SO4 as eluent, revealing several metabolites. The eluate was monitored simultaneously for absorbance at 210, 250 and 300 nm, and fractions (labelled fr1 to fr13) were collected. A possible structure for cmpd (1), based on its mass spectrum (Supplemental Fig. 5), is shown. (b) UV spectrum of the peak containing cmpd (1), eluting at 11.01 min. (c) Ascorbate oxidase (AAO; 12 U/ml), or denatured enzyme as a control, was applied to a new preparation of DKG for 15 min, then the products were fractionated as in (a). Absorbance at 250 nm is shown. Solid arrows, nomenclature of significant peaks; open arrows with names in brackets, expected elution positions of the named compounds.
blank prepared with 100 μl solution containing 1 μM CuSO₄ and 8.3 mM Na₂-succinate + 1 ml of XO mixture. CuSO₄ was observed not to interfere with the XO assay. A standard curve was prepared with different concentrations of H₂O₂ in 1 μM CuSO₄. A dilution series of H₂O₂ was prepared in water and a portion of each solution was adjusted to 1 μM CuSO₄ immediately before addition to XO reagent.

3. Results

3.1. Diketogulonate generates a by-product that reduces O₂ to H₂O₂ and delays peroxidase action on model substrates

DKG, prepared by hydrolysis of DHA, exhibited a prominent peak of UV absorbance at pH 5.6 (λ_max 271–272 nm; [22]). In contrast, the DHA had negligible absorbance at this wavelength or at 285 nm (which is the λ_max of ascorbate, erythroascorbate and 3,4-enediol-DKGL in neutral solution; Table 1). Since freshly-made aqueous DKG is stated to have no strong absorption above 225 nm [36], the observed absorbance at 271–272 nm was probably attributable to unidentifiable DKG degradation products, the major one of which is here termed cmpd (1).

Similar DKG solutions had been shown to reduce O₂ to H₂O₂ non-enzymically in the presence of a trace of Cu²⁺ [22]. We now give evidence that this is due to the presence of cmpd (1). In addition, we have found that in in-vitro peroxidase assays, the DKG preparation caused a concentration-dependent lag, presumably also due to cmpd (1), before the oxidation of a model substrate, o-dianisidine, became visible (Fig. 1). After this lag, the reaction velocity was similar to (or, in the case of high dianisidine, became visible (Fig. 1)). After this lag, the reaction velocity was similar to (or, in the case of high dianisidine, became visible (Fig. 1)). After this lag, the reaction velocity was similar to (or, in the case of high dianisidine, became visible (Fig. 1)).

Similar DKG solutions had been shown to reduce O₂ to H₂O₂ non-enzymically in the presence of a trace of Cu²⁺ [22]. We now give evidence that this is due to the presence of cmpd (1). In addition, we have found that in in-vitro peroxidase assays, the DKG preparation caused a concentration-dependent lag, presumably also due to cmpd (1), before the oxidation of a model substrate, o-dianisidine, became visible (Fig. 1). After this lag, the reaction velocity was similar to (or, in the case of high DKG’ concentrations, slightly slower than) that of the non-inhibited peroxidase, and the duration of the lag correlated with the amount of ‘DKG’ added. These effects, which seem unlikely to be due to DKG itself, are similar to those exerted by ascorbate [50]. DHA, on the contrary, showed little inhibition of peroxidase activity.

3.2. Ascorbate oxidase partially inactivates cmpd (1)

Since the UV spectrum of the DKG preparation (λ_max 271 nm at pH 5.6; Fig. 8 of [22]) was reminiscent of that of ascorbate (λ_max 265 nm at pH 5.6), we explored the possible presence of ascorbate-like substances. Pre-treatment of the DKG preparation with ascorbate oxidase (AAO) diminished but did not abolish the lag period (Fig. 1). The A₂₇₁ of the DKG preparation decreased slowly without any enzyme addition; addition of AAO accelerated this reaction (Fig. 8 of [22], but it took several minutes before the absorbance value reached a minimum, and even then some A₂₇₁ persisted. In contrast, the A₂₆₅ of a solution of pure ascorbate reaches zero a few seconds after AAO addition (Supplemental Fig. 1), confirming that cmpd (1) is not ascorbate. In a mixture of the DKG preparation and pure ascorbate, AAO caused a rapid decrease in A₂₆₅ (due to ascorbate oxidation) followed by a slower decrease due to cmpd (1) oxidation (Supplemental Fig. 1); thus it cannot be argued that the DKG was inhibiting the AAO. Nevertheless, cmpd (1) appears to be ascorbate-related since AAO has a high specificity towards L-ascorbate and related compounds that have a lactone ring with an adjacent ene-diol group such as erythroascorbate [6].

3.3. High-voltage electrophoresis of the crude DKG preparation

As the alkali-generated DKG preparation contained several by-products [22], we attempted to separate these by electrophoresis and test them individually for peroxidase action delay (Supplemental Fig. 2) and non-enzymic H₂O₂ generation (data not shown). After electrophoresis at pH 2.0 (Supplemental Fig. 2a), only fraction 2 (containing neutral and weakly acidic material) exerted these effects, and only to a low degree. Thus the only active principle detected had clearly separated from DKG itself (a relatively strong acid, found in fractions 3 and 4). However, the total recovered zones had far less H₂O₂-generating and peroxidase-delays capacity than the crude DKG that had been applied to the electrophoretogram.

After electrophoresis at pH 3.5 (Supplemental Fig. 2b) or 6.5 (Supplemental Fig. 2c), the only active principle detected was found to have co-migrated with DKG. This could indicate that (i) cmpd (1) co-migrated with DKG at these pH values, and/or (ii) the cmpd (1) originally present was degraded during the electrophoresis and subsequent elution but new cmpd (1) was formed from the eluted DKG itself.

3.4. HPLC of the DKG preparation

On HPLC, freshly prepared crude DKG revealed several peaks of absorbance at 210 nm (A₂₁⁰ peaks), suggesting carboxylic acids, esters or lactones, and at least three A₂₅₀ peaks (suggesting conjugated double-bonds; labelled 1, 2 and 3 in Fig. 2). Cmpd (1) was eluted shortly after the DKG peak, only partially separated from it (retention times 11.02 and 10.64 min respectively; Fig. 2). We propose that cmpd (1) showed a pH-dependent absorbance shift,
with $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ 251 (Fig. 2b) and 271 nm at acidic and neutral pH respectively (the HPLC eluent was 47 mM H$_2$SO$_4$). This shift would mirror the behaviour of ascorbate and 3,4-enediol-DKGL, which have $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ 245 and 265 nm in acidic and neutral solutions respectively [36,48]. Cmpd (1) was again confirmed not to be ascorbate itself, as this elutes at 13.25 min in this system.

3.5. Ability of HPLC fractions to generate H$_2$O$_2$ and delay peroxidase action

Fraction 5 (Fig. 2a), which contained the majority of cmpd (1), was the most effective fraction at delaying peroxidase action on a model substrate (ABTS; Fig. 3). Other delaying agents were also detected, e.g. in fractions 8 and 9. A moderate delaying effect was also observed in fraction 4, which contained most of the DKG (Figs. 2 and 3). However, since 24% of cmpd (1) eluted in fraction 4, and because some of the DKG may be further degraded to cmpd (1) after elution from the column, we conclude that the peroxidase delaying agent in fraction 4 was cmpd (1), not the DKG itself.

Fractions 4 and 5 also caused non-enzymic H$_2$O$_2$ production in the presence of O$_2$ and a trace of Cu$^{2+}$, fraction 5 again being more effective (Supplemental Fig. 3). The other HPLC fractions tested, even those that caused a slight delay in peroxidase activity assays, did not generate H$_2$O$_2$.

3.6. Ascorbate oxidase diminishes the ability of HPLC fractions to delay peroxidase action and generate H$_2$O$_2$

AAO pre-treatment of the peroxidase-retarding HPLC fractions (4, 5, 8 and 9; Fig. 2a) diminished their ability to delay peroxidase action (Fig. 3). Treatment with heat-denatured AAO (i.e., ‘ageing’ the fractions at elevated pH (5.2–5.6) in the absence of active AAO) also slightly reduced the lag caused by fractions 4, 5, 8 and 9. However, AAO did not completely destroy the peroxidase delaying effects of any of these fractions, suggesting either that several agents were present in each fraction, only some of them being AAO-oxidisable, or that the AAO generated new products whose peroxidase-delaying properties were weaker than those of the initial compounds.

AAO-pretreatment of fractions 4 and 5 also diminished their ability to non-enzymically generate H$_2$O$_2$ (Supplemental Fig. 3).

3.7. UV-detectable compounds (1), (2) and (3) can be oxidised by ascorbate oxidase

Since AAO affected the HPLC fractions’ effects on H$_2$O$_2$ production, the UV-detectable compounds (1), (2) and (3) were considered to be potential substrates for AAO.

Fig. 4. Effect of treatment at pH 1 or 6 on the HPLC profile of diketogulonate and its by-products. The DKG, prepared by NaOH treatment of DHA, was adjusted to pH 1 or pH 6 with H$_2$SO$_4$ and, after storage for 0.5–3.0 h at 0 °C, fractioned by HPLC. During each run, absorbances at (a) 210, (b) 250 and (c) 300 nm were simultaneously monitored. Solid arrows, nomenclature of significant peaks; open arrows with names in brackets, expected elution positions of the named compounds.
generation and peroxidase action, we attempted to determine which UV-detectable compounds were affected by the AAO treatment. The crude DKG preparation was treated with AAO (active or denatured) for 15 min and re-run by HPLC. The most remarkable changes were observed in compounds absorbing at 250 nm (Fig. 2c). In particular, active AAO strongly diminished the cmpd (1) peak. A slight decrease was also noticed in the A210 of this peak (data not shown), suggesting that cmpd (1) is a carboxylic acid or ester as well as possessing conjugated double bonds. Compounds 2 and 3 also diminished (Fig. 2c; the peak eluting at 15.8 min is probably the succinate buffer).

3.8. Cmpd (1) is less stable at pH 6 than at pH 1

Since treatment even with denatured AAO (at pH ~6.5) modified the HPLC profile (Fig. 2c) and the fractions’ ability to delay peroxidase action (Fig. 3) and to promote H2O2 production (Supplemental Fig. 3), we tested the effect of pH on the stability of DKG and its by-products. For this work, DKG was prepared by alkaline hydrolysis of DHA and the reaction was stopped with H2SO4 either to pH 6 or to pH 1 (Fig. 4). DKG itself, detected at 210 nm, was almost unaffected by storage on ice for 0.5–3 h at pH 1 or 6 (Fig. 4a). When pre-treated at pH 6, instead of pH 1, compounds (1), (2) and (3) were diminished, little affected and increased respectively (Fig. 4b). Therefore, if cmpd (1) was the reductant that delays peroxidase action, then its effect should be weakened by storage at pH 6.

This prediction was tested on samples stored at pH 1 or 6 before HPLC. Pre-treatment of the unfraccionated preparation only slightly diminished its ability to delay peroxidase action regardless of the pH to which they were adjusted (Fig. 5a), possibly because other reductants e.g. compound (3) increased after treatment at pH 6 (Fig. 4). However, in HPLC-purified preparations (fractions 4 and 5), storage at pH 6 did weaken the effect compared with storage at pH 1, approximately halving the lag period observed before peroxidase action began (Fig. 5b) [the pH of the peroxidase reactions was adjusted to >5 with the addition of Na2-succinate to HPLC fractions]. These data strongly support the idea that cmpd (1), the main 250-nm-absorbing compound in fractions 4 and 5, was the major reductant that delayed peroxidase action on its model substrate.

3.9. Analysis of cmpd (1) by high-voltage paper electrophoresis

HVPE has proved very useful for resolving ascorbate metabolites [17,18,38,39]. Partially purified cmpd (1) obtained by preparative HPLC with 13 mM TFA as eluent (chosen because it is readily volatile) gave stainable spots on analytical electrophoretograms (Fig. 6). The HPLC profile with TFA as eluent (Supplemental Fig. 4a) was broadly similar to that with H2SO4 (Fig. 2a), DKG eluting slightly before cmpd (1).

The greatest amounts of cmpd (1) were found between 10.5 and 11.25 min (Supplemental Fig. 4b and c). This 0.75-min window of fractions was pooled, dried in vacuo and re-dissolved in water; portions were electrophoresed at pH 2.0 and 6.5 and stained with AgNO3 (Fig. 6), revealing:

- DKG, which is only partially resolved from cmpd (1) by the HPLC system used;
- compounds C and E (a trace), which are proposed [39] to be C = 2-carboxy-L-lyxono lactone plus 2-carboxy-L-xylonolactone; E = their de-lactonised product (a dianionic carboxypentonate); and
- a spot whose mDKG values were 1.22 at pH 2.0 and 1.05 at pH 6.5, which stained a slightly yellowish brown rather than the greyish brown usually produced by AgNO3.

Of these possible identities, we have already shown that cmpd (1) is not DKG itself. Furthermore, we found that cmpd (1) is not C or E since purified C and E gave peaks clearly resolved from cmpd (1) on HPLC (Fig. 7). This was demonstrated when samples of C and E (eluted from paper after preparative electrophoresis [17]) were analysed by HPLC with 13 mM TFA as eluent (Fig. 7b), and a sample containing cmpd (1) was run immediately thereafter (Fig. 7a). C and E showed major peaks of A210 at 10.1 and 9.2 min respectively, and only small peaks of A250 (Fig. 7b); in contrast, cmpd (1) showed greater absorbance at 250 nm and eluted at 10.5 min (Fig. 7a). Thus cmpd (1) is clearly distinguished from cmpds C and E. Compounds C and E form from DKG in aqueous conditions [17,39], and the spots of them seen in Fig. 6 would have formed from the DKG after being eluted from the column.

We therefore suggest that, of the spots seen in Fig. 6, one with mDKG values 1.22 and 1.05 at pH 2.0 and 6.5 respectively is likely to be cmpd (1). Although its structure remains unknown, some of its ionic properties can be deduced from the electrophoretic mobilities. At pH 6.5, all –COOH groups are almost fully ionised, so the
compound’s proximity to DKG and C at that pH (Fig. 6b) indicates that it has a charge:mass ratio similar to theirs, i.e. 1 negative charge per ~6 carbon atoms. Furthermore, since the compound appears to be anionic even at pH 2.0, with a mobility exceeding that of DKG ($m_{\text{DKG}} = 1.22$; Fig. 6a), it is probably a strong acid with a $pK_a$ even lower than that of DKG (predicted $pK_a \approx 2.38$; http://www.hmdb.ca/metabolites/HMDB05971).

3.10. Mass spectrometry of cmpd (1)

A further sample of cmpd (1), partially purified by HPLC as in Supplemental Fig. 4, was analysed by MS in positive mode (Supplemental Fig. 5). Ion peaks at $m/z$ 159.03164 and 181.01351 were observed, which were absent from the blank. These ions are interpreted as $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{O}_5^- \text{H}^+$ and $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{O}_5^- \text{Na}^+$ ($m/z$ values respectively 17 and 12 ppm deviation from theoretical, which is acceptable since the nearest calibration point was at $m/z \approx 332$).

4. Discussion

Freshly prepared DKG is reported to have little UV absorbance at wavelengths above about 225 nm [36]. However, our DKG preparations rapidly produced a proportion of compound (1), with $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ 271 and 251 nm at neutral and acidic pH respectively. These $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ values may be compared with those of ascorbate and some of its previously reported degradation products (Table 1). Cmpd (1)’s $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ values, and its bathochromic shift when the pH is adjusted from acidic to neutral, suggest some chemical similarities to ascorbate. Furthermore, like ascorbate, DHA and 3,4-enediol-DKGL [48], cmpd (1) was more stable at acidic than neutral pH.
In agreement with the noted resemblance between cmpd (1) and ascorbate, the delaying effect of cmpd (1) on peroxidase action mimicked that of ascorbate. Ascorbate serves as an anti-oxidant, scavenging peroxidase-generated phenolic radicals, so that the oxidation of aromatic substrates becomes visible only when all ascorbate has been oxidised [50]. Thus, cmpd (1) probably affects peroxidase action in a similar manner.

In the present work, several metabolites obtained from a DKG preparation induced the non-enzymic production of H2O2 and delayed the onset of substrate oxidation in in-vitro peroxidase assays. A scheme for ascorbate degradation has been proposed [17,18,38,39] in which the initial oxidation product, DHA, is either further oxidised (to oxalyl threonate, cyclic oxalyl threonate and oxalate ÷ threonate) or hydrolysed (to DKG and its own downstream products C and E). The oxidising branch was proposed to include, or lead to, three steps that might generate H2O2. On the other hand, the hydrolytic pathway was not proposed to yield H2O2; therefore the discovery reported here that DKG by-products, principally cmpd (1), do generate ROS, probably mainly H2O2, was of great interest.

Cmpd (1) has two effects which superficially seem contradictory: (a) when present in peroxidase assays it appears to serve as an anti-oxidant, scavenging phenolic radicals such that the oxidation of aromatic substrates (o-dianisidine and ABTS) becomes visible only when all the cmpd (1) has been oxidised, and (b) it non-enzymically reduces O2 to H2O2, the oxidising substrate of peroxidase. Effect (a) would delay peroxidase action, whereas effect (b) would promote it. Both these effects could have biological significance in the plant cell wall. Effect (a) would delay the peroxidase-catalysed cross-linking of cell-wall phenolics [50], e.g. of ferulate to diferulates and tyrosine to isodityrosine, thus potentially preventing wall tightening. Conversely, the H2O2 generated in effect (b) can non-enzymically lead to the formation of other ROS. In particular, the hydroxyl radical (•OH) is readily formed from H2O2, especially in the presence of some remaining ascorbate [12], the precursor of cmpd (1). It is known that •OH causes non-enzymic scission of cell-wall polysaccharides [12,45,52], potentially loosening the primary cell wall. Preventing wall tightening and promoting wall loosening, caused by (a) and (b) respectively, are both expected to lead to a more readily extensible or softer wall. Therefore the two apparently divergent effects of cmpd (1) may act in an equivalent direction, both of them facilitating biological processes that depend on a ‘loose’ cell wall such as cell expansion, fruit softening and abscission. Additionally, similarly to ascorbate, cmpd (1) may influence the oxidative burst occurring during pathogen attack, and hence plant defence responses [41].

The only known source of cmpd (1), potentially exerting such wall-loosening effects, is DKG — which is formed by the non-enzymic hydrolysis of apoplastic DHA. DHA can itself be formed from apoplastic ascorbate, about 50% by endogenous AAO action.

![Fig. 7. Cmpd (1) is not a carboxypentonate. (a) Cmpd (1), purified by HPLC eluted in 13 mM TFA, was re-run by HPLC in water and the products were detected by A210 and A250. (b) A sample containing compounds C and E (carboxypentonates, prepared by alkali treatment of DHA and subsequent elution from a preparative electrophoretogram) was also run by HPLC in water and monitored by A210 and A250.](image-url)
(which produces monodehydroascorbate followed by disproportionation), and 50% non-enzymically [18]. The ability of apoplastic AAO to generate monodehydroascorbate and hence DHA, and thus initiate the DHA → DKG → cmpd (1) pathway with consequent wall loosening, may explain the hitherto mysterious observation that AAO activity (which destroys ascorbate) often correlates positively with rapid plant cell growth, despite the fact that rapid growth usually correlates with high ascorbate biosynthesis [30].

Cmpd (1) proved highly unstable and we were unable to obtain it in a form pure enough to provide satisfactory NMR. Nevertheless, cmpd (1)’s early elution from a Rezex HPLC column [retention time comparable to those of DKG (Figs. 2a and 4a, Supplemental Fig. 4a) and oxalate (Fig. 7 of [38])] suggests that it is a relatively strong acid. Its strongly acidic nature is also indicated by the anionic spot observed on electrophoresis at pH 2.0 of a sample enriched in cmpd (1) (Fig. 6). This would exclude various dioxo compounds previously reported [34] as DKG degradation products such as xylostone, erythrosone, threosone, and 3-deoxyerythrosone.
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Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2017.03.006.
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