Abstract

Background: The seroprevalence of human parvovirus-4 (PARV4) varies considerably by region. In sub-Saharan Africa, seroprevalence is high in the general population, but little is known about the transmission routes or the prevalence of coinfection with blood-borne viruses, HBV, HCV and HIV.

Methods: To further explore the characteristics of PARV4 in this setting, with a particular focus on the prevalence and significance of coinfection, we screened a cohort of 695 individuals recruited from Durban and Kimberley (South Africa) and Gaborone (Botswana) for PARV4 IgG and DNA, as well as documenting HIV, HBV and HCV status. Results: Within these cohorts, 69% of subjects were HIV-positive. We identified no cases of HCV by PCR, but 7.4% were positive for HBsAg. PARV4 IgG was positive in 42%; seroprevalence was higher in adults (69%) compared to children (21%) (p<0.0001) and in HIV-positive (52%) compared to HIV-negative individuals (24%) (p<0.0001), but there was no association with HBsAg status. We developed an on-line tool to allow visualization of coinfection data (https://purl.oclc.org/coinfection-viz). We identified five subjects who were PCR-positive for PARV4 genotype-3. Ex vivo
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CD8+ T cell responses spanned the entire PARV4 proteome and we propose a novel HLA-B*57:03-restricted epitope within the NS protein. **Conclusions:** This characterisation of PARV4 infection provides enhanced insights into the epidemiology of infection and co-infection in African cohorts, and provides the foundations for planning further focused studies to elucidate transmission pathways, immune responses, and the clinical significance of this organism.
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Introduction

Human parvovirus-4 (‘PARV4’) is a single-stranded DNA virus in the family Parvoviridae. Its clinical significance remains uncertain, and epidemiology varies strikingly by region, for reasons that are not yet understood. The risk factors that operate in various settings appear to be very different. Studies of North American and European populations have reported that PARV4 exposure (IgG positive status) is strongly associated with parenteral risk factors, and with infection with blood-borne viruses (BBV’s), HIV, HCV and HBV. An acceleration of HIV disease has also been described in association with PARV4 infection in a European cohort of HIV-infected subjects, although this effect may be confounded by the high prevalence of HCV co-infection. In contrast, in sub-Saharan Africa (sSA), serological evidence of PARV4 infection in the general population ranges from 4–37% and there is a paucity of data to support any consistent relationship with other BBVs.

In a previous smaller study of mothers and children in South Africa (n=157), we found a high seroprevalence of PARV4 IgG (37%), but no cases of detectable viraemia, and demonstrated a relationship between older age and increasing PARV4 IgG prevalence. Despite the high population prevalence in sSA, little is known about the routes or risk factors for transmission, host immune responses, prevalence of viraemia, or clinical impact of PARV4.

The consistent evidence that PARV4 is endemic in populations in sSA prompted us to investigate further, using pre-existing cohorts to form a clearer view of the pattern in these populations and to develop further insights into adaptive immune responses associated with PARV4 infection. Given previous evidence for the substantial influence of HLA Class I genotype on the outcome of viral infections (best exemplified in this population by our studies of HIV and HBV), we also set out to identify whether any such HLA-mediated effect can be observed with respect to PARV4 in the same cohorts. Previous work has demonstrated that high magnitude CD8+ T cell responses to PARV4 NS protein are maintained in the long-term; we expanded on this observation by screening PARV4 IgG-positive individuals for T cell responses spanning the entire PARV4 proteome.

Therefore, our specific aims in this expanded African cohort were as follows:

i. To assimilate data for PARV4, HIV, HBV and HCV status from pre-existing cohorts and to describe the patterns of coinfection;

ii. To seek any evidence of a relationship between positive PARV4 IgG status and acceleration of HIV disease;

iii. To screen our study subjects for PARV4 viraemia in order to establish how prevalent this is, hypothesising that viraemia might be associated with age, pregnancy or HIV infection, and to derive sequences from viraemic subjects;

iv. To investigate any significant impact of host HLA Class I genotype on PARV4 status and to improve ex vivo characterisation of the CD8+ T cell response.

Materials and methods

Patient cohorts

This study represents 695 subjects from sSA recruited in three different settings, Durban and Kimberley in South Africa, and Gaborone in Botswana. The cohorts are summarized in Table 1, and the entire dataset is available as Supplementary data 1 (doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.4707316). Our study subjects can be summarized according to HIV status as follows:

i. HIV-positive adults and children (n=478): HIV-positive adults were recruited in sSA through antenatal clinics in both Gaborone (Botswana) and Durban Sinikethemba (South Africa), and mothers and their children attending HIV clinics in Kimberley (South Africa). We have further described chronic viral infections in these groups in previous publications.

ii. HIV-negative adults and children (n=217): HIV-negative women were recruited from antenatal clinics in Durban (Masibambisane cohort) and HIV-negative children were recruited via Kimberley Respiratory Cohort (KReC). KReC comprises children aged 9–48 months admitted acutely to the paediatric department at Kimberley Hospital with an admission diagnosis of a respiratory tract infection.

In addition, we used cryopreserved PBMCs from 7 African adults attending HIV outpatient clinics in the UK (Thames Valley Cohort, as previously described) to screen for ex vivo T cell responses (see further details below).

Ethics approval

Ethics approval was granted as follows: the University of KwaZulu-Natal Biomedical Research Ethics Committee (ref. E028/99), the Health Research Development Committee, Botswana Ministry of Health (ref. PPME-13/18/1); the Oxford Research Ethics Committee and site-specific Research and Development committees (Thames Valley Cohort ref. 06/Q1604/12); Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health Science, University of Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa (Kimberley cohorts, ref. ETOVS 08/09 and ECUF 80/2014). All subjects, or the parent/guardian for children, provided written informed consent for participation.

Documentation of HIV, PARV4, HCV and HBV status

We screened all 695 subjects for PARV4 DNA and HCV RNA, and had sufficient samples also to screen 632 for PARV4 IgG and 593 for HBsAg.

i. HIV: HIV-status had been ascertained prior to recruitment and was recorded prospectively. KReC children were deemed to be HIV-negative at the point of presentation to hospital. The majority of these were also screened for HIV...
Table 1. Cohorts from Botswana and South Africa screened for PARV4, HIV, HBV and HCV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort location/name</th>
<th>Study subjects</th>
<th>Number of subjects</th>
<th>HIV status of cohort</th>
<th>Number (%) positive for PARV4 IgG&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Number (%) positive for PARV4 DNA</th>
<th>Number (%) positive for HBsAg&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Number (%) positive for HCV RNA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gaborone, Botswana</td>
<td>Antenatal women</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>58/108 (53.7)</td>
<td>0/108 (0)</td>
<td>13/94 (14)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durban, South Africa; Sinikethemba cohort</td>
<td>Antenatal women</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>112/174 (64.4)</td>
<td>0/174 (0)</td>
<td>20/172 (11.6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durban, South Africa; Masibambisane cohort</td>
<td>Antenatal women</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>28/73 (38.4)</td>
<td>1/73 (1.4)</td>
<td>6/72 (8.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimberley HIV cohort, South Africa</td>
<td>Mothers of HIV-positive children</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>21/43 (48.8)</td>
<td>1/64 (1.6)</td>
<td>4/33 (12.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimberley HIV cohort, South Africa</td>
<td>Children attending HIV outpatient clinics</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>24/90 (26.7)</td>
<td>0/132 (0)</td>
<td>0/104 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimberley healthy controls, South Africa</td>
<td>Children (HIV-negative siblings of Kimberley HIV cohort)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>12/24 (50.0)</td>
<td>0/24 (0)</td>
<td>0/4 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimberley Respiratory Cohort, South Africa</td>
<td>Children age 9–48 months admitted to hospital with LRTI</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>13/120 (10.8)</td>
<td>3/120 (2.5)</td>
<td>1/114 (0.9)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>695</strong></td>
<td>Positive: 478 (68.8)</td>
<td>Negative: 214 (30.8)</td>
<td>No data: 3 (0.4)</td>
<td>268/632 (42.4)</td>
<td>5/695 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> For PARV4 IgG and HBsAg, the denominator is presented for each group as data were missing for some individuals.

LRTI = lower respiratory tract infection

Infection during their hospital admission episode, with the exception of three children for whom we did not confirm HIV status (these children were included in the HIV-negative group for analysis, based on the clinical data available at the time of admission). HIV-1 RNA viral load was determined by Roche Amplicor Version 1.5 assay (Rotkreuz, Switzerland) or Abbott Laboratories m2000 platform (Abbott Park, IL, USA) (data available for 370/478 HIV-positive individuals). CD4+ T cell counts and percentages were measured by flow cytometry as part of routine clinical diagnostics at the centre of recruitment (data available for 455/478 HIV-positive individuals). High resolution HLA Class I data were also available for 476 HIV-positive subjects, using PCR-sequence specific primer typing, as previously described<sup>25</sup>.

ii PARV4 IgG: we used indirect ELISA, testing 632 samples in duplicate using baculovirus-expressed VP2 and control antigens, as previously described<sup>4,11</sup>; arbitrary unit (AU) values were calculated relative to a control sample. Due to a high background reactivity observed in this cohort, we applied an additional stipulation that positive samples must demonstrate a VP2-to-control optical density ratio (ODR) greater than 1.2; samples falling below this cut-off were considered negative.

iii HBV: We determined HBsAg status using Biokit enzyme immune assay (Barcelona, Spain) and Murex HBsAg v3 (DiaSorin) assay to detect HBsAg, as previously described<sup>19</sup>. We were unable to screen the remainder of the cohort for HBsAg due to inadequate sample volumes remaining after other tests had been performed.

iv HCV: For HCV detection, we used PCR rather than screening for HCV-Ab, to optimize sensitivity and specificity of the test. RNA was extracted from pooled serum samples (50μl each of 10 samples) using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. cDNA was synthesized from 6μl of RNA using Superscript III reverse transcriptase (Life Technologies) with random hexamer primers. PCR reactions were performed using
GoTaq DNA polymerase (Promega) and primers listed in Supplementary data 2. First and second round reactions were performed using 2μl of template under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94°C for 60 seconds and 30 cycles of [18 seconds at 94°C, 21 seconds at 50°C and 60 seconds at 72°C].

To confirm that the lack of detection of HCV by PCR in these samples was not due to a degradation of encapsidated viral RNA, we also screened cDNA samples for a positive control virus. To do this, we screened a total of 575 samples (all samples except KReC cohort) combined into 51 pools, each made up of 10–13 samples (50μl each) using a PCR specific for human pegivirus-1 (HPgV), using previously described methods. This is sufficiently common in the human population to function as a reliable positive control.

**PARV4 DNA**: DNA was initially extracted from pooled serum samples (50μl each of 10 samples) using the DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. For deconvoluted pools and complete genome amplification, 50μl samples were re-extracted individually using the same protocol. PCR reactions were performed using GoTaq DNA polymerase (Promega) and cycling conditions described as above for HCV, using primers listed in Supplementary data 2. Direct amplicon sequencing for PARV4 was performed using BigDye Terminator v3.1 (Applied Biosystems), according to manufacturer’s instructions with both second round primers. Sequencing reactions were read by Edinburgh Genomics (The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland) and assembled using SSE v1.2.

**IFN-gamma ELISpot assays**

We used cryopreserved PMBCs from 14 subjects who were PARV4 IgG positive, but without PARV4 viraemia (7 children from Kimberley, South Africa, and 7 adults enrolled via the Thames Valley Cohort) to screen for ex vivo CD8+ T cell responses using IFN-gamma ELISpot assays. Using methods as previously described, we quantified IFN-gamma responses to a bank of PARV4 overlapping peptides (OLPs) spanning PARV4 NS, VP and ARF proteins (for peptide sequences see Supplementary data 3, and for a map of the PARV4 proteome, see our previous review). Subjects and ELISpot data are listed in Supplementary data 4.

Based on responses by HLA-B*5703-positive subjects, we identified a putative epitope within OLPs 9.6 and 9.7. We synthesized three truncations of this epitope (supplied by Schafer-N, Denmark; >80% purity; supplied as lyophilized powders and then dissolved in DMSO) as follows: 8-mer TRITMQFQ, 9-mer QTRITMQFQ, and 10-mer LQTRITMQFQ that most closely matched the binding motif for HLA-B*57:03 (namely A/S/T at position 2 and F/W/Y at the C-terminal position of the epitope)⁵⁷. Using cells from a PARV4 IgG-positive subject recruited from the Thames Valley Cohort (Patient ID N087), we tested IFN-g ELISpot responses to serial dilutions of these three putative optimal epitope truncations.

**Statistical analysis**

GraphPad Software (Prism v.6; http://graphpad.com/) was used for data analysis, using Fisher’s exact test to identify significant relationships between categorical variables, and Mann-Whitney U test for continuous non-parametric data. We used the online logistic regression calculator at Google Sheets (https://www.google.co.uk/sheets/about/). To investigate whether (i) HLA Class I genotype is predictive of PARV4 IgG status, and (ii) PARV4 IgG status is predictive of either HIV RNA viral load or CD4+ T cell count, we constructed receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. As previously described, our approach was to build predictive models using regularized logistic regression, then estimate the out-of-sample (using 10-fold cross validation) predictive accuracy of the models using ROC curves. This approach allowed us to jointly test for association between all HLA alleles and PARV4 status despite a relatively small cohort.

**Phylogenetic analysis**

The evolutionary histories were inferred for PARV4 sequences using maximum likelihood methods implemented using the MEGA 6.0 software package. The optimum maximum likelihood model (lowest Bayesian information criterion score and typically greatest maximum likelihood value) for the nucleotide sequence alignments was first determined and used for phylogenetic reconstruction. These were the Kimura 2-parameter model with a gamma (γ) distribution for partial VP1 sequences, and the Tamura 3-parameter model with a gamma (γ) distribution for complete NS and complete VP1 sequences.

**Results**

**Data visualization**

In order to allow visualization of coinfection data subdivided by organism (HBV/HCV/HIV/PARV4), cohort location, sex, and adult/child, we developed a visualization tool using highcharter (A Wrapper for the ‘Highcharts’ Library. R package version 0.5.0. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=highcharter Joshua Kunst (2017)). Our visualization can be accessed at the following link: https://purl.oclc.org/coinfaction-viz and the code is deposited here: doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.4750702.

**PARV4 IgG prevalence is higher in adults than children**

Overall, PARV4 IgG prevalence in this study was 268/632 (43%). Table 1 shows the breakdown of seroprevalence by cohort. Consistent with our previous findings, adults were significantly more likely to be seropositive than children (238/492 (48%) in adults vs. 50/234 (21%) in children; p<0.0001; Figure 1A). We also observed this relationship within the Kimberley cohort (22/43 adults vs. 50/234 children; p<0.0001; Figure 1B). Among children age 0–10 years, there was a trend towards an increase in PARV4 seroprevalence over time (Figure 1C).

**Co-infection analysis: PARV4 IgG is associated with HIV, but not with HBV or HCV**

We identified a significant association between PARV4-IgG status and HIV infection (p=0.002; Figure 1D). This relationship also holds among adults, and in the single setting of Durban (p=0.002, Figure 1E; p=0.0002, Figure 1F, respectively). Similarly,
in children there was a trend towards higher PARV4-IgG positivity in the context of HIV infection, although this did not reach statistical significance (p=0.1; Figure 1G). PARV4 and HBV infection were not statistically associated among 557 patients (p=0.3; Figure 1H). No subject in this study was positive for HCV RNA. However, 17 out of the 51 sample pools were found to be positive for our control virus, human pegivirus-1, suggesting an overall prevalence comparable to previous reports and supporting a true absence of HCV viraemia.

Among HIV-infected adults, there was no significant relationship between PARV4 IgG status and CD4+ T cell count in Gaborone or Durban (Figures 1I and J, respectively), and in children there was no relationship between PARV4 IgG and CD4+ percentage (Figure 1K). There was also no relationship between PARV4 and HIV viral load (data not visualised). On logistic regression analysis of 557 subjects for whom we held a complete dataset (data available for all variables), PARV4 IgG status remained associated with HIV status (p<0.0001), but no relationship was seen with sex, cohort location, adult/child or HBsAg status.

Lack of association between HLA Class I genotype and PARV4 IgG status

Given the established protective role of certain HLA alleles or loci in control and clearance of viral infection in previous studies of these populations, we sought any evidence for a
relationship between HLA Class I genotype and PARV4 serostatus among HIV-positive individuals. We found no such association, either using the entire class I genotype (ROC Area Under the Curve [AUC]=0.62, compared to AUC=0.60 when only cohort labels were used as predictors; p=0.18 against null model that AUC is greater when including HLA alleles as predictors), or analyzing independently by class I locus (AUC=0.62, 0.62, and 0.58, for HLA-A, HLA-B and HLA-C, respectively; p=0.1 for all comparisons).

PARV4 sequences from South Africa cluster with Genotype 3 sequences from Cote d’Ivoire
To investigate the prevalence of PARV4 viraemia, with a particular interest in exploring the idea that reactivation of latent virus may occur in the variable states of immunocompromise associated with HIV or pregnancy, we screened this composite cohort for evidence of PARV4 viraemia using a previously described tetraparvovirus PCR [13]. We identified five viraemic subjects among our cohort of 695 (0.7%): three HIV-negative children from Kimberley (KReC009, KReC089 and KReCI02), one HIV-positive child from Kimberley (K172C) and one HIV-negative antenatal woman from Durban (Masi039).

Phylogenetic analysis of the tetraparvovirus PCR amplicons from the five viraemic individuals revealed that all were genotype-3 (Figure 2A). From two individuals, K172C and Masi039, we generated complete viral genome sequences using overlapping PCR; the fully assembled sequences have been submitted to GenBank (accession numbers KU871314 and KU871315). For the remaining individuals, only a subset of overlapping genome PCR reactions was positive (one or two of the seven reactions), so we were unable to assemble a full genome sequence. This suggests a low titre of virus in these individuals and further repeat reactions could not be performed due to limited sample volume. However, we have submitted the partial VP1 sequences used for the phylogenetic analysis of these three individuals to GenBank (accession numbers KX681683, KX681684 and KX681685).

The K172C and Masi039 sequences show a high degree of similarity to each other showing >99% nucleotide identity across the genome. Phylogenetic analysis of the complete NS and VP1 coding regions (Figures 2B and C) again demonstrates a clear grouping with previously reported PARV4-genotype 3 sequences, particularly those obtained from individuals in Cote d’Ivoire [36].

High breadth and magnitude of CD8+ T cell responses to PARV4
Among 14 individuals screened for ex vivo CD8+ T cell responses, we demonstrated IFN-gamma ELISPOT responses to peptides spanning all three PARV4 proteins (Figure 3A), including high magnitude responses (mean response >1000 spot forming cells/10^6 PBMCs) to NS1, NS4, ARF1 and ARF2 (Figure 3B). Children made a median of 5 responses (range 1–12), while adults made fewer responses (median 3, range 1–5), but this difference did not reach statistical significance (p=0.12, Mann Whitney U test; data not visualised). We tested one predicted optimal epitope using three possible peptide truncations found within OLPs 9.6 and 9.7, confirming that the peptide QF9 (QTRITMFQF) found within PARV4 NS protein is the most likely HLA-B*57:03 restricted epitope (Figure 3C).

Discussion
Epidemiology of PARV4 and HIV, HBV and HCV mono-infection and co-infection
In keeping with previous studies of sSA, we report a PARV4 IgG seroprevalence that is strikingly higher than in Western Europe. In this setting, we conclude that there is no evidence that HBV or HCV infection is associated with PARV4 in sSA. The HBSag data reported here are broadly in keeping with previous epidemiological studies of southern Africa [37]; however, ongoing surveillance will be required in these populations to determine the changing prevalence of infection following more widespread introduction of the prophylactic HBV vaccination in infancy [38,39]. The lack of HCV in these cohorts is of interest and in striking contrast to high rates of HBV. Antibody screening for HCV can be problematic, both because of reported concerns regarding false positive tests, and because of the problem in discriminating between active infection and previous cleared infection [35,37]. We therefore aim to have increased both the sensitivity and specificity of testing by using a molecular test for HCV.

Here we have shown a significant relationship between HIV infection and PARV4 serostatus in adults. A previous analysis of a smaller cohort reported an unexpected negative correlation between PARV4 IgG and HIV status in children [11], but no such effect was seen in this expanded cohort; it is plausible that the previous effect was confounded by another factor (of which age is the most likely). The reasons for the difference in seroprevalence and associations with BBVs remain uncertain, but in this case may relate to increased susceptibility to PARV4 infection in the setting of reduced cell-mediated immunity mediated by HIV infection, or may relate to characteristics or behaviours of the host population, environmental factors, viral genetics, or a combination of these factors [14].

In the three settings studied here, there is no evidence that PARV4 serostatus is associated with HIV progression, either in adults or children. A previous paper that reports an association between positive PARV4 IgG status and more advanced HIV disease acknowledges the potential confounding influence of co-infecting HCV in PARV4-positive individuals [35].

PARV4 viraemia and phylogeny
Even in this cohort from an endemic region, enriched for both pregnancy and HIV infection, we were able to amplify PARV4 DNA from only <1% of all individuals screened. Four of the five viraemic individuals were children, who may be more likely to be experiencing a primary infection. Interestingly, three of the subjects with low-grade viraemia were KReC (Kimberley Respiratory Cohort) children, concordant with the hypotheses either that PARV4 infection might cause or contribute to respiratory illness in young children, or that respiratory tract infections make children more vulnerable to primary PARV4 infection or to low-grade reactivation of viraemia. This association has been
Figure 2. Phylogeny of PARV4 sequences detected in serum from five individuals from South Africa. Phylogeny inferred using maximum likelihood trees from partial VP1 (A), complete NS (B) and complete VP1 (C) nucleotide sequences (equivalent to nucleotides 3067-3310, 283-2271 and 2378-5035, respectively, of the PARV4 reference sequence NC007018). In each case, the new sequences derived from South Africa are highlighted (lavender bars). Bootstrap support of branches (500 replications) is indicated.
Figure 3. IFN-gamma CD8+ T cell responses to PARV4 peptides determined by ELISpot assays. Data in (A) and (B) are derived by screening 14 subjects positive for PARV4 IgG recruited from the Kimberley (n=7, children) and Thames Valley (n=7, adults) cohorts. None of these subjects was PCR positive for PARV4 from serum. Raw data showing the responses made by each individual subject can be viewed in Supplementary data 4. (A) Proportion of 14 screened subjects who made each individual response. (B) Mean magnitude (box) and range of response (whiskers); the dashed horizontal line allows visualization of peptides for which the mean response is >1000 SFCs/10^6 PBMC. Responses to NS peptides are shown in grey, to VP peptides in black, and to ARF in hatched bars. (C) Prediction of a novel HLA-B*5703-restricted CD8+ T cell epitope in PARV4 NS protein. Cryopreserved PBMCs from PARV4 IgG-positive adult subject N087 (HIV-positive adult recruited via the Thames Valley cohort, HLA class I genotypes HLA-A*0301/-A*3001/-B*5703/-B*5801/-C*0602/-C*1801) were screened by IFN-gamma ELISpot for responses to peptide truncations from PARV4 NS protein (sequences within OLPs 9.6 and 9.7) at different concentrations. Plots and error bars show mean and SEM of assays performed in triplicate. On the basis of the HLA-B*5703 binding motif and the greatest magnitude responses, the putative optimal epitope is HLA-B*5703-QF9 (QTRITMFQF).
previously postulated\textsuperscript{12}, but not further explored. Future careful studies, enrolling large numbers of study subjects and collecting detailed prospective diagnostic data would be required to expand on this investigation.

Although our approach to detecting viraemia represents only a cross-sectional ‘snap shot’, these data suggest that acute infections are relatively short-lived, and that subsequent immune containment is generally successful. Overall, therefore, these data do not support the hypothesis that either vertical or blood-borne transmission is likely to be highly epidemiologically significant in driving the high PARV4 seroprevalence in sSA.

We confirmed the identity of the circulating viruses as genotype-3, in keeping with other sequences from Africa\textsuperscript{40}. Sequence differences between genotypes could potentially contribute to a phenotype difference that accounts for the differing transmission and prevalence of PARV4 between Africa and Europe; more work is needed to elucidate the biological effect of sequence differences between genotypes.

**CD8+ T cell responses**

Although viraemia was uncommon, we found CD8+ T cell responses to PARV4 spanning the entire viral proteome, and of particularly high magnitude in certain regions of NS and ARF proteins. These responses, in the absence of detectable viraemia, support the view that PARV4 may behave similarly to chronic herpes viruses, particularly CMV, in which a latent reservoir underpins episodic reactivation, maintaining T cell responses in the long term\textsuperscript{41}. Previous reports quantifying the CD8+ T cell response using the same \textit{in vitro} approach have focused on NS peptides as being immunodominant\textsuperscript{5,7}. These current data therefore represent new evidence for significant CD8+ T cell responses to both VP and ARF proteins, with particularly striking high magnitude responses to ARF.

**Caveats and limitations**

The amalgamation of subjects recruited within pre-existing cohorts has allowed us to make some new advances in a manner that is cost and time-effective, but this leaves certain important questions unanswered. This work is limited in being a retrospective approach, by the limited and variable demographic characterization of the cohorts, and by the potential confounding factors in operation. In particular, differences observed between cohorts may be related to factors such as age and sex of study participants.

**Conclusions**

In summary, these data represent an advancement of our understanding of PARV4 in sSA, mainly by permitting us to study a larger cohort than has previously been amalgamated in this setting. However, much remains to be elucidated about the epidemiology (specifically in understanding routes of transmission and differences between geographical settings), as well as an ongoing need to determine the clinical significance of this virus. These questions are likely to be particularly important for African populations in which PARV4 is so highly endemic.
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- **Supplementary data 4**: Results of screening 14 PARV4 IgG positive individuals for IFN-gamma ELISpot responses to overlapping peptides spanning the entire PARV4 proteome.
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The manuscript by Sharp et al. confirms and extends our knowledge on the epidemiology and T-cell immunity of an emerging human virus, in a comprehensive sub-Saharan cohort. The methods, data and conclusions appear on the whole appropriate. I have only one major and a few few minor questions/comments for the authors’ consideration.
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1. Page 5, para “Co-infection analysis: PARV4 is associated...” In complete absence of positive HCV findings in this manuscript, albeit by PCR, it does not feel appropriate to conclude (here or elsewhere in this manuscript, e.g. Discussion) that "PARV4 IgG is associated...not with...HCV." We cannot comment on an association or its absence with a counterpart that did not occur in the material studied, can we?
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1. Page 3, para "In addition, we used cryopreserved PBMCs from 7 African adults...". The authors might want to mention here also the 7 children that were studied (p. 5); and the corresponding PARV4 statuses?

2. Page 3, last para, "KReC", please spell out, perhaps also in Abbreviations.

3. Page 5, para "To confirm that the lack of detection of HCV by PCR..." As a matter of fact, Ref. 26 concludes: "...active infections with HHpgV-1 were infrequently detected in blood, even in groups that had substantial parenteral exposure." Does such a virus qualify for a positive control? Or have higher prevalences been observed in other papers that could be cited here?

4. Page 10 second para, "suggest that acute infections" - do the authors mean "acute" or "active" (or "active primary")?
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The manuscript by Sharp et al. describes the relationship between sero-positivity to a human parvovirus (PARV4) and the detection of anti HIV antibodies, HIV viral loads, HBsAg detection and HCV RNA in a large number of children and adults from sub-Saharan Africa (sSA). As previously reported in sSA a large fraction of tested samples (42%) were sero-positive for PARV4 with a statistically greater number of those also being HIV sero-positive rather than HIV sero-negative. Adults showed a greater rate of PARV4 antibody detection than children. No HCV RNA was detected and there was no correlation between PARV4 antibody detection and presence of HBsAg.

This is a nicely performed study also showing that children are being infected by a still unknown route (4/5 PARV4 DNA positive samples were from children and children showed antibody positivity rate of 21%). The higher rate of PARV4 antibodies in HIV infected individuals is reminiscent of the situation in Europe/US where PARV4 infection focused in adults with HIV and/or HCV infections and hemophiliacs, presumably through injection drug use or other forms of blood and blood products contacts. The current studies also confirms the predominance of PARV4 genotype 3 in sSA while European and North American are mostly infected with genotypes 1 and 2.

Whether PARV4 is acquired through the same routes as HIV seems likely although how children become infected remains unclear. Infection in children may occur through the usual routes for paroviruses which includes both the respiratory track (human parvovirus B19) or the fecal oral route (canine parvovirus). Unlike the situation in adults the rate of PARV4 antibody detection in children was not higher in HIV positive children.

No association was found between PARV4 antibodies and either CD4 counts and HIV viral load. The persistent high level of CD8 T cell response to PARV4 peptides seems to indicate the lingering presence of antigens despite low rate of detectable viremia (5/695). This is interpreted as reflecting occasional reactivation from latent reservoirs although replication below the level of detection in the still unknown
cellular target of PARV4 could also account for the strong CD8 responses.

Last word abstract could replace “…clinical significance of this organism” by “…clinical significance of this recently identified virus”.

Page 6 it is mentioned that there is no relationship PARV4 antibodies with sex, cohorts, location, adult/child or HBsAg status. Isn’t the statement about adult/child contradicted by claim in second paragraph of results that adults have greater rates of PARV4 antibodies than children?

In discussion insert reference about sero-prevalence being strikingly higher than in Western Europe.

The following sentence could use more clarity: Overall, therefore, these data do not support the hypothesis that either vertical or blood-borne transmission is likely to be highly epidemiologically significant in driving the high PARV4 seroprevalence in sSA.

Couldn’t the high rate of PARV4 IgG in children reflect at least in part vertical infection from infected mothers? Couldn’t blood borne infection account for the association with HIV infection acquired through injection drug use? Is the lack of association with HBsAg used to infer that PARV4 not transmitted through injection drug use? That paragraph could be expanded. Couldn’t PARV4 be transmitted by both the oral route in children and by blood contact in adults much like parvovirus B19?

PARV4 is compared to CMV but could also be compared to parvovirus B19 whose DNA has been detected in skin tissues for years after infection.
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