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Abstract

A multi-technique analysis was performed on a range of biochar materials derived from secondary organic resources and aimed at sustainable recovery and re-use of wastewater phosphorus (P). Our purpose was to identify mechanisms of P capture in biochar and thereby inform its future optimisation as a sustainable P fertiliser. The biochar feedstock comprised pellets of anaerobically digested sewage sludge (PAD) or pellets of the same blended in the ratio 9:1 with ochre sourced from minewater treatment (POCAD), components which have limited alternative economic value. In the present study the feedstocks were pyrolysed at two highest treatment temperatures of 450 and 550°C. Each of the resulting biochars were repeatedly exposed to a 20 mg l⁻¹ PO₄-P solution, to produce a parallel set of P-exposed biochars. Biochar exterior and/or interior surfaces were quantitatively characterised using laser-ablation (LA) ICP-MS, X-ray diffraction, X-ray photo-electron spectroscopy (XPS) and scanning electron microscopy coupled with energy dispersive X-ray. The results highlighted the general importance of Fe minerals in P capture. XPS analysis of POCAD550 indicated lower oxidation state Fe2p3 bonding compared to POCAD450, and LA-ICP-MS indicated stronger covariation of Fe and S, even after P exposure. This suggests that low-solubility Fe/S compounds are formed during pyrolysis, are affected by process parameters and impact on P capture. Other data suggested subsidiary roles for aluminium, calcium and silicon. Overall, our analyses suggest that a range of mechanisms for P capture are concurrently active in biochar. We highlighted the potential to manipulate these through choice of form and composition of feedstock as well as pyrolysis processing, so that biochar may be increasingly tailored towards specific functionality.
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1 Introduction
Freshwater and coastal ecosystems are at a high risk of damage caused by excess flows of phosphate from land application and wastewater (Steffen et al., 2015). Concurrently there is growing interest in the research, innovation and regulatory sectors to improve the recovery and recycling of phosphate as agricultural fertiliser in response to predicted future mineral phosphorus (P) scarcity (Reijnders, 2014). Traditional flocculation techniques may not be effective for limiting P concentrations in wastewater discharges to watercourses to reduce the risk of environmental damage to acceptable levels, for example the 0.1 mg l\(^{-1}\) level proposed by Greenop and Wentworth (2014). Therefore, alternative tertiary treatment methods are required, such as constructed wetlands increasingly utilised in smaller wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), using combinations of plant, soil, and reactive materials to remove phosphate and nitrate to prevent environmental damage downstream.

In order to recycle P from wastewater it is important that the materials used to recover P are economic and the underlying mechanisms of P capture well understood. Low-cost, bulk filter materials investigated to date include ochre, zeolite and blast furnace slags (Cucarella et al., 2008; Dobbie et al., 2009; Drizo et al., 2006). The main mechanisms of P capture in these systems are either chemisorption and precipitation by Fe or Al (oxy)hydroxides, or precipitation with Ca (Arai and Sparks, 2001; Sakadevan and Bavor, 1998). Activated carbons have also been developed for this purpose (Wang et
al., 2012), but the manufacture of these materials is more expensive than the direct recycling of secondary organic resources. A number of biochar materials, because of their similarities to activated carbon, have also been investigated. Biochars produced from anaerobically digested sugar beet tailings, digested sewage sludge and mallee tree (Eucalyptus polybractea) have all been shown to have phosphate capture functionality (Shepherd et al., 2016; Yao et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2016), but most studies have involved either feedstock pre-treatment (Chen et al., 2011; Shepherd et al., 2016; Yao et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2013, 2012) or post-treatment of the biochar (Li et al., 2016; Park et al., 2015; Ren et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016) to increase porosity and enrich the biochar with Mg, Al or Fe oxides.

Although many P sorption studies are reported in the literature, there is still no definitive model for biochar–phosphate interactions. Adding to the complexity of the system, many biochars have been shown to release, rather than capture phosphate into water and/or phosphate solutions (Angst and Sohi, 2013; Morales et al., 2013; Schneider and Haderlein, 2016). It has been suggested that phosphate may react with hydroxyl and carboxyl groups on the biochar surface (Laird and Rogovska, 2015) but such a reaction can only occur in the environment under extreme conditions or, in the case of biota, with the assistance of specialised enzymes (Gull et al., 2014). It is possible that the various phosphate anion species (H$_2$PO$_4^-$, HPO$_4^{2-}$, PO$_4^{3-}$) interact with C surfaces through hydrogen bonding and cation-mediated outer-sphere electrostatic interactions. These are weak compared to inner-sphere chemisorption and precipitation reactions which would occur with minerals, and thus are unlikely to be long-lived, unless in a relatively static system such as undisturbed soil. It has been suggested that adsorption of P by biochar will be dependent on the concentration and accessibility of
cations in the biochar ash fraction (Streubel et al., 2012). This is supported by reports of low affinity for P of low-ash biochar in aqueous solution (Hale et al., 2013; Morales et al., 2013; Yao et al., 2012). Even in biochar that has not been chemically modified, the identified mechanisms of P capture have been related to a native mineral (“ash”) phase (Yao et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2016), rather than functional carbon groups. The focus of subsequent optimisation of P capture properties has thus been on increasing the concentration and effectiveness of mineral phases on biochar surfaces. This can be likened to the research and development of activated carbon, where the activation step for improving P capture properties usually involves the addition of a metal reagent such as Fe or Zn (Bhatnagar and Sillanpää, 2011; Namasivayam and Sangeetha, 2004; Wang et al., 2012). Drawing on the general principles of coordination chemistry suggested by Streubel et al. (2012), we support a dominant role for minerals, but emphasise the mineral-carbon interface. The specific role of Fe, Al, Ca and Mg will vary with pH, as has been widely documented (Barrow, 1983; Goldberg and Sposito, 1985; Parfitt and Russell, 1977; Parfitt, 1989; Parfitt et al., 1975; Reddy et al., 1999; Sibrell et al., 2009; Torrent et al., 1992). This needs to be understood in the context of interface interactions.

In a previous study, we tested biochars made from a novel mix of anaerobically digested sewage sludge and ochre (Shepherd et al., 2016). The mix captured a greater amount of P from solution on a mass basis than activated carbon, ochre, or biochar from digested sludge only. To investigate the mechanisms underlying the P-capture function of that biochar we initiated the present study, with the overall goal of furthering the practical design of P capture materials in general. Consistent with this more practical context, we prepared new biochars using the original ingredients but pelleted before pyrolysis. We also used lower ochre content in mixed feedstock, namely 1:9 rather than 1:1 mass ratio. The surface properties were studied before and after exposure to aqueous P using a
range of spectroscopic and visualisation techniques. Our overarching hypothesis was that P capture would be driven predominantly by mineral associations on surfaces and less by functional carbon groups.

2 Methods

A summary of the materials, procedures and analyses used in this study and their respective aims is given in Table 1. The biochars collectively encompass the range of ochre (and therefore Fe), ash constituents and carbon components that allows the relative contribution to P capture to be assessed. Characterisation was undertaken on sub-samples with and without prior exposure to aqueous P solution, and of exterior and/or interior surfaces of individual particles or pellets. Different characterisation techniques covered different physical surface areas or surface thickness (i.e. sample volume); they also provide information at different levels of detail, e.g. elemental composition, functional groups, or oxidation state.

2.1 Biochars

2.1.1 Non-pelletised biochars

Biochars from non-pelletised feedstock were from the previous study (Shepherd et al., 2016). They were produced in a small batch pyrolysis system at highest treatment temperatures (HTTs) of 450 and 550°C. The feedstocks were anaerobically digested sewage sludge (giving biochars AD450 and AD550) and the same sludge mixed with ochre at a dry-mass ratio of 1:1 (giving biochars OCAD450 and OCAD550). These relatively low HTTs were originally chosen so as to produce biochar with reactive sites potentially related to carbon functional groups (Downie et al., 2009).
Table 1: Summary of the materials, analyses and their aims described in this study. First generation biochar materials AD450, AD550, OCAD450, OCAD550 as well as ochre and activated carbon were characterised in Shepherd et al. (2016) and are indicated in italics. Elemental concentrations of these materials determined previously by modified dry ashing/ICP-OES are outlined in Supplementary Information Tables S1 and S2. The pelletised materials were produced for this study and were characterised by the techniques listed above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>P capture and Release</th>
<th>Correlation of P capture/element concentrations</th>
<th>Modified dry ashing/ICP-OES</th>
<th>pH and EC</th>
<th>XRD</th>
<th>XPS</th>
<th>LA-ICP-MS</th>
<th>SEM-EDX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AD450</td>
<td>✓ (previous work)</td>
<td>✓ (previous work)</td>
<td>✓ (previous work)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD550</td>
<td>✓ (previous work)</td>
<td>✓ (previous work)</td>
<td>✓ (previous work)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCAD450</td>
<td>✓ (previous work)</td>
<td>✓ (previous work)</td>
<td>✓ (previous work)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCAD550</td>
<td>✓ (previous work)</td>
<td>✓ (previous work)</td>
<td>✓ (previous work)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ochre</td>
<td>✓ (previous work)</td>
<td>✓ (previous work)</td>
<td>✓ (previous work)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated carbon</td>
<td>✓ (previous work)</td>
<td>✓ (previous work)</td>
<td>✓ (previous work)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAD450</td>
<td>✓ (capture only)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAD550</td>
<td>✓ (capture only)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POCAD450</td>
<td>✓ (capture only)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POCAD550</td>
<td>✓ (capture only)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPAD450</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPAD550</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPOCAD450</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPOCAD550</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mechanistic and chemical information obtained

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanistic information</th>
<th>Determination of P capture/release characteristics</th>
<th>Effect of elemental composition on P capture and release</th>
<th>Effect of feedstock, processing and/or pyrolysis conditions and pyrolysis HTT on elemental composition</th>
<th>Effect of feedstock, processing and/or pyrolysis conditions and pyrolysis HTT on pH and EC</th>
<th>Effect of feedstock, pyrolysis HTT and P exposure on mineral phases</th>
<th>Effect of P exposure on surface and whole properties</th>
<th>Effect of pyrolysis HTT and P exposure on surface properties</th>
<th>Effect of feedstock, pyrolysis HTT and P exposure on surface properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biochar nomenclature</td>
<td>AD – Anaerobically digested sewage sludge</td>
<td>EPAD – P exposed PAD biochar</td>
<td>450 – 450°C highest treatment temperature (HTT) for pyrolysis</td>
<td>550 – 550°C highest treatment temperature (HTT) for pyrolysis</td>
<td>EPOCALD – P exposed POCAD biochar</td>
<td>EPOCALD – P exposed POCAD biochar</td>
<td>EPOCALD – P exposed POCAD biochar</td>
<td>EPOCALD – P exposed POCAD biochar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OCAD – 50% Minto ochre and AD mixture (pre-pyrolysis)</td>
<td>EPAD – P exposed PAD biochar</td>
<td>450 – 450°C highest treatment temperature (HTT) for pyrolysis</td>
<td>550 – 550°C highest treatment temperature (HTT) for pyrolysis</td>
<td>EPOCALD – P exposed POCAD biochar</td>
<td>EPOCALD – P exposed POCAD biochar</td>
<td>EPOCALD – P exposed POCAD biochar</td>
<td>EPOCALD – P exposed POCAD biochar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAD – Pelletised Anaerobically digested sewage sludge</td>
<td>EPAD – P exposed PAD biochar</td>
<td>450 – 450°C highest treatment temperature (HTT) for pyrolysis</td>
<td>550 – 550°C highest treatment temperature (HTT) for pyrolysis</td>
<td>EPOCALD – P exposed POCAD biochar</td>
<td>EPOCALD – P exposed POCAD biochar</td>
<td>EPOCALD – P exposed POCAD biochar</td>
<td>EPOCALD – P exposed POCAD biochar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POCAD – Pelletised 10% Minto ochre and AD mixture (pre-pyrolysis)</td>
<td>EPAD – P exposed PAD biochar</td>
<td>450 – 450°C highest treatment temperature (HTT) for pyrolysis</td>
<td>550 – 550°C highest treatment temperature (HTT) for pyrolysis</td>
<td>EPOCALD – P exposed POCAD biochar</td>
<td>EPOCALD – P exposed POCAD biochar</td>
<td>EPOCALD – P exposed POCAD biochar</td>
<td>EPOCALD – P exposed POCAD biochar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1.2 Pelletised biochars

Biochars were made from pelletised feedstocks using a bench-scale continuous pyrolysis unit. It is more practical to pelletise feedstock than biochar; screw-feeders used in scalable, continuous flow pyrolysis systems also perform best with feedstock in pelletised form. The digested sewage sludge and ochre were sourced from the same locations as in the previous study. Anaerobically digested sewage sludge (50 kg wet mass) was sampled from the Newbridge WWTP (Edinburgh, Scotland) and oven dried. Ochre was obtained from the Minto minewater treatment plant (Fife, Scotland) and sieved to < 1 mm. Pellets were prepared from the sludge and/or ochre and a lignocellulose binder agent in the ratios 89.1:9.9:1.0 and 99.0:0:1.0. The mixtures were passed through a die to form pellets approximately 0.5 cm diam. × 2 cm in length. The pellets were pyrolysed at the UK Biochar Research Centre (UKBRC) using the bench-scale continuous flow unit described previously (Buss et al., 2016a). The HTTs used in the previous study (Shepherd et al., 2016) were used to produce the four new biochar materials: PAD450, PAD550, POCAD450 and POCAD550.

2.1.3 P–exposed pelletised biochars

To investigate the mechanisms of P capture on biochar surfaces, pelletised biochars defined above were exposed to aqueous P using a MOPS-buffered (3-(N-morpholino) propanesulfonic acid, Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, MI) K2HPO4 solution containing 20 mg P l⁻¹, following the procedure described previously (Shepherd et al., 2016) modified as described here. Each biochar (30 g, PAD450, PAD550, POCAD450 and POCAD550) was used to provide representative particles of the physically heterogeneous samples, selecting only particles of diameter 0.25–15 mm. The P solution was added in a 1:20 solid to liquid ratio (m/v) and shaken with the biochar for
24 h. After this time the solution was poured off from the biochar and replaced with fresh P solution. This was repeated for 6 days to ensure sufficient uptake of P on the external surfaces of the biochar to analyse using the chosen techniques. At the end of each 24 h treatment two samples of the solution were analysed colorimetrically (Auto Analyser III, Bran & Luebbe, Norderstedt, Germany) to determine the amount of P captured by the biochars. The P-exposed samples were designated EPAD450, EPAD550, EPOCAD450 and EPOCAD550.

2.2 Characterisation

2.2.1 pH – pelletised biochars

To provide insights on surface protonation of pelletised biochars, the pH of crushed samples was determined in duplicates for PAD450, PAD550, POCAD450 and POCAD550, in DI water using the method recommended by the International Biochar Initiative (IBI, 2012).

2.2.2 P capture and release – non-pelletised biochars

P-capture and release dynamics of the non-pelletised biochars (AD450, AD550, OCAD450 and OCAD550) were described and reported in the previous study (Shepherd et al., 2016). It is the statistical analysis of the results in the light of new characterisation data that is the main focus of the present study. Briefly, replicate (n = 4) 1.0 g samples of each biochar, the ochre and an activated carbon (Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, MI) were repeatedly exposed for 24 h repeated over 5 days to 20 ml solutions of either 20 or 800 mg l⁻¹ P (from K₂HPO₄, Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, Missouri, USA) buffered at pH 7 using MOPS and NaNO₃ as a background electrolyte. To test the
release of the captured P, the P-enriched materials were then exposed to pH 7 MOPS-buffered deionised (DI) water for 24 h repeatedly over 4 days.

### 2.2.3 Bulk elemental composition – pelletised and non-pelletised biochars, ochre and activated carbon

Samples were digested prior to ICP-OES analysis using the modified dry ashing method (Enders and Lehmann, 2012), as per published modifications (Buss et al., 2016b; Shepherd et al., 2016). Briefly, 0.5 g samples were taken from sub-sampled and crushed materials, ashed in a muffle furnace then digested in HNO₃ and HCl. The materials and blanks were digested in triplicate and ICP-OES elemental quantification was performed using a Perkin Elmer Optima 5300DV instrument (Waltham, USA). Most elements were analysed in axial mode, except for Al, Ca, Fe, K, Mg and Na, which were present in sufficient concentrations to necessitate the use of radial mode. Standards were run with each analysis session for calibration and to check the accuracy of measurements over the time of the sample run. The limit of detection for each element was determined using an existing method (Buss et al., 2016b).

### 2.2.4 X-ray diffraction (XRD) – pelletised biochars

Cobalt Kα XRD was performed in duplicate on PAD450, PAD550, POCAD450, POCAD550, EPAD450, EPAD550, EPOCAD450 and EPOCAD550 using an Empyrean thin-film XRD (PANalytical, Almelo, the Netherlands). Analyses were initially attempted using standard Cu Kα XRD, but confounded by the large background signal from amorphous carbon phases.

### 2.2.5 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) – pelletised biochars
Surface layer functional groups and elemental composition was examined for one randomly sampled pellet fragment of POCAD450, EPOCAD450 and POCAD550. Mono-chromated Al Kα XPS was applied using an ESCALAB250Xi instrument (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). The analysis parameters were as follows: 1486.68 eV photon energy, 150 W power and spot size of 500 μm. The core level binding energies (BEs) were aligned with C1s peak BE of 285.0 eV. Data were analysed with Avantage software (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). The surfaces of POCAD450 and EPOCAD450 pellets were analysed to identify differences in properties before and after P exposure, respectively. Surfaces of POCAD550 were also analysed to identify whether pyrolysis HTT had an effect on surface composition in these biochars. To gain an insight as to the effect on pellet size on the utilised capacity for P interaction measurements were also conducted on interior surfaces of the analysed POCAD and EPOCAD, exposed by crushing the pellet.

2.2.6 Laser ablation-ICP-MS – pelletised biochars

To study the relationship between P and other elements on the surface of P-exposed and non-treated biochar to a depth of 5 μm, elemental analysis by laser ablation (LA) ICP-MS was used. The analysis was applied to a randomly selected pellet of each of POCAD450, POCAD550, EPOCAD450 and EPOCAD550 using a NWR213 Laser Ablation unit (ESI New Wave, Portland, OR) coupled to a NexION 300D ICP-MS (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA). Laser ablation parameters were as follows: wavelength 213 nm, repetition frequency 10 Hz, laser energy density 0.48 J cm⁻² (at 30%), spot size 110 μm and scan speed 20 μm s⁻¹. ICP-MS was performed at Rf power of 1150 W, helium gas flow rate of 0.8 l min⁻¹, argon gas flow rate of 0.6 l min⁻¹, in peak hopping scan mode and with a dwell time of 0.05 s. NIST610 and NIST612 glass standards were
used to estimate the elemental concentrations of the biochar obtained in three separate
2 mm line scans for each pellet (resulting in between 219 and 223 sample locations for
each pellet).

**2.2.7 Scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDX)**

SEM-EDX analyses were performed on all P-exposed and non-exposed biochars
(PAD450, PAD550, POCAD450, POCAD550, EPAD450, EPAD550, EPOCAD450
and EPOCAD550) to a depth of approximately 6 µm. Data was gathered using Nova
Nano SEM 230 and 450 field-emission scanning electron microscopes (FEI, Hillsboro,
OR), each configured with a Bruker silicon drift detector energy dispersion X-ray
spectrometer (Bruker, Billerica, MA), as well a Sigma SEM (Zeiss, Jena, Germany).
Samples were sputter coated with chromium prior to analysis. The resolution of EDX
scans was 6 µm. To encompass as many P phases as possible, the whole region was
quantified; many P phases have a dimension in the µm range. Elemental mapping
provided by EDX was used to visualise the association of P and other elements in
support of the other analyses.

**2.3 Statistical analysis**

**2.3.1 Correlation of biochar element concentration and P capture and release –
non-pelletised biochars**

To identify whether specific elements were associated with P capture or release, mean P
capture and release results (n = 6) were correlated against the concentration of 19
elements in AD450, AD550, OCAD450, OCAD550, ochre and activated carbon
determined as described in 2.2.3. The cumulative results for 1 and 5 days x 20 mg l⁻¹ P
repeated exposure and 1 and 4 days x treatments for P release as described in section 2.2.2 were used. Pearson’s product-moment correlation coefficients were calculated since all data were found to be normally distributed by the Shapiro-Wilk test. Where element concentrations were below the limit of detection for two or more of the materials (i.e. resulting in n < 4), the element was excluded from the analysis. RStudio (RStudio Team, 2015) was used for all statistical analyses, with significance indicated by p < 0.05.

2.3.2 Analysis of Laser ablation ICP-MS results

Correlation analysis between P and other elements measured by LA-ICP-MS was performed using the approach described in 2.3.1, with Spearman’s rho calculated where one or both sets of data were not normally distributed. To further interpret elemental composition on biochar surfaces, we applied Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to the results for each sample location using the prcomp function in RStudio (RStudio Team, 2015) with data centring, scaling and specifying a tolerance of 0.3 to filter out noise and thereby limit the number of identified principal components. PCA provides insights into elemental clustering as well as the localisation of P on sample surfaces before and after exposure to P solution.

3 Results

3.1 Bulk properties

3.1.1 Elemental composition

The lower content of ochre in the POCAD feedstock compared to OCAD (10 vs 50%) explained some differences in nutrient concentrations between PAD and POCAD (Table 2) compared to their non-pelletised analogues (AD and OCAD). In general, the
non-pelletised biochars appeared to show greater elemental loss on a mass basis, compared to their feedstocks, than for the pelletised biochars (See Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 for more detail). Calcium and P present in the feedstocks was retained to a higher extent in the pelletised biochars, suggesting that either pelletisation and/or the continuous flow bench scale pyrolysis process provides conditions less conducive for volatilisation of Ca and P than small scale batch pyrolysis.

The main difference between the PAD and POCAD biochars was in the concentration of Fe, reflecting feedstock composition (see Table 3). The incorporation of 10% ochre (dry weight) to the feedstock resulted in a Fe concentration in POCAD twice that of PAD. Despite similar concentrations in PAD and POCAD feedstocks, POCAD contained relatively less Cr than PAD at both HTTs (24.9 ± 0.880 and 21.1 ± 1.16 mg g⁻¹ for POCAD vs 33.8 ± 1.25 and 30.1 ± 0.538 mg g⁻¹ for PAD) (mean ± 1 standard deviation, n = 3). Compared to the non-pelletised biochars, the PAD and POCAD biochars contained more Al, Cr, Cu, Mo, Na, Ni and Zn, but less B and Co. The lower Co concentration reflects a lower concentration in the feedstock, but this is not the case for B, which may have also been lost during digestion in the modified dry ashing process. The concentrations of Mo and Na in the pelletised feedstocks are higher than in non-pelletised, explaining part of the difference in concentration in the biochars. In addition, there is considerably more Cr, Cu and Zn in POCAD than OCAD, probably from contamination of feedstock during the pelletisation process. Overall, the data indicate that pelletisation and/or continuous flow pyrolysis favours greater retention of some elements in biochar. The pH of the pelletised biochars ranged from 7.39 to 8.25, which is slightly higher than for the non-pelletised analogues. This is likely to be related to the relative retention of salts discussed above.
Table 2: Mean nutrient concentrations (n=3) of the materials as determined by ICP-OES of sample digests expressed in g kg\(^{-1}\) ± 1 standard deviation. See Table 1 for sample nomenclature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PAD</th>
<th>Ochre</th>
<th>POCAD</th>
<th>PAD450</th>
<th>POCAD450</th>
<th>PAD550</th>
<th>POCAD550</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yield %</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH (n=2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.014</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.49 ± 0.02</td>
<td>7.39 ± 0.05</td>
<td>8.25 ± 0.08</td>
<td>7.85 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC (µS cm(^{-1})) (n=2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>518 ± 20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nutrients (g kg\(^{-1}\)) n=3

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>28.7 ± 0.569</td>
<td>18.8 ± 0.438</td>
<td>28.8 ± 0.400</td>
<td>59.9 ± 1.98</td>
<td>58.1 ± 0.534</td>
<td>62.0 ± 1.06</td>
<td>53.9 ± 1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>2.53 ± 3.99×10(^{-2})</td>
<td>0.349 ± 4.76×10(^{-2})</td>
<td>2.10 ± 2.69×10(^{-2})</td>
<td>5.09 ± 0.142</td>
<td>4.59 ± 6.75×10(^{-2})</td>
<td>5.51 ± 6.61×10(^{-2})</td>
<td>4.20 ± 0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>5.12 ± 7.96×10(^{-2})</td>
<td>3.03 ± 5.88×10(^{-2})</td>
<td>5.09 ± 5.79×10(^{-2})</td>
<td>10.4 ± 0.281</td>
<td>10.5 ± 0.107</td>
<td>10.8 ± 0.138</td>
<td>9.52 ± 0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.142 ± 0.149×10(^{-2})</td>
<td>0.891 ± 5.33×10(^{-3})</td>
<td>0.183 ± 0.278×10(^{-2})</td>
<td>0.327 ± 0.881×10(^{-2})</td>
<td>0.373 ± 0.528×10(^{-2})</td>
<td>0.336 ± 0.969×10(^{-2})</td>
<td>0.357 ± 0.856×10(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>52.1 ± 9.62×10(^{-2})</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.134</td>
<td>51.5 ± 0.561</td>
<td>109 ± 3.08</td>
<td>103 ± 1.95</td>
<td>114 ± 1.19</td>
<td>98.7 ± 1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>9.82 ± 0.425</td>
<td>3.32 ± 0.121</td>
<td>8.81 ± 0.160</td>
<td>16.8 ± 0.406</td>
<td>18.1 ± 0.279</td>
<td>16.3 ± 0.203</td>
<td>16.6 ± 0.217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Mean potentially toxic element concentrations (n=3) of the materials as determined by ICP-OES of sample digests expressed in mg kg\(^{-1}\) ± 1 standard deviation. See Table 1 for sample nomenclature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>PAD</th>
<th>Ochre</th>
<th>POCAD</th>
<th>PAD450</th>
<th>POCAD450</th>
<th>PAD550</th>
<th>POCAD550</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>23.6×10³±121</td>
<td>2.09×10³±227</td>
<td>22.7×10³±151</td>
<td>46.5×10³±953</td>
<td>46.6×10³±354</td>
<td>49.5×10³±666</td>
<td>43.4×10³±1130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>&lt; 0.72</td>
<td>&lt; 0.72</td>
<td>&lt; 0.72</td>
<td>&lt; 0.72</td>
<td>&lt; 0.72</td>
<td>&lt; 0.72</td>
<td>&lt; 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>9.26±9.28×10⁻²</td>
<td>43.8±6.09</td>
<td>15.3±3.46</td>
<td>18.6±0.428</td>
<td>19.8±1.49</td>
<td>18.0±0.159</td>
<td>16.1±0.407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.281±1.77×10⁻²</td>
<td>&lt; 0.04</td>
<td>&lt; 0.04</td>
<td>1.77±4.33×10⁻²</td>
<td>0.169±1.05×10⁻²</td>
<td>1.15±9.33×10⁻²</td>
<td>0.322±8.54×10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>2.94±0.264</td>
<td>9.65±5.98×10⁻²</td>
<td>3.10±5.52×10⁻²</td>
<td>6.05±0.139</td>
<td>6.71±0.119</td>
<td>6.30±0.167</td>
<td>6.10±0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>11.8±0.233</td>
<td>&lt; 0.49</td>
<td>10.6±0.674</td>
<td>33.8±1.25</td>
<td>24.9±0.880</td>
<td>30.1±0.538</td>
<td>21.1±1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>44.0±1.18</td>
<td>&lt; 0.06</td>
<td>39.1±0.572</td>
<td>110±1.54</td>
<td>103±2.10</td>
<td>112±7.67</td>
<td>98.9±3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>38.9×10³±719</td>
<td>520×10³±7.44×10⁻¹</td>
<td>77.0×10³±2.51×10⁻¹</td>
<td>80.8×10³±2.93×10⁻¹</td>
<td>130×10³±2.00×10⁻¹</td>
<td>84.5×10³±1.53×10⁻¹</td>
<td>130×10³±1.92×10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>10.9±0.556</td>
<td>&lt; 0.21</td>
<td>12.5±0.942</td>
<td>21.9±0.459</td>
<td>26.7±0.620</td>
<td>23.8±0.756</td>
<td>24.3±0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>5.11×10³±274</td>
<td>1.86×10²±30.3</td>
<td>1.33×10³±44.4</td>
<td>9.90×10⁷±219</td>
<td>5.23×10³±48.5</td>
<td>10.5×10³±208</td>
<td>3.75×10³±171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>7.14±0.549</td>
<td>5.90±7.79×10⁻²</td>
<td>7.23±0.178</td>
<td>29.4±0.895</td>
<td>23.0±9.81×10⁻²</td>
<td>26.3±0.889</td>
<td>18.8±0.420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>11.8±0.362</td>
<td>10.1±0.824</td>
<td>13.2±1.77</td>
<td>31.9±4.23</td>
<td>25.1±0.351</td>
<td>29.8±0.771</td>
<td>28.3±3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>360±4.75</td>
<td>60.6±0.985</td>
<td>350±2.71</td>
<td>787±9.68</td>
<td>746±6.50</td>
<td>825±15.8</td>
<td>706±12.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1.2 Phosphorus exposure of the pelletised biochars

Repeated exposure of biochars to 20 mg P l⁻¹ MOPS-buffered solution resulted in P capture of 0.57 ± 0.26 mg P g⁻¹ for PAD450 (mean ± 1 standard deviation, n = 2), 0.70 ± 0.40 mg P g⁻¹ for PAD550, 0.95 ± 0.18 mg P g⁻¹ for POCAD450 and 0.95 ± 0.23 mg P g⁻¹ for POCAD550. The P capture by non-pelletised biochars AD and OCA (Shepherd et al., 2016) were higher: 0.99 ± 9.3×10⁻³ mg P g⁻¹ to 1.3 ± 4.7×10⁻³ mg P g⁻¹. This may be attributed to the larger size of analysed pellet fragments (0.25–15 mm) compared to crushed particles (0.5–1.0 mm) i.e. a surface area effect.

3.1.3 Elemental associations in P capture and release for non-pelletised biochars

The significant correlations between P capture and release and bulk element concentrations are shown for AD450, AD550, OCAD450, OCAD550, ochre and activated carbon in Table 4. After 1 day (1 x 24 h) exposure to a 20 mg P l⁻¹ solution, P capture from solution was correlated negatively and strongly significantly with biochar Al, Cu, K, Na and Zn concentration. After 5 days (5 x 24 h) of exposure only Pb was significantly correlated (negatively), although Pb concentration of the materials was also very low (< 3.2 × 10⁻³ g kg⁻¹). After 5 days, P capture was positively and strongly significantly correlated with Fe, which was present in biochar at 44.6–451 g kg⁻¹.

In terms of P release following P exposure, after 1 day shaking in MOPS-buffered pH 7 DI water, P release was significantly negatively correlated with Fe, suggesting that higher Fe content is associated with lower solubility of captured P at pH 7. After 4 days the negative correlation with Fe was no longer significant, but P release was significantly positively correlated with both initial biochar Cu and Na concentration,
indicating that these elements may be present in P compounds of greater solubility after P capture.

Table 4: Pearson’s product-moment correlation coefficients for elements where a statistically significant correlation between elemental concentration and P capture or P release was determined (n = 6) at the start or end of the experiments for the first generation materials (AD450, OCAD450, AD550, OCAD550, ochre and activated carbon) using a 20 mg P l⁻¹ solution reported in Shepherd et al. (2016). * = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01, *** = p < 0.001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P capture</th>
<th>P release</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>Day 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>-0.886*</td>
<td>-0.412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>-0.961**</td>
<td>-0.478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.878*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>-0.850*</td>
<td>0.465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>-0.967**</td>
<td>-0.521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>-0.292</td>
<td>-0.887*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>-0.854*</td>
<td>-0.358</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.4 Mineral phases identified by X-ray diffraction

Due to the presence of amorphous C in the pelletised biochars, few mineral elements were identified, even using the Co method (Table 5). The analysed biochars all contained SiO₂ (quartz). Other detectable minerals were mostly complex silicates containing different combinations of Al, Ca, K, Mg, Mn and Na. The only phosphate-containing mineral detected was Al phosphate in EPOCAD450. Interestingly, no iron minerals were identified, indicating that Fe is either amorphous or present in a diversity of crystalline forms at very low individual concentrations.
Table 5: Minerals detected in the pelletised biochars using Co Kα X-ray diffraction. See Table 1 for sample nomenclature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Minerals detected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| PAD450     | SiO₂
            | Na₂S₂O₃                                               |
            | K₁₂Al₄Si₈O₂₀(OH)₄.₄H₂O                                 |
            | Na₃Mg₅Ca₅Al₁₀Si₁₁₇O₂₇₂                                 |
| PAD550     | SiO₂
            | Na₂S₂O₃                                               |
            | Na₀.₃(AlMg)₂Si₄O₁₀(OH)₂.₆H₂O                           |
| EPAD450    | SiO₂                                                  |
| EPAD550    | SiO₂
            | NaNO₃                                                 |
| POCAD450   | SiO₂
            | Na₂S₂O₃                                               |
            | MgS                                                   |
| POCAD550   | SiO₂
            | K₁₂Al₄Si₈O₂₀(OH)₄.₄H₂O                                 |
| EPOCAD450  | SiO₂
            | Na₂S₂O₃                                               |
            | NaAl(SO₄)₂.₁₁H₂O                                      |
            | Na₃Mn₅Si₁₀O₂₄(OH)₄.₆H₂O                               |
            | AlPO₄                                                 |
| EPOCAD550  | SiO₂                                                  |

3.2 Surface characterisation of the pelletised biochars

3.2.1 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

XPS analysis was conducted on the exterior surfaces of POCAD450, POCAD550 and EPOCAD450 pellets and the interior surfaces of a crushed pellet for POCAD450 and EPOCAD450. A comparison of the C1s A, B, C and D; O1S A and B; and N1sA and B bond states is depicted in Figure 1, and bonding mode designation is outlined in the Supplementary Information.
Comparison of surface and whole sample XPS analyses of relative atomic % of bond states for POCAD450, POCAD550 and EPOCAD450

a) POCAD450 surface

b) EPOCAD450 surface

c) POCAD550 surface

d) EPOCAD450 surface
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Figure 1: Comparative log_{10}-log_{10} plots of relative atomic percentage of bond states identified by XPS. a) Comparison of POCAD450 surface and whole sample analyses. b) Comparison of EPOCAD450 surface and whole sample analyses. c) Comparison of POCAD450 and POCAD550 surface analyses. Bond state O1s B was detected for POCAD450 (relative atomic percentage 2.72 %) but not POCAD550, so this does not appear on the plot d) Comparison of POCAD450 and EPOCAD450 surface analyses.

The Fe2p3 results for POCAD450 and EPOCAD450 (Supplementary Table 3a) did not support the presence of Fe(0) or predominantly Fe(II) state iron compounds, as these were not detectable. The binding energy spectra indicate the possible presence of the Fe(III) compound Fe₂O₃ or FeOOH on the surface of POCAD450 with a peak at 710.85 eV, whilst the Fe2p3 A peak observed at 711.51 eV in the interior surface indicates the presence of either the Fe(II)/(III) compound Fe₃O₄ and/or surface Fe-O-PO₃²⁻. This suggests that the overall concentration of Fe₂O₃ or FeOOH is relatively small compared with the concentration of Fe₃O₄ and/or surface Fe-O-PO₃²⁻. The surface of the EPOCAD450 also produced a Fe2p3 peak at 711.56 eV rather than 710.85 eV. The shifting of the Fe2p3 A peak from 710.85 eV and associated Fe³⁺ satellite peak at 718.65 eV on the surface of POCAD450 to 711.56 eV and 720.33 eV respectively in EPOCAD450 may indicate Fe-O-PO₃²⁻ bonding during P exposure, as phosphate-iron interactions have been observed to cause similar energy shifts in previous studies (Arshadi et al., 2015; Fang et al., 2015; Mallet et al., 2013). Both spectra indicate the presence of iron sulfate compounds, which may be important.

The Fe2p3 peaks observed for the surface of POCAD550 differ slightly from those of the 450°C pair. The Fe2p3 A peak, similar to the surface of EPOCAD450, is observed
at 711.52 eV, indicating either the presence of the Fe(II)/(III) compound Fe₃O₄ and/or surface Fe-O-PO₃². The Fe2p3 B peak indicates the presence of FeSO₄, and/or is a satellite of a Fe²⁺ peak. Importantly, the POCAD550 Fe2p3 C peak was uniquely located at a lower energy of 708.82 eV, which indicates the presence of reduced Fe compounds such as FeS₂, FeO and/or FeS.

Interpretation of the C, N, O and mineral peaks showed no differences in the proportion of P, S, Ca, Fe and Na between POCAD450 and EPOCAD450 (Supplementary Table 3b). In terms of P, there is no difference in surface P concentration after P exposure as it is very low relative to the native P concentration. The abundance of Si, C and N was higher in relative terms for the EPOCAD450 pellet, while Al, O, F and Mg were relatively less abundant. The only element present at a higher proportion on the surface of EPOCAD450 compared to POCAD450 was C. In contrast to the whole sample results, in the surface EPOCAD450 sample there was also lower detection of P, Si, Ca, N, Fe, and Na compared to the surface of POCAD450.

Comparison of results for the surfaces of POCAD550 and POCAD450 pellets indicates a higher proportion of C overall and lower proportions of P, S, N, O and Fe in POCAD550. Heating of pure goethite (FeOOH) at temperatures > 600°C results in sintering (Cornell and Schwertmann, 1996), so it is possible that the detection of a lower proportion of Fe reflects sintering of Fe minerals at the 550°C HTT and a decrease in overall Fe area, rather than loss of Fe from the biochar surface. The fact that there was no difference in the distribution of the proportions of Al, Si, Ca and Mg probably reflects the stability of minerals that contain these elements at higher temperatures (Steenari and Lindqvist, 1998). At higher HTTs a higher proportion of C
relative to O may be expected, as O and H are more completely eliminated. It is possible
that proportionally more feedstock N, P and S was also eliminated in the preparation of
POCAD550 than POCAD450, on account of their own volatility (Magdziarz and Wilk,
2013).

3.2.2 Laser ablation ICP-MS
Mass spectral data from LA-ICP-MS analysis of POCAD450, POCAD550,
EPOCAD450 and EPOCAD550 were analysed to identify correlation of P with the
abundance of selected other elements: Al, Ca, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Na, P, Pb, S and Si.
The selection of these elements was based on their predicted association with P and/or
their high concentrations within the biochars. The results are shown in Table 6, with
plots for the variation of elemental concentration per line scan in Supplementary
Figure 1. Phosphorus was significantly positively correlated with Mg, Al, K, Mn, Fe,
Cu and Pb for POCAD450, whilst after P exposure (EPOCAD450) the only strong
significant correlation ($\rho < 0.650$) was positive and was with Al. For POCAD550 there
was strong positive and significant correlation between P and Mg, Al, Si, K, Ca, Mn, Cu
and Pb. After P exposure (EPOCAD550), the correlations with Al, Si, K, Ca and Cu
remained highly significant. There was a marked difference between POCAD450 and
POCAD550 in the correlation coefficient of P with Fe (0.807 vs 0.462). For this reason,
correlations of Fe with other elements were also calculated (Table 6). Fe was strongly
and significantly positively correlated with P, Mg, S, Mn, Cu and Pb in POCAD450, but
only S and Mn in POCAD550. In EPOCAD550 the only significant correlation with Fe
that remained strong ($\rho < 0.650$) was with S, which was also positive.

Table 6: Correlation coefficients of P and Fe to other elements analysed by LA-ICP-MS
($n = \sim 220$). Spearman’s $\rho$ is reported, except for correlations marked with $^{P}$, where all
data were normally distributed so Pearson’s product-moment correlation has been
reported. N.S. = no significant correlation. * = p<0.05, ** = p<0.01, *** = p<0.001, **** = p< 0.001.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was also conducted on the LA-ICP-MS data to identify the main clustering patterns of the elements on the surface of the biochars. The PCA results are shown in Figure 2 and detailed information on the Principal Components (PCs) of each sample is in Supplementary Tables S4–S7. Three PCs were identified for POCAD450. The first PC axis separated sample locations from the line scans (~220 for each biochar) enriched in Fe, Mn, S and Cu from sample locations enriched in all other elements except for P, which was invariant along the second PC axis. The concentration of all analysed elements increased along the second PC axis apart from P. The clustering of elemental concentrations in the sample locations was different after P exposure. Six PCs were identified for EPOCAD450. Along the first PC axis, the concentrations of Al, Si, Ca, K, Na, Pb and S varied in the same direction, whilst Mg, Cu, P, Mn and Fe concentrations varied together and separately from the other group of elements. Again, the second PC axis separated sample locations which
contained either higher or lower concentrations of all of the analysed elements. Analysis of the POCAD550 data revealed five PCs and similar sample locations distribution to POCAD450 along the first PC, with a strong association between the concentrations of Fe and S, the variance of which was also related to that of Mn. All other elemental concentrations varied together, apart from Cu and Pb, which were invariant along the second PC axis. Similar to EPOCAD450, quite different elemental sample location distributions were identified after P exposure in EPOCAD550. Six PCs were identified, with sample locations enriched in Na, Mg and Al separated from sample locations enriched in Ca, Cu, Pb, Mn, Fe and S along the first PC axis. Iron and S were still strongly covariant, whilst K, P and Si were invariant along the second PC axis. Along the second PC axis, samples containing higher amounts of Fe and S separated from samples enriched in all other elements analysed. Unlike the transition observed in properties from POCAD450 to EPOCAD450, there was no evidence of dissociation of Fe and S in the higher temperature POCAD550 biochar after P exposure.
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Figure 2: Principal component analysis of LA-ICP-MS spectral data obtained from a) POCAD450 b) EPOCAD450 c) POCAD550 and d) EPOCAD550. For each analysis n = ~220. The bar plots show proportion of variance of each principal component identified in the analysis. The adjacent plots show the distribution of samples along the first and second principal component axes, with arrows indicating the direction of the relevant vectors.

3.2.3 Scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy

Visual comparison of SEM-EDX images from PAD450 and EPAD450 (Figure 3a-b) highlights the typical effect of P exposure on pores and mineral phases at the biochar exterior surface. Although the EDX spectra show similar element proportions overall, there is more P and Fe as well as considerably more exposed C (and somewhat less S) in EPAD (Figure 3c-d).

SEM highlighted surface heterogeneity at various scales in the pelletised biochars (Figure 4). Mineral phases in POCAD450 remain after P exposure (Figure 4a-1). Carbon surfaces are revealed (Figure 4a-2) and distinctive crystalline mineral phases are apparent (4a-3). The latter may have formed during the P exposure process or been exposed by dissolution of other phases. The masking of a carbon framework by a variety of Si-rich mineral phases is a feature commonly observed at high resolution (Figure 4c). Phosphorus deposits may be observed in positions also relatively enriched in Al, Ca and Fe (Figure 4f).

EDX mapping was used to visualise the co-location of elements in SEM images of EPOCAD450 in a spot identified as carbon framework with mineral deposits (and
representative of other sites with similar morphology) (Figure 5). The map shows clear separation of the carbon-rich area from other elements aside from O. The mineral deposits were shown to contain predominantly P, Si, Al and O. EDX mapping of a spot on POCAD550 (Figure 6) highlights the general elemental heterogeneity of the external surfaces of the biochars observed during the SEM analyses. The maps show the location of native P relative to other elements. Compared to EPOCAD550, a lower proportion of C was measured at the surface of POCAD550. The same was observed for EPOCAD450 compared to POCAD450. Aluminium, P, Fe and O were present across the whole spot of POCAD550 mapped in Figure 6, but were also concentrated in small 1–10 μm domains. Calcium was found to be located diffusely across the right side of the image, but only in concentrated domains to the left. Sulfur, Si and Mg were predominantly found in concentrated phases. The relative distribution of P, C, Ca, Si, Al and Fe can be seen in the overlay maps at the bottom of Figure 6, which supports the findings of Ca, Si and Al co-variation and Fe and P covariation in the LA-ICP-MS analysis of POCAD550 in Figure 2. Additional structural and chemical detail can be found in additional SEM images, EDX spectra and maps in Supplementary Figures S2-S6.
Figure 3: SEM and EDX spectrum of PAD450 (a,c) and EPAD450 (b,d) showing differences in surface morphology pre (PAD450) and post (EPAD450) P exposure.
Figure 4: SEM-EDX data obtained from EPOCAD450. SEM image a) shows clay mineral phases (1), exposed carbon lattice (2) and newly formed or exposed mineral phases (3). SEM image b) shows mineral particles around the carbon lattice. Image c) shows the heterogeneous nature of the surface, and EDX spectrum d) shows the
elements present in c), e) shows high magnification SEM image and EDX spectra of P deposits on the surface of EPOCAD and f) shows the elements present in e).

Figure 5: SEM-EDX map of EPOCAD450, showing spatial separation of C with O, Si, P and Al.
Figure 6: SEM-EDX map of POCAD550, showing the localisation of native P (centre), as well as C, S, O, Al, Fe, Si, Ca, and Mg. Overlay maps of C (red), Ca (yellow) and P (blue); Ca (yellow), Si (green) and P (blue); and Ca (yellow), P (blue) and Fe (red) are also shown.
4. Discussion

4.1 Effect of feedstock composition, processing and pyrolysis conditions on P capture

The results of this study demonstrate that the interaction of P (as phosphate) with sewage sludge-derived biochar is not a simple process which can be described by one specific mechanism or element within the biochar matrix. At the macroscale (i.e. the particle scale – mg and mm), each specific sewage sludge/ochre biochar material is quite homogeneous, as demonstrated by the low standard deviations of replicated determinations of total element composition by ICP-OES analyses of sample digests (Tables 2 and 3) and of P uptake in the experiments with more uniform material sizes (Shepherd et al., 2016). This reflects sufficient feedstock blending and indicates that the pyrolysis process has occurred uniformly throughout the materials. In contrast, the results of the XRD, SEM and LA-ICP-MS analyses highlight the microscale heterogeneity of the materials. Although microscale heterogeneity is not necessarily important for overall P capture capacity, more detailed studies of biochar microscale structure in future work would provide better insights into mineralogy, which may further assist in the selection of optimal HTT and feedstock blends for P capture and release, especially when mineral wastes of variable composition are used as the source of P-reactive elements.

4.1.1 Iron plays a key role in P capture for biochars produced at 450°C

Relatively small additions of ochre (10 % w/w) in the feedstock increased the Fe concentration in pelletised POCAD biochars by 54–61% compared to the sewage sludge-only equivalents (PAD). This is important, as Fe was the only element found to
be strongly and significantly correlated positively to P uptake after 5 days exposure to P.

Considering the findings of Sibrell et al. (2009) examining P capture in ochres with differing chemical compositions, it is possible that the superior P capture characteristics demonstrated by AD450 could be related to the relatively equal content of Al and Fe in AD450 compared to AD550 (1:1.12 vs 1:1.6). Although the ochre-containing biochars captured more P from 5 days repeated exposure to a 20 mg l$^{-1}$ solution, the mean P capture by AD450 was slightly higher (though not significantly) than that of OCAD450 from both the 800 mg l$^{-1}$ P (9.72 ± 0.657 mg P g$^{-1}$ compared to 9.37 ± 0.872 mg P l$^{-1}$) and the 3 g l$^{-1}$ P solutions (25.9 ± 5.10 mg P g$^{-1}$ compared to 20.4 ± 6.35 mg P g$^{-1}$). This indicates that, although a greater concentration of Fe may increase the rate of P capture at low concentrations of external P solution, a balance of Al and Fe may favour the reaction kinetics for P removal at higher external P concentration. (Ainsworth et al., 1985) This is an important consideration for feedstock design, as mineral waste rich in Al may be more suitable for combination with Fe-rich sewage sludge than iron ochre for applications targeted at capturing P from high concentration P sources, but only if they are to be used in non-acidic soils, or in conjunction with liming treatments to mitigate Al toxicity to plants. Al was strongly and significantly correlated with P before and after P exposure in the LA-ICP-MS analyses, further indicating the importance of Al for P capture by biochar.

4.1.2 Feedstock pelletisation affects elemental composition of biochar

Comparison of the newly prepared pelleted biochars and non-pelletised biochars previously described in Shepherd et al. (2016) showed that pelletisation and/or
continuous (rather than batch) pyrolysis processing results in greater overall retention of elements within the biochar matrix, relative to carbon. This is most likely due to a reduction in the loss of material to the gas phase, or a different trajectory of pyrolytic reactions in the continuous-flow furnace compared to the gradual heating process in a batch kiln. Rapid expulsion of gases from the pellets as the cold feedstock reaches the hot continuous-flow kiln may result in different pyrolytic reactions than if the feedstock was slowly heated to the same temperature, similar to the reported explanation for the differences between gas, oil and solid yield between fast and slow pyrolysis (Onay and Kockar, 2003).

4.1.3 Increasing highest treatment temperature changes iron oxidation state, sulfur interactions and mineral structure

Comparison of elemental variation in the first PC in the analysis of LA-ICP-MS results shows great similarity between POCAD450 and POCAD550, accounting for 77 and 61% of sample variance respectively. Covariance of Fe, S and Mn was identified in both, however the correlation of Fe and S was much stronger in POCAD550 than POCAD450 (Figure 2), indicating possible differences in mineral structure due to pyrolysis HTT.

The stronger relationship between Fe and S in POCAD550 compared to POCAD450 was supported by visual evidence from SEM-EDX. EDX analyses of POCAD450 revealed sites where Fe and S were covariant (as in Supplementary Figure S4a), and non-covariant (as in Supplementary Figures S4b-c and S5), whilst the same analysis of POCAD550 revealed more sites where Fe and S were found in higher concentrations together (Figure 6 and Supplementary Figure S7). These results may suggest reduced
iron species (Fe(I) or Fe(II)) are present in POCAD550, but not POCAD450 or EPOCAD450, where Fe appears to be present in Fe(III) and mixed Fe(II/III) compounds.

Considering these points together, is possible that at the 550°C HTT insoluble, reduced Fe/S compounds have formed to a greater extent than at 450°C, in addition to complex mixed mineral phases that contain both Fe and S. Further high-resolution analysis using Thermogravimetric analysis–mass spectroscopy (TG-MS), soft X-rays and Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) would be required to clarify this.

The ochre used in this study consisted of 100% goethite (Carr, 2012). When heated to temperatures between 140–500°C in the presence of air, goethite transforms to haematite by thermal dihydroxylation (Cornell and Schwertmann, 1996). Under reducing pyrolysis conditions and the presence of carbon, it is also possible that magnetite (Fe₃O₄, Fe (II)(III) oxide) and maghemite (γFe₂O₃, Fe(III) oxide) forms (Campbell et al., 1997). Thermal treatment of goethite results in the development of microporosity due to expulsion of water from the mineral lattice, creating more surfaces with which P can react. Pore sizes increase further as temperatures increase, however, as previously discussed, above 600°C the mineral sinters, which results in a large decrease in porosity (Cornell and Schwertmann, 1996). Hence pyrolysis HTTs of less than 600°C may be necessary for biochars containing large amounts of iron oxyhydroxides if high surface area and P reactivity are desired.

4.2 P capture processes
4.2.1 The role of organic functional groups on biochar surfaces in P capture
Despite the modest pyrolysis temperatures used in this study, SEM-EDX mapping and XPS analysis did not provide strong evidence for C-O-P bonding, or carbon functionality generally. It has been suggested that phosphate could undergo ligand exchange with hydroxyl or carboxyl groups on the surface of the biochar carbon lattice (Laird and Rogovska, 2015), however ligand exchange reactions only occur in metal complexes. Synthesis of the proposed phosphoester species proceeds via a condensation reaction, is favoured by decreasing pH (inconsistent with biochar surfaces), and requires high temperatures, condensing agents or condensed phosphate reagents, which also require significant temperatures for synthesis (Gull et al., 2014). In biological systems (at pH values closer to 7) the phosphorylation reaction requires enzyme catalysis, so the proposed mechanisms are unlikely to occur on biochar surfaces in environmental systems unless mediated by an organism which has some biological need to perform this reaction.

There is no evidence, in this study or in the literature, to suggest that the carbon fraction of biochar plays a major role in P capture, other than providing an essential support on which the mineral elements which do interact with P can be anchored, and improving the value of the end-product for use in agriculture. A significant increase in P sorption was observed in washed compared to unwashed oak biochar (Hollister et al., 2013), however the concentration of P sorbed by even the washed biochar was quite small (0.077 mg P g⁻¹, 13.5% of the lowest amount of P captured in this study), so the effect of washing to expose carbon surfaces appears to be over-estimated. The increasingly common practice of adding chemical elements to biochar for P capture enhancement is additional evidence for this assertion (Chen et al., 2011; Fang et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016; Park et al., 2015; Ren et al., 2015; Yao et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2013, 2012). It is
evident that metal cation-mediated interactions with organic functional groups on biochar surfaces (or precipitation reactions) explain the high P capture capacities demonstrated by particular biochars.

The point of zero charge (PZC) of biochars reported in the literature are generally low (Mukherjee et al., 2011; Qiu et al., 2009; Silber et al., 2010), so it is likely that at pH > 3 biochar surfaces will be negatively charged. Theoretically, at high pH a hydroxyl or carboxyl group on the surface of biochar will be deprotonated in solution, forming an oxyanion which will be resonance stabilised by the delocalisation of electrons in the aromatic biochar structure. This makes these groups more acidic than the equivalent group in an aryl alcohol. The oxyanion will be nucleophilic, and could attack the phosphate P (capturing the phosphate from solution), which, under basic conditions, will be relatively electron poor due to the presence of two electronegative oxyanions and a C=O group. The likelihood of these two negatively charged species coming together for reaction is low, however, due to electrostatic repulsion. Cations in solution may reduce this effect but, even so, the nucleophilic attack of the phosphate P by the biochar oxyanion is also sterically hindered. The equivalent argument can be made for the potential interactions between phosphate and N-heterocycles on biochar surfaces. Whilst not chemically impossible, the likelihood is low of these reactions contributing in any significant way to P capture mechanisms in biochar materials in wastewater treatment systems.

4.3 Conceptual model of P capture by biochar from aqueous solution

4.3.1 Initial solubilisation and mobilisation of native biochar components
In the P capture experiments biochar is held in aqueous P solution buffered at pH 7. A simplified reaction mechanism for the interaction of aqueous phosphate with metal oxides on the biochar surface is given in Figure 7. Soluble organic and mineral compounds are presumably released into solution at a rate that depends on their proximity to the surface of the biochar (limited by pore size and connectivity) as well as their solubility in the P solution and binding mechanisms. As demonstrated for soil, the energy required for P exchange from soil water to soil particles is very similar to that of the reverse reaction (Barrow, 2015), so the direction of this reaction in biochar (i.e. P capture or release) will be similarly dictated partly by the amount of P already within the biochar structure relative to its capacity for P uptake (probably related to the concentration and composition of certain mineral components).
Figure 7: Likely predominant AD sewage sludge biochar P sorption mechanism where the metal $= M^{2+/3+}$. Iron oxyhydroxides are presented based on the experimental evidence pointing to their significance in these biochars. (a) In a pH 7 buffered aqueous environment the basic phosphate species $\text{HPO}_4^{2-}$ reacts with water to form the acidic $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$ species. (b) Deprotonation of a phosphate hydroxide moiety by the iron oxyhydroxide, followed by phosphate rearrangement and subsequent deprotonation of a water molecule, producing hydroxide, regenerates $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4^-$ and renders the iron prone to nucleophilic attack by phosphate. The process can repeat on an adjacent
ironoxyhydroxide moiety, forming a stabilised ring structure. (c) Balanced equations for the overall reaction where either $H_2PO_4^-$ or $HPO_4^{2-}$ is the starting species.

The initial process of solubilisation of different phases within the biochar structure is likely to open up the pore structure, as evident in Figures 2–4 and Supplementary Figures S2–S6. It is possible that, due to the comparatively low ratio of C compared to minerals in the sewage sludge feedstock, the carbon structure will contain a range of pore sizes. Physical determinations of porosity were not undertaken in this study, but visual SEM observation supports this hypothesis. During pyrolysis non-volatile mineral elements are likely to restrict the formation of aromatic sheets and promote pore formation (Rawal et al., 2016).

XPS analysis of surface and whole samples of POCAD450 and EPOCAD450 revealed a “loss” of P, Si, Ca, N, Fe, and Na from the exterior (but not interior) surfaces after P exposure. It is possible that this reflects solubilisation and loss of these elements from the surface into solution during P exposure, but another explanation is that the elements were re-arranged on the surface after initial solubilisation, forming localised mineral complexes (Figures 8 and 9). The formation of these complexes would result in exposure of the carbon lattice, as seen in the SEM images (Figures 2–4), coupled with mineral expansion out from (rather than laterally across) exterior surfaces. In XPS analysis after P exposure mineral elements located closer to the biochar surface might not be detected owing to masking by mineral layers and thus falsely appear to been “lost”. The LA-ICP-MS results also indicate that solubilisation and re-association occurred, with the number of significant correlations between P and other elements lower in EPOCAD than corresponding POCAD biochars.
Figure 8: Phosphate-reactive metal phases on the biochar surfaces in these biochars.

The degree of hydrogenation of the metal oxides decreases with increasing pH and is affected by the pyrolysis highest treatment temperature (HTT). Experimental observations indicate that in addition to hydroxyl ligand exchange, biochar phosphate capture also occurs at sites vacated by sulfate. These reactive sites can form inner sphere and outer sphere compounds with phosphate, with some examples shown.

The SEM-EDX, LA-ICP-MS and XRD analyses collectively suggest that non-soluble mineral phases remain on and in the biochar structure after P exposure. If this is correct, non-soluble phases anchored on biochar surfaces via soluble organic or mineral phases would become detached from the surface over time, as other phases dissolve. Minerals on the external surface of the biochar would then be lost from biochar into solution, those remaining in pores either being washed out over time or remaining trapped. Surface area to volume ratio and pore structure may affect the release of less soluble mineral phases.
Figure 9: Graphical representation of reactions which occur when biochar is placed in a pH 7 buffered phosphate solution. (1) Dissolution of phosphate from soluble ash/mineral phases. Phosphate may be released into solution or re-associate with biochar surfaces via mineral or organomineral interactions with other mixed species, such as Al, Fe, Si, Mg, Ca, K and Na in these materials. (2) Dissolution of soluble organic species from surfaces. These species may stay in solution or re-associate with species on the surfaces in organomineral clusters. (3) Dissolution of sulfate minerals (as observed in the biochars produced at 450°C). Sulfate may stay in solution or re-associate with surfaces in mineral or organomineral clusters. (4) Electrostatic association of phosphate to biochar surfaces via cation mediated interactions with surface organic functional groups. Phosphate may either be re-released into solution due to the relative weakness of the interactions or react chemically with mineral phases resulting in stronger retention. (5) Chemisorption of phosphate to iron and/or aluminium oxide phases on the biochar surfaces. (6) Release of insoluble ash and mineral phases into the aqueous phase due to weak binding or lack of binding to biochar surfaces. (7) Solubilisation of other minerals from within the biochar structure. (8) Precipitation of phosphates from solution with calcium mineral phases. (9) Native phosphate phases will be found within the biochar pore structure and will not be immediately accessible for dissolution, as per scenario (1). These phases will be released more gradually, over time.

4.3.2 Interaction of P with biochar surfaces and mobilised elements

In a solution phosphate interacts with solubilised mineral elements to form phosphate complexes which, depending on the phosphate coordination mechanism, can also interact with soluble organic compounds or organic surface-bound functional groups.
Once chemically bound or precipitated onto a surface phosphate-mineral and -organomineral compounds can interact with other elements in solution. Phosphate ligands can be labile and coordinate to metals in different ways, e.g. monodentate vs bidentate coordination via O atoms (Arai and Sparks, 2001). Thus the way in which phosphate binds to the surface of biochar is dependent on the other species present and will change over time as different compounds dissolve and the local environment changes (see Figures 8 and 9).

4.3.2.1 Monovalent cations interrupt P capture and enhances P release

For the 20 mg l⁻¹ P treatments (Shepherd et al., 2016), Al, Cu, K, Na, and Zn concentrations in the biochars were all significantly negatively correlated with P capture on day 1. In addition, Pb was negatively correlated with P capture on day 5 (Table 4). The presence of high concentrations of mono- and divalent salts in the biochar samples that could dissolve in the P exposure experiments (Tables 2 and 3) may result in stabilisation of the H₂PO₄⁻ anion in solution, reducing the rate of capture. Furthermore, K from the K₂HPO₄ used to make the phosphate solution would exacerbate this effect. Indeed the lack of similar correlations in the day 5 and 800 mg P l⁻¹ treatments supports this interpretation, as by day 5 much of the K and Na will have leached from the biochar and, in the higher concentration experiments the concentration of phosphate will be much higher relative to the concentrations of K and Na leaching from the biochar. Positive correlation of Na with P release also supports this interpretation.

In the 800 mg l⁻¹ P experiments and not the 20 mg l⁻¹ P experiments, however, K is negatively (but not significantly) associated with P release (Pearson’s product-moment correlation = -0.636, p value = 0.175). This may be an electrostatic effect, where excess
K from the higher concentration treatment (added with the phosphate solution as 
\( \text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4 \)) interacts with the biochar surface making it more positively charged, reducing 
the repulsion effect that slows down P capture over time (Barrow, 2015). As ash is 
washed from the biochar during the experiment, more of the negative carbon functional 
groups of the biochar are exposed, increasing the possibility for K to interact in this 
way. For the higher concentration treatments at least, any effect of K on P capture and 
release is most likely an artefact of the experimental setup and may not be observed 
when the materials are used in a wastewater treatment plant.

4.3.2.2 Mixed Fe and Al minerals are involved in P capture

Biochar Fe concentration was significantly positively correlated with P capture and 
negatively correlated with P release. As well as P captured during the adsorption 
experiments, XPS analysis indicated that native P is associated with Fe in the biochars. 
Phosphorus and Fe can react during pyrolysis, especially when ochre is present in the 
feedstock as during pyrolysis as it can act as an acid catalyst (Joseph et al., 2013). Clay, 
when heated, can liberate a range of chemical species including HF, HCl, HBr, H₂S and 
HP (Heller-Kallai et al., 1988). Interior biochar surfaces characterised by XPS showed 
the presence of Fe₃O₄ in both POCAD450 and EPOCAD450 as well as the surface of 
the latter, whilst Fe₂O₃ was only identified on the surface of POCAD450. It is possible 
that P exposure acts to prevent oxidation of the biochar surfaces, and that P sorption 
occurs without oxidising Fe(II), therefore possibly only occurring at Fe(III) sites, but 
additional XPS analysis would be required to fully explore these hypotheses.

For pelletised biochar from sludge–ochre mixes correlation analysis using LA-ICP-MS 
data showed strongly significant positive correlation between the concentration of P and
Al after P exposure (EPOCAD450) or with Al, Si, K, Ca and Cu (EPOCAD550). PCA of LA-ICP-MS data for the P-exposed EPOCAD450 biochar showed that P concentration variation was related to that of Fe, Mn, Cu and Mg in the first and second PCs. Compared to POCAD450, the relationship between the variance of Fe and Mn with P was stronger whilst the relationship of P with the variance of S decreased, suggesting replacement of sulfate by phosphate as a potential sorption mechanism, which is supported by the SEM-EDX data. This was not the case for EPOCAD550, in which P concentration variation was not related to that of Fe, S and Mn in the first and second PCs, yet the relationship between the variance of Fe and S in POCAD550 was maintained after P exposure, suggesting a different mechanism of P capture compared to POCAD450. The bulk P capture for POCAD450 and POCAD550 were nearly identical (0.95 ± 0.18 mg P g⁻¹ and 0.95 ± 0.23 mg P g⁻¹, respectively), so although it appears that different mechanisms predominate in biochars prepared at contrasting HTTs, the net effect on P capture is similar. The heterogeneity of the biochars and therefore P capture mechanisms most likely increases, as evidenced by the increased number of PCs in the EPOCAD compared to POCAD biochars, as well as the smaller relative contribution of PC1 in the former cases. These analyses provide information on the main sources of variation between sample locations on the biochar surface but also highlight the high amount of heterogeneity on the microscale.

Although Ca was only identified as strongly significantly correlated to P capture in the LA-ICP-MS analysis of EPOCAD550, as it is present at a relatively high abundance in the biochars it is likely that precipitation of P by Ca occurs in each of them to some extent. The buffered pH 7 of the system may not favour this reaction, which could explain why Ca concentration did not correlate with P capture consistently. High P
capture from mallee tree biochar produced at 720°C HTT has been reported in the
literature (Zhang et al., 2016). The authors suggest that the mechanism of P capture is
via precipitation of P as CaHPO$_4$ by substituting for HCO$_3^{2-}$ and OH$^-$ on CaHCO$_3^+$ or
Ca(OH)$_2$ mineral surfaces of the biochar. This seems quite likely, as the biochar had a
pH of 10.2, which strongly favours Ca phosphate precipitation, and contained 1.3% Ca
by weight but no Fe or Al. Importantly, whilst washing of the biochar with deionised
water significantly increased P capture but did not greatly affect Ca concentration,
washing with acid significantly decreased P capture, with an associated decrease of Ca
content in the biochar to 0.1%. The precipitation of hydroxyapatite was observed in
similar experiments obtained using cement-bound ochre to capture P from a non-
t Buffered P solution (Littler et al., 2013).

4.4 Practical significance

Considered together, our results suggest that the mechanisms of P retention by biochar
made from sewage sludge, although homogeneous and reproducible at the macroscale,
will be highly heterogeneous at the micro and nanoscale and dependent on: (1)
feedstock composition, in particular Fe and Al concentration (but also Ca and Mg); (2)
pyrolysis conditions, where these affect the solubility of the mineral elements formed
during the process; (3) the reactivity of the chemical elements released from the soluble
mineral elements into solution during the P sorption/capture process; (4) the pH of the
system, as this directly affects (3); and (5) the concentration of phosphate in solution as
this will shift the equilibrium of the reaction, which has a similar activation energy in
the forwards and backwards directions (Barrow, 2015).
This study has shown that pelletisation of sewage sludge leads to retention of elements within the biochar structure and/or contamination from the pelletising process compared to non-pelletised sewage sludge. This is a positive feature for retention of nutrients, but could lead to retention of potentially toxic elements (PTEs) inhibiting the environmental suitability of biochar.

Our results also highlight the importance of minerals within the biochar structure for their observed functionality in soil. When added to soil to improve soil quality in some way, biochar mineral composition should be considered in combination with the nutrient status of the biochar and the soil, as well as pH, in order to predict the effect on nutrient mobility in the system. The PZC of the different minerals is an important aspect, as this will dictate whether the mineral surfaces will be positively or negatively charged at the native pH of the biochar, controlling the interactions between these phases in biochar and nutrients or PTEs. The pH of the environment into which biochar is added will also have an effect on this, so it is important to consider when tailoring a biochar material to a specific purpose.

5. Conclusions

Sustainably produced biochar prepared from pelletised digested sewage sludge has an affinity for P in solution higher than activated carbon and thus potential for P capture and recycling. The inclusion of goethite-containing ochre as a minor ingredient prior to sludge prior to pelletising and pyrolysis results in biochar that captures more P than pelletised biochar from sludge only. Based on correlation analysis and surface examination, Fe and Al present in complex mineral phases containing also Si, Mg and Ca explained the P capture properties. Pyrolysis yields a greater proportion of less
soluble Fe/S minerals at 550°C than at 450°C, which may result in lower P capture over the long term.
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