Extracellular Vesicles from a Helminth Parasite Suppress Macrophage Activation and Constitute an Effective Vaccine for Protective Immunity
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In Brief
Coakley et al. find that extracellular vesicles (EVs) from a nematode parasite can suppress host macrophage parasite activation and the alarmin receptor ST2 and that this can be blocked by antibodies. Vaccination with EVs drives strong antibody responses, conferring protection against infection. The authors thus highlight a role for EVs in parasite-host crosstalk.
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SUMMARY

Recent studies have demonstrated that many parasites release extracellular vesicles (EVs), yet little is known about the specific interactions of EVs with immune cells or their functions during infection. We show that EVs secreted by the gastrointestinal nematode *Heligmosomoides polygyrus* are internalized by macrophages and modulate their activation. EV internalization causes downregulation of type 1 and type 2 immune-response-associated molecules (IL-6 and TNF, and Ym1 and RELMα) and inhibits expression of the IL-33 receptor subunit ST2. Co-incubation with EV antibodies abrogated suppression of alternative activation and was associated with increased co-localization of the EVs with lysosomes. Furthermore, mice vaccinated with EV-alum generated protective immunity against larval challenge, highlighting an important role in vivo. In contrast, ST2-deficient mice are highly susceptible to infection, and they are unable to clear parasites following EV vaccination. Hence, macrophage activation and the IL-33 pathway are targeted by *H. polygyrus* EVs, while neutralization of EV function facilitates parasite expulsion.

INTRODUCTION

The co-evolution of parasites with their hosts has driven increasingly sophisticated mechanisms of cross-species communication. Recent reports describe the release of extracellular vesicles (EVs) by a broad spectrum of parasites, which may play a central role in this communication (Coakley et al., 2015; Deatherage and Cookson, 2012). EVs can be generated by endocytic pathways or are directly released from the plasma membrane, as documented in the secretions of intracellular *Leishmania* spp. and *Trypanosoma cruzi* parasites (Gonçalves et al., 1991; Silverman et al., 2010). Additionally, EVs are released by extracellular pathogens, providing a mechanism for the import of parasite cargo into host cells, including virulence factors from diverse protozoan parasites, such as *Trypanosoma brucei* and *Trichomonas vaginalis* (Szempruch et al., 2016; Twu et al., 2013).

EVs have also been shown to be a ubiquitous component of metazoan helminth parasite secretions (Chaiyadet et al., 2015; Cwiklinski et al., 2015; Hansen et al., 2015; Marcilla et al., 2012; Nowacki et al., 2015; Tzelos et al., 2016; Zamanian et al., 2015). Helminths are extracellular pathogens that establish long-term chronic infections through the suppression or subversion of host immunity (Coakley et al., 2016; Pearson et al., 2012). A widely used mouse model of chronic helmint infection is the intestinal nematode *Heligmosomoides polygyrus*, due to its potent immunoregulatory properties (Behnke et al., 2009; Filbey et al., 2014), which can be largely replicated by excretory-secretory products from this parasite, termed “HES” (McSorley et al., 2014).

We recently discovered that *H. polygyrus* releases exosome-like EVs that are present in HES, suggesting a mechanism for shuttling parasite factors into host cells (Buck et al., 2014). These EVs contain an array of small non-coding RNAs and a specific subset of proteins, and they were shown to modulate murine host gene expression. In particular, the administration of *H. polygyrus* EVs inhibits the activation of type 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILC2) and eosinophils during an allergic airway response.
in vivo. Additionally, *H. polygyrus* EVs suppress the receptor for the alarmin cytokine IL-33, in both ILC2s and an intestinal epithelial cell line (Buck et al., 2014).

Binding of IL-33 to the IL-33 receptor (IL-33R, or its subunit, known as T1/ST2, or ST2) is a key interaction that initiates responses in allergy and infection (Molofsky et al., 2015). The release of alarmin cytokines, including IL-33, is closely associated with helminth-mediated tissue damage (Perrigouët et al., 2008; Rostan et al., 2015) and the initiation of type 2 immune responses. A further IL-33-responsive cell is the macrophage, which is strongly polarized to an alternatively activated pheno-
type following stimulation through IL-33R (Kurowska-Stolarska et al., 2009) and plays a key role in immunity to *H. polygyrus* infection (Anthony et al., 2006; Filbey et al., 2014; Hewitson et al., 2015). Expression of IL-33R is thus associated with host protection from different helminthic diseases. ST2-deficient mice have impaired immune responses with which to challenge Schistosoma mansoni (Townsend et al., 2000), Nippostrongylus brasiliensis, and Trichinella spiralis (Neill et al., 2010; Scafalone et al., 2013), as well as increased susceptibility to a wider range of infectious pathogens (Rostan et al., 2015).

Macrophages and epithelial cells play a central role in driving intestinal immunity to helminths, and, thus, they serve as a prime target for EVs derived from these parasites. In this study, we aimed to understand the mode and function of uptake in these cell types. We demonstrate efficient uptake of EVs by macrophages, which can be functionally blocked by the addition of EV-specific antibodies or inhibitors of actin polymerization. Importantly, the nematode EVs suppress both classical (type 1) and alternative (type 2) activation of macrophages (termed M1 or M2), leading to diminished levels of IL-6, IL-12p40, and TNF, or CD206, CCL17, Ym1, and RELMα, respectively. Independently, nematode EVs suppress expression of the IL-33R in vitro. Interestingly, protective immunity to infection can be induced by vaccination with helminth EVs, but worm expulsion fails in ST2-deficient mice. Hence, the activation of IL-33 signaling is essential for immunity to infection, requiring neutral-
ization of helminth EVs to take place in wild-type mice for parasite expulsion. These data highlight a crucial aspect of cross-phylum communication involving the suppression of macrophage activation and the IL-33 pathway by nematode EVs, and they demonstrate the potential of EVs for vaccination against extracellular helminth parasites.

**RESULTS**

*H. polygyrus* EVs Are Efficiently Taken up by Bone Marrow-Derived Macrophages in a Cytochalasin D-Sensitive Manner

To compare the uptake of *H. polygyrus* EVs in epithelial cells and macrophages, they were purified from HES by ultracentrifugation and labeled with PKH67 fluorescent dye, which incorporates into their lipid-rich membrane (Buck et al., 2014). Based on flow cytometry analysis, *H. polygyrus* EV uptake steadily increased over 24 hr in all three cell types examined: F4/80+CD11b+ bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs), the RAW264.7 macrophage cell line, and the MODE-K small intestinal epithelial cell line (Figure 1A; Figure S1A). At both early and late time points, uptake was enhanced in both RAW264.7 and BMDMs (from 14%–23% at 1 hr to 97%–98% at 24 hr) compared to epithelial cells (10% at 1 hr to 55% at 24 hr). Dye was also pre-
pared without EVs to control for any aggregate carryover during purification (<1% of cells were PKH67+ under these conditions; Figure S1B). Additionally, cells were treated with trypsin 5 min prior to acquisition to remove any vesicles bound non-specif-
ically to the cell surface (no differences observed; Figure S1B). *H. polygyrus* EV uptake over time was also verified in BMDMs by confocal microscopy (Figure 1B; Figure S1C). To test whether uptake was occurring by an active process, we co-treated BMDMs with EVs and cytochalasin D, a potent inhibitor of actin polymerization that blocks endocytosis and phagocytosis and prevented EV uptake in other studies (Escrevente et al., 2011; Kusuma et al., 2016). Cytochalasin D treatment blocked EV uptake at 1 and 24 hr post-incubation, with 1% and 8% of cells being PKH67+, respectively (Figures 1C and 1D).

**EV Uptake Is Modulated by Macrophage Polarization and the Presence of Specific Antibodies**

Next, we investigated whether polarization of macrophages to either an M1 or M2 phenotype affects parasitic EV uptake, as both cell types are involved in the response to *H. polygyrus* and translocating bacteria during parasite invasion (Weng et al., 2007). BMDMs were pre-treated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS), IL-4/IL-13, or media alone for 24 hr prior to the addition of *H. polygyrus* EVs for 1 hr, and vesicle uptake was assessed by flow cytometry (Figure 2A). LPS pre-treatment significantly repressed the ability of BMDMs to take up parasitic EVs after 1 hr (4%), compared to either naive BMDMs (17%) or those polarized by IL-4/IL-13 (21%). These data are consistent with reports showing superior nanoparticle uptake in M2-polarized macrophages (Hoppstädt et al., 2015). Additionally, LPS depression of EV uptake suggests that early internalization may occur by phagocytosis, which is inhibited in LPS-stimulated macrophages (Feng et al., 2011). Although LPS pre-stimulation limited the initial uptake of EVs in BMDMs, 60% of LPS-stimulated cells were PKH67+ after 24 hr, compared to cells pre-treated with IL-4/IL-13 (82%) or media alone (90%) (Figures S2A and S2B).

To determine if uptake could be blocked by anti-EV anti-
bodies, BMDMs were stimulated with LPS, IL-4/IL-13, or media for 1 hr in the presence of polyclonal antisera (from rats immun-
ized with EVs in alum adjuvant; Figure S2C). Interestingly, anti-
sera treatment significantly enhanced uptake of parasite-derived EVs in all cases (Figure 2B). We also noted a more dispersed pattern of parasite-derived vesicles within antisera-treated cells after 1 hr (Figure 2C), suggesting that their biological fate may be altered by these conditions.

To analyze the intracellular destination of EVs taken up in the presence or absence of specific antibodies, we adopted imaging flow cytometry to compare localization of labeled EVs and lysosomes (LysoTracker) in a large number of cells (Heusermann et al., 2016). As shown in Figures 2D, S2D, and S2E, the addition of anti-EV sera increased co-localization of *H. polygyrus* EVs with LysoTracker, compared to treatment with control naive serum or when EVs from RAW264.7 cells were tested. By collecting data from 50,000 cells, antisera treatment was shown to result in a
A significant increase in the co-localization of *H. polygyrus* EVs with lysosomes (Figure 2E).

**H. polygyrus** EVs Suppress the Onset of Alternative and Classical Activation in Macrophages

Given the importance of M2 macrophages in mediating parasite expulsion (Kreider et al., 2007), we investigated whether EVs interfere with alternative activation, as we had previously shown that intranasal *H. polygyrus* EV treatment in an allergy model limited activation of ILC2s in the lung (Buck et al., 2014). We therefore tested the effects of EVs on the alternative activation of BMDMs (termed AAMf) during a 24-hr co-culture with IL-4 and IL-13. We included for comparison both total HES or HES supernatant (HES depleted of EVs by ultracentrifugation). As a further control, cells were treated with EVs derived from mouse epithelial cells. We observed a marked ablation in the transcriptional hallmarks of AAMf (Rückerl and Allen, 2014), namely, resistin-like molecule alpha (RELMα), Ym1, and Arginase 1 (Figure 3A). This suppression was also reflected in levels of RELMα, Ym1, and the M2-associated chemokine CCL17 released into culture supernatant, which were significantly reduced following EV co-treatment (Figure 3B).

The comparative suppression of both the mRNA transcripts and protein production by the supernatant and HES suggest that there are other factors in HES (excluding the EV fraction) that also modulate the alternative activation of macrophages. The ability of helminth-derived EVs to suppress AAMf suggests that the release of *H. polygyrus*-derived EVs during infection may restrain anti-parasite host responses by macrophages.

To ascertain whether helminth EVs can also suppress the function of cells after the onset of alternative activation, they were added to BMDMs 24 hr following IL-4/IL-13 pre-treatment. Subsequent production of RELMα and Ym1 were markedly suppressed.

---

**Figure 1. H. polygyrus** EVs Are Efficiently Taken up by Bone Marrow-Derived Macrophages in a Cytochalasin D-Sensitive Manner

(A) Percentage uptake of 2.5 μg PKH67-labeled EVs incubated with 2.5 × 10^5 BMDMs, RAW264.7, or MODE-K cells from 1 to 24 hr, as assessed by flow cytometry using the gating strategy in Figure S1A. Data are presented as mean values ± SD (n = 3).

(B) Confocal microscopy image of PKH67-labeled EVs incubated with BMDMs from 1 to 24 hr. DAPI is used to stain nuclei and F4/80-Alexa Fluor-647 to label macrophages.

(C) Percentage uptake of 2.5 μg PKH67-labeled EVs (Hp EVs) after 1 or 24 hr in the absence or presence of 4 hr pre-treatment of BMDMs with 2 μg/mL cytochalasin D, as assessed by flow cytometry. Data are presented as mean values ± SD (n = 5).

(D) Confocal microscopy image of the cells treated as in (C) using the fluorescent markers described for (B). For (B) and (D), scale bars represent 15 μm, with representative images shown.
Figure 2. EV Uptake Is Modulated by Macrophage Polarization and the Presence of Specific Antibodies

(A) Percentage uptake of 2.5 μg PHK67-EVs into 2.5 × 10^5 BMDMs that were pre-treated for 24 hr with media alone, 500 ng/mL LPS, or 20 ng/mL IL-4/IL-13, as assessed by flow cytometry using the gating strategy in Figure S1A.

(B) Quantification of uptake as in (A) but comparing incubation of PHK67-EVs ± polyclonal EV antisera (1:2,000). Data were analyzed 1 hr after incubation and are presented as mean values ± SD (n = 5–7; one-way ANOVA, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, and ****p < 0.0001; NS, not significant).

(C) Confocal microscopy of PHK67-labeled EVs incubated with BMDMs as described in (B).

(D) Imagestream analysis measuring uptake of 2.5 μg CellVue-labeled H. polygyrus or RAW264/7-derived EVs ± polyclonal parasite-EV antisera or naive rat sera (both 1:2,000). BMDMs incubated with EVs/sera were also stained with LysoTracker Green (n = 5; 10,000 live-cell images taken per replicate).

(E) Quantitation of cells showing high co-localization (represented as a measure of bright detail similarity) of LysoTracker with CellVue-labeled EVs in double-positive cells, as determined by IDEAS software. Unless indicated, scale bars represent 15 μm, with representative images shown.
reduced, as was the release of IL-10 and surface expression of CD206 (the mannose receptor), further corollaries of alternative activation (Figures 3C and 3D). Thus, parasite EVs retain their suppressive properties even in cells previously primed with alternative-activating cytokines.

To determine whether the suppressive effects extended to more broadly suppress activation of macrophages, we also tested EVs in classical (LPS-driven) activation, as it is thought that helminth excretory-secretory products (ES) counteract the inflammatory consequences of their damage to the epithelial cell barrier (Gause et al., 2013). We found that *H. polygyrus*-derived EVs also suppressed, in a dose-dependent manner, the hallmarks of LPS-activated BMDMs, including mRNA for IL-6, inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) at 24 hr co-culture (Figures S3A and S3B) and IL-6, IL-12p40, and TNF protein secretion at 48 hr (Figures S3C–S3E). EVs were also able to significantly suppress TNF and IL-6 release in BMDMs that had undergone LPS pre-treatment (Figures S3F and S3G).

**Antibody-Mediated EV Uptake Blocks the Inhibition of Alternative Activation**

We then sought to determine if there were functional repercussions from antibody interference with EV uptake. Although there was increased uptake of EVs in AAM when treated with 20 ng/mL IL-4/IL-13 ± 5 μg/mL EVs, EV-depleted HES (sup), or total HES (HES) or media alone for 24 hr. As a comparison, cells were incubated with 5 μg/mL EVs derived from MODE-K cells (MK).

The levels of (C) RELMα, Ym1, and IL-10 by ELISA and expression of (D) CD206 by flow cytometry in BMDMs that were pre-treated with IL-4/IL-13 for 24 hr prior to the addition of 5 μg/mL EVs. (E–H) Percentage uptake of (E) 2.5 μg PHK67-labeled EVs was determined by flow cytometry, and levels of (F) RELMα, (G) Ym1, and (H) CCL17 in the supernatant were assayed by ELISA from BMDMs co-treated with IL-4/IL-13 and EVs ± 2 μg/mL cytochalasin D or EV antisera (1:2,000) for 24 hr. All data are pooled from two to three independent experiments and presented as mean values ± SD (n = 5–9; one-way ANOVA; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, and ****p < 0.0001; NS, not significant).

Figure 3. *H. polygyrus* EVs Suppress the Onset of Alternative Activation in Macrophages

(A and B) Relative expression of (A) markers of alternative activation Retnla, Ym1, and Arg1 based on qRT-PCR analysis of extracted RNA or levels of (B) RELMα, Ym1, and CCL17 proteins measured by ELISA from BMDMs treated with 20 ng/mL IL-4/IL-13 ± 5 μg/mL EVs, EV-depleted HES (sup), or total HES (HES) or media alone for 24 hr. As a comparison, cells were incubated with 5 μg/mL EVs derived from MODE-K cells (MK).

(C and D) The levels of (C) RELMα, Ym1, and IL-10 by ELISA and expression of (D) CD206 by flow cytometry in BMDMs that were pre-treated with IL-4/IL-13 for 24 hr prior to the addition of 5 μg/mL EVs.
EVs Stimulate Protective Immunity to *H. polygyrus* and Induce Specific Antibody Responses

As anti-EV antibodies blocked the immunomodulatory effects of EVs in vitro, we tested whether vaccination with EVs could engender protective immunity in mice in vivo, based on previous work showing antibody-dependent immunity in mice vaccinated with HES (Hewitson et al., 2011, 2015) (Figure 5A). Following immunization with EVs, HES, or HES supernatant in alum adjuvant (given at days 0, 28, and 35) and subsequent larval challenge, mice showed a marked reduction in fecal egg counts from day 14 to day 28 post-infection (Figure 5B). At day 28, intestinal worm burdens were reduced ~82% for EVs, ~98% for total HES, and ~78% for HES depleted of EVs (Figure 5C).

We then examined the antibody responses elicited by EV vaccination alone, prior to challenge infection (Figure 5A), which included IgM, IgG1, IgA, and IgE isotypes reactive with EVs (Figure 5D). Interestingly, mice immunized with HES or HES supernatant generated substantial levels of EV-responsive IgM, indicating a response to either shared molecular components or to cross-reactive epitopes, such as shared glycans (Hewitson et al., 2011). Likewise, sera from EV-vaccinated mice contained both IgM and IgG1 reactive to total HES and HES supernatant (Figures S5B and S5C).

**EVs Suppress ST2/IL-33R Expression in Macrophages during Type 2 Responses**

Alternative activation is also associated with expression of the ST2 subunit of the IL-33R. Notably, we previously demonstrated that *H. polygyrus* EVs suppress *Il1rl1*, the gene for ST2, in MODE-K cells, and they modulate surface expression of ST2 on ILC2s in vivo (Buck et al., 2014). Thus, *H. polygyrus*-secreted EVs could be used to circumvent host danger signals through IL-33R that would normally lead to expulsion. We therefore tested ST2 surface protein expression in AAM co-cultured with parasite-derived EVs, finding that EVs suppressed ST2 protein and mRNA (*Il1rl1*) levels (Figures 4A and 4B; Figure S4A). Similar results were obtained with total HES or HES supernatant, suggesting further components in the secretion product can interfere with alternative activation. The effects of the EVs required their internalization, as EV-mediated suppression of ST2 on AAM was abolished when cells were co-treated with either polyclonal EV antisera or cytochalasin D (Figure 4C).

To elucidate whether EV blockade of alternative activation is a consequence of ST2 inhibition, we isolated BMDMs from ST2-deficient mice (Rostan et al., 2015; Townsend et al., 2000). We found that EVs strongly suppressed the expression of intracellular RELMα and IL-10 and surface CD206 in AAM from both wild-type BALB/c and ST2-deficient mice (Figures 4D–4F). A similar pattern emerged for the IL-4/IL-13-stimulated release of RELMα and Ym1 (Figures S4B and S4C), which were significantly repressed in both ST2-deficient and wild-type mice. This demonstrates that parasitic EVs can act independently of ST2 to suppress type 2 activation in macrophages.

**EVs Induce Specific Antibody Responses**

As anti-EV antibodies blocked the immunomodulatory effects of EVs in vitro, we tested whether vaccination with EVs could engender protective immunity in mice in vivo, based on previous work showing antibody-dependent immunity in mice vaccinated with HES (Hewitson et al., 2011, 2015). Following immunization with EVs, HES, or HES supernatant in alum adjuvant (given at days 0, 28, and 35) and subsequent larval challenge, mice showed a marked reduction in fecal egg counts from day 14 to day 28 post-infection (Figure 5B). At day 28, intestinal worm burdens were reduced ~82% for EVs, ~98% for total HES, and ~78% for HES depleted of EVs (Figure 5C).

We then examined the antibody responses elicited by EV vaccination alone, prior to challenge infection (Figure 5A), which included IgM, IgG1, IgA, and IgE isotypes reactive with EVs (Figure 5D). Interestingly, mice immunized with HES or HES supernatant generated substantial levels of EV-responsive IgM, indicating a response to either shared molecular components or to cross-reactive epitopes, such as shared glycans (Hewitson et al., 2011). Likewise, sera from EV-vaccinated mice contained both IgM and IgG1 reactive to total HES and HES supernatant (Figures S5B and S5C).

The repertoire of antigens recognized by EV antisera was then analyzed by western blot, showing differential binding to EV antigens compared to HES or EV-depleted HES proteins (Figure S5D). This is in line with previous mass spectrometry data highlighting specific enrichment of proteins in EVs (Buck et al., 2014). Hence, vaccination with EVs generated specific antibodies and was sufficient to interrupt infection by *H. polygyrus*. 

---

Figure 4. EVs Suppress ST2/IL-33R Expression in Macrophages during Type 2 Responses

(A and B) The percentage of (A) ST2+ F4/80+CD11b+ cells as determined by flow cytometry and transcriptional levels of (B) *Il1rl1* as determined by qRT-PCR analysis in BMDMs cultured with IL-4/IL-13 ± 5 μg/mL EVs, EV-depleted HES (sup), or total HES (HES) for 24 hr. MODE-K EVs (MK exo) are used as a control. Gating strategy is shown in Figure S3A.

(C) The proportion of ST2-expressing BMDMs co-treated with 5 μg/mL EVs ± cytochalasin D or EV antisera, as determined by flow cytometry.

(D–F) Representative expression of (D) intracellular RELMα, (E) IL-10, or (F) surface CD206 in wild-type BALB/c (WT) and T1/ST2 (KO) BMDMs treated with 20 ng/mL IL-4/IL-13 ± 5 μg/mL EVs, as assessed by flow cytometry. Data are pooled from two to three independent experiments and presented as mean ± SD (n = 6–12; one-way ANOVA, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, and ****p < 0.0001; NS, not significant).

Co-treatment with either cytochalasin D or polyclonal antisera (Figures 3F–3H). It is also prudent to mention that EV-elicited antisera alone did not influence either alternative or classical activation in BMDMs (Figure S3H). Therefore, although antibody-mediated uptake of EVs was accelerated, it also directed EVs into a degradative lysosomal pathway (as is shown in Figures 2D and 2E), thereby ablating their functional effects.
ST2−/− Mice Are More Susceptible to *H. polygyrus* and Show Abrogated Alternative Activation of Macrophages

As previously mentioned, ST2-deficient mice are highly susceptible to helminth infection (Townsend et al., 2000), but surprisingly little data have been published on the course of *H. polygyrus* infection in this strain (Zaiss et al., 2013). We first demonstrated the susceptibility phenotype of the ST2−/− mouse during *H. polygyrus* infection, with higher egg counts and worm burdens than the partially resistant wild-type BALB/c genotype (Figures 6A and 6B). Consistent with previous data on
Figure 6. ST2-Deficient Mice Are Fully Susceptible to *H. polygyrus* Infection

(A) Female T1/ST2−/− and wild-type BALB/c mice were infected with 200 *H. polygyrus* L3 larvae, and egg counts per gram fecal matter were enumerated on days 14, 21, and 28 post-infection.

(B and C) Intestinal adult (B) worm burden and (C) granulomas were enumerated on day 28.

(D–F) MLNs recovered from *H. polygyrus*-infected BALB/c and T1/ST2−/− mice were isolated at day 28 post-infection, and absolute numbers of (D) macrophages (F4/80+CD11c-F480+), (E) ILC2s (Lineage-CD4+ICOS+), and (F) T helper cells (CD4+Lineage+) were determined by flow cytometry.

(G and H) Absolute numbers of (G) macrophages isolated from the peritoneal lavage (gated as in D) were quantified by flow cytometry, and levels of myeloid-derived cytokines (H) Ym1, RELMα, and CCL17 were assessed by ELISA. (A and B) Data are pooled from two independent experiments (n = 10); (C–H) data are representative from one of two experiments and presented as mean values ± SD (n = 5 mice per group; Student’s t test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001; NS, not significant).
S. mansoni-infected ST2⁻/⁻ mice (Townsend et al., 2000), there were significantly fewer granulomas in the small intestine of infected ST2⁻/⁻ mice (Figure 6C). Although there were similar total cell numbers in the mesenteric lymph nodes (MLNs) of both wild-type and ST2⁻/⁻ mice (Figure S6A), there were significantly lower numbers of macrophages, ILC2s, and CD4⁺ T cells in ST2⁻/⁻ mice (Figures 6D–6F), whereas the T regulatory cell population was unaffected (Figure S6B). The decrease in ILC2s from ST2⁻/⁻ mice was not unexpected, as they show lower levels of ILC2s in the lung during allergic airway inflammation (Flaczyk et al., 2013) and N. brasiliensis infection (Bando et al., 2013). In the peritoneum, as in the MLN, there were significantly lower numbers of macrophages in ST2⁻/⁻ mice (Figure 6G), and, most significantly, expression of the AAMF-associated proteins Ym1, RELMα, and CCL17 was greatly attenuated in the animals lacking ST2 (Figure 6H).

**DISCUSSION**

The ability of parasitic helminths, such as *H. polygyrus*, to release EVs identifies a newly recognized vehicle to mediate cross-species communication as part of the host-parasite relationship (Coakley et al., 2015). However, the rapidly expanding body of data demonstrating that EVs are secreted by diverse parasites raises key questions of how they function in host cells and the specificity of the cell populations with which they interact. Here we have shown that macrophages efficiently take up *H. polygyrus*-derived EVs, implicating a phagocytic or endocytic pathway that can be blocked by cytochalasin D and enhanced by specific antibodies, presumably through opsonization. After a 1-hr incubation, *H. polygyrus* EVs localized discretely within BMDMs (Figure 6G), and, most significantly, expression of the AAMF-associated proteins Ym1, RELMα, and CCL17 was greatly attenuated in the animals lacking ST2 (Figure 6H).

**Vaccination against EVs Provides Inadequate Protection in H. polygyrus-Susceptible ST2-Deficient Mice**

We next wanted to test whether immunity generated by EV vaccination was compromised in ST2⁻/⁻ mice, which lack one of the signaling pathways targeted by EVs. We therefore vaccinated either BALB/c or ST2⁻/⁻ mice against *H. polygyrus* EVs before challenge with infective third-stage larvae, and we monitored the course of infection for 28 days. As expected, wild-type BALB/c mice vaccinated with EV-alum had potent immunity to helmint infection, with markedly reduced egg counts and worm burdens (Figures 7A and 7B). In contrast, ST2⁻/⁻ mice harbored higher worm numbers that were not significantly altered by vaccination, although there was a trend toward reduced egg numbers and a gradual loss of parasites over time. Finally, we looked at the repertoire (Figure S7A) and titer (Figures 7C–7E; Figure S7B) of EV-specific serum antibodies generated in vaccinated mice post-infection. Notably, both ST2⁻/⁻ and wild-type mice generated comparable levels of IgM, IgG1, and IgA responsive to EVs, arguing that susceptibility in the absence of IL-33 signaling is due to a deficiency in a cellular, rather than a humoral, component of the immune response.

**Figure 7. Vaccination against EVs Is Poorly Protective in H. polygyrus-Susceptible ST2-Deficient Mice**

(A) Female T1/ST2⁻/⁻ and BALB/c mice were vaccinated with EVs or PBS in alum adjuvant prior to challenge with 200 *H. polygyrus* L3 larvae (as Figure 5A), and eggs counts per gram fecal matter were enumerated on days 14, 21, and 28 post-infection. (B) Intestinal adult worm burdens were measured on day 28. (C–E) EV-specific titers of (C) IgM, (D) IgG1, and (E) IgA detectable from sera of EV- or PBS-immunized mice measured by ELISA. (A–E) Data are pooled from two independent experiments (n = 6–7), presented as mean values ± SD (Student’s t test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ****p < 0.0001; NS, not significant).
endosomal aggregates shortly after EV internalization (Morelli et al., 2004; Tian et al., 2010).

While uptake by macrophages might initially be interpreted as a host mechanism for the removal of parasite EVs, we show that the nematode-derived EVs exert functional properties on the recipient macrophages. Indeed, EVs generate potent suppression of type 1 and type 2 effector molecules when administered during or after the onset of macrophage activation. Among the molecules suppressed, arginase-1 is an essential component of protective immunity to intestinal helminths (Anthony et al., 2004; Tian et al., 2010). We do not yet know which parasite molecule(s) within the EV cargo is responsible for these effects, as there is a suite of protein, lipid, and RNA candidates that merit further study (Buck et al., 2014; Simbari et al., 2016). However, S. mansonii ES can be internalized following CD206 binding on macrophages, leading to diminished Th2 cell responses and chronic infection (Paveley et al., 2011), an avenue that may also be exploited by H. polygyrus EVs. Importantly, treatments that modulate EV uptake in AAMs, such as cytotoxicin D or EV antibodies, abrogated EV-suppressive effects. In the case of EV antibodies, intracellular imaging data suggest that they alter the intracellular localization of EVs, directing them into the lysosomal pathway.

EVs induce high titers of IgM, IgG1, and IgA serum antibodies in mice vaccinated with EV-alum adjuvant. Strong EV-responsive IgG1 antibody responses could be important for downstream resistance during H. polygyrus infection; antibodies against total HES were essential for immunity to larval challenge, limiting migration of the parasite from the sub-mucosa (Hewitson et al., 2011, 2015). Vaccination with EVs generated similar immunity, based on both eggs counts and subsequent worm burden. As there is comparable protection among HES, EVs, or HES supernant, immunogenic epitopes may be shared among all preparations, since there are some shared proteins among these (Buck et al., 2014). It will be interesting to identify EV-specific antigens that raise antibody responses, as they may provide a future vaccine candidate against nematode infection. Interestingly, a recent study demonstrates that immunizing against Echinostoma caproni EVs can reduce symptom severity during infection, suggesting that parasitic EVs may hold therapeutic potential in a wide range of helminth infections (Trelis et al., 2016).

Both wild-type and ST2−/− BMDMs stimulated via the IL-4Rα pathway exhibited similar EV-mediated suppression of alternative activation, suggesting that the impact of EVs on alternative activation is not via ST2. In support of this, a recent study showed that disruption of ST2 signaling had no effect on type 2-mediated responses, including IL-4 release, following challenge by S. mansonii (Vannella et al., 2016). However, during infection with H. polygyrus, we recovered a lower proportion of macrophages and AAMo-associated proteins in ST2−/− mice. Therefore, the effects of ST2 deficiency on type 2 immunity may be context or model dependent. As ILC2s are a potent source of type 2 cytokines, we hypothesize that their low numbers in ST2−/− mice contribute to the reduced alternative activation of macrophages that we observed. Furthermore, as macrophages are key players in mediating immunity to H. polygyrus (Reynolds et al., 2012), this may explain the susceptibility of ST2−/− mice to infection. Unlike the near-sterile immunity induced in wild-type mice, there was no significant protection induced by EV-alum vaccination in parasite-susceptible ST2−/− mice, thus demonstrating the essential requirement for IL-33 signaling in resistance to this parasite. Notably, ST2−/− mice mount equivalent antibody responses, yet they are unable to clear the parasite; hence, even in the presence of antibody, an IL-33-dependent cell population is necessary for effective immunity. Equally, the importance of IL-33 signaling explains why the parasite targets this pathway, blocking both the ligand (McSorley et al., 2014) and, as we show here, the receptor. Defining the molecular mechanisms that occur during IL-33R-EV interactions may be a future objective for therapies to drive innate immunity and treat parasitic infection. It will be particularly interesting to determine whether EVs secreted by other parasites modulate the IL-33/ST2 axis to promote susceptibility (Rostan et al., 2015). Given recent data showing that total ES from the liver fluke Fasciola hepatica actually enhanced ST2 expression on peritoneal CD4+ T helper cells, there may be contrasting mechanisms by which different helminths interact with the IL-33 pathway (Finlay et al., 2016).

In conclusion, these results demonstrate the ability of helminth EVs to modulate both alternative activation and IL-33-mediated signaling, solidifying them as critical parasite virulence factors. Furthermore, targeting EVs by vaccination provided protective immunity against the parasite, highlighting their key role in establishing infection. Given their biological complexity, a deeper understanding of the properties of parasitic EVs will be key to determining how they efficiently mediate cross-species communication. Such insights will be crucial to determine how we can interfere with or mimic these processes to treat infectious and inflammatory diseases.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Mice

Female C57BL/6 mice, BALB/c mice (6–10 weeks old), and T1/ST2–/– (ST2−/−) mice (kindly provided by Dr. Andrew McKenzie, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology [LMB]) were used for immunization studies and culture of bone marrow-derived myeloid populations. Male CBA x C57BL/6 F1 (CBF1) mice were used to maintain the H. polygyrus life cycle. All mice were bred in house, and animal studies were performed under UK Home Office Licenses with institutional oversight provided by qualified veterinarians.

EV Isolation

To obtain HES products, CBF1 mice were infected with L3 stage larvae by oral gavage, with adult parasites collected from the small intestine 14 days post-infection, as described previously (Johnston et al., 2015). Following isolation, adult H. polygyrus worms were kept in serum-free media in vitro, and the secretion product was collected every 3 days for 14 days. Eggs were removed by spinning at 400 g for 2 hr before filtering through a 0.2-μm filter (Millipore). Purified medium was then spun at 100,000 g for 2 hr in polyallomer tubes at 4°C in a SW40 rotor (Beckman Coulter). The ultracentrifuged pellet was washed twice in filtered PBS at 100,000 g for 2 hr, and the final pellet was resuspended in PBS and protein content was quantified by Qubit (Invitrogen) and stored at −80°C. Qualitative analysis of EV purity was carried out using silver stain analysis as described previously (Buck et al., 2014). The resulting supernatant (and total HES product) was concentrated using Vivaspin 6 5000 MWCO tubes (Fisher) at 5,000 g, and it was washed twice with PBS.

Cell Culture

Bone marrow stem cells were flushed from femurs and tibiae of 6- to 10-week-old female C57BL/6, BALB/c, and ST2−/− mice. Bone marrow macrophages were generated by incubating cells at 37°C in 5% CO2 in complete DMEM
(Invitrogen), supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen), 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Lonza), 1% glutamine (Lonza), and conditioned medium from L929 cells (containing macrophage colony stimulating factor [M-CSF]) for 7 days. For cell stimulation experiments, BMDMs were incubated with 20 ng/mL IL-4/IL-13 or 500 ng/mL LPS for 24–48 hr. MODE-K cells were kindly provided by Dominique Kaiserlian (INSERM) and grown as previously described (Vidal et al., 1993). RAW264.7 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Sigma) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 1% L-glutamine.

Uptake Assays
EVs purified from H. polygyrus, MODE-K, or RAW264.7 cells were labeled with PKH67 or CellVue Claret dye (Sigma) for 5 min at room temperature, according to the manufacturer’s instructions; 2 μg dye was used per 5 μg EVs. The staining reaction was stopped by adding an equal amount of 1% purified BSA, and EVs were washed in PBS by ultracentrifugation (1 hr at 100,000 g). For CMV, the reaction was stopped by adding an equal amount of 1% purified BSA, and EVs were washed in PBS by ultracentrifugation (1 hr at 100,000 g) and stored at −80°C. The reaction was stopped by adding an equal amount of 1% purified BSA, and EVs were washed in PBS by ultracentrifugation (1 hr at 100,000 g).

Confluent monolayers of macrophages were prepared and infected with EVs. The EVs were labeled with PKH67/CellVue in PBS in the absence of EVs. Experiments were carried out with 2.5–5 μg EVs per 200,000 cells for varying time points at 37°C. Cells were then washed twice in PBS before analysis by flow cytometry or confocal microscopy. In some experiments, control samples were treated similarly before the addition of 50 μl 0.25% trypsin/EDTA (Gibco) for 5 min in order to remove EVs that may have remained on the cell surface.

Confocal Microscopy
BMDMs were allowed to attach to coverslips overnight, and the following day they were transferred to complete DMEM supplemented with 1% L-glutamine. Cells were incubated with labeled EVs or controls before subsequent staining in 1:300 F4/80-AF647 in PBS. Cells were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) with residual PFA quenched with 50 mM glycine. The coverslips were washed four times in PBS, and nuclei were stained with DAPI-supplemented ProLong Fade Gold (Invitrogen) mounting media. Samples were examined on a Leica SP2 II microscope (Leica Microsystems, lasers exciting at 405 and 488 nm, 63x objective) using LAS AP software (Leica).

ImageStream
BMDMs were incubated for 24 hr with CellVue-labeled H. polygyrus- or RAW264.7-derived EVs, and then they were stained with 50 nM LysoTracker Green DND-26 (Cell Signaling Technology) for 60 min at 37°C. At least 10,000 live-cell images for each sample were acquired using a six-channel ImageStream® Mark II (EMD Millipore) imaging flow cytometer equipped with 405-, 488-, and 633-nm lasers. Samples and single-color compensation controls were acquired at 40x magnification. Data were analyzed using IDEAS 4.0.735 software (EMD Millipore) for Lysotracker/CellVue Bright Detail Similarity (BDS). BDS is a normalized measurement of co-localization or overlap between the fluorescent signals and pixel intensity, as described elsewhere (Phadwal et al., 2012).

Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay
Levels of macrophage proteins were measured by ELISA for IL-6, IL-12p40, and TNF (all eBioscience); RELM-α (PeproTech); and CCL17 or Chitinase (ICOS/ST2), T regulatory cells (CD4/CD25/Foxp3), and macrophages (F480/CD11b/MHCII/ST2/CD206), including all appropriate isotype controls. For intracellular cytokine staining, BMDMs were stained as above and then permeabilized with the BD Biosciences Fixation/Permeabilization kit (as per the manufacturer’s instructions), before staining with IL-10 or RELMα antibodies. Samples were acquired on a Becton Dickinson LSRIll flow cytometer and data were analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star).

Reverse Transcription and Real-Time PCR for mRNA
Reverse transcription reactions were performed using miScript System kit II (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time SYBR-green PCR assays for mRNA detection were performed using Light Cycler System (Roche). For primers (Invitrogen), see the Supplemental Experimental Procedures. Data were analyzed using the standard 2-ΔCt method, and targets were normalized to the housekeeping gene GAPDH.

Vaccination
For the generation of polyclonal antibodies against EVs, rats were immunized with 75 μg EVs in alum adjuvant intraperitoneally (i.p.), and then they were boosted with 15 μg EVs in alum adjuvant on days 28 and 35, before serum collection on day 42. Mice were immunized with 10 μg EVs, HES, or HES-depleted of EVs in alum adjuvant (i.p.), and then they were boosted on days 28 and 35 with 2 μg of the same immunogen in alum (i.p.). In some experiments, mice were challenged with 200 H. polygyrus L3 larvae on day 42, with fecal egg and adult worm counts up to 28 days later. Egg and worm numbers were determined as eggs per gram fecal matter and total adult worms in the small intestine.

Statistical Analysis
Data were analyzed using Prism 6 (GraphPad). In-group variance was assessed by Brown-Forsythe test, and data were log-transformed and analyzed by one- or two-way ANOVA, with a Tukey’s multiple comparisons post-test (***p<0.0001, **p<0.001, *p<0.01, and *p<0.05; NS, not significant, p>0.05).
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