ABSTRACT

EHealth devices such as smart scales and wearable fitness trackers are a key part of many health technology solutions. However, these EHealth devices can be vulnerable to privacy and security related attacks. In this poster, we propose a security analysis framework for EHealth devices, called mH-PriSe, that will yield useful information for security analysts, vendors, health care providers, and consumers. We demonstrate our framework by analysing scales from 6 vendors. Our results show that while vendors strive to address security and privacy issues correctly, challenges remain in many cases. Only 5 out of 8 solutions can be recommended with some caveats whereas the remaining 3 solutions expose severe vulnerabilities.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Guaranteed security standards and unrestricted privacy protection are indispensable for data that are provided by fitness and health devices, such as smart scales and wearable trackers. While most consumer devices are mainly used by individuals for tracking their own health, data can be used in consultations with health care professionals or as evidence in courtrooms [19]. Consumer devices typically do not adhere to strict medical device standards [2] and may exhibit vulnerabilities not found in systems that are subject to privacy standards such as HIPAA [12, 10]. With mH-PriSe we propose a framework for the analysis of privacy and security aspects of eHealth solutions. We include static analysis, dynamic analysis and penetration testing functionality. With the various potential applications in mind we design this framework to be scalable and adjustable to the needs of security analysts. We validate this framework by investigating 8 different smart scales from 6 vendors. To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to provide a comparative analysis of privacy and security vulnerabilities of smart scales.

2. SMART SCALES IN EHEALTH

Here, we use EHealth loosely to describe practices designed to promote a person’s health and well-being through technology. The kind of eHealth solutions we are interested in typically involve a sensor device (scale, activity tracker, blood oximeter etc.), a mobile application that is installed as a companion on the user’s phone and a vendor-supplied web offering (c.f. Figure 1). Commonly other third party services (data analysis, advertising, social media) can be connected. The protocols employed are mostly standard, such as Bluetooth LE or WiFi for the device radios, and use HTTPS for data transfer. Since most solutions only support Android and iOS as mobile operating systems, we focus on those ecosystems here, and the static analysis part of the framework will be limited to Android only.

The study at hand focusses on Smart Scales as sensor devices. With Withings launching its first scale in 2012 the market by now has become very diverse. In a range from simple to advanced solutions these scales collect information on weight, Body Mass Index and body fat to water percentage, muscle mass and bone mass. The common idea is to track one’s weight and further data on a daily basis to provide insights into health and well-being.

3. mH-PriSe ANALYSIS FRAMEWORK

Our analysis framework, mH-PriSe, and experiment setup allows for sound and methodological scientific research (c.f. Figure 2). We ran our experiments on a Lenovo X230 laptop with Kali Linux 2.0 and used an Atheros external WiFi card to create a hotspot. The test device was a rooted LG Nexus 5 with Android
weaknesses and attack vectors (full details in [16]). The mH-PriSe framework builds on this threat model and is defined by test cases (following attack vectors) and test steps (weaknesses) assigned to them. The view is complemented through the recording of informational steps. We investigated the actual behaviour of each solution in four steps. Preparatory functionality, which is summarized under the first step, is omitted here:

- **static analysis** In 7 steps, we apply static analysis to development artefacts. Among other research tools used include Androguard with Mallordoid,Drozer, and Android SDK tools.

- **dynamic analysis** Running 5 test cases and 44 test steps in total, we check for known weaknesses and search for issues. For this purpose MITMproxy is used to forge certificates and intercept any traffic on our hotspot.

- **post analysis** Data collected through experimentation undergoes a rigorous, manual analysis. SSLLabs was used to analyze web server security. We also visualize the communication on a world map.

Subsequently the actual behaviour was compared to information retrieved from documented sources such as privacy policies and websites. Privacy policies are analysed according to their compliance with OECD guidelines [9] and EU regulations [7, 6].

4. **RESULTS AND EVALUATION**

We report our results under 8 main properties (rows) with a fail caused by major issues, warnings due to some issues and a pass based on some caveats (see Table 1). The first two rows of the table include findings related to data transmission between app and server or sensor and server. Weaknesses that have been identified in this context are highly severe. For example solutions Activ8rlives, HAPI, Thomson and iChoice failed to correctly use cryptography in their apps, including cases of missing traffic encryption, badly implemented SSL, un-salted passwords and re-constructable message authentication codes. The scales WS-30 and WS-50 by Withings as well as Aria by Fitbit connect directly to the internet and fail to make use of SSL encryption. The newer Body Cardio by Withings is the only scale in our test set that employs traffic encryption. These weaknesses allow for sessions stealing, traffic injection and tampering with measurements as indicated in Table 1.

The Fitbit Aria protocol, for example, is in version 39. After previous research had revealed issues, fixes have been applied [8, 18]. Our findings show that the protocol is still vulnerable to recomputing the MAC. What is even worse – if no precautions are taken – is that the scale reveals WiFi credentials during the pairing with a users home WiFi network.

The Thomson TBS705 scale and their mobile application show serious privacy weaknesses. Sending device tracking data to a Chinese advertising server and transferring unencrypted measurement data to a Europe server while offering no control over the data or providing a privacy policy, the solution violates many privacy principles found in the OECD guidelines. While no solution looked intentionally malicious, many require updates to their mobile applications or sensor firmware. Though solutions Withings Body Cardio and iChoice with SwissMed app are of commendable security standard, the latter performs slightly better with respect to privacy aspects. For the privacy we refer to the amount of data synchronised to different destinations and also aspects mentioned in privacy policies as compared to their actual behaviour.

Through our rigorous analysis we have identified the following main issues with smart scale solutions.

- **ISS 1** missing or broken encryption – over the (wireless) network including app-to-server and sensor-to-server communication
- **ISS 2** improper certificate validation – trust manager issues or invalid certificate
- **ISS 3** missing tampering protection – traffic and messages are not protected against tampering
- **ISS 4** personal data leaked – unnoticed data leakage; requires patches by vendors, mainly, to adhere to common practices in implementation
- **ISS 5** improper cryptography usage – inadequate usage of cryptographic functions such as missing salts for hashes or MAC failures
- **ISS 6** weak password policies – missing or weak password policies
- **ISS 7** account deletion – flawed account deactivation or deletion processes
- **ISS 8** overprivileged application – overprivileged applications installed on device

5. **RELATED WORK**

This study extends the previous work of Knorr et. al. [14, 15] to include sensor devices. Mense et al. provide more detail on the behaviour of mHealth applications with respect to privacy and the data being transmitted [17]. Baig et al. recently explored the research area of mHealth applications by reviewing their system design and the identified challenges and issues. Among those the biggest are security, privacy and safety [1]. Other researchers investigated single solutions more comprehensively to find similar security and privacy issues in many [5, 11]. Privacy and security issues in update mechanisms of sensor software and with mobile apps are detected in the work of Cyr et al. Various kinds of attacks on fitness devices have become popular in research (representative list): Over-the-air-attacks on fitness and health devices showing similar issues [11, 3] or reverse engineering of firmware and protocols [20, 4]. The closest work is Clausing et al. and Hilts et al. on a set of different activity trackers [13, 3].
6. CONCLUSION

Our results show clear security and privacy problems in popular smart scale solutions. Vendors should be encouraged to meet security standards as defined for example by OWASP, CERT or others even for devices that are targeted at consumers. Flawed pairing processes and insecure software development lead to vulnerable solutions allowing attackers to easily eavesdrop on communication. In future work, we plan to create summaries of our findings that can be used by consumers and health care providers to take informed decisions when buying products or using data provided by products. We also plan to reach out to manufacturers to discuss our findings.
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