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1. Introduction

Although the world is ahead of schedule for meeting the 2015 Millennium Development Goals target of halving the number of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water, there are still 884 million people without access to improved water sources, 84 % of which live in rural areas [1]. This problem is compounded by the fact that rural areas are generally not considered during central energy planning and 1.5 billion people have no access to electricity, 85 % of which live in rural areas in developing countries [2]. There is a clear need for off-grid systems that can supply the necessary energy required to remove microbial and chemical pollutants. Groundwater is a good resource in these circumstances as there is relatively predictable long-term availability in the water table when compared to surface water and less risk of contamination from human and industrial waste. Renewable energy-powered membrane (RE-membrane) systems using reverse osmosis (RO) are promising technologies for brackish water desalination in remote regions [3] as they exhibit low energy consumption [4] and can be designed according to the water supply, number of inhabitants and energy resource.

The use of wind turbines for desalination has been proven to be economically feasible as wind technology is well advanced and coastal sites in particular often have a good wind resource [5]. The main challenges associated with the use of wind turbines are the intermittency and fluctuations of the wind resource which occur due to turbulence and gusts over short periods of time (seconds to a few minutes) and mass air movements over long periods of time (tens to hundreds of hours) [6]. The direct connection of a wind turbine to a RO system with no form of energy storage will inevitably result in large fluctuations in pressure and flow rate. This presents a considerable challenge for wind-powered membrane (wind-membrane) systems as membranes are designed to operate at constant operating conditions with no abrupt pressure or cross-flow variations in order to minimize damage [7].

Spiral wound RO modules have dominated the market because of their high surface area to volume ratio, increased robustness and permeability [8, 9]. The challenges faced by these membranes are fouling and concentration polarization, which result in reduced flux and deterioration of permeate quality [8]. External fouling of membranes can be minimized by pre-treatment, operating conditions and regular cleaning of the membranes [10]. In contrast, internal fouling, caused by physical compaction and chemical degradation, is largely irreversible and ultimately affects the lifetime of the membrane [10]. It is well known that introducing fluid instabilities in the region of concentration polarization will result in increased performance because the induced turbulence disturbs the polarization layer [11, 12]. Al-Bastaki et al. [13] performed experiments in which the pressure was varied according to an asymmetric square wave about a constant mean value as this produces the most abrupt disturbance in the boundary layer. The results showed that cyclic operation of this nature resulted in a higher average flux than under steady-state conditions. In addition, the flux increased with increasing amplitude and decreasing period of fluctuation of the operating pressure [13]. This indicates that there could be possible benefits to be gained from the inherent fluctuations in a wind-membrane system, although there has been very little work performed in this area.

The majority of the small-scale (permeate production ≤ 25 m³/day) wind-membrane systems that have been developed either use the electrical output from a horizontal axis wind turbine with energy storage in batteries [14-18], or the mechanical output from multi-vaned windmills with a pressure vessel as the buffer for fluctuations [19, 20]. While the use of deep-cycle lead acid batteries can enable uninterrupted operation, they result in increased capital and...
operational costs as well as lower system efficiency [3] and decreased robustness [21]. There have been several wind-membrane systems that operated successfully under varying flow rate and pressure with no form of energy storage [22-27]; however, the transient operation of these systems under fluctuation, and the extent to which these fluctuations can be managed or exploited is not well understood. There is a need for systematic investigation of the effect of fluctuations on membrane systems in order to understand the underlying mechanisms and determine their effect. Intermittent operation of RO systems has been shown to be undesirable because of the reduction in permeate quality due to lower flux combined with the continual diffusion of salt across the membrane [25, 26, 29]. Variable operation could also lead to mechanical fatigue and reduce both the life-time and performance of the membrane (26). Some preliminary studies suggest that membranes can operate in a variable manner without deteriorating [22, 23], although the tolerable bandwidth of this variation has not been determined. Overall there is little known about both the short and long term consequences of variable operation on RO membrane systems powered by renewable energies, especially at lower pressures [3, 26, 30]. Furthermore, the safe operating window in which fluctuations are beneficial or tolerable has not yet been determined.

Previous tests were performed using the RE-membrane system with six Zenon ZW10 ultrafiltration membranes (UF) for pre-treatment and four different nanofiltration or RO membranes with a real brackish groundwater (5300 mg/L total dissolved solids (TDS); 8290 µS/cm) using a constant power source [30]. The operating window of the system under steady-state conditions (pressure from 4 – 12 bar and feed flow rates 300, 400 and 500 L/h) showed that safe operation could be achieved for this highly brackish groundwater with NF90, ESPA4 and BW30 membranes but not with TFC-S [30]. The system was further tested by direct connection (no energy storage) to a photovoltaic (PV) array (300 W from two 24V DC panels) over several solar days [3]. The results showed that the RE-membrane system (using a Filmtec BW30-4040 element) operated under fluctuations in solar irradiance of 500-1200 W/m² with minimal effect on the permeate quality, although further testing below 500 W/m² would be required to determine its operation under heavy cloud [3]. In addition, the fluctuations shown over a typical solar day during these experiments [3] showed an average rate of change of 1 % per second whilst wind fluctuations over a typical day were calculated to vary by 12 % per second. This highlights the need for a separate study to investigate the effect of more severe variations in power which are associated with wind energy. In this paper a systematic investigation was conducted in order to determine the effect of wind speed fluctuations and intermittency on the performance of the wind-membrane system. Simulated oscillations in wind speed with variables of average wind speed, period of fluctuation and wind turbulence intensity were performed under controlled conditions using a programmable power supply and verified using a wind tunnel.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Wind-membrane system set-up

The wind-membrane system described here was a single-stage membrane system consisting of a 4WD double-axle trailer to house the membrane system and a free-standing transportable wind turbine described below. In this experimental setup (see Figure 1), the UF pre-treatment stage used in previous publications [3, 30] was replaced with a polypropylene 1µm micro filter with cartridge volume 1.2 L (Supagard). A Filmtec BW30-4040 brackish water RO membrane [7] was used for all experiments. The micro filter was immersed in a stainless steel feed tank (feed volume 130 L) and permeate and concentrate flows were continuously recycled back into the feed tank in order to maintain a constant feed concentration and pH of 6.8. An air bubbling system was used to ensure homogenous mixing and the temperature of the feed water was maintained at 13 °C by constant circulation through a water chiller system. This feed water temperature was chosen to reflect a typical groundwater temperature as would be found in regions with a temperate climate. The temperature of ground water responds to seasonal variations in the heat from the sun at depths of up to 25 m and is generally within 7 °C of the mean annual air temperature whilst on average 1 – 2 °C higher [31]. The main power requirement was a 300 W progressive cavity pump that drew water through the micro filter (suction pressure of -0.3 bar) before pumping it through the RO membrane (up to 12 bar). In order to examine the effect of energy fluctuations, a set point was determined by the input power, pump motor speed and the regulating valve on the concentrate stream at the start of each experiment. The set point provided a system pressure of 10 bar and a feed flow rate of 300 L/h under constant power operation at 240 W. The pure water flux of the BW30 membrane (J0 = 25 Lm²h⁻¹) was verified regularly throughout the period of testing using this set point.

![Figure 1](image-url)

To accurately determine the transient operation of the system under fluctuations of this nature, all of the parameters (pressure, flow rate, conductivity, temperature, pH, current, voltage) were taken at a reading rate of 1 Hz using a datalogger (dataTaker DT800). A LabVIEW interface was used for viewing instantaneous system performance and downloading the data to a computer. Membrane specific parameters of transmembrane pressure (TMP, measured unless otherwise stated), flux (J), recovery (R) and specific energy consumption (SEC) were calculated using the relationships defined previously [3, 30]. Electrical conductivity, EC (µS/cm) was converted into NaCl (mg/L) using a conversion factor k = 0.625, as measured using NaCl dissolved in deionized water at 13°C.

2.2. Water quality and analysis

Feed waters were prepared using deionized water and general purpose grade NaCl (Fisher Scientific, UK) with salinities of 2750 and 5500 mg/L NaCl. The conductivity of the feed, permeate and concentrate streams were measured using conductivity electrodes (GF Signet).

2.3. Wind characteristics

Wind speed variations consist of short-term fluctuations related to turbulence or gusts and longer term fluctuations due to large movements of air. Short term fluctuations caused by turbulence over time periods of up to an hour can vary significantly and are generally the most important for wind energy systems [32]. These fluctuations are taken to be the stochastic variations in wind speed averaged over a period of ten minutes [6]. Wind turbulence intensity (TI) is the basic measure of turbulence and can be seen as random wind speed fluctuations imposed on the mean wind speed. TI is represented as the dimensionless ratio (r) of the standard deviation of the wind speed to the mean wind speed [6]

\[
TI = \frac{\sigma_v}{U}
\]

where \(\sigma_v\) is the standard deviation of the wind speed (m/s) and \(U\) is the short-term (over a ten minute period) mean wind speed (m/s), henceforth referred to as the average wind speed. TI...
is generally in the range of 0.1 – 0.4, with the highest values occurring at the lowest wind speeds [6]. Wind speed fluctuations are often approximated by a sinusoidal wave in order to simplify practical experiments [25] and theoretical analysis [33]. The wind speed during a time period \( v(t) \) is then defined as

\[
v(t) = U + A \sin \left( 2\pi \frac{t}{T} \right).
\]

where \( A \) is the amplitude of fluctuation (m/s) and \( T \) is the period of oscillation (s).

2.4. Controlled fluctuations and intermittency

An experimental plan was designed to take into account the random fluctuations of wind in a controlled manner in order to eliminate the uncontrolled variables and complexity inherent in real wind. To achieve this, a programmable power supply (Agilent Technologies E4350B) was used to provide power in the range 0 – 300 W, corresponding to the power output of the wind turbine obtained at high wind speeds (>13 m/s) and the rated power of the pump motor. The range of periods of oscillation, \( T \) and length of time with no power were chosen to represent real wind fluctuations. Three main aspects of wind fluctuations were used;

1. Steady-state conditions: constant power experiments (Figure 2A) were used to determine the steady-state operating characteristics of the membrane system to form a baseline for comparison with results obtained with fluctuations. The power was held constant for 20 minutes during each step of 60, 120, 180, 240 and 300 W, corresponding to average wind speeds of 3.7, 5.3, 7.0, 8.7 and 13.3 m/s, respectively.

2. Simulated fluctuations: oscillating power experiments (Figure 2B) lasted for a period of 10 minutes with the parameters of average power, peak-to-peak amplitude and period of oscillation varied one at a time to cover the full range of operation: i) average power from 60 – 240 W, ii) peak-to-peak amplitude from 0 W (steady-state conditions) to 340 W (extreme fluctuations); and iii) period of oscillation from 15 – 90 s.

3. Intermittent operation: power step experiments (Figure 2C) were used to turn the power off for varying lengths of time and test the effect of off-time on permeate quality and system stabilization time (defined below). Each experiment lasted for 10 minutes with variables i) peak-to-peak amplitude from 60 – 300 W and ii) length of time with no power for 0.5 – 3 minutes. Sufficient time was given for the system parameters to return to their original values after each period of no power.

Figure 2

2.5. Wind turbine characteristics and wind tunnel testing

To assess system performance in real wind speed conditions experiments were conducted using the wind turbine (see Figure 1) in a wind tunnel whilst directly connected to the membrane system. The wind turbine (rated power 1kW at 12.5 m/s, FuturEnergy) had five blades, a diameter of 1.8 m and was designed to charge a 48 Vdc battery bank [34]. Matching the wind turbine to the membrane system is the main design challenge for a directly-connected system. Having chosen the pump motor based on the required pressure and flow rates, the wind turbine must be sized to meet this demand according to the available wind resource and the wind turbine power curve. As mentioned previously, the wind resource is highly variable and very site specific. The fact that small wind turbines are placed on low towers means they experience much lower average wind speeds and are susceptible to turbulence because of obstacles and frictional effects. Another challenge is that there is no standard method that manufacturers are required to follow in order to measure the power curve and wind turbines are often rated at high wind speeds that are rarely experienced in the field. This makes it very difficult to accurately predict performance without actually testing the wind turbine and using high resolution wind speed data. This wind turbine was selected based on the power requirements of the pump motor and associated electronics, as well as the performance and relative cost of the wind turbine [35]. The pump motor was a permanent magnet brushless DC motor rated at 300 W with maximum voltage and current of 180 Vdc and 3 A, respectively. The electronics included a maximum power point tracker (inputs: 30 – 100 Vdc, 0 – 5 A) to maximise the power output from a 48 Vdc photovoltaic array, and the required controller for the brushless DC motor. A five-bladed wind turbine was chosen due to the improved performance at lower wind speeds as a result of the higher torque generated with more blades (See Section 3.1, for further details). The wind tunnel (TUV NEL, East Kilbride, UK) had a cross section of 3.2 m (width) × 3 m (height) and could produce wind speeds of up to 13.3 m/s using a bank of nine fans that could be switched selectively in order to create controlled fluctuations in wind speed. The wind speed in the tunnel was measured using a cup anemometer (A100L2, Vector Instruments) that was placed 1.5 m upstream of the wind turbine rotor.

Wind speeds were held for a period of twenty minutes before being increased in steps (3.7, 5.3, 7.0, 8.7, 10.1, 11.7, 13.3 m/s) by increasing the speed of the fans in the wind tunnel. A range of wind turbulence intensities from 0.3 – 0.6 were tested at average wind speeds of 3.7, 5.3, 7.0, 8.7 and 10.1 m/s within the constraints of the wind tunnel (maximum wind speed 13.3 m/s). The experiments were performed over ten minutes (standard length of time used in wind turbine measurements) with an oscillation period of 30 s, and repeated once for verification. These parameters were chosen to correspond with the experiments described in Section 2.4 in order to allow direct comparison. The relationship between TI and the peak-to-peak amplitude used in the experiments described in Section 2.4 is illustrated in Figure 3. The peak-to-peak amplitude varied linearly with the TI until the power constraint of the pump motor (300 W) was exceeded.

2.6. Determination of safe operating window

The safe operating window is essential to ascertain that a water treatment is operating efficiently and is performing the task for which it was designed; to remove the contaminants from the water. In order to analyse the operation of the membrane system subject to fluctuations of pressure and flow rate, a new measure of performance was developed. This was necessary because fluctuations in power resulted in rapid changes in the flux whilst the permeate quality took longer to respond due to diffusion. Using the permeate quality as the main performance indicator does not take into account the periods of low flux when the permeate quality may be good but in reality the system is not operating effectively. The proposed usability index (UI) is dimensionless (-) and equates good system performance to a high flux with a permeate stream that meets the required guideline value for a particular chemical or contaminant as defined by the following relationship:

\[
UI = \left( \frac{J}{J_c} \right) \times \frac{C_{\text{permeate}}}{C_{\text{guideline}}} \times \frac{1}{C_{\text{guideline}}}. 
\]

where \( C \) is concentration (mg/L). The UI has a maximum value of 1 (when passing deionized water through the system) and depending on the solutes involved, will generally be in the range of -1 ≤ UI ≤ 1. A UI of 0 indicates that there is no flux, whereas a negative value shows that the concentration of the permeate stream is not compliant with the guideline value and the system is functioning outside the safe operating window. For the present work, \( J_0 \) was 25 L/m²h and the solute of interest was sodium chloride (NaCl), with a WHO guideline value of 1000 mg/L [36]. The UI is a useful indicator of system performance but should be used in conjunction with the SEC for a more detailed analysis of the operating window.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Power performance of wind turbine and membrane system

The main aims of this study were to determine the effect of wind speed fluctuations on the performance of the wind turbine and the extent to which these can be beneficial or detrimental within a safe operating window. A power curve showing the relationship between wind speed and power output from the wind turbine was determined using the wind tunnel (Figure 4). Up to a wind speed of 7 m/s the relationship of power output with wind speed was linear. At higher wind speeds a combination of wind turbine furling and the power restrictions of the pump motor caused the wind turbine power curve to level off at a maximum value of 300 W. The difference between the two power curves was caused by the control electronics between the wind turbine and the pump motor which have an average efficiency of 86%. Wind turbine furling is a self-protection mechanism that turns the blades out of the wind during high winds to avoid excessive electrical or mechanical loading. Furling was observed at wind speeds of 8.7 m/s and above, which was much lower than expected based on the rated power given by the manufacturer (1 kW) and resulted in much less power being generated. The constant resistance curve in Figure 4 illustrates why this particular wind turbine was chosen. A low cut-in wind speed (2.3 m/s) was observed as a result of the high torque generated with having five blades as opposed to three. Importantly, this resulted in much more energy being produced at low wind speeds when compared with other small wind turbines. A resistance of 10 \( \Omega \) is shown as this best represented the load of the membrane system and illustrates that the power generated was a good match for this pump motor. However, the resistance of the membrane system changed with the power available as shown by the mismatch between the curves. This was most evident at wind speeds above 7 m/s where the wind turbine curve departed from the constant resistance curve due to the higher load from the pump motor which varied in the range 10 – 25 \( \Omega \).

#### 3.2. Operating characteristics under steady-state conditions

The operation of the membrane system under steady-state conditions served as a baseline for comparison with fluctuations in power. The TMP (Figure 5 A) increased with wind power and was independent of the concentration of the feed water as it was controlled by the valve on the concentrate stream which was set at the start of each experiment. This was a non-linear relationship and was seen to level off above 240 W due to lower efficiency in the region of the rated power of the pump motor (300 W). It should be noted that the effective TMP was reduced by the osmotic pressure in the membrane boundary layer and was therefore dependent on the salt concentration of the feed as well as operating conditions. The effective TMP reduction due to the osmotic pressure of the feed water was calculated as 2.2 bar for 2750 mg/L and 4.4 bar for 5500 mg/L without taking into account the effect of concentration polarization.

At low pressure, permeate flux and recovery are controlled by mass transfer mechanisms and therefore exhibit a linear dependence on the effective TMP [8]. The flux (Figure 5 B) and the recovery (Figure 5 C) both followed the same trend as the TMP. The values of flux and recovery were lower for the higher feed concentration due to the lower effective TMP mentioned above. In order to validate this, the osmotic pressure was subtracted from the TMP to give the effective TMP and the flux calculated to be equal to the effective TMP multiplied by a constant \( C \approx 1.96 \) for both feed waters. This relationship only holds true at the low pressures shown here where the effects of concentration polarization are insignificant. The retention (Figure 5 D) increased with the available power to a maximum value of 92% for both of the feed waters at 180 W with 2750 mg/L NaCl and 300 W with 5500 mg/L NaCl. The retention was below the expected value according to the manufacturer due to the operating conditions and the age of the membrane, which was used for field trials in Australia in 2005 [3, 30] and for extensive laboratory experiments since then. Whilst the flux was consistent with previous experiments, the maximum retention under these operating conditions has reduced from 96% [37] to 92% over time (Figure 5 D). It should be noted that increasing the feed water temperature would result in higher flux and lower retention due to the increased rate of diffusion of water and salts through the membrane. Analysis of this membrane using modelled data (using Dow Water and Process Solutions) showed a 10% increase in permeate flux and a 1% reduction in retention when the feed water temperature was increased from 13 – 25°C (Table 1). The permeate NaCl (Figure 5 E) decreased with increasing power and leveled off at a minimum value of 226 mg/L and 466 mg/L with feed waters of 2750 mg/L and 5500 mg/L NaCl, respectively. As would be expected, the concentrate NaCl (Figure 5 F) followed the opposite relationship to the permeate and increased with the available power. With respect to a safe operating window, the permeate NaCl (Figure 5 E) was within the WHO guideline value over the full range of operating conditions with a feed water of 2750 mg/L NaCl. With a feed water of 5500 mg/L NaCl, a minimum of 120 W (TMP of 6.6 bar) was required to produce a permeate with a concentration of 500 mg/L NaCl, which is below the WHO guideline value of 1000 mg/L. The UI is a useful indicator of system performance but should be used in conjunction with the SEC for a more detailed analysis of the operating window.
concentration and lower permeate flux (Figure 5 B) when compared to a 2750 mg/L feed water. It should be noted that the Filmtec BW30-4040 module is being operated below the test conditions given by the manufacturer [7]. This is because systems designed to operate in remote regions with renewable energy have different design criteria to those used for conventional systems. Rather than designing for the maximum water flux with high pressure, recovery and salt rejection, the most important factors for designing these systems are the energy requirements and robust long term operation [20]. The energy required determines the size of the renewable energy generator which translates directly into the capital cost of the system. As a result, smaller pumps are used which also generate lower flow rates and pressure. The effect of operating conditions on the energy required was determined using the membrane system analysis software provided by the manufacturer (ROSA 7.2) as shown in Table 1. If a larger pump was obtained with the same efficiency, then the power required to operate the membrane at the test conditions given by the manufacturer would be 2520 W with an SEC of 6.6 kWh/m². In order to operate the system at 15.5 bar and 15 % recovery using a feed water of 5500 mg/L, 1840 W would be required and a flux of 38.2 L/m².h would be produced with an SEC of 6.7 kWh/m². This would require a much larger wind turbine at significant cost when compared to the maximum value of 300 W required for operating the system in these experiments. It should be noted that larger systems may have more energy efficient pumps and employ energy recovery (generally by using a higher pump speed) which would result in much lower SEC. The situation shown here is unique to brackish water systems where energy recovery is not really feasible due to the relatively low pressures used. The data in Table 1 also illustrates the effect of operating conditions on the UI. By using lower feed concentrations at higher pressure and feed flow rate, the UI approaches unity. However, the cost of operating at these conditions must be weighed against the amount of energy required.

### 3.3. Effect of simulated fluctuations (sine wave oscillations in power)

Figure 6 shows the operation of the membrane system with a feed water of 2750 mg/L under controlled fluctuations using an oscillating power supply with two different periods of oscillation (15 and 90 s), constant peak-to-peak amplitude of 200 W (equivalent TI = 0.4) and average power of 120 W (equivalent average wind speed = 5.3 m/s). The high amplitude shown here caused the power to switch off at longer periods as the slower oscillation in power allowed the TMP to drop to a lower level, putting a high load on the pump. The combination of high load and slowly oscillating power resulted in insufficient power to regain the system pressure and the system shut down periodically. Figure 6 A illustrates that the power did not switch off if the input power dropped below 40 W for up to 3 s (shown at 15 s period) but longer periods of low power resulted in the power switching off. Although the TMP achieved at the minimum start-up power was 4 bar (Figure 6 A), fluctuations down to 3 bar (Figure 6 B) occurred once operational within the safe operating window. The on/off switching of the pump at a period of 90 s caused significant deterioration in performance as demonstrated by the long periods of no flux (Figure 6 C) and recovery (Figure 6 D) and the higher average permeate NaCl (Figure 6 E). The average retention (Figure 6 F) observed at a period of 15 s was 87 % which compared well with the steady state value of 91 % (Figure 5 D). However the longer period of oscillation caused the average retention to drop to 82 % (Figure 6 F). The SEC (Figure 6 G) exhibited a large spike when the system was switched on due to the power required to achieve the flux required for desalination. This resulted in higher average SEC at 90 s period because of the power switching off. The average UI (Figure 6 H) whilst water was being produced was slightly higher at 90 s period than at 15 s, but this was offset by the amount of time with no productivity.

The performance of the system is easily understood at higher average wind speeds (Figures 7 C and 7 D) where the UI is independent of the period of oscillation up to TI of 0.4. Higher TI caused the system to start shutting off as shown by the drop in UI at TI of 0.4 which indicates that the system could operate as if under steady-state conditions under real wind speeds of 7.0 m/s or more. It should be noted that the wind-membrane system produced water within the guideline value as the UI. As mentioned in the introduction, there is evidence that fluctuations may result in increased flux compared to steady-state conditions as a result of disturbances in the polarization layer [11-13]. The results of this study (Figure 7) showed that there was no increase obtained in the flux under fluctuating energy when compared with steady-state conditions (TI = 0). The exception to this was at low average wind speeds (Figure 7 A) for reasons discussed below. The flux at low TI was consistent with that obtained under steady state conditions and was seen to drop at higher TI once the power started cycling on/off. In contrast, studies in the literature that have exhibited increased flux were performed at average pressures of 40 and 50 bar with amplitudes of 5 to 10 bar using a feed water of 10,000 mg/L NaCl and showed a maximum increase of 13 % in the permeate flux [13]. Therefore, from this study, it is hypothesised that there was no increase observed in the flux because of the reduced effects of concentration polarization at the relatively low pressures and feed water salinities used. Although Figure 7 A shows that fluctuations at low average wind speeds can result in better system performance than under steady-state conditions (TI = 0), it was because the fluctuations provided additional power and the system stayed turned on due to the rapid fluctuations. The effect of the power switching off at low average wind speeds is well illustrated in Figure 7 B where higher periods of oscillation caused the power to switch off at lower values of TI resulting in a significantly reduced UI.
3.4. Effect of intermittent operation

As a final step in characterizing the performance of the system under real wind speed fluctuations, the effect of the power cycling on/off was investigated to simulate intermittent operation. Whilst the extreme fluctuations dealt with above are more commonly found in wind power, intermittence is a characteristic of all renewable energy resources and it is important to understand its effect on the membrane system to determine the operating window. Following a period of no power, a spike in the permeate NaCl was observed upon re-starting the system due to the diffusion of salts across the membrane during the period of no flux. The net flux of NaCl across the membrane from the feed to the permeate during periods of no flux averaged 0.4 mg/m².s for a feed water of 2750 mg/L and 0.87 mg/m².s for a feed water of 5500 mg/L. The time required for the permeate NaCl to return to its original value once the permeate flow had resumed was called the stabilization time. It should be noted that the permeate NaCl achievable depended on the power available as shown in Figure 5 E, therefore the stabilization time (Figure 8) was the time taken to return to the original value. Figure 8 demonstrates that the stabilization time depended upon both the amount of power available to re-start the system and the feed concentration. The amount of power available determined the TMP, flow rate and resulting flux which purged the system of the high salinity permeate; therefore more power resulted in less time required for purging. The volume of water that remained in the membrane vessel and must be purged was measured as 2 L ±10 %. The feed concentration affected the stabilization time as the higher feed concentration reduced the effective TMP and resulting flux due to higher osmotic pressure. The effect of feed concentration is demonstrated in Figure 8 by the fact that two minutes were required to achieve stability using a feed water of 5500 mg/L NaCl with 240 W of power while only 120 W was required to achieve stability in this time with a feed water of 2750 mg/L NaCl. The impact on the permeate concentration could be minimised by ensuring that sufficient power is available following a period of shutdown. For a feed water of 2750 mg/L NaCl, the system could operate with up to three minutes of down-time without the permeate exceeding the WHO guideline value. With a feed water of 5500 mg/L NaCl the minimum power required to produce permeate of adequate quality after a period of down-time was 120 W, and this must be sustained last for at least five minutes (Figure 8). Dependent on the frequency of intermittent operation and the length of time with no power, it may be acceptable to allow the 2 L of high salinity permeate produced to mix with the rest of the good quality permeate that is stored. As these results have shown, this would depend very much upon the wind resource available and the salinity of the feed water.

Figure 8

3.5. System performance with wind turbine in wind tunnel

The wind-membrane system was tested with the wind turbine in the wind tunnel for two main reasons: i) to determine the effect of real fluctuations and ii) to verify the results of the experiments performed with the programmable power supply. Figure 9 A-H shows the system operating close to its limit in terms of the maximum amplitude of fluctuations, at an average wind speed of $U = 5.3$ m/s and wind turbulence intensity of $TI = 0.4$ with a feed water of 2750 mg/L NaCl. The results showed that fluctuations in power (Figure 9 B) were due to a complex relationship of wind speed fluctuations (Figure 9 A), furling of the wind turbine and high loading from the membrane system at start-up. As shown in Figure 9 B, the result was that the wind turbine power did not always follow the pattern of the wind speed. The TMP (Figure 9 C) varied according to the magnitude of power and the length of time for which it was available as well as the TMP starting point. Once the power was switched off, the TMP exhibited an exponential decay which assisted in absorbing the longer term fluctuations (>15 s) but not the short-term ones (<10 s). The main effect of these fluctuations was the greatly reduced flux (Figure 9 D) which averaged 4.2 L/m²h, less than half the steady-state value of 8.8 L/m²h obtained at the same average power (Figure 5 B). Both the flux (Figure 9 D) and the recovery (Figure 9 E) demonstrated that the periods where the power was switched on compared favourably with the steady-state conditions shown in Figure 5 B and 5 C, but the periods of no power resulted in greatly reduced average system performance. In contrast, the permeate NaCl was much less affected by these fluctuations. Even under a high level of fluctuation at a low average wind speed, the permeate remained well within the guideline value (Figure 9 F). The combination of a one minute period of no-power (Figure 9 B) followed by a one and a half minute period of the system cycling on/off below a TMP of 4 bar caused the permeate NaCl (Figure 9 F) to increase but remain within the guideline value. A time lag was observed between the pressure drop and the increase in NaCl due to the time of no-power and the time taken for diffusion of salts across the membrane. The performance of the system with regards to water quality is also shown by the average retention (Figure 9 G) of 85 % observed during this period which compared very well with the steady-state retention of 91 % (Figure 5 D) at the same average power. Because of the high TI and subsequent shutting on/off, the SEC averaged over the seven minute period was 0.7 kWh/m³ with peaks of up to 3.4 kWh/m³ (Figure 9 H). This was similar to the value of 1.6 kWh/m³ observed under steady-state conditions but illustrates how frequent turning on/off resulted in increased energy consumption. This is further highlighted by the effect of fluctuating power on the efficiency of the pump motor. The average pump motor efficiency (not plotted) was 33 %, significantly lower than the 44 % measured under steady-state conditions (see Figure 5 E). As observed in Figure 9 A-H, the main effect of these large wind speed fluctuations was the reduced flux. Over short periods of ten minutes, the largest wind fluctuations resulted in a 50 % reduction in productivity when compared to steady-state conditions even though the permeate was within the guideline value. It should be noted that these fluctuations are at the extreme end of what any system would experience in practice. This reduction in productivity is only an indication and a long term trial would be required to determine what the overall effect of fluctuations on productivity is compared to steady-state conditions. These results verify the conclusion from the laboratory based experiments that the wind-membrane system can operate under large wind speed fluctuations and intermittence within a safe operating window.

Figure 9

4. Conclusions

The operation of a wind-membrane system with no form of energy storage is described under simulated and real wind speed fluctuations from controlled experiments using a programmable power supply and a wind tunnel. In terms of a safe operating window, the membrane system was shown to produce good-quality drinking water with a feed water of 5500 mg/L, the
performance was much more marginal with wind speeds of 7.0 m/s or more required to produce adequate-quality permeate under fluctuating conditions. The operation of the membrane system was influenced by the average wind speed as well as the TI (directly related to the amplitude of fluctuations) and the period of oscillation. However, at average wind speeds of 7.0 m/s or higher the performance was independent of the period of oscillation up to a TI of 0.4. In addition, within this operating range the system performance was the same under fluctuations as under steady-state conditions. The system performance was seen to deteriorate most under fluctuations that occurred at low average wind speeds with high TI and long periods of oscillation. These types of fluctuations allowed the power to shut off and the low average wind speeds meant that there was insufficient power available for the system pressure to recover to a suitable level. For system stabilization to occur after a period of no-power the important factor was the amount of power available to re-start the system rather than the length of down-time. This is an interesting result if short-term energy storage or buffering is to be considered as it reduces the requirement of having the system running constantly and the emphasis is shifted to the amount of power available to restart the system. This work demonstrates that membrane systems can be directly connected to renewable energy systems (wind power presents the most extreme fluctuations) and operate effectively within a safe operating window. The main challenge associated with operation of this nature is not the size of the fluctuations but the effect of the power switching off which causes reduced flux and permeate quality. This must be controlled in innovative ways by energy buffering, careful control of the water treatment load or disposal of the poor quality permeate in order for RE-membrane systems to be utilised. The membrane system has been operated for >250 hours under large fluctuations in power without any noticeable effect on the components or the operation of the membrane. Further testing would be necessary to determine the effect of long term operation of this nature.
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Detailed results on the performance of the membrane system under oscillating power for feed waters of 2750 mg/L and 5500 mg/L NaCl are given in terms of flux (L/m².h) and permeate NaCl (mg/L) in Tables S1 and S2. This information will be available alongside the electronic version of this article in Elsevier Web products at http://www.sciencedirect.com.
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Table 1 Analysis of simulated system performance using manufacturer’s standard test conditions (2000 mg/L NaCl; applied pressure 15.5 bar; 25 °C; 15 % recovery) and the test conditions contained in this work compared to experimental results. Simulation was performed assuming constant pump efficiency (ROSA 7.2, Dow Water and Process Solutions).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Standard test conditions</th>
<th>5500 mg/L feed and standard test conditions</th>
<th>5500 mg/L feed and our test conditions (at 25 °C)</th>
<th>5500 mg/L feed and our test conditions (at 13 °C)</th>
<th>5500 mg/L feed and our test conditions (at 25 °C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inputs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-stage ΔP (bar)</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed NaCl (mg/L)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>5500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed temp. (°C)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed flow (L/h)</td>
<td>2530</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed pressure (bar)</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump efficiency (%)</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>43.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeate flow (L/h)</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flux (Lm²/h)</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention (%)</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>91.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery (%)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power (W)</td>
<td>2520</td>
<td>1840</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC (kWh/m³)</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UI (°)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1 Schematic diagram of the wind-membrane system with electrical connections (dotted lines) and water flow (solid lines) for the components of the wind-membrane system: programmable power supply; wind turbine; motor controller; micro filter; RO membrane; pump; P1 – P3: pressure transducers; F1 – F3: flow sensors; C1 – C3: conductivity sensors; V1 – V2: voltage sensors; I1 – I2: current sensors; pH/T: pH and temperature sensor.

Figure 2 Power inputs to experiments performed on the wind-powered membrane system using a programmable power supply; A: steady-state conditions; B: simulated fluctuations and C: intermittent operation.

Figure 3 Relationship between TI and the peak-to-peak amplitude of power oscillation used in experiments with the programmable power supply.

Figure 4 Wind turbine power curve at constant load and with the wind turbine connected to membrane system.

Figure 5 Steady-state performance of the wind-membrane system using constant power from a programmable power supply for feed waters of 2750 and 5500 mg/L NaCl plotted as A: transmembrane pressure (TMP); B: flux; C: recovery D: retention of NaCl (observed); E: permeate NaCl; F: concentrate NaCl; G: specific energy consumption (SEC); H: pump motor efficiency and I: usability index (UI).

Figure 6 Wind-membrane system performance using oscillating power (programmable power supply) for oscillation periods of 90 s and 15 s with a feed water of 2750 mg/L NaCl (average power 180 W, amplitude 200 W).

Figure 7 Variation of average usability index (UI) with increasing wind turbulence intensity (TI) using oscillating power (programmable power supply) for oscillation periods of 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 and 90 s with a feed water of 2750 mg/L NaCl at equivalent average wind speeds; A: 3.7 m/s; B: 5.3 m/s; C: 7.0 m/s and D: 8.7 m/s.

Figure 8 Stabilization time of the permeate stream after a period of no power as a function of wind turbine power for feed waters of 2750 and 5500 mg/L NaCl.

Figure 9 Performance of the wind-membrane system as a function of time using real wind speed fluctuations (wind tunnel) with a feed water of 2750 mg/L NaCl.
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