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Bacterial motility, and in particular repulsion or attraction towards specific chemicals, has been a subject of investigation for over 100 years, resulting in detailed understanding of bacterial chemotaxis and the corresponding sensory network in many bacterial species. For *Escherichia coli* most of the current understanding comes from the experiments with low levels of chemotactically-active ligands. However, chemotactically-inactive chemical species at concentrations found in the human gastrointestinal tract produce significant changes in *E. coli*’s osmotic pressure, and have been shown to lead to taxis. To understand how these nonspecific physical signals influence motility, we look at the response of individual bacterial flagellar motors under stepwise changes in external osmolality. We combine these measurements with a population swimming assay under the same conditions. Unlike for chemotactic response, a long term increase in swimming/motor speeds is observed, and in the motor rotational bias, both of which scale with the osmotic shock magnitude. We discuss how the speed changes we observe can lead to steady state bacterial accumulation.

Abbreviations: BFM, bacterial flagellar motor; PMF, proton motive force; DDM, differential dynamic microscopy

**Introduction**

Many bacterial species are not only able to self propel (exhibit motility), but also direct their motion towards more favorable environments. This behavior, called taxis [1,2], has long been a subject of scientific investigation, as it serves a variety of purposes: seeking out nutrients and avoiding toxic substances [3,4], identifying thermal [5] and oxygen [6] gradients, as well as aiding pathogenic species in infecting their hosts [7,8]. The understanding of bacterial taxis is not only important when it comes to bacterial motility and accumulation; it also serves as a model for biological signal processing. Of particular interest are the precision [9,10], sensitivity [11] and robustness [12,13] that can be achieved with biological networks, and potentially utilized for human design purposes [14,15]. Specifically, bacterial chemotaxis, motion towards or away from specific chemicals [3], was first described over 120 years ago [16,17]. Since then, the systematic research efforts made it one of the best-studied topics in biology, especially when it comes to *Escherichia coli*.

*E. coli* swims by rotating a bundle of flagellar filaments [18,19], each powered by a bacterial flagellar motor (BFM), a rotary nano-machine that spins in the clockwise (CW) or counter-clockwise (CCW) direction [20]. Each bacterium possesses several individual motors randomly distributed along the cell body [19,21], which when rotating CCW enable formation of a stable filament bundle that propels the cell forward [22]. When one or, most likely, a few motors switch to CW rotation, their respective filaments fall out of the bundle, leading to a tumble event [19]. Forward swimming, likely in a different direction due to approximately random reorientation of the bacterial cell during the tumble event, resumes when motors switch back to CCW direction and the bundle reforms [18,19].

The probability of CW rotation increases with the intracellular concentration of phosphorylated CheY protein (CheY-P) that interacts with the rotor of the BFM [23]. CheY-P is a part of a feedback control circuit, the chemotactic network [3], which relays outside information to the motor and allows *E. coli* to direct its motion. Inputs of the circuit are the methyl-accepting chemotactic proteins (MCPs), transmembrane proteins that bind specific ligands in the cell exterior [3], and through a signaling cascade affect the CheY-P to CheY ratio. When sensing attractants or repellents in nM to μM range, the change in CheY-P to CheY ratio resets to the initial level within seconds, a characteristic feature of the network termed perfect adaptation [24]. Thus, directionality in the net motion of the cell arises through transient tuning of motor switching frequency in response to external stimuli [3].

The majority of work on *E. coli* chemotaxis over the last 40 years has been performed in a minimal phosphate buffer (termed Motility Buffer [25]). However, one of the primary habitats of *E. coli* is the gastrointestinal tract of humans and other warm-blooded animals [26,27]. This complex environment features not only various chemotactants and repellents, but also spatial and temporal changes in osmolarity [28,30,31], which, in the stomach and intestine of humans, reach up to 500 mOsmol. The exact composition and osmolarity depend on the meal, ingestion history and location within the gastrointestinal tract [28,29].

**Significance**

Bacterial taxis has been a subject of active investigation for over 100 years, serving both as a model of biological sensory transduction and self-propulsion. Consequently, chemotaxis of *Escherichia coli* is one of the best understood biological networks. Nevertheless, the exact roles of taxis and motility in *E. coli*’s life cycle, particularly in host invasion, remain unknown, partly because of the complexity of its natural habitat. By looking at the response of both individual bacterial motors and a swimming population, we investigate *E. coli*’s response to changes in external osmolalities similar to those found in the human gastrointestinal tract. We find that, unlike chemotaxis, osmotic response changes the motor speed and discuss how the observation can lead to previously observed osmotaxis.

**Reserved for Publication Footnotes**
Sudden osmotic increases, termed hyperosmotic shocks or upshocks, cause cell volume shrinkage and require solute pumping and/or synthesis to re-inflate the cell and re-establish osmotic pressure [32,33]. Bacterial movement in search of optimal water content, termed osmotaxis, has been discovered as early as 1889 [34]. Non-specific taxis away from sources of high concentrations has since been observed both in agar plate and capillary assays [35–38]. Yet, the behavior that leads to negative osmotaxis is less known [35,36,39,40], and contradictory observations have been reported. Osmotic stimuli can send a signal down the network through mechanistical stimulation of chemoreceptors [41]; yet, free swimming cells were observed to tumble in response to an osmotic stimulus even when completely devoid of all components of the chemotactic network [35, 40]. Intriguingly, this tumbling was later attributed to CCV rotation of flagella that normally results in cell runs [40]. The finding that osmotaxis on agar plates requires the presence of at least some chemosensors and all of the chemotactic signaling proteins further complicates the picture [35]. Open questions are thus left about the nature of this motile behavior that drives spatial accumulation, and its similarities, differences and possible interactions with taxis towards chemicals.

To clarify the exact nature of the osmotactic response, we study the phenomenon on both single cell and population levels, observing the rotation of individual flagellar motors under stepwise increases in osmolality, and measuring the swimming speeds of a population of ~100,000 bacteria after exposure to an osmotic shock. The shock magnitudes we administer are motivated by those encountered in the human gastrointestinal tract [28, 29]. We find that a stepwise increase in osmolality results in an elevated, long-term CW Bias. In addition, we observe osmokinesis post osmotic shock, i.e. significant changes in the motor, and consequently, swimming speeds of bacteria. Lastly, for higher shock magnitudes, we observe a loss of motor speed immediately post shock, followed by a speed recovery. We discuss how the observed non-adaptive response and osmokinesis can lead to taxis.

Results

Single motor response to an osmotic shock is complex. We begin with the reasonable assumption that at least one component of the chemotactic network responds to an osmotic stimulus in order to generate previously observed osmotactic behavior [35]. Then, the response should be evident in the output of the chemotactic network, the Clock-Wise (CW) bias of a single BFM. CW bias is defined as the fraction of time the BFM spends rotating in the clockwise direction [42]:

\[ CWBias = \frac{N_{cw}}{N_{tot}} \]  

where \( N_{cw} \) is the number of data points corresponding to CW rotation and \( N_{tot} \) is the total number of data points in a given time interval.

To compute the CW Bias, we measured the speed of an individual BFM bead when exposed to osmotic pressure [42]. Briefly, we attach cells to the cover slip and attach a latex bead, 0.5 \( \mu \)m in diameter, to a short filament stub [25, 42]. The rotation of the BFM-driven bead is recorded using back-focal-plane interferometry at a 10 kHz sampling rate [43–45]. A representative single-motor rotation trace so obtained is shown in Fig. 1A. The shaded light blue interval shows the motor speed and rotational direction prior to osmotic stimulus. Positive motor speed values represent CCW rotation and negative CW. Fig. 1B shows the histogram of the CW Bias obtained from the motor speed trace in A (Methods). Prior to the stimulus, the motor switches from CCW to CW rotation ~ 4 times per minute at CW Bias ~ 0.07, agreeing with previous studies [42, 46].

At t = 5 min, the extracellular osmolality is elevated by delivering 400 mM sucrose, at a flow rate of ~120 \( \mu \)l/min and local flow velocity ~ 60 \( \mu \)m/sec [47], causing an osmotic upshock of 488 mOsmol/kg. Immediately upon upshock, the motor stops switching rotational direction and speed drops. Then, a recovery phase begins (Fig. 1A and B, non-shaded time interval) with the motor speed gradually increasing while switching is still present. Post-recovery phase, which we define as the period after motor switching has resumed, is characterized by an increased switching frequency. Consequentially, the CW Bias is also elevated (to 0.16), and is maintained over at least 20 min, suggesting that, unlike chemotaxis, the osmotactic response does not exhibit perfect adaptation [10, 24]. The color map at the bottom of Fig. 1B is a compact representation of the histogram in Fig. 1B.

To determine if CheY-P is necessary for the osmotic response observed in Fig. 1A and B, we performed single-motor measurements on a strain lacking CheY (\( \Delta \)CheY mutant [48, 49]). A representative trace is shown in Fig. 1C, and several more traces are given in SI Appendix Fig. S2. The Recovery Phase of the \( \Delta \)CheY mutant is the same as observed in Fig. 1A for the chemotactic wild type. However, the Post-recovery Phase of the mutant shows no switching events, indicating that CheY-P is necessary for the elevated bias observed in Fig. 1A and B.

Osmotic response does not exhibit perfect adaptation. We analyzed 69 cells exposed to three up-shock magnitudes to confirm the absence of perfect adaptation observed in Post-recovery Phase (Fig. 1A and B). Upshocks were delivered as in Fig. 1, by exchanging VR Buffer (Methods) with the same buffer supplemented with 100, 200 and 400 mM sucrose, corresponding to osmotic shocks of 111, 230 and 488 mOsmol/kg (similar to osmolalities found in the human intestine [28,29], see also SI Appendix for osmolality measurements of all our buffers). Fig. 2A shows color map histograms of each individual single-motor bias trace, where darker color represents higher CW Bias and white represents smooth swimming. The Recovery Phase, characterized by the zero CW Bias period, scales with the shock magnitude. SI Appendix Fig. S3 A shows the duration of the Recovery Phase, \( T_{rec,CW} \), against the shock magnitude, where for the highest shock administered \( T_{rec,CW} \sim 5 \) min. The length of the Recovery Phase roughly corresponds to the time \( E. coli \) takes to recover its volume and osmotic pressure upon the hyperosmotic shock [50]. Throughout the Post-Recovery Phase, CW Bias levels do not, on average, relax to their initial pre-shock values. In our measurements this phase lasts for ~10-20 min, which is significantly longer than chemotactic adaptation times [10] even when saturating attractant concentrations are used [51].

Evidence for this assertion is shown in the CW Bias histograms in Fig. 2B, which shows a distribution computed from 3 min recordings in VR Buffer prior to shock, and Fig. 2C, which shows a distribution computed from 3 min intervals taken at various time points, 12 or more minutes after shock with 200 mM sucrose. Total of 120 single-motor recordings were used for the pre-shock condition and 96 for after. The median value of the population CW Bias shifts from 0.01 pre-shock to 0.06 after addition of 200 mM sucrose. Fig. 2D shows the median CW Bias in time for each of the three dif-
fert shocks, calculated from the raw speeds of individual cells presented in Fig. 2A, using a 60 s wide moving window. Here, only medians are shown for clarity and the means, together with the interquartile range for each shock magnitude, are plotted in SI Appendix Fig. S3 B-D. While the CW Bias shows some recovery in time, in particular for 111 mOsmol/kg and 230 mOsmol/kg shocks, it proceeds on a slow time scale and the median values at the end of our measurement time remain elevated with respect to the initial value.

A further corroboration of long-term increase in CW Bias post osmotic shock comes from separating the CW Bias values of Fig. 2B according to the time point at which they were measured, relative to the administration of the osmotic shock (t=0). This is displayed in the inset of Fig. 2B and shows that the elevated CW Bias persists over a time scale as long as 1 h.

Osmotic response shows osmokinesis, i.e. changes in motor speed. The response to an osmotic upshock includes not only CW Bias dynamics, but also changes in motor and free-swimming speed. Fig. 3A shows a color map plot of normalized single-motor speeds of the same 69 cells presented in Fig. 2A. All cells were originally in VRB Buffer and subsequently exposed to an osmotic upshock using 100, 200 or 400 mM sucrose, corresponding to upshifts of 111, 230 and 488 mOsmol/kg. BFM speeds were normalized with respect to the initial speed of each motor, i.e. to the average value of the first 15 seconds of the recording, as shown in the inset of Fig. 2B and Appendix Fig. S4 and likely corresponds to the period of post-hyperosmotic shock volume recovery [50], however, $T_{rec,CW}$ and $T_{rec,ω}$ for individual motors do not occur simultaneously (SI Appendix Fig. S4).

After recovery, the speed increase continues, leading to elevated levels compared to the pre-shock values, Fig. 3C. Weaker upshocks, 111 and 230 mOsmol/kg, are characterized by a smaller increase in motor speed without a significant speed drop, as seen in Fig. 3A-C. Elevated speed levels we observed are reversible. SI Appendix Fig. S5 shows motor speeds of cells exposed to 100 mM sucrose upshock, which have been allowed to recover prior to transferring them back to VRB. Upon recovery to the downshock motor speeds return to approximately the same initial levels.

To explore the population-level significance of our observation of osmokinesis in single cells, we next performed Differential Dynamic Microscopy (DDM) (see Methods) [52,53]. DDM is a fast, high-throughput method for measuring the distribution of swimming speeds in populations of a range of different self-propelled particles, averaging over up to $\sim 10^5$ particles at the same time. The technique is well suited for rapid scanning of parameter space, so that it also allowed us to extend the range of external osmolalities studied. DDM characterizes the motility of a population of particles (in our case E. coli) by analyzing the statistics of temporal fluctuations of pixel intensities in a sequence of low-optical resolution microscopy images, where the intensity fluctuations are caused by the variation in number density of particles. Specifically, we measure the differential image correlation function (DICF), which is effectively a power spectrum of the difference between two images taken at separate time points [52,53]. If a theoretical motility model exists, such as in the case of E. coli [22], the expected DICF can be calculated and fitted to experimental data [53], allowing accurate estimates of the distribution of free-swimming speeds of a large number of bacteria [52].

Prior to DDM measurements cells were kept in VRB and osmotically shocked in a microfuge tube. Upon the upshock cells were quickly placed into a capillary for DDM measurements and the capillary was sealed, resulting in a fixed amount of oxygen present during the experiment. Swimming speed recordings commenced within 2 min after the upshock (Methods) and are shown in Fig. 3D. The gradual decrease of swimming speed with time observed in Fig. 3D for all magnitudes of osmotic upshocks was previously characterized in Motility Buffer, where E. coli maintains Proton Motive Force (PMF) using endogenous energy sources [54,55]. At fixed buffer composition, the time it takes to consume all available oxygen is inversely proportional to the cell concentration, and upon oxygen exhaustion a sudden ‘crash’ in swimming speed occurs [55]. Interestingly, we do not see such a ‘crash’ in swimming speed for the lowest five values of the imposed upshock, but do see such a ‘crash’ when the upshock is at the highest value of 785 mOsmol/kg. Since the cell concentration is fixed, this implies that cells consume oxygen at a significantly higher rate at the highest osmotic shock.

The increase in BFM speed observed in single cells, Fig. 3A, translates to an increase in population swimming speed with increasing upshock magnitudes, Fig. 3D. Similarly, in agreement with Fig. 3A, for high shock magnitudes, in particular for 488 mOsmol/kg sucrose, the swimming speed drop is observed immediately upon upshock. We also see a period of speed recovery, with duration increasing with the shock magnitude.

In order to demonstrate that, on the time scales of our experiments, the speed increase is set by the external osmolality; in SI Appendix Fig. S7, as well as administering a sharp step increase, we upshocked the cells to VRB with 200 mM sucrose in a series of 10 steps, each 12 s apart, lasting 2 min in total. The DDM measurements commenced $\sim$2 min after completion of the slower shock, and the slower shock shows identical speed behavior to that of the step increase.

Increasing sucrose concentrations results in the increased viscosity of the media, consequently increasing the drag coefficient on the motor (measured values of viscosity of our solutions are given in SI Appendix). In Fig. 3 we show BFM speeds and cell swimming speeds uncorrected for this effect, as the actual speed of the cell will be relevant for taxis. The viscosity corrected BFM speeds, calculated under the assumption that the motor torque does not change with the increasing viscosity, are shown in SI Appendix Fig. S8.

Furthermore, we check for the presence of steps during single motor speed recovery. To that end, in SI Appendix Fig. S9 we show examples of BFM speeds during the Recovery Phase after 488 mOsmol/kg upshock, starting just after the osmotic shock was administered. Majority of the traces do not show obvious steps during the BFM speed recovery period.

Discussion

Origins of osmotaxis. With no external stimuli E. coli swims in an almost straight line and re-orientates every so often in a nearly-random fashion, performing a random walk with an effective diffusion constant $D \sim v^2/\alpha$ [56,57], where $v$ is the swimming speed and $\alpha$ the tumbling rate given by

$$\alpha = 3(1 - \cos \phi_0) (\tau_{run} + \tau_1) / \tau_{run} [2]$$
with $\tau_{\text{run}}$ mean run time, $\phi_0 \sim 71^\circ$ mean reorientation angle following a tumble, and $\tau_r$ the duration of the tumble event [56,58].

Upon sensing a sudden increase in attractant concentration, *E. coli* sharply elevates the CCW bias for $\sim 1$ s and then slightly lowers it for $\sim 3$ s, returning to the prestimulus level in $\sim 4$ s [24]. It is this characteristic impulse response of modulating the tumbling rate that allows *E. coli* to navigate towards a favorable environment. The detailed chemotactic performance and its origins have often been studied [56,59–64].

The observation that a chemotactic mutant of *E. coli* (CheRCheB) lacking normal methylation and demethylation enzymes does not show chemotactic accumulation, but does respond to raised attractant concentrations by lowering the CCW bias, might suggest that enzymes does not show chemotactic accumulation, but does slightly lowers it for $\alpha$ and $v(x)$; the steady state *E. coli* density will be inversely proportional to its swimming speed [57]:

$$\rho(x) \sim \frac{1}{v(x)} \tag{3}$$

In Fig. 3C we show motor speeds, $\omega$, and in Fig. 4 mean CCW motor interval ($\tau_{\text{CCW}}$) and tumbling rate ($\alpha$) at a given osmolality. The $\omega$ and $\tau_{\text{CCW}}$ were obtained from the last 3 min of the post-osmotic shock recorded by lowering the tumbling rate without adaptation [10,24] lead researchers to calculate the consequences of spatially varying $\alpha(x)$ and $v(x)$ on steady state bacterial density [56,63]. Similar calculations were performed for particles with $v$ and $\alpha$ dependent on the local density [57], or when studying diffusion of bacteria in porous media [65]. These calculations offer possible explanations for previously observed negative osmotaxis, given the characteristic speed and bias response we here observed.

If we assume the simplest scenario, where there is no directional dependency of $\alpha(x)$ and $v(x)$; the steady state *E. coli* density will be inversely proportional to its swimming speed [57]:

$$\rho(x) \sim \frac{1}{v(x)} \tag{3}$$

The theoretical calculations we refer to make the assumption that spatial gradients of $v(x)$ and $\alpha(x)$ are small [56,57], such that we do not expect local gradients in steady state bacterial densities. For steeper osmotic gradients we expect a more complex osmotactic response, in particular since the Recovery Phases shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 will no longer be vanishingly short, as we assumed above, which could explain our previous contradictory osmotic observations [33,49].

Our assumption that $\alpha(x)$ and $v(x)$ lack directional dependence in the case of osmotaxis, could be satisfied if the observed changes in $\alpha$ are not due to signaling within the chemotactic network, but purely due to changes in the interactions of CheY-P with the rotor units of the motor. For example, previous observations indicate that at higher external osmolarities, osmotic pressure is kept the same [50]. Thus, the motor in an already crowded cytoplasm [67] increases, which can affect cytoplasmic interactions [68,69] and the binding of CheY-P to the BFM, perhaps in a similar fashion to that observed at higher hydrostatic pressures [70].

However, it is also possible that the observed changes in $\tau_{\text{CCW}}$, and $\omega$ are a consequence of signal processing by the chemotactic network. In particular, Vaknin et al. reported that osmotically induced changes in cell volume can perturb the chemoreceptors, and that the signal could travel down some of the network components [41]. In such a scenario, $\alpha(x)$ and $v(x)$ would be directionally dependent (as in chemotactic response) and steady-state cell density would need to be calculated taking into account the directional dependency as well as the characteristic signal response we observed. Future work needs to investigate strains lacking specific parts of the network to determine the contribution of signaling and different components of the network to the osmotic response.

**Origins of osmokinesis.** The large increase in motor speed post osmotic shock could be due to increases in PMF, possibly through alterations in cell metabolism; or, as an alternative explanation, due to the increase in number of stator units through mechanosensation [71,72] or adaptive motor remodeling [12]. The BFM has been shown to act as a mechanical sensor, increasing the number of stator units in response to higher loads [71,72]. As the viscosity of the medium rises with addition of sucrose, the speed increase we observed could be due to the incorporation of additional stator units. In fact, at higher loads, an increase in the mean CW interval has been reported as well [71,73]. At our load, a 0.5 µm bead attached to the motor via a short filament setup, the motor is still expected to operate in the high-load, 'plateau' region of the torque-speed curve [74,75] with the estimated full number of stator units [75]. In addition, we observe an average long term 30 Hz increase in motor-speeds even at our lowest osmotic shocks where the viscosity of the solution hardly changes (it increases by 1.057 times). Furthermore, free-swimming cells with the motor operating in the linear-torque regime, where motor remodeling due to increased load is more likely, do not increase the swimming speed at viscosities well above the highest viscosity used in our experiments, as measured using Ficoll [83]. Therefore, it is less likely that additional stator unit incorporation or adaptive motor remodeling are sole explanations for the speed increases we observe.

In Appendix Fig. 5D shows the motor speeds during the speed recovery phase for all of the BFMs recorded in the +886 mOsmol/kg condition. In majority of the traces no obvious steps are observed, suggesting that the increase in motor speed could be due to the increase in PMF with full set of stator units present. Here we note that the engagement of stator units with the rotor has been reported as torque dependent [72], where in absence of torque stator units disengage from the rotor. We would then expect stator resurrection during the Recovery Phase shown in SI Appendix Fig. S10. Absence of obvious step-wise increases indicates that volume shrinkage caused by the osmotic shock could affect motor dynamics and perhaps prevent, or slow down, stator disengagement.

The length of the motor speed recovery period observed in Fig. 3 is $\sim$5–10 min, in line with the volume recovery timescales observed previously post osmotic upshocks [33,50]. The timescales of speed recovery (at similar shock magnitudes) observed from DDM data in Fig. 3D are longer, with recovery lasting $\sim$40 min for the 473 mOsmol/kg upshock. Some variation in these times scales can be due to the difference between individual motor speed recovery and subsequent bundle formation. However, based on Fig. 3D, we can see that the contribution to the difference comes from alterations in oxygen consumption rate, which in turn shifts the time it takes
to reach the maximum swimming speeds.

By looking at individual BFMs and population swimming speeds together, we reveal the main characteristics of E. coli’s motility response to step increases in external osmolarity. The response consists of long term CW Bias and motor rotation/cell swimming speed increase. This is the first observation of osmokinesis in E. coli. We discuss how such observed speed increases can lead to negative taxis previously reported. Our study emphasizes the importance of investigating bacterial motility in environments that are closer to natural habitats, in the effort to understand the role and evolutionary advantage swimming offers to bacterial cells [76–78].

Fig. 1. (A) An example 30 min speed trace obtained from a single BFM. The cell was initially in VR Buffer (Methods), indicated in shaded light blue, and exposed to an osmotic upshock of 488 mOsmol/kg at t=5 min. The shock was delivered as a step increase by flowing in VRB containing additional 400 mM Sucrose, resulting in shock magnitude of 488 mOsmol/kg. (B) A histogram of clockwise bias computed by binning the trace in Fig. 1A into 30 second bins and dividing the time spent rotating clockwise by the bin length (see also equation 1 and Methods). Below is the same histogram condensed into a color map, with an intensity scale to the right. (C) Single-motor speed trace of a \( \Delta \text{CheY} \) mutant exposed to the same osmotic upshock as in Fig. 1A.

Fig. 2. (A) Stacked single cell color maps (histograms) of CW Bias for three different shock magnitudes (indicated on the left). Bin widths are 30 s. VR Buffer was exchanged for the same buffer with the addition of sucrose at t=5 min. The white hatched column represents the period of the media exchanged whose duration was \( \sim10-15 \) s. 22, 24 and 23 cells are given for the 111, 230 and 488 mOsmol/kg condition, respectively. Color map scale is given at the top. (B) Histogram of CW Bias for cells prior to osmotic upshock (in VR Buffer) and (C) post osmotic upshock, administered by exchanging VRB with VRB + 200 mM sucrose. Bin width is set to 0.020. Total of 120 motors (each on a different cell) were used to construct Fig. 2B and 96 motors for Fig. 2C. Inset in Fig. 2C plots these 96 single motor biases against the time after their respective osmotic upshift. Gray shading represents the range between 25\(^{th}\) and 75\(^{th}\) percentile of the CW bias distribution in VR Buffer given in B. (D) Median population CW Bias in time, computed from cells given in Fig. 2A, for different shock magnitudes: 111 mOsmol/kg (red), 230 mOsmol/kg (green) and 488 mOsmol/kg (dark blue). Black arrow indicates the time at which hyperosmotic shock was administered.

Fig. 3. (A) Stacked single-motor (also single-cell) speed histograms, where the motor speed for each BFM is normalized to the average value of the first 15 s. Bin widths are 15 s and the color represents the bin height. Results are grouped by upshock magnitude, as indicated on the left hand edge. The white hatched column represents the point where an osmotic shock was performed by exchanging VR Buffer for VR Buffer + sucrose and the flow lasted for 10-15 s. 22, 24 and 23 cells are given for the 111, 230 and 488 mOsmol/kg conditions, respectively. The color map scale is given at the top of the figure. (B) Time necessary to recover the average value of pre-shock speed. Red horizontal bars are mean, and yellow triangles are median values, and the graph contains 18, 22, 23 and 20 single motor data points for the 0, 111, 230 and 488 mOsmol/kg upshocks. One value for the 230 mOsmol/kg condition and three for the 488 mOsmol/kg have been excluded from the graph as these motors do not recover average initial speed in the course of recording. The 0 mOsmol/kg condition is a buffer to buffer control flush, where we used the same shocking protocol as for osmotic upshocks. (C) Single motor speeds calculated as 3 min averages corresponding to a section between t=17 and t=20 min in A. The graph contains 12, 22, 24 and 23 single motor data points for the 0, 111, 230 and 488 mOsmol/kg upshocks. The 0 mOsmol/kg condition contains 12 out of 18 control flushes that were at least 20 min long. (D) DDM measurement of swimming speeds following an osmotic shock. Cells were shocked in microfuge tubes and brought into a microscope within 2 minutes. The legend shows shock magnitudes and the mean speed is the average of swimming speeds obtained for each time point in a range of different length scales (Methods). The systematic error of our measurements is then calculated as the standard deviation of the mean, and falls within \( \sim 5\% \) of the mean value. Here it was not plotted for clarity.
Fig. 4. Mean corner clockwise motor interval, corresponding to cell runs, as a function of osmotic upshift. The “0” condition box contains 96 mean run intervals, calculated by averaging interval lengths over 5 min before osmotic upshift. Subsequent conditions, osmotic upshifts of 111, 230 and 488 mOsm/kg, contain 21, 20 and 22 single-motor values calculated by averaging interval lengths over the time span from t=12 min to t=15 min after an osmotic shock. Not all cells were used to obtain $\tau_{CCW}$ as note all cells had bound CCW intervals (see SI Appendix Fig. S11). In the inset, we calculate the tumbling rate $\tau$ according to equation 2, approximating the run times with $T_{run}$ and tumble times with $T_{tumble}$ given in SI Appendix Fig. S10.

Materials and Methods

E. coli strains and plasmids. **E. coli** strains KAF84 and KAF95 [49] were used for BFM speed and bias, and a highly motile MG1655 with an IS element inserted in the flagellar operon for the preparation of flagellar genes [79, 80], for DDM experiments. Both KAF84 and KAF95 carry the ricketts allele, (produce flagellate phenotypes), and contain a plasmid carrying an ampicillin resistance and a *flu* gene (produces flagellar filaments that stick readily to surfaces). Additionally, KAF84 is a chemotactic wild type and KAF95 is a $\Delta$CheY strain and therefore can not perform chemotaxis, producing a smooth swimmer phenotype. MG1655 is a K-12 strain and a chemotactic wild type.

E. coli Growth and culturing. KAF95, KAF95 and MG1655 cells were grown in Tryptone Broth (1% Bacto tryptone, 0.5% NaCl) at 30$^\circ$C while shaken at 200 RPM [42, 52]. KAF95 and KAF84 were supplemented with 100 $\mu$g/ml of ampicillin and grown to OD$_{600}$=0.8-1.0 (Spectronic 200E Spectrophotometer, Thermo Scientific, USA), and MG1655 to OD$_{600}$=0.6. We have calibrated the single scattering range of our spectrophotometer (SI Appendix Fig. S12) and ensured we stay within that range during OD$_{600}$ readings by appropriately diluting the culture when needed [81]. In SI Appendix Fig. S12 we give the growth curves of the three strains we used for the experiments and indicate the OD$_{600}$ at the point of culture harvest. After growth cells were washed into VR buffer (Volume Recovery) composed of the Modified Motility Buffer (MMB), which is 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH=7.1 (an aqueous solution with 6.1mM of Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 3.9 mM of NaH$_2$PO$_4$) and 0.01 mM of Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), with added Glycine Betaine, Potassium Chloride and Choline Chloride to final concentrations of 10, 20 and 10 mM, respectively. These compounds act as osmoprotectants and allow the cell to recover volume of Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA).

Subsequently, non attached cells were washed out. Next, 0.5 $\mu$m beads in diameter (Polysciences) were added and incubated for 10 min to allow sticking to the filaments and excess beads were washed out post incubation. Osmotic shocks were performed while the slide was in the microscope by adding 24 $\mu$l of the shocking solution to one end and immediately bringing a piece of tissue paper to the other, resulting in flow and exchange of media. The flow duration was approximately 10-15 s and the local flow velocity next to the attached bacterium was 60 $\mu$m/sec [47]. Tunnel was sealed after the shock to prevent evaporation and thus potential further increase in osmolality throughout the course of the experiment. For DDM experiments we used an $\sim$400 $\mu$m deep flat capillary (Vitrocom) glass sample was filled with $\sim$150 $\mu$l of bacterial suspension immediately after upshock, and subsequently sealed to prevent evaporation during the experiment. Osmolalities of the solutions were measured with a freezing point depression osmometer (CanLab) and are listed in SI.

Microscopy and data collection. For BFM experiments backfocal plane interferometry [43, 44] was performed using a custom built microscope and an 855 nm laser (Blue Sky Research, USA) which formed a weak optical trap. The rotating bead attached to a flagellar stub was brought into the focus of the laser and the back-focal plane of the condenser was imaged onto a position sensitive detector (PSD Model 2931, New Focus, USA). The voltage signal from the PSD was passed through an analog anti-aliasing filter (low pass, Bessel type filter with a cut off frequency of 2.5 kHz, Krohn-Hite Corporation, USA) and sampled at 10 kHz (PCI-E-6351 DAQ, National Instruments). For DDM experiments imaging within the glass capillary was performed at 100 $\mu$m away from the bottom of the capillary to avoid any interaction with the glass wall. The imaging began within $\sim$2 min post upshock, and consisted of a time-series of phase-contrast images (Nikon TE300 Eclipse fitted with a Nikon Plan Fluor 10xPh4 objective, NA=0.3, Ph4 phase-contrast illumination plate). Imaging was performed at 100 Hz sampling rate (Microtron high-speed camera (MC 1362) and frame grabber (Inspecta 5, 1-Gb memory)) for $\sim$40 s per movie duration and using 512x512 pixels field of view. Both DDM and BFM experiments were performed at room temperature ($21\pm1^\circ$C).

Data analysis. Data collected during BFM experiments was analyzed in the following way. X and Y signals (obtained from voltages from the PSD) were passed through a moving window discrete Fourier transform, where the window point moves by point and the window size was 1.68 s. Time traces of single motor speed obtained in such a way were processed to calculate the CW Bias using Equation 1. CW Biases were calculated for fixed interval sizes (30 s in Fig. 2A, 3 min in Fig. 2C and 5 min in Fig. 2B, and using a 60 s long moving window in Fig. 2D and SI Appendix Fig. 53B-E. To calculate $\tau_{CCW}$ we first take a mean of the $\tau_{CCW}$ intervals belonging to each individual BFM rotation trace. We then pool so obtained mean cell intervals into a distribution and obtain its mean (similar is true for $\tau_{CW}$, but in opposite direction). We include only bound CCW and CW intervals (see Fig. 57). In DDM experiments, the mean swimming speed was calculated from DDM movies as an average over $\sim$10$^4$ cells/ml. Details of the image processing and data analysis were as before [52, 53, 55, 83]. DDM allows the measurement of an advective speed, simultaneously, over a range of spatial-frequency $q$, where each $q$ defines a length-scale $L=2\pi/q$ (for more details, see [52, 53]). A mean swimming speed is extracted by averaging over $q$. Data plotted in Fig. 3D were obtained by averaging over the range $0.5 \lesssim q \lesssim 2.2 \mu$m$^{-1}$, corresponding to a range in length-scale 3 $\lesssim L \lesssim 13 \mu$m.
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Supporting Information

Growth Media Osmolarities. Growth media osmolalities were measured with an osmometer (Micro-Digital Osmometer MOD200 Plus, Camlab, Cambridge, UK) and are given in Table S1.

Motility Media Viscosities. Motility media viscosities were measured with a rheometer (TA Instruments AR2000, cone-plate geometry, 60 cm, 0.5°) and are given in Table S2.

Supporting Figures. Supporting Figures to the main text are given with the captions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Media osmolalities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRB+40 mM sucrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRB+60 mM sucrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRB+100 mM sucrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRB+200 mM sucrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRB+400 mM sucrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRB+600 mM sucrose</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Measured media viscosities (in agreement with listed in [1])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motility Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motility Media + 300 mM sucrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motility Media + 600 mM sucrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motility Media + 900 mM sucrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motility Media + 1850 mM sucrose</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. S 1. Schematic representation of the bead assay and tunnel slide used for measurements. (A) Genetically modified bacterial flagellar filament (FlagSticky [2]) stub was attached to a latex bead of 0.5 μm diameter. The bead was placed in a heavily attenuated optical trap and the bead rotation recorded via back focal plane interferometry (Methods). (B) A tunnel slide, formed by a double sided sticky tape separating a microscope slide and a glass cover slip, was used to deliver osmotic shocks. The slide was sealed with vaseline upon the osmotic shock to prevent evaporation.
Fig. S 2. Four representative traces of motor speed against time for the $\Delta$CheY strain KAF95. The blue shaded, vertical span marks the delivery of the osmotic shock. The top two traces have been recorded in the +111 mOsmol/kg condition, while the bottom two have been recorded in the +488 mOsmol/kg condition (base buffer is, as in all other experiments, VRB). We observe no switching before the shock, as expected from a $\Delta$CheY strain that is smooth swimming, and also none after the shock. Yet, the speed dynamics are similar to KAF84 (Main text Fig. 3).
Fig. S 3. (A) Recovery Phase duration ($T_{rec,CW}$), calculated as the time needed for the post-shock median population bias to recover to the median VR Buffer bias (CW Bias=0.01, histogram in main text Fig. 2B). $T_{rec,CW}$ was calculated for each of the three shock conditions, whose biases are presented in panels C-D. The points represent times at which the post shock median reaches 0.01, relative to the time of shock delivery. The error bars give the populational variability in terms of recovery times for the 25th and the 75th percentile of population bias. (B) Buffer to buffer control flush CW Bias during the course of the experiment is given. Biases were calculated on a moving window of 60 s length and the VR Buffer was flown into the tunnel slide in the same way and duration as for the osmotic shock experiments. 12 cells in total are presented, the solid black line is the median, dashed is the mean and the shading represents the area between the 25th and 75th percentile. (C) Same as B but for the 111 mOsmol/kg osmotic upshift and the plot represents the median of the 22 single cell bias traces (thick red line), the mean (dashed black line) and the red shading is the area between the 25th and the 75th percentile. (D) and (E) are the same as C, only for the 230 mOsmol/kg (24 cells) and the 488 mOsmol/kg (23 cells) osmotic upshifts, respectively.
Fig. S 4. $T_{\text{rec, } \omega}$, the time necessary to recover the average value of single motor speed following an osmotic shock, is given against $T_{\text{rec, } \text{cw}}^{\text{sc}}$, the time between the administration of the shock and the first detected switch event (post shock). Values are plotted for every single cell in the +488 mOsmol/kg condition, showing that the recovery of speed and switching in individual motors do not occur simultaneously. +111 and +230 mOsmol/kg conditions show no correlation between the two; the speed recovery there is instantaneous or shorter than the switching recovery time.
Fig. S 5. Osmolality induced speed increases are reversible. Box plot of motor speeds is shown against the final media cells are kept in. The box denotes the interquartile range and the whiskers the 10th and the 90th percentile. The Buffer (VRB) box contains the entire VRB speed distribution shown in SI Appendix Fig. S6. The 111 mOsmol/kg box contains speeds from osmotic upshock measurements 12 or more minutes after shock with 100 mM sucrose. The 'Back to Buffer' box contains measurements of motor speeds where cells were first exposed to 111 mOsmol/kg upshock in a tube, and after ~20 min recovery were transferred back to VRB. The measurements commenced ~20 min after return to VRB. Cells that have been washed back into VRB from VRB+111 mOsmol/kg have similar speed levels to the pre-shock VRB condition. The boxes contain 118, 45 and 27 cells respectively, with population average speeds of 116 ± 2Hz, 156 ± 5 and 97 ± 2Hz.
Fig. S 6. Histogram of single-motor speeds for *E. coli* KAF84 in the Volume Recovery Buffer (VRB). Each motor comes from a different single cell. Each speed is computed by taking the first 15 s of one of various recordings made in VRB, selecting the CCW speed from the trace and averaging it. The histogram contains 118 cells and the mean value of the distribution is 116±2 Hz.
**Fig. S 7.** DDM measurement of swimming speeds of osmotically shocked cells, where the magnitude of the shock stays the same, but the shock is delivered over a different time interval. A single step bringing the cells up to 235 mOsmol/kg from VRB is given in orange. The same shock performed in 10 steps prior to DDM measurement is given in red (dashed line). The inset shows the change in osmolality cells experience over time with the two methods.
Fig. S 8. (A) Version of the main Fig. 3 where the motor and swimming speeds have been corrected for sucrose viscosity, under the assumption that no additional stators are incorporated at this increased load (see Discussion in the main text). Viscosity correction for 100, 200 and 400 mM sucrose used are a multiplicative factor of 1.057, 1.195 and 1.444, respectively (measured and listed in [1]). Each line represents a single motor speed trace binned into 15 s intervals where the color represents the height of the bin, normalized to the first bin. Results are grouped by upshift magnitude, as indicated on the left hand edge. The white hatched column represents the point where an osmotic shock was administered by exchanging VR Buffer for VR Buffer + sucrose and the flow lasted for 10-15 s. There are 22, 24 and 23 cells for the 111, 230 and 488 mOsmol/kg condition, respectively. The color map scale is given at the top of the figure. (B) DDM measurement of swimming speeds following an osmotic shock. Cells were shocked in microfuge tubes and brought into a microscope within 2 min. The legend shows shock magnitudes and the mean speed is the average of swimming speeds obtained for each time point in a range of different length scales (Methods). The systematic error of our measurements is then calculated as the standard deviation of the mean, and falls within ~5% of the mean value (here not plotted for clarity). The traces shown have been viscosity corrected as well, as free-swimming cells with the motor operating in linear-torque regime do not increase the swimming speeds with viscosities we used in our experiments [4]. (C) Viscosity corrected single motors speeds calculated as 3 min averages corresponding to a section between t=17 and t=20 min in A. The 0 mOsmol/kg condition is sampled from a set of buffer to buffer control flushes which were at least 20 minutes long (12 out of 18 control flushes). Red horizontal bars represent mean and yellow triangles median values.
Fig. S 9. Traces of motor rotation after addition of 400 mM sucrose (all cells given in Fig. 2A and Fig. 3A of the main text are given). We do not plot the initial motor speed, and start at the point of speed drop due to an osmotic shock. Motor speeds were obtained as described in Methods.
**Fig. S 10.** Top: Mean CW interval distribution ($\tau_{CW}$) for cells in Volume Recovery Buffer. Motor rotation of 102 cells was sampled for 5 min and intervals counted as illustrated in SI Appendix Fig. S11. Bottom: Mean CW interval distribution for motors where the sampling interval (3 min) begins 12 or more minutes after an osmotic shock with 200 mM sucrose in VRB (+230 mOsmol/kg). The inset contains data from the histogram plotted against the start time of the sampling interval and $t=0$ corresponds to the osmotic shock.
**Fig. S 11.** A portion of a single-motor speed trace illustrating the counting of interval lengths within a given sampling window. Positive speeds correspond to CCW rotation and negative to CW rotation. Intervals $i_1$ and $i_7$ are not included when calculating the mean CCW interval due to uncertainty in their beginning or end. Only intervals bounded by two CW intervals are counted. Similar holds true when calculating mean CW interval, only those bounded by two CCW intervals were included.

**Fig. S 12.** Growth curves of all strains used in this work are given in (A) and (B). (A) Optical density measured at 600 nm is given against time for growth of KAF84 (black) and KAF96 (orange) strain inoculated from an overnight frozen stock. (B) As in (A) but for MG1655 strain. (C) Calibration curve of our spectrophotometer. Various concentrations of cells were prepared to determine the range where OD is linear with the concentration. In (A) and (B) sample was diluted so that OD never surpassed 0.25 and ensuring we always measure within the linear range of our spectrophotometer. Points in (A) and (B) are taken from several different flasks, showing that growth from frozen samples is highly reproducible as all points collapse onto a single curve.