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Abstract

Aim: To examine the relationship between baseline emotional intelligence and prior caring experience with completion of pre-registration nurse and midwifery education.

Background: Selection and retention of nursing students is a global challenge. Emotional intelligence is well-conceptualized, measurable and an intuitive prerequisite to nursing values and so might be a useful selection criterion. Previous caring experience may also be associated with successful completion of nurse training.

Design: Prospective longitudinal study.

Method: Self-report trait and ability emotional intelligence scores were obtained from 876 student nurses from two Scottish Universities before they began training in 2013. Data on previous caring experience were recorded. Relationships between these metrics and successful completion of the course were calculated in SPSS version 23.

Results: Nurses completing their programme scored significantly higher on trait emotional intelligence than those that did not complete their programme. Nurses completing their programme also scored significantly higher on social connection scores than those that did not. There was no relationship between "ability" emotional intelligence and completion. Previous caring experience was not statistically significantly related to completion.

Conclusion: Students with higher baseline trait emotional intelligence scores were statistically more likely to complete training than those with lower scores. This relationship also held using "Social connection" scores. At best, previous caring experience made no difference to students’ chances of completing training. Caution is urged when interpreting these results because the headline findings mask considerable heterogeneity. Neither previous caring experience or global emotional intelligence measures should be used in isolation to recruit nurses.
1 | INTRODUCTION

Selection and retention of student nurses is a global challenge (Marvos & Hale, 2015; Merkley, 2016). Attrition from undergraduate programmes contributes to the shortage of qualified staff globally (Kantek, 2010; Oulton, 2006). Student selection processes vary, but generally aim to identify individuals who are most likely to successfully complete their nurse training programme; reducing attrition and producing competent nurses (Rodgers, Stenhouse, McCreadie, & Small, 2013).

In the United Kingdom (UK), the focus of student nurse selection has shifted towards attempting to identify individuals who possess the values to become compassionate and caring nurses. This shift is predicated on criticisms of nursing in the findings of inquiries into care failings (cf. Francis, 2013; McLean, 2014) and Francis’ (2013: 77) specific recommendation of an “aptitude test” for student nurses. The response from the UK government was to recommend that “values based selection” be implemented by all nursing programmes (Department of Health, 2013, p192). However, there is little evidence on which to base such a strategy and in fact the idea that student nurses should express unique personality characteristics on entry to training does not stand up to scrutiny (Nesje, 2016). Questions of which values are important, how these might be measured and the impact of the educational process on the development of these values remain.

In Scotland, the government had made a co-ordinated effort to develop an evidence based approach to selection and retention of student nurses and midwives (Sabin, 2012). Whilst there remained pressure to undertake values based selection, there was determination to do so from an informed position. This study formed the first step in developing this evidence base in the Scottish context. A thoroughly conceptualized and measureable proxy for the values that might be selected for in student nurses was sought; and emotional intelligence (EI) identified as a possibility.

There is a growing body of evidence suggesting that EI might be a useful attribute in nursing. It has been associated with measures of caring, compassion and clinical performance (Kaur, Sambasivan, & Kumar, 2013; Rankin, 2013; Rego, Godinho, McQueen, & Cunha, 2010) and may be associated with retention (Marvos & Hale, 2015). EI is also thoroughly conceptualized in the psychology literature and valid measures exist. This study was therefore designed to investigate whether EI might be a useful selection criterion for student nurses by examining associations with student retention and successful completion of their programme.

Values based selection was only one project to emerge from Francis (2013) in the UK. Previous caring experience, in the form of a year-long clinical placement prior to becoming a student nurse, was anticipated to ensure that those entering pre-registration nurse education had the “right” values (Health Education England, 2014b).
The NHS in England and Wales instigated pilot projects to test the idea.

Initial evaluation of the projects indicated that those who had participated experienced greater understanding of what nursing entailed and were better positioned to choose nursing as a career (Health Education England, 2014a). However, there is no evidence to establish the impact of this previous caring experience on student performance, retention and completion of their programmes. Nevertheless, given the policy importance of this pre-application year, “previous caring experience” was included in this study as an independent variable and its relationship to both EI and retention/successful completion of the pre-registration nursing programme tested. In summary, previous caring experience and higher levels of emotional intelligence were considered good attributes to select for when recruiting student nurses and midwives. This study was designed to test those assumptions.

1.1 Background

Both “trait” and “ability” theories of emotional intelligence are represented in this study. The 30-item Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire—short form (TEIQue-SF) is theoretically grounded in the conceptualization of EI as trait, and Schutte’s 33-item Emotional Intelligence Scale (SEIS) sees EI as ability. Both questionnaires are used here and so the assumptions underpinning them are discussed first. The literature linking EI to nursing performance is then critiqued. “Social connection”, a factor identified in the TEIQue-SF and found to be a better predictor of retention than the global TEIQue-SF score, is then described. Factors in global EI measures often explain empirical findings better than the global measures and so this idea is covered in some depth. This leads to a brief examination of the relationship between EI and other potentially useful nursing attributes such as leadership and teamwork. This richer notion of emotional intelligence envisages it not as a trait or an ability, but as a resource; a resource that can be applied, depleted, facilitated or suppressed depending on the context at any given time. The section finishes by defining the aims and methods of the study.

1.1.1 Conceptualization

Most definitions of EI include the ability to monitor and evaluate emotions in self and other to act coherently. It is a social construct, evolving from Thorndike’s (1920) concept of “social intelligence”. An important theoretical distinction arises between those who consider EI an aspect of personality, or “trait” (e.g., Petrides & Furnham, 2006); or an “ability”, something one learns (Salovey & Mayer, 1990).

The personality literature views Trait EI as a “collection of relatively enduring affective personality traits” (Perera & DiGiacomo, 2013, p21). Since the 1980s personality traits are usually conceptualized as primary factors correlating to global factors. The global factors are the “big five”: Openness, Conscientiousness, Extraversion, Agreeableness and Neuroticism (Goldberg, 1980); or the “giant three”: Neuroticism, Extraversion, Psychoticism (Eysenck & Eysenck, 1975), depending on theoretical viewpoint. Using structural equation modelling Van der Linden, Tsaoasis, and Petrides (2012) showed that trait EI was, as expected, located in the lower strata of personality factors: a primary factor correlating with the giant three and the big five global factors. They found substantial overlap between what are accepted as General Factors of Personality (GFPs) and trait EI, essentially meaning that trait EI should be conceptualized as a general factor of personality: a trait. It is this conceptualization that underpins Petrides’ Trait Emotional Intelligence questionnaire and its short form (TEIQue-SF) (Petrides, 2009).

By contrast, Salovey and Mayer (1990) conceptualized emotional intelligence as an ability, claiming in later research that EI was an intelligence akin to general intelligence (IQ) (Mayer & Salovey, 1993). This is an important claim, because if EI is understood as an ability, a form of intelligence, then it follows that it can be influenced by learning and that people should be able to improve their EI. Several measures have been developed starting from this assumption, such as Rankin (2013) Rankein Scale and Schutte, Malouff, and Bhullar’s (2009) Emotional Intelligence Scale.

It is not the purpose of this paper to reconcile the differences between these views. Both are internally valid and both have been extensively developed and tested. Our position is that measuring different conceptualizations of EI may be useful. For example, understanding EI as ability in this study would provide an opportunity to consider how students’ EI might develop during their education programme (Foster et al., 2014). Interventions could be constructed to facilitate growth. Examining it as a trait is just as useful. If EI is a trait, then it should be reasonably stable over time. It should also be the case that higher scores on trait measures at baseline should be associated with success. In short, both were used in this study.

1.1.2 EI and nursing behaviour and performance

The literature largely supports the idea that EI has an impact on nurses’ ability to care (Bulmer Smith, Profetto-McGrath, & Cummings, 2009; Cadman & Brewer, 2001). Rego et al. (2010) identified that EI had some predictive value in relation to nurses’ caring behaviours. Kaur et al. (2013) concluded that the nurses’ ability to handle their own emotions was central to their caring behaviours. Rankin (2013) found a significant relationship between EI and student nurses’ clinical performance in year 1 of an undergraduate programme and also found a significant relationship between EI and academic performance.

Codier and Odell (2014) found significant correlations between nursing performance and the “perceiving” and “using” emotions subscales of the EI measure. Beauvais, Brady, O’Shea, and Griffin (2011) found that total EI scores were significantly linked with total nursing performance scores and argued for the inclusion of EI teaching in the nursing curricula. In a systematic review of high quality studies in medical students (Cook, Cook, & Hilton, 2016) found a weak but positive relationship between EI scores and success. In an integrative review of the literature of EI and performance in nursing Bulmer Smith et al. (2009) found similar correlations, but like Cook et al. (2016) noted poor sample sizes and study designs.
Studies have found associations between EI and interpersonal aspects of nursing such as teamwork and leadership (Erkutlu & Chafra, 2016; Quoidbach & Hansenne, 2009). Aggregate team EI was significantly related to team cohesion and quality of care in a Belgian study of 421 nurses, physiotherapists and auxiliaries working in 23 nursing teams in a single hospital site (Quoidbach & Hansenne, 2009). Additionally, Erkutlu and Chafra (2016) identified that high team leader EI increased the strength of the interaction between team empowerment and team proactivity, such that team leaders with higher EI can motivate and support teams to perform to the best of their ability.

At a wider level Vandewaa, Turnipseed, and Cain (2016) showed that EI was strongly linked to conscientiousness and civic virtue behaviours. These behaviours were demonstrated by nurses providing care beyond minimum standards and contributing to activities related to improving services. This function of EI at societal level was investigated in a systematic review finding that people with high EI were less likely to exhibit aggressive or antisocial behaviour than those with low EI (Garcia-Sancho & Salguero, 2014).

In summary, there appears to be a positive relationship between EI and nursing behaviours towards patients, colleagues and the wider healthcare context. If EI is positively associated with desirable nursing behaviours, then it can be equated with the desired impact of selecting for values. Whilst EI is assumed to be a proxy for values, it can be equated with the desired impact of selecting for values. However, it is important to note that more EI is not necessarily better. For example, they point out that too much emotional awareness can lead to psychological distress. Bullies tend to have higher EI scores than their victims (Elipe, Mora-Merch, Ortega-Ruiz, & Casas, 2015), but nobody would claim bullying is desirable behaviour. High EI has been linked with narcissism, personality disorder and psychopathy and can be clearly used for better or worse, depending on other personality factors or dispositions (Hyde & Grieve, 2014). Davis and Nichols (2016) argue for balance across the different factors that make up EI, rather than striving for high global EI per se.

This more nuanced view of EI goes some way to explaining the many studies that found significant correlations at the dimensional factor level rather than categorical/global level of the measure they used (cf. Augusto-Landa, Montes-Berges, & Vandeyar, 2009). In other words, global EI scores may be too insensitive. Examination at factor or dimensional level is likely to be required to understand the nuances of the relationships being tested.

In summary, emotional intelligence can be conceptualized as an ability or a trait. Measures exist for each and in some cases both (Webb et al., 2013). Regardless of how it has been conceptualized EI has been shown to correlate with performance in nursing, although the evidence is not strong and the correlations weak. Emotional intelligence is not inherently good, in that it can be abused for personal gain and optimal emotional intelligence is not necessarily high emotional intelligence. With all these caveats in mind, this study explores the relationship of trait and ability emotional intelligence with successful completion in a large cohort of student nurses and midwives. For reasons already identified it also examines the relationship between prior caring experience and successful completion in the same cohort.

1.1.3 The social connection factor

The factor structure of the TEIQue-SF (Petrides, 2006) was examined by triangulating results from a Rasch analysis and concurrent confirmatory factor analysis (Snowden, Watson, Stenhouse, & Hale, 2015b). A unique factor emerged which was best explained as “social connection”. “Social connection” consists of the items in Box 1.

The social connection factor proved a stronger predictor of retention than global EI scores (Stenhouse et al., 2016) and so this relationship will be examined again here. Stenhouse et al. (2016) suggested that it makes sense that lack of social connection would be associated with attrition from programmes, as those scoring poorly would probably struggle with the interpersonal nature of nursing. The social connection factor is therefore likely to continue to be an important and discrete factor to monitor when using TEIQue-SF.

1.1.4 EI factors and global scores

Emotional intelligence is not inherently good however. Davis and Nichols (2016) point to the “dark side” of EI, challenging the view that more EI is necessarily better. For example, they point out that too much emotional awareness can lead to psychological distress. Bullies tend to have higher EI scores than their victims (Elipe, Moramen, Ortega-Ruiz, & Casas, 2015), but nobody would claim bullying is desirable behaviour. High EI has been linked with narcissism, personality disorder and psychopathy and can be clearly used for better or worse, depending on other personality factors or dispositions (Hyde & Grieve, 2014). Davis and Nichols (2016) argue for balance across the different factors that make up EI, rather than striving for high global EI per se.

This more nuanced view of EI goes some way to explaining the many studies that found significant correlations at the dimensional factor level rather than categorical/global level of the measure they used (cf. Augusto-Landa, Montes-Berges, & Vandeyar, 2009). In other words, global EI scores may be too insensitive. Examination at factor or dimensional level is likely to be required to understand the nuances of the relationships being tested.

In summary, emotional intelligence can be conceptualized as an ability or a trait. Measures exist for each and in some cases both (Webb et al., 2013). Regardless of how it has been conceptualized EI has been shown to correlate with performance in nursing, although the evidence is not strong and the correlations weak. Emotional intelligence is not inherently good, in that it can be abused for personal gain and optimal emotional intelligence is not necessarily high emotional intelligence. With all these caveats in mind, this study explores the relationship of trait and ability emotional intelligence with successful completion in a large cohort of student nurses and midwives. For reasons already identified it also examines the relationship between prior caring experience and successful completion in the same cohort.

2 THE STUDY

2.1 Aim

To explore the relationship between baseline measures of emotional intelligence and previous caring experience with successful completion of a 3-year bachelor’s degree in nursing or midwifery.

---

**BOX 1** Items in Social Connection factor

1. I generally don’t find life enjoyable.
2. On the whole I have a gloomy perspective on most things.
3. Those close to me often complain I don’t treat them right.
4. I often find it difficult to show my affection to those close to me.
5. I find it difficult to bond well even with those close to me.
2.1.1 | Hypotheses

1. There will be a significant difference in mean baseline TEIQUE-SF scores between those students that completed the programme and those that did not.
2. There will be a significant difference in mean baseline SEIS scores between those students that completed the programme and those that did not.
3. There will be a significant difference in mean baseline social connection scores between those students that completed the programme and those that did not.
4. There will be a statistical dependency between student nurses’ previous caring experience and completion of the programme.

Further investigation of the data was conducted iteratively to explore interesting findings and generate further hypotheses for the next phase of the study.

2.2 | Design

Prospective longitudinal study using self-report measures.

2.3 | Data collection

2.3.1 | The EI measures

**TEIQue-SF**

The Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire (TEIQue) was developed by Petrides and Furnham (2000) and has been validated in several studies (Cooper & Petrides, 2010; Petrides, 2011). The 30 item short form TEIQue-SF (Petrides, 2006) is used in this study.

**SEIS**

Schutte et al.’s (1998) Emotional Intelligence Scale (SEIS) is based on Mayer and Salovey and Mayer (1990) ability model of EI. It measures four facets, all related to the use of EI, hence the claim it is a measure of ability. The facets are: emotion perception, utilizing emotions, managing self-relevant emotions and managing others’ emotions. Studies have validated the SEIS, however, as with TEIQue-SF, it should be noted that most have been carried out by the authors of the tool (Ng, Kim, & Bodenhorn, 2009; Schutte et al., 2001, 2009).

**Social connection**

This factor in TEIQue-SF was discussed in the previous section. It consists of the five items in Box 1. These five items are therefore analysed as a discrete factor.

**Previous caring experience**

Participants were asked whether they had any previous caring experience when they started the study in 2013. If they responded “yes” they were asked where (hospital, home, care home, elsewhere) and for how long.

2.3.2 | Process

Student nurses and midwives (n = 876) from two Scottish universities completed the TEIQue-SF and SEIS at the beginning of year 1 in September 2013. Demographics included age, gender, previous caring experience, highest previous qualification and deprivation category. Successful completion of the programme was coded as a binary variable indicating timely completion of the programme (1) or not (0) in July 2016.

2.4 | Ethical considerations

Ethical approval was granted for the study by the research ethics committee in each of the HEIs. Participation was voluntary and informed consent sought at each point of data collection. The questionnaires were completed on paper and all participants were assured they could withdraw from the study at any point.

2.5 | Data analysis

Data on completion, previous caring experience, EI measures and social connection scores were input into SPSS version 23. Data were tested for normality and homogeneity of variance and then subject to parametric or non-parametric tests accordingly.

3 | RESULTS

Mean age on entry was 26.3 (SD 8.8) years. The majority were female (N = 780). The cohort consisted of 585 adult nursing students, 123 mental health, 90 midwives, 47 learning difficulty students and 23 child health students. The number of students declaring previous caring experience (N = 428) were marginally outnumbered by those not having any previous experience (N = 435). Median “highest qualification on entry” was Scottish Credit and Qualifications Framework (SCQF) level six, equivalent to English “Advanced level” or European Qualifications Framework (EQF) level 3 (Quality and Qualifications Ireland, 2017). The USA equivalent is a high school diploma and for other countries a range of scores on the international baccalaureate is considered equivalent. See (University and Colleges Admissions Services, 2017).

A total of 589 (68.2%) nurses/midwives successfully completed their 3-year programme, with 279 (31.8%) students failing to complete on time. It is unknown what percentage of the “failing to complete” group subsequently went on to pass. For the full sample mean TEIQue-SF scores were 5.32 (SD 0.6), Social Connection scores were 6.19 (SD 0.82) and SEIS scores were 127.8 (SD 13.8).

1. There will be a significant difference in mean baseline TEIQUE-SF scores between those students that completed the programme and those that did not.

As mentioned 589 students completed the programme and 279 did not. Mean TEIQue-SF scores for completers was 5.36 (0.57) and
for non-completer 5.23 (0.68). There was one extreme outlier in the data, more than two standard deviations from the mean, as assessed by inspection of boxplots, so this entry was removed from further analysis (Lund & Lund, 2017a, 2017b). TEIQue-SF scores for each group were not normally distributed, as assessed by Shapiro-Wilk’s test ($p < .05$ in both groups) and there was not homogeneity of variances, as assessed by Levene’s test for equality of variances ($p < .001$). The data in the completer cohort were both skewed ($z = -3.13$) and kurttosed ($z = 3.31$).

A Mann–Whitney U test was therefore run to determine if there were differences in TEIQue-SF scores between completers and non-completers. TEIQue-SF scores for completers (mean rank = 448.42) were statistically significantly different from non-completers (mean rank = 405.1), $U = 90,367$, $z = 2.38$, $p = .016$.

Although using non-parametric test is the rule of thumb when certain assumptions are met, it should be noted that non-parametric tests are also unreliable when variances are not equivalent (Lund & Lund, 2017a, 2017b), as is the case here. To double check the result the parametric equivalent was also run. This is because $t$-test is fairly robust even when some assumptions are violated, as long as sample sizes are large enough (Howell, 2010). An independent-samples $t$ test found that nurses completing the programme scored significantly higher on TEIQue-SF ($5.36$ (0.57)) than those that did not complete the programme ($5.23$ (0.68)), a difference of $0.13$ (95% CI, 0.04–0.22), $t(470.63) = 2.73$, $p = .007$.

Using either test, students completing the programme scored significantly higher on TEIQue-SF at baseline than students that did not complete the programme.

2. There will be a significant difference in mean baseline SEIS scores between those students that completed the programme and those that did not.

There were two extreme outliers in the data, as assessed by inspection of boxplots, so these entries were removed from further analysis. SEIS scores for each group were normally distributed, as assessed by Shapiro-Wilk’s test ($p > .05$) and there was homogeneity of variances, as assessed by Levene’s test for equality of variances ($p = .881$).

Nurses completing the programme scored higher (128.33 (13.36)) than those that did not complete the programme (127.03 (13.54)), but this difference of 1.3 was not statistically significant (95% CI, –3.22 to 0.69), $t(862) = 1.33$, $p = .184$. There was no significant difference in mean baseline SEIS scores between those students completing the programme and those not.

3. There will be a significant difference in mean baseline social connection scores between those students that completed the programme and those that did not.

Mean social connection scores for completers was 6.27 (0.74) and for non-completer 6.03 (0.96). There were two outliers more than two standard deviations from the mean, as assessed by inspection of boxplots, so these entries was removed from further analysis (Lund & Lund, 2017a, 2017b). Social connection scores for each group were not normally distributed, as assessed by Shapiro-Wilk’s test ($p < .05$ in both groups) and there was not homogeneity of variances, as assessed by Levene’s test for equality of variances ($p < .001$). The data in both the cohorts were skewed and kurtosed.

A Mann–Whitney U test was therefore run to determine if there were differences in social connection scores between completers and non-completers. Social connection scores for completers (mean rank = 452) were statistically significantly different from non-completers (mean rank = 396), $U = 92,514.5$, $z = 3.123$, $p = .002$.

As with the TEIQue-SF result, a $t$ test for equality of variances was also run. An independent-samples $t$-test found that nurses completing the programme scored significantly higher on social connection scores ($6.27$ (0.57)) than those that did not complete the programme ($6.03$ (0.68)), a difference of $0.24$ (95% CI, 0.12–0.37), $t(438.8) = 3.78$, $p < .001$.

Using either parametric or non-parametric test, students completing the programme scored significantly higher on social connection at baseline than students that did not complete the programme.

4. There will be a statistical dependency between student nurses’ previous caring experience and completion of the programme.

Chi-squared test was used to test dependency between previous caring experience and completion of the programme. The expected and actual count of students declaring previous caring experience or not was cross-tabulated with the number of students completing the programme or not in Table 1. The proportion graduating from the previous care experience group was 65%, whereas those graduating from the no previous care experience was 72%. However, this was not a statistically significant difference ($\chi^2 (1, N = 862) = 3.48$, $p = .062$).

There was no statistical dependency between student nurses’ previous caring experience and completion of the programme.

### Table 1 Cross-tabulation of expected and actual counts of students graduating or not by previous care experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous care experience</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Count</td>
<td>137.2</td>
<td>139.8</td>
<td>277.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Count</td>
<td>289.8</td>
<td>295.2</td>
<td>585.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Count</td>
<td>427.0</td>
<td>435.0</td>
<td>862.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | EI and performance

Student nurses who successfully completed their programme had a higher average “trait” EI than those that did not successfully complete the programme on time. Consistent with much of the literature (Perera & DiGiacomo, 2013; Sanchez-Ruiz et al. 2010; Codier & Odell, 2014) the effect was small, but significant nonetheless. This positive result was even more significant using the social connection factor. This finding might on the face of it draw the conclusion that the TEIQue-SF and in particular the social connection factor should be deployed as part of a selection process in pre-registration nursing and midwifery programmes. We would urge the strongest caution in that conclusion for the following three reasons.

First, considerable work would be required to identify whether there is a useful range of scores that could identify a candidate who may be more likely than not to successfully complete their pre-registration programme. As discussed earlier, optimal values may not necessarily be high values (Davis & Nichols, 2016). A cursory exploration of our dataset showed many nurses who scored at least one standard deviation below the mean nevertheless went on to be very successful, with many gaining distinctions at graduations. Excluding them on the basis of low scores on TEIQue-SF at baseline would have been wholly inappropriate. Relatedly, this is just a UK sample and so the transferability of any potential parameters needs international investigation.

Secondly, further exploration would also have to take account of the gender difference in global EI scores for the TEIQue-SF. There appears to be a gender bias in TEIQue-SF. Males scored significantly lower than females at baseline (Snowden, Stenhouse, et al., 2015a). This could infer that females should be more successful than males in our cohort. This was not true. A chi squared test showed almost complete equity between the genders. Males were just as likely to complete the programme successfully as females. This means there is a problem with the test and interestingly this gender bias can be explained by closer examination of the social connection factor. There was a significant jump in social connection scores for both males and females. However, the males just started from a lower baseline (Figure 1). When the scores for the five questions which constituted the social connection factor were removed from the global EI score this gender bias disappeared almost entirely. Given that only one in ten nurses is male in UK and the drive is to recruit more males, recruiters would need to be very mindful of the differential item functioning (Teresi & Fleishman, 2007) in the TEIQue-SF.

Thirdly, it is increasingly apparent that a range of factors in emotional intelligence measures may be more useful than global measures (Augusto-Landa, Montes-Berges, & Vandeyar, 2009; Davis & Nichols, 2016; Montes-Berges & Augusto-Landa, 2014). Again, the “social connection” factor proved a more robust indicator of withdrawal than the global EI measure. Given the social connection factor only consists of five items as opposed to thirty this could be an important practical finding. We would still urge considerable caution as these items have never been tested as a standalone brief measure. Nevertheless, it is worth exploring whether the five items may be useful, for example, as way of identifying people in need of certain kinds of support during their training.

Measurement of EI using the Schutte EI Scale did not lead to the identification of associations with any of the other dependant variables. Space prevents a detailed analysis of this finding but Rankin (2013) for example, would argue that ability EI cannot be measured using self-report methods. Future papers will explore this measure in more detail.

4.2 | Previous caring experience

Previous research on this cohort had revealed that students with previous caring experience were more likely to leave the programme than those without previous caring experience after first year of training (Stenhouse et al., 2016). This finding was very much at odds with the aims of the previous care experience pilot (Health Education England, 2015) and came under considerable scrutiny as a consequence. It was hypothesized at the time that students with previous caring experience leaving Registered Nurse training could be compared to experienced drivers having to relearn to drive to pass their driving test again. They would have to “unlearn” some engrained habits to go back to basics. Once the initial shock had worn off the balance would swing back the other way and the previous caring experience would once again become an asset rather than a hindrance.

However, this cannot be said to be the case in this study. Whilst the result was not significant, the more successful nurses continued to be the students without previous nursing experience, even when the test was rerun excluding those who had left at the end of the first year. If the pendulum is to swing back to favour those with previous caring experience, it cannot be said to have done so by
programme completion. The cohort is being followed up into practice as trained nurses, however, so it remains to be seen if previous caring experience may yet have a positive impact on career progression. These findings suggest that there is no basis for implementing a mandatory pre-application nursing experience in relation to these outcomes.

4.3 | Limitations

The main limitation was resource. The study required considerable organization and time for data collection and entry and this left no resources to conduct deeper investigation into student emotional intelligence, such as observation or interview. The self-report measures rely on self-awareness, which of course is a factor of emotional intelligence. We cannot therefore be sure that scores are entirely accurate. However, this is an issue with all self-report measures and our large sample minimizes this risk as far as practicable. Furthermore, we plan to follow the cohort into their professional lives as Registered Nurses and have obtained further funding to conduct in depth interviews as part of this follow-up.

5 | CONCLUSION

Nursing requires aesthetic knowledge and empirical knowledge and academic knowledge (Carper 1978). It is therefore intuitive to think that emotional intelligence should be a useful attribute to recruit for given its relationship with compassionate caring, competence and resilience (Rankin, 2013; Rego et al., 2010; Kaur et al. 2013), attributes highly valued in nurse education in UK and around the world. This study found that students who scored higher on TEIQue-SF at baseline were more likely to complete their 3-year programmes of study than those scoring lower on TEIQus-SF. Social connection, a factor made up from five items in the TEIQue-SF was even better at differentiating those scoring lower on TEIQus-SF. Whilst the TEIQue-SF results are encouraging for nurse recruiters, it cannot yet be recommended for use as a selection tool. The concept of EI appears to be too broad and there is no range of scores that would have identified only successful or unsuccessful students.

To be fair, it is unlikely that such an instrument exists and so it may be more realistic and useful to explore some of the factors these measures entail. Social connection consists of five items in the TEIQue-SF and seems to pick up something of the social interactive element of nursing. Various authors are suggesting elements of these global EI measures to be useful whilst questioning the use of the global EI concept. It will be interesting to see how the literature evolves. Meanwhile the cohort studied here have now gone on to practice as trained nurses and will be followed up by the research team to see how the relationships discovered in earlier findings evolve.
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