An endosiRNA-based repression mechanism counteracts transposon activation
during global DNA demethylation in embryonic stem cells

Rebecca V Berrens\textsuperscript{1,2,6}, Simon Andrews\textsuperscript{1}, Dominik Spensberger\textsuperscript{1}, Fátima Santos\textsuperscript{1,2}, Wendy Dean\textsuperscript{1}, Poppy Gould\textsuperscript{1}, Jafar Sharif\textsuperscript{3}, Nelly Olova\textsuperscript{1,5}, Tamir Chandra\textsuperscript{1,5}, Haruhiko Koseki\textsuperscript{3}, Ferdinand von Meyenn\textsuperscript{1} and Wolf Reik\textsuperscript{1,4}

\textsuperscript{1}Epigenetics Programme, Babraham Institute, Cambridge, CB22 3AT, UK.
\textsuperscript{2}University of Cambridge, The Old Schools, Trinity Lane, Cambridge CB2 1TN, UK.
\textsuperscript{3}RIKEN Research Center for Allergy & Immunology, 1-7-22 Suehiro-cho, Tsurumi, Yokohama, 230-0045 Kanagawa, Japan.
\textsuperscript{4}Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Hinxton, CB10 1SA, UK.
\textsuperscript{5}Present address: MRC Human Genetics Unit, MRC Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, Crewe Road, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, UK.
\textsuperscript{6}Lead Contact

Contact

rebecca.berrens@gmail.com, vonmeyenn@babraham.ac.uk, Wolf.reik@babraham.ac.uk
Abstract

Erasure of DNA methylation and repressive chromatin marks in the mammalian germline leads to risk of transcriptional activation of transposable elements (TEs). Here, we used mouse embryonic stem cells (ESCs) to identify an endosiRNA-based mechanism involved in suppression of TE transcription. In ESCs with DNA demethylation induced by acute deletion of Dnmt1, we saw an increase in sense transcription at TEs, resulting in an abundance of sense/antisense transcripts leading to high levels of ARGONAUTE2 (AGO2) bound small RNAs. Inhibition of Dicer and Ago2 expression revealed that small RNAs are involved in an immediate response to demethylation-induced transposon activation, while the deposition of repressive histone marks follows as a chronic response. In vivo, we also found TE-specific endosiRNAs present during primordial germ cell development. Our results suggest that antisense TE transcription is a ‘trap’ that elicits an endosiRNA response to restrain acute transposon activity during epigenetic reprogramming in the mammalian germline.
Introduction

Epigenetic reprogramming in the mammalian germ line is key for restoration of developmental potency and occurs at the preimplantation stage of embryonic development and during development of primordial germ cells (PGCs) (Reik and Surani, 2015). These events lead to global DNA methylation and H3K9me2 erasure together with the transient transcriptional activation of specific classes of transposable elements (TEs) (Hajkova et al., 2008, Rowe and Trono, 2011). This raises fundamental questions about the regulation of TE defence in the absence of repressive epigenetic marks.

TEs comprise ~50% of the mammalian genome and can be categorised into two major classes: retrotransposons and DNA transposons (Lander et al., 2001). While most TEs in the genome are inactive due to mutations and/or truncations, around 1-2% of long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs) and endogenous retroviruses (ERV) remain able to retrotranspose (Maksakova et al., 2006). Notably, the ERV family members Intracisternal A Particles (IAPs) and Early Transposons (ETns) are the most active TEs in the murine germline (Maksakova et al., 2006).

Due to their ability to retrotranspose, TEs are thought to play an important role in genome evolution, but can also cause genetic diseases (Goodier and Kazazian, 2008). In order to protect the genome from harmful mutations, regulatory mechanisms must be in place to limit their transcription.

TE activity is controlled by multiple epigenetic mechanisms including DNA methylation, repressive histone modifications, and small RNAs (Rowe and Trono, 2011). In somatic tissues DNA methylation and H3K9me2/3 have been shown to be responsible for TE silencing (Walsh et al., 1998, Hutnick et al., 2010). However in the germ line DNA methylation and H3K9me2 are globally erased, while H3K9me3 is maintained and H3K27me3 is redistributed (Iurlaro et al., 2017, Tang et al., 2016). Indeed deletion of the H3K9me3 methyltransferase Setdb1 leads to activation of IAPs during PGC development as well as in mouse embryonic stem cells (ESCs) (Karimi et al., 2011, Maksakove et al., 2013). Further, global demethylation of naïve ESCs results in transcriptional activation of TEs and subsequent resiling by a redistribution of repressive histone marks (Walter et al., 2016).

A number of studies have demonstrated that small RNAs may also act post transcriptionally as a second-tier defence against TEs, particularly in the germline. In mouse oocytes, microRNAs (miRNAs) and endogenous short-interfering RNAs (endosiRNAs) that control TE expression have been identified (Tam et al., 2008, Flemr et al., 2013, Watanabe et al., 2006)
and in the male germline PIWI-interacting small RNAs (piRNAs) can also control TE expression (Aravin et al., 2008). In ESCs tRNA fragments have been recently described to play a role in ERV translational control (Schorn et al., 2017).

In contrast to somatic cells, increased pervasive transcription across TEs was reported in ESCs, suggesting that TEs may regulate transcription of long noncoding RNAs (Kelley and Rinn, 2012). Intriguingly however, in yeast it was shown that genome-wide pervasive transcription antisense to transposons leads to an RNA interference (RNAi) response as a defence mechanism against TEs (Cruz and Houseley, 2014). Sense/antisense transcription permits the production of double stranded RNA (dsRNA) triggering RNAi (Fire et al., 1998) which has also been identified as a control mechanism of TEs (Robert et al., 2005).

Here we test the hypothesis that genic transcripts antisense to TEs serve as a trap for transcriptional activation of TEs during global demethylation in mammals. Generation of Dicer as well as Ago2 conditional and constitutive knockout ESC lines in the background of a Dnmt1 conditional knockout (cKO) line allowed us to define an ‘immediate’ endosiRNA dependent repressive response to TE activation and a subsequent ‘chronic’ response, characterised by targeting of repressive histone modifications, to global demethylation.
Results

Acute Dnmt1 deletion leads to TE demethylation in ESCs

Our experimental system recapitulates epigenetic reprogramming of early embryos and PGCs in vitro. We used Cre mediated conditional Dnmt1 deletion in ESCs (Dnmt1 cKO) (Sharif et al., 2016) and sampled DNA and RNA at several defined time points after Dnmt1 deletion for methylome, long and small transcriptome, as well as chromatin analyses (Figure 1A).

By whole genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS-seq), we confirmed that acute deletion of Dnmt1 led to genome-wide demethylation from an initial 85% CpG methylation to 35% at day 3 after deletion, and 20% at day 6 after deletion with no further demethylation thereafter (Figure 1B, S1A). The residual methylation can be attributed to the activity of the de novo DNA methyltransferases (Lei et al., 1996). Upon Dnmt1 cKO loss of methylation was in genic and intergenic elements, CGIs as well as non-CGI promoters (Fig 1B). Characteristic methylation profiles over gene bodies were reduced with the same kinetics as the rest of the genome upon Dnmt1 cKO (Figure S1B). Furthermore, low methylated regions (LMRs) and active enhancers became demethylated (Fig S1C). Thus, this in vitro model results in replication dependent global demethylation of the genome, which closely resembles the dynamics of global reprogramming in early embryos and PGCs (von Meyenn et al., 2016).

To analyse TEs in WGBS-seq, RNA-seq and ChIP-seq data, we only considered uniquely mapped reads and filtered out TEs overlapping the (+/- 2 kb) region surrounding genes. While unique mapping might not capture all information about young TEs (as they lack the increased sequence divergence of older TEs which makes unique mapping more efficient; Lerat et al., 2003), this conservative approach allows us to be confident that mapped reads can be definitively ascribed to specific TE subfamilies. Moreover, the filtering of the region (+/- 2 kb) surrounding genes avoids ambiguity about the origin of TE expression from promoters which are not their own (Figure S1D, Data S1).

Acute Dnmt1 deletion led to hypomethylation of TEs at the same rate as the rest of the genome (Figure 1B, S1E) with the exception of IAPs, RLTRs and MMERVK10C, which preserved higher methylation levels (Figure S1F). Thus our experimental system also closely recapitulates global demethylation dynamics of TEs in vivo, including the fact that IAPs are relatively resistant to global demethylation (Seisenberger et al., 2012, Kobayashi et al., 2013).
Increased sense transcription of TEs upon hypomethylation combines with pervasive antisense transcription

Next, we performed total RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) upon acute Dnmt1 deletion to examine if demethylation led to transcriptional activation of TEs. Transcriptional activation was limited to specific classes of ERVs (Figure 1C). We found TEs with increased transcription upon hypomethylation that remained active over the whole time-course (MMERVK10C) as well as TEs initially activated but notably subsequently re-silenced (e.g. IAPs and MERVLs).

In addition to TEs, a small number of genes became activated upon loss of DNA methylation (Figure S1G, H), including the imprinted genes Xlr3a, Mirg and Rian (Table S1), consistent with the known roles for methylation in regulation of these genes (Ferguson-Smith, 2011) (Figure S1I). DNA hypomethylation did not result in ESC differentiation, as indicated by the unaltered expression of the core pluripotency network (Figure S1J).

Interestingly, when quantifying reads overlapping with genes we found upon global hypomethylation increased pervasive transcription in the antisense orientation to those genes (Figure 1D). These pervasive antisense transcripts are in fact produced by transcription of TEs that have integrated in an antisense orientation to the genes (Figure 1E). Consistent with previous studies, high numbers of TEs were found to be preferentially integrated in antisense orientation to genes (van de Lagemaat et al., 2006) (Figure S1K).

We next analysed the total RNA-seq data to determine whether both sense and antisense transcription was detectable at sites of TE integration. Indeed, TE antisense transcription was found in all TE families, with sense transcripts of members of the ERVs being upregulated consistent with their activation in response to demethylation (Figure 1F). We also included TEs which were not activated by hypomethylation, but instead are regulated in a DICER dependent manner (see Figure 3E).

Sense/antisense transcription of TEs correlates with AGO2 bound endosiRNAs

The production of sense and antisense transcripts across TEs is expected to lead to dsRNAs, which can subsequently induce an RNAi response and silence TEs post transcriptionally. These results suggest that TE expression may be sensed by pervasive antisense transcription, thus constituting a TE ‘trap’ (Figure 2A). To test this hypothesis, we performed small RNA-seq at defined time-points after Dnmt1 deletion. The majority of small RNAs were miRNAs (Figure S2A-C) and were expressed independently of DNA methylation, with the exception of miRNAs from the imprinted Dlk and Xlr3 loci (Figure S2D, E). Small RNA quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) of mature miRNAs confirmed their methylation
dependent regulation (Figure S2F). The Dlk locus serves as an example of the genome-wide response to acute Dnmt1 deletion with the imprint control region (ICR) becoming demethylated leading to transcriptional upregulation of the imprinted locus and embedded miRNAs (Figure S2G).

Due to the short reads in small RNA-seq, we used TE consensus sequence mapping to analyse global TE derived small RNAs. This method allows unambiguous alignment to unique TE classes. Notably, we observed a substantial increase of small RNAs mapping to IAP, MERVL and ETn upon Dnmt1 deletion (Figure 2B), which in the case of IAPs mapped across the whole length of the element (Figure 2C). Small RNAs mapping to L1MdGf and MMERVK10C, respectively, were detected both in wild type (WT) and Dnmt1 cKO ESCs (Figure 2B).

The mammalian ARGONAUTE proteins (AGO) are critical components of the RNA induced silencing complex (RISC). AGO2 can bind miRNAs as well as endogenous siRNAs (endosiRNAs) and has the ability to "slice" its targets (Doi et al., 2003). We performed AGO2 immunoprecipitation (IP) from Dnmt1 cKO ESC at day 9 after deletion and analysed the pulldown by small RNA-seq (Figure 2D). The AGO2-IP small RNA-seq libraries of both WT and Dnmt1 cKO ESCs were composed to 90% of known miRNAs, while 40% of the remaining small RNAs mapped to TEs (Figure S2H, only Dnmt1 cKO shown). This subset of AGO2 bound small RNAs was 22 nucleotides (nts) long and mapped to sense and antisense strands of TEs (Figure 2E); the small RNAs had 5’ U-overhangs (Figure S2I) and formed characteristic 5’-5’ overlaps at nt 20, identifying them as bona fide endosiRNAs (Figure S2J) (Ghildiyal and Zamore, 2009). AGO2 bound endosiRNAs mapping to MERVL and RLTR45 were expressed throughout the time-course while endosiRNAs mapping to L1, IAP and ETn or MMERVK10C were significantly enriched upon Dnmt1 deletion (Figure 2F), suggesting that functional endosiRNAs against specific TE classes are generated during global demethylation.

We also generated small RNA-seq libraries of E13.5 and E14.5 male and female PGCs and found that ~10% of all 20-24 nt small RNAs mapped to TEs in both male and female E13.5 and E14.5 PGCs with small RNAs mapping to IAPEZ and L1MdGf particularly enriched in E14.5 PGCs (Figure S2K-L). These small RNAs had the defining properties of endosiRNAs (Figure S2M-O), suggesting that a similar response to the one we have discovered in ESCs also exists during global demethylation in the germ line in vivo.

Key RNAi components are involved in the repression of specific TE classes
To investigate whether the observed endosiRNAs were involved in restraining TE expression, we knocked down key components of the endosiRNA and miRNA pathways in Dnmt1 cKO and monitored IAP expression by RT-qPCR. Upon knockdown of Dicer or Ago2, both essential components of the RNAi pathway, IAP transcription was strongly upregulated, while knockdown of Dgcr8 (dispensable for endosiRNA function) had no effect on IAP expression (Figure 3A). This suggests that TEs are controlled by functional endosiRNAs.

To examine the role of the RNAi pathway during global hypomethylation in more detail we generated conditional Dicer/Dnmt1 cDKO ESCs (Figure S3A), and carried out a number of quality controls. Loss of Dicer activity was confirmed by loss of expression of mmu-miR-93, while Dicer independent small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) were still expressed (Figure S3A). We generated total RNA-seq data from Dicer/Dnmt1 cDKO ESCs and found increased antisense transcripts in these cells, as seen earlier in the Dnmt1 cKO ESCs (Figure S3B). Furthermore, small RNA-seq of Dicer/Dnmt1 cDKO ESCs showed a depletion of all miRNAs (Figure S3C) and a loss of 21-24nt small RNAs mapping to all TEs as well as specifically to L1MdGf and IAPEz (Figure 3B, S3D), which proves that the described small RNAs are DICER dependent products.

Acute conditional deletion of both Dicer and Dnmt1 together resulted in significantly higher levels of transcription of IAPs by day 10 in comparison to those in Dnmt1 cKO ESCs (Figure 3C). Importantly, there was no notable resilingence of IAP transcripts in Dicer/Dnmt1 cDKO. This demonstrates that DICER plays a role in the re-repression of IAPs upon global hypomethylation. LINEs and major satellites (non-TE pericentric repeats), whilst not upregulated upon Dnmt1 deletion, were also dramatically upregulated following Dicer deletion (Figure 3C). Dicer/Dnmt1 cDKO ESCs started to show signs of cell death from day 12 after deletion, potentially as a result of TE mobilisation, as has been shown in constitutive Dicer KO (Bodak et al., 2017).

We next asked whether deletion of RNAi components downstream of DICER would lead to a similar response and generated conditional Ago2/Dnmt1 cDKO ESCs (Figure S4G). While we initially expected that Ago2/Dnmt1 cDKO might show comparable results to the Dicer/Dnmt1 cDKO, we found that the deletion kinetics of Ago2 KO in their respective ESC lines were substantially slower (Figure S3E-G). Surprisingly however, we found that transcriptional upregulation of TEs in the Ago2/Dnmt1 cDKO was considerably blunted (Figure 3D).
To gain deeper insights into the blunted TE expression, we constitutively deleted Ago2 or Dicer using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing in the background of Dnmt1 cKO ESCs (Figure S5H-J). We first determined the effect of Dicer KO on genic and transposon transcription and were able to identify TEs that were solely dependent on DICER for their silencing, such as L1MdGf (Figure 3E, S3K-O).

We next performed a time-course of Dnmt1 deletion in Ago2 KO/Dnmt1 cKO and in Dicer KO/Dnmt1 cKO and measured IAP expression by RT-qPCR. Notably, we found substantially attenuated upregulation of IAPs upon Dnmt1 deletion in both ESC lines, which was confirmed by total RNA-seq (Figure 3D, S3O). These results indicate that in addition to DNA methylation and RNAi, alternative TE silencing mechanisms can be recruited. While DICER dependent mechanisms restrict the expression of specific TE classes upon deletion of Dnmt1, ablation of the RNAi pathway prior to demethylation triggers the engagement of another silencing mechanism. Since repressive histone marks have been shown to contribute to TE repression in somatic tissues and in ESCs (Karimi et al., 2011, Maksakova et al., 2006) we asked whether these might constitute the additional repressive mechanism observed here.

TE silencing by repressive histone marks

To study the involvement of chromatin in TE regulation upon global hypomethylation, we carried out ChIP-seq analyses of the repressive histone marks H3K9me2, H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 at 4 and 8 days after deletion of Dnmt1, i.e. before and after transcriptional upregulation of the relevant TE classes. Genome-wide distribution of the repressive histone marks - H3K27me3, H3K9me2 and H3K9me3 - confirmed earlier studies (Iurlaro et al., 2017, Tang et al., 2016) with H3K27me3 enrichment in gene bodies and H3K9me2/3 enrichment in TEs (Figure S4A). Additionally, H3K27me3 was enriched in promoter regions but depleted at transcription start sites (TSS) (Figure S4B,C). Upon Dnmt1 deletion neither of these repressive histone marks were redistributed genome-wide (Figure S4D).

However, DICER-independent MERVLs showed increased H3K27me3 deposition upon Dnmt1 deletion, recapitulating what has been reported in naïve hypomethylated ESCs (Walter et al., 2016) (Figure 4A). We found H3K9me3 enrichment across IAPs independent of DNA methylation levels, confirming previous results (Figure S4E,F) (Walter et al., 2016, Sharif et al., 2016). Importantly, H3K27me3 and H3K9me2 deposition was found on IAPs 9 days after Dnmt1 deletion, explaining why early, but not late, depletion of Dicer or Ago2 result in sustained TE expression. These results show that two repressive pathways are in place to control TE expression in ESCs (Figure S4I), and, importantly, that they are
staggered in time, with an immediate RNAi response and a subsequent chronic chromatin response.

To obtain insights into the attenuated IAP expression in Dicer KO/Dnmt1 cKO, we performed ChIP-seq of the same repressive histone marks. While we did not observe a genome-wide redistribution of H3K27me3, H3K9me2 and H3K9me3 in the Dicer KO nor the Dicer KO/Dnmt1 cKO (Figure S4G,H), we observed a clear redistribution of repressive histone marks over TEs in Dicer KO and in particular an enrichment of H3K27me3 and of H3K9me2 at IAPs. This was even further increased upon Dnmt1 deletion (Figure 4B). Hence, acute depletion of DICER during global demethylation abrogates re-silencing of IAPs whilst constitutive deletion of Dicer instigates a repressive chromatin response in IAPs which suppresses reactivation upon hypomethylation (Figure 4C).
Discussion

How TEs are controlled during global epigenetic reprogramming in the mammalian germline is a highly relevant question. The present study provides to our knowledge the first evidence of AGO2 bound endosiRNAs in ESCs during global DNA hypomethylation, which restrict TE expression as judged by acute depletion of Dicer or Ago2. That we also detect DICER-dependent endosiRNAs in PGCs indicates that it is likely that the described mechanism also operates in vivo. This mechanism constitutes a first line of TE defence during epigenetic reprogramming. A second line of defence is provided by chromatin targeting and retargeting, presumably through the evolution of sequence specific recognition modules of TEs such as zinc finger proteins (Rowe and Trono, 2011). Our work also indicates a link between these systems; they are staggered in time and thus potentially connected.

Many TE families are associated with transcribed genes or IncRNAs in ESCs (Kelley and Rinn, 2012). This provides the potential for sense/antisense transcription to occur when TEs become demethylated, as observed here (Figure 1F). In oocytes, pseudogenes provide the antisense strand to TEs to feed into an RNAi pathway (Tam et al., 2008) and TEs have been shown to give rise to dsRNA in preimplantation embryos due to their bidirectional promoters (Svoboda et al., 2004). Indeed, we found intragenic active TEs preferentially integrated in antisense direction to the gene (Figure S1K). Previous studies had concluded that this could prevent disruption of normal gene expression (van de Lagemaat et al., 2006). We suggest an additional reason why this direction of insertion is evolutionarily favoured: it produces a trapping system (‘trap’) for transposon activation during epigenetic reprogramming, in order to tame newly invading TEs (Fig 2A).

Overlapping sense/antisense transcription feeds into an endosiRNA pathway regulated by DICER and AGO2 to silence TEs. The generation of the two constitutive and conditional KO ESCs in the background of the Dnmt1 cKO allowed us to dissect the dynamics of TE control during global hypomethylation, revealing an ‘immediate’ response which is characterised by endosiRNAs and affected by acute depletion of Dicer or Ago2. This is followed by a ‘chronic’ response which is defined by targeting of repressive histone modifications (particularly H3K27me3 and H3K9me2) and occurs subsequent to the endosiRNA response in Dnmt1 cKO and Dnmt1/Dicer cDKO ESCs (Figure 4C). Intriguingly, non-acute depletion of Dicer also instigates deposition of H3K27me3 and H3K9me2 independent of DNA demethylation, suggesting that the two systems are linked. We suggest a mechanism of TE control by which the ‘immediate’ endosiRNA response to global methylation erasure is followed by a ‘chronic’ repressive chromatin response. Interestingly, the ‘chronic’ response is initiated by deletion of Dnmt1 as well as by abrogation of the ‘immediate’ defence. Therefore, the ‘immediate’ and...
‘chronic’ responses are not only staggered in time but also appear mechanistically linked. Unravelling the molecular underpinnings of this link will be an important topic of future work.

The specific response of IAPs and LINEs to loss of DICER may be explained by the fact that they embody the most active retrotransposition competent TE copies in the mouse germline (Maksakova et al., 2006) and are primarily guarded by endosiRNAs, with chromatin playing a secondary role in their transcriptional restriction. Other TEs by contrast are primarily controlled by chromatin redistribution upon global demethylation. The present study highlights the exquisite variety and interplay of epigenetic modifications by which the transcription of different TE families is regulated. Future work in this area, particularly with high coverage long read sequencing, will hopefully allow the characterisation of transcriptional and epigenetic regulation of individual TE copies in the genome.

We identified DICER as an important factor in small RNA dependent silencing of TEs. Nonetheless, DICER-independent AGO2-bound small RNAs may also play a role in TE silencing (Babiarz et al., 2008, Murchison et al., 2005). DICER-independent small RNAs might also explain the repression of ETns, to which increasing amounts of AGO2-bound small RNAs mapped, but which were not responsive to Dicer KO.

TEs benefit from transcriptional activation in the germ line but not in somatic cells (Haig, 2016). Hence one might speculate that they may regulate aspects of epigenetic reprogramming in germ cells to their benefit. In this respect TEs may not be the sole benefactors of their own mobilisation but it also impacts on creating novelty in the host genome. Nevertheless unrestrained activation and transposition would presumably be detrimental to the host genome, and hence a sophisticated balance of regulatory mechanisms for TEs has evolved in the germ line, including the chromatin retargeting and the endosiRNA pathway we report here.
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Figure Legends

**Figure 1**: Transcriptional upregulation of specific TE classes upon acute Dnmt1 deletion

(A) (left) Schematic overview of epigenetic reprogramming during preimplantation and male (blue) and female (red) germline development. (right) Schematic of Dnmt1 cKO as an *in vitro* system for mechanistic study of TE regulation during epigenetic reprogramming.

(B) Violin plots showing the distribution of CpG methylation levels measured by WGBS-seq of WT (grey) and conditional Dnmt1 cKO ESC induced for days depicted in the figure. The percentage of methylated cytosines was quantified in consecutive 50 CpG windows genome-wide. CGI = CpG Island. For significance analysis Wilcoxon rank sum test with Bonferroni correction testing with a p-value threshold of < 0.05.

(C) Heatmap of unbiased hierarchical clustering of all TEs responsive to Dnmt1 cKO across the time-course of KO induction. It shows relative expression (z-score) of TEs upon Dnmt1 cKO, n=2.

(D) Bar graph showing percentage of genic antisense transcription upon Dnmt1 deletion in KO relative to WT samples, n=2.

(E) Chromosome view of TE inserted antisense to gene. Position of TE is denoted (top panel) along with sense strand specific RNA-seq reads (lower panels, sense transcription shown in blue, antisense transcription shown in red). Each read is depicted. Arrows indicate directionality of reads.

(F) Sense/Antisense expression of TEs as determined by RNA-seq analysis of conditional Dnmt1 cKO ESC uninduced (black), induced for days depicted in figure. Crosshatched bars depict antisense reads. The figure shows mean of n=2.

See also Figure S2, S4I, Data S1.

**Figure 2**: Small RNAs are being produced from TEs upon loss of Dnmt1

(A) Schematic displaying the hypothesis model of pervasive transcription overlapping TEs acting as a trap of transcriptional activation of TEs. This could work through the production of dsRNAs from sense and antisense transcripts that feed into the RNAi pathway which subsequently silences the TEs.

(B) Small RNA-seq mapped to different classes of TEs of WT (grey) and conditional Dnmt1 cKO ESC induced for days depicted in figure. *p<0.05, ** p<0.005, two-tailed student t-test. Bars represent mean +/- SD, n=3. All reads of a size between 20-24 nt have been mapped to TE consensus sequence.

(C) Small RNA-seq mapped to consensus sequence of IAPEZ. All reads of a size between 20-36 nt have been mapped to the IAPEZ consensus sequence.

(D) Schematic displaying AGO2 IP of small RNAs.
(E) Size distribution of AGO2 bound small RNAs after AGO2 IP of sense (black) and antisense (grey) small RNAs mapping to repeatmasker consensus sequences using the piPipes small RNA-seq pipeline (Han et al., 2014).

(F) Small RNA-seq of AGO2 bound small RNAs mapped to TE classes of WT (grey) and conditional Dnmt1 cKO ESC induced for 9 days (light blue). *p<0.05, **p<0.005, two-tailed student t-test. Bars represent mean +/- SD, n=4.

See also Figure S2, S4I, Data S1.

Figure 3: TEs are repressed by a DICER mechanism

(A) Knockdown (KD) of RNAi players (upper left) Schematic of siRNA KD in Dnmt1 cKO ESCs: the genome gets demethylated (orange), IAPs get transcriptionally activated and resilenced (red) if small RNAs are present (grey), however KD of the RNAi pathway will deplete small RNAs, (lower left) RT-qPCR analysis showing KD efficiencies of Dicer, Ago2 and Dgcr8 upon treatment with siRNAs. (right) Expression of IAPs upon Dicer, Ago2, Dgcr8 or non-targeting siRNA transfection. The data is normalised to non-targeting control. Bars represent mean +/- SD, n=3. *p<0.05, **p<0.005, two-tailed student t-test.

(B) Small RNA-seq of Dicer/Dnmt1 cDKO and Dnmt1 cKO ESCs. Sense (orange), antisense (blue) small RNAs are separated by size and were mapped to all TEs. Reads were normalised to non-induced WT (Dicer+/-/Dnmt1+/-) ESCs, n=1.

(C) RT-qPCR analysis of TE classes in ESCs following conditional Dnmt1 cKO, Dnmt1/Dicer cDKO by treatment with 4OHT or Dicer KO. Bars represent mean of 2 biological replicates with 2 technical replicates. Values were normalized to Atp5b, Hspcb and major satellites were normalised to U1. *p<0.05, **p<0.005, two-tailed student t-test.

(D) RT-qPCR analysis of IAPEz in the indicated ESC lines. Conditional deletions were induced by treatment with 4OHT for the indicated days. Values were normalized to Atp5b and Hspcb and are relative to the respective WT sample for each KO line, indicated by dashed line. Error bars represent mean +/- SD, n=3 for Dnmt1 cKO, Dicer KO/Dnmt1 cKO and Ago2 KO/Dnmt1 cKO and n=2 for Dicer/Dnmt1 cDKO, Ago2/Dnmt1 cDKO. Ago2 KO/Dnmt1 cKO time points day 9 and 11 were not collected.

(E) Heatmap of unbiased hierarchical clustering of all TE classes responsive to Dicer KO. Heatmaps depicts relative expression (z-score) of TEs upon Dicer KO, n=1.

See also Figure S4, S5, Table S2, S4I.

Figure 4: Repressive Histone modifications enriched at TEs upon global demethylation

(A) Heatmap showing relative enrichment (z-score) of repressive Histone marks (H3K9me3, H3K27me3 and H3K9me2) at TE classes differentially regulated upon both Dicer KO (Figure 3A) and Dnmt1 cKO (Figure 1C) and normalised to enrichment in WT ESCs.
(B) H3K27me3, H3K9me3 and H3K9me2 enrichment over TEs dependent on Dicer and Dnmt1. Heatmap depicts ChIP-seq data of H3K27me3 mapped to TE families at depicted days after Dnmt1 cKO, Dicer KO and Dnmt1/Dicer cDKO in comparison to WT ESCs.

(C) Schematic of the two levels of TE control upon global demethylation. Upon deletion of Dnmt1, DNA methylation (5mC; orange) mediated repression is lost, and transposon expression increases (as an example IAP expression is shown in green). Subsequently small RNAs (red; ‘immediate’ response) and repressive histone marks (chromatin, blue; ‘chronic’ response) establish a new repressive environment. Also indicated are the time-points at which the different experimental manipulations interfere with the system.

See also Figure S4, Data S1.
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STAR Methods

CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Rebecca Berrens (rebecca.berrens@gmail.com). The Ago2 antibody for was obtained from EMBL, after establishing an MTA with the laboratory of Prof. Donal O’Carroll at University of Edinburgh.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Cell lines

Mouse embryonic stem cell (ESC) lines were used in this study. Dnmt1\textsuperscript{loxP/loxP} ESCs (strain C57BL/6) were obtained from Haruhiko Koseki, RIKEN Center for Integrative Medical Sciences, Yokohama City, Japan (Sharif et al., 2016). Dicer/Dnmt1 cDKO, Ago2/Dnmt1 cDKO, Dicer KO and Ago2 KO ESC lines were generated using Dnmt1\textsuperscript{loxP/loxP} ESCs using the CRISPR/Cas9 targeting and screening primers mentioned in Table S3.

Mice

All in vivo PGC samples were collected from timed matings of C57Bl/6J female mice carrying the Oct4-GFP transgene expressed in the developing gonad (Yoshimizu et al., 1999). Primordial germ cells from male and female embryos at E13.5 and E14.5 were collected. All procedures were covered by a project license (to WR) under the Animal (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, and is locally regulated by the Babraham Institute Animal Welfare, Experimentation, and Ethics Committee.

METHOD DETAILS

DNA/RNA Extraction

Genomic DNA was prepared using QIAmp DNA Micro Kit (QIAGEN). RNA was extracted using TriReagent (Sigma) and Phase Lock tubes (5Prime) following manufacturers’ instructions and subjected to DNAse treatment using the DNA-free kit (Ambion DNA-free DNA Cat #1311027) according to the manufacturers’ instructions.

Small RNA RT-qPCR

For small RNA qPCR Taqman miRNA kits were used according to the manufacturer’s instructions for mmu_miR93 (Taqman, Cat. No. TM001090), mmu_miR7081_mat (Taqman, Cat. No. TM467052_mat) and snoRNA202 (Taqman, Cat. No. 001232) was used as a positive control. RT-qPCR primers are listed in Table S2.
AGO2 IP

ESCs were cultured on 15 cm dishes and harvested in 1 x PBS. Pellets were frozen at -80°C until further processing. ESC were resuspended in 300 µl Lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH8, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 15 % Glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 0.5% Sodium deoxycholate, 0.5% Triton X-100, Protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), 50µg/ml yeast tRNA, 2mM Vanadyl ribonucleoside complex) and cells were pelleted at 10,000 rpm, 10 min, 4°C. The supernatant was used as whole ESC extract. 25 µL beads (protein G Sepharose) were washed 3 times with 1 mL of Wash Buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 0.01% NP-40). 50 µl of purified AGO2 antibody (O’Carroll lab) was added, filled up to 1mL with Wash Buffer and incubated O/N at 4°C in a rotating wheel. On the next day, the beads were washed 3 times with Wash Buffer and the negative control (beads with extract but without serum) was prepared. The ESC extract was pre-spun to remove precipitated proteins and 200µL extract was added to the beads and filled up to 600µL with Lysis buffer. The mix was incubated for 2-4h at 4°C in a rotating wheel and subsequently washed 5 times with wash buffer and the IP was eluted with 300µL Proteinase K buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 0.5% SDS, 5 mM EDTA, 1 µL Proteinase K/reaction) after 30 min for 50°C incubation on the thermomixer, at 850 rpm. RNA was isolated by phenol extraction and eluted in 8 µl H₂O.

RNAi knockdown of Ago2, Dicer1, Dgcr8 in Dnmt1fl/fl ES cells

RNA interference experiments were performed according to manufacturers’ instructions with modifications. Transfections of Dharmacon siGENOME SMARTpool siRNA against mouse Dicer (Dharmacon, Cat. No. MU-040892-01-0005), Dgcr8 (Dharmacon, Cat. No. MU-051365-00-0002) or Ago2 (Dharmacon, Cat. No. MU-058989-01-0005) and siGENOME nontargeting siRNA#2 (Dharmacon, Cat. No. D-001210-02-05) were performed with Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacturers’ instructions. The transfection was done in two rounds. The cells were plated at a density of 1 x 10^5 ES cells per well of gelatinized 12-well plate. One day later the first transfection was done the following for each well of a 12 well plate: 50uM siRNA were added to 100 ul DMEM. 6 ul of Lipofectamin2000 were mixed with 100 ul DMEM. The mix was incubated for 5 min at room temperature. Afterwards the two solutions were mixed and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. 200 ul of the siRNA and Lipofectamin2000 mix were added to each well of a 12 well plate. On the third day the medium was changed. On the fourth day the second transfection was done the following: 125uM siRNA were added to 250 ul DMEM. 7.5 ul of Lipofectamin2000 were added to 250 ul DMEM and incubated at room temperature for 5 min. The solutions were then mixed and again incubated for 15 min at room temperature. The cells were washed with PBS, trypsinised, inactivated and resuspended in ESC medium and plated on a gelatinised 6-well plate I a total volume of 1.8 ml each well. 500ul of siRNA and Lipofectamin2000 were added
to each well. The ESCs were incubated at 37°C for 6 hours and then the medium was changed. Cells were harvested 48 h after the 2nd transfection and RNA was extracted and analysed.

**RT-qPCR**

100 ng - 1 µg of DNAse treated RNA was reverse transcribed (Thermo RevertAid #K1622) using random hexamer primers. Endogenous controls (Atp5b, Hspcb, U1) were used to normalise expression. Major satellite RT-qPCR was done as previously described (Lehnertz et al., 2003, Probst et al., 2010). Primers are listed in Table S2.

**CRISPR cKO and KO**

guideRNAs (gRNAs) were constructed following https://chopchop.rc.fas.harvard.edu/ and http://crispr.mit.edu/ and cloned following the protocol by (Ran et al., 2013) into pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP (Addgene plasmid ID: 48138) or pSpCas9(BB)-2A-hCD4, constructed by replacing the GFP in the pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP with human CD4. Cells were cultured on feeder plates and transfected with 1 µg gRNA and 100 ng donor DNA, where appropriate, using Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent. Cells were sorted for GFP in single cell colonies into 96 well plates using flow cytometry or CD4 expression plating on 10cm dishes as single cell colonies. Colonies were screened by PCR using MyTaq (Bioline, BIO-25044) and Sanger sequencing. See Figure S4A, S4D, S5A, S5D for knockout strategy and Table S2 for gRNAs, screening primers and donor DNA sequence.

**Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)**

Cells were trypsinised and resuspended in PBS + 1% FBS and analysed on a LSR Fortessa Cell Analyzer (BD). Cells were gated for singlets and living cells were identified using the level of DAPI incorporation and the level of GFP signal was recorded for each cell.

**CD4 pull down**

Cells were trypsinised and resuspended in 70 µl 1 x PBS and stained with human CD4 Microbead antibody (Miltenyl Biotec, Cat. No. 130-045-101) according to manufacturers’ instructions. The CD4 positive cells were enriched using MACS columns. Negative cells were collected from flow through. The cells were eluted in 500 µl 1 x PBS.

**In vivo PGC collection**

All embryonic samples for library preparation were collected from timed matings of C57Bl/6J female mice PGCs that express the Oct4-GFP transgene in the developing gonad (Yoshimizu et al., 1999). E13.5 and E14.5 PGCs, male and female samples were collected
separately and after collagenase digestion PGC samples were sorted for GFP positive cells using a FACSAria (BD) cell sorter with >98% purity.

Cell lines and culture conditions

Mouse ESCs were cultured with or without feeders on gelatinized plates (0.1% gelatin) in serum-containing media (DMEM 4,500 mg/l glucose, 4 mM L-glutamine, 15% fetal bovine serum, 1 U/ml penicillin, 1 μg/ml streptomycin, 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids, 50 μM β-mercaptoethanol) supplemented with mouse LIF at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Conditional deletion was induced by Cre mediated recombination, as described before (Sharif et al., 2016). Cre expression was induced in response to tamoxifen (4OHT, 800 nM).

WGBS-seq libraries

For preparation of WGBS-seq libraries, genomic DNA was sonicated using a Covaris Sonicator, followed by end-repair, A-tailing and methylated adapter (Illumina) ligation using NEBNext reagents (E6040S, NEB). Afterwards the libraries were bisulfite treated using Imprint DNA modification kit (MOD50-1KT, Sigma), followed by library amplification with indexed primers using KAPA HiFi Uracil HotStart DNA Polymerase (KAPA HiFi Uracil+, KK2801/2). Subsequently, the amplified libraries were purified and assessed for quality and quantity using High-Sensitivity DNA chips on an Agilent Bioanalyzer. High-throughput sequencing of all libraries was carried out with a 75 bp or 50 bp paired-end (PE) sequencing on Illumina HiSeq 2500 instruments using TruSeq reagents (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), according to manufacturers’ instructions.

ChIP-seq libraries

ESCs were grown on 15 cm dishes coated with 0.1 % gelatine until they were 80 % confluent. Subsequently cells were cross-linked with 1 % methanol-free formaldehyde in fresh medium for 10 minutes. To quench the cross-linking, 0.2 M final concentration of glycine was added. ESCs were washed twice with ice cold 1 x PBS (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na2HPO4, 2 mM KH2PO4 dissolved in 800 ml distilled H2O, pH was adjusted to 7.4 with HCl) and harvested using a cell scraper. Cells were then pelleted by centrifugation at 8,000 x g at 4 °C for 3 min. Pellets were resuspended in LB1 buffer (50 mM HEPES’ KOH, pH 7.5; 140 mM NaCl; 1 mM EDTA; 10 % glycerol; 0.5 % NP-40; 0.25 % Triton X-100, protease inhibitors) for 10 minutes at 4°C, pelleted and resuspended in LB2 buffer (10 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0; 200 mM NaCl; 1 mM EDTA; 0.5 mM EGTA, protease inhibitors) for 10 minutes at 4 °C. Cells were pelleted and resuspended in LB3 buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8; 100 mM NaCl; 1 mM EDTA; 0.5 mM EGTA; 0.1% Na/Deoxycholate; 0.5% N-Lauroylsarcosine, protease inhibitors). Next the cells were sonicated using Misonix Sonicator 3000. Triton X-100 was
added to a final concentration of 1 % and the lysate was centrifuged at 20,000 x g for 10 min to pellet the debris. The bead-antibody complexes were prepared before adding the sonicated DNA. Protein G-coupled Dynabeads (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 10003D) and the primary antibodies in PBS with 5 mg/ml BSA were incubated ON. Subsequently, the bead-antibody complexes were added to the sonicated chromatin and both were incubated at 4 °C ON. On the following day, beads were washed extensively with RIPA buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 1 mM EDTA, 0.7 % Na deoxycholate, 1 % NP-40, 0.5M LiCl), once with 1x TE buffer (1 M Tris-HCl (pH approximately 8.0), 0.1 M EDTA) and eluted in 200 μl of buffer containing 1 % SDS and 0.1 M NaHCO3. They were then incubated at 65°C ON for reverse cross-linking. RNase A treatment at 37°C was carried out for 1 h, then Proteinase K treatment at 55°C for 2 h. The DNA was then extracted with phenol/chloroform, followed by ethanol precipitation. ChIP-seq library preparation was performed using MicroPlex Library Preparation kit (Diagenode) following manufacturer’s instructions. Libraries were quantified using the High Sensitivity DNA Bioanalyzer kit and Kapa library quantification. High-throughput sequencing of all libraries was carried out with a 100 bp PE sequencing on Illumina HiSeq 2500 instruments.

**Small RNA-seq libraries**

Small RNA-seq libraries were produced according to the Illumina protocol (RS-200-0012), with the following changes: 10 ng or 1 μg RNA (RIN of 8-10) were used as input material. The instructions were followed until the cDNA purification. In order to purify the cDNA, the samples were run on 10 % Novex PAGE gel. The entire area between the 145 and 160 bp markers was excised, gel purified by addition of 0.3 M NaCl and the DNA was eluted from the gel by rotation over night at 4°C. The DNA was precipitated in EtOH overnight and the library was quantified using the HighSensitivity Bioanalyzer kit. The small RNA-seq libraries were additionally quantified by Kapa Library Quantification. The libraries were pooled according to their molecular weight. High-throughput sequencing of all libraries was carried out with a 50 bp SE on Miseq or SE and PE on Illumina HiSeq 2500 instruments.

**Total RNA-seq libraries**

Stranded Total RNAseq libraries were prepared according to manufacturers’ protocols using the Illumina stranded Total RNAseq library preparation after Ribo-zero depletion. High-throughput sequencing of all libraries was carried out with a 100 bp PE on Illumina HiSeq 2500 instruments.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**
**WGBS-seq mapping and analysis**

Raw sequence reads from WBGS libraries were trimmed to remove poor quality reads and adapter contamination, using Trim Galore (v0.4.1, [http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/)) with default parameters. The remaining sequences were mapped using Bismark (v0.14.4) ([Krueger and Andrews, 2011](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/)) with default parameters to the mouse reference genome Ensembl v67 NCBIM37 in paired-end mode. Reads were then deduplicated and CpG methylation calls were extracted from the deduplicated mapping output using the Bismark methylation extractor (v0.14.4) in paired-end mode. CpG methylation calls were analysed using R and SeqMonk software ([www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/seqmonk/](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/seqmonk/)). The custom R scripts can be found in Data S1. Global CpG methylation levels of pooled replicates were calculated in windows of 50 CpGs with a coverage of at least 3, illustrated using bean plots. Methylation over a given genomic feature was calculated by averaging the individual methylation levels of CpGs covered by at least 3 reads and only features with at least 50 CpGs were used. Promoters were defined as the region -1 kb to the transcription start site as annotated in Ensembl NCBIM37 v67. For analysis of specific genome features these were defined as follows: Gene bodies (probes overlapping genes), CGI promoters (promoters containing a CGI) ([Illingworth and Bird, 2009](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/)), non-CGI promoters (all other promoters).

**RNA-seq mapping and analysis**

RNA-seq sequences were trimmed using Trim Galore using default settings. Trimmed sequencing reads were aligned to mouse genome assembly NCBIM37 using TopHat ([Trapnell et al., 2009](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/)) and reads with MAPQ scores <20 were discarded. Mapped RNA-seq data were quantitated using the RNA-seq quantitation pipeline in SeqMonk software to generate log2 RPM (reads per million reads of library) expression values. Genes were considered to be differentially expressed if they were significantly different (p<0.05 after Benjamini and Hochberg multiple testing correction) when analysed with both DESeq2 and Intensity difference (SeqMonk) statistical tests.

Global pervasive transcription, was calculated as following: Genes with significant antisense expression were identified by initially counting both sense and antisense reads over all genes in the genome. A global expected antisense level was defined by the total proportion of antisense reads across all genes. Individual genes were considered to show significant antisense expression if they had a binomial p-value <0.05 following multiple testing correction (FDR) using the global antisense proportion as the expected success rate, the total reads for that gene as the trials and the total antisense reads for that gene as successes. Additionally, the raw antisense transcription counts for all samples was...
calculated and significant differential antisense expression was calculated using DESeq2 with an FDR < 0.05. The overlap of the two quantifications was used to define pervasive transcription, and the difference in antisense transcription between WT and KO samples at each time point was plotted using R.

**ChIP-seq mapping and analysis**

ChIP-seq sequencing data was trimmed to remove poor quality reads, adaptor and barcodes sequences using Trim Galore. Trimmed data were mapped using Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) against the mouse reference genome Ensembl v67 NCBIM37 and reads with a MAPQ value < 20 were discarded. Mapped ChIP-seq data were quantitated creating 1kb tiles of the whole genome and calculating the log2 observed/expected value comparing the observed read count with the expected count had all reads been uniformly distributed over the genome.

**Small RNA-seq mapping and analysis**

For small RNA-seq data analysis trimmed sequencing reads were filtered to 20-24nt length and mapped to the mouse NCBIM37 genome assembly using Bowtie2. Raw overlap counts for each small RNA molecule were quantitated using SeqMonk. Graphing and statistics was performed using Excel or R. For consensus sequence mapping the piPipes small RNA pipeline was used (https://github.com/bowhan/piPipes) (Han et al., 2015). IAPEZ consensus sequences were used from repeatmasker libraries (repeatmasker v4.0.3, library version 20130422). Additionally, the small RNA-seq data processing was performed using the freely available piRNA pipeline piPipes. For repeat mapping, trimmed data were mapped using Bowtie2 against repeats as defined in the analysis by using the mouse repeatmasker annotation. The plots shown were generated as described below: The distribution of small RNAs was computed by mapping all small RNA-seq reads to the individual genomic features. The length distribution was calculated taking all uniquely mapped small RNAs into account, excluding small RNA-seq mapping to ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs). For all subsequent analysis, small RNA reads were pre-filtered as follows: reads mapping to rRNAs and miRNAs were excluded, then reads aligning to the repeat masked mm9 genome (all annotated repeats were masked/replaced by Ns) were removed, too. The remaining small RNAs reads were mapped to the mouse repeatmasker annotation. The 5′ end nucleotide composition was computed from the uniquely mapped small RNAs. Similarly, analysis of the position of 5′ to 5′ overlap was performed on the mapped small RNAs reads and the length distribution and strand orientation of small RNAs shown was generated using uniquely mapped small RNA reads.

**Transposon analysis**
Repeat locations for a pre-defined set of repeat classes of interest were extracted from the pre-masked repeatmasker 4.0.3-20130422 library in the mm9 genome. Repeat instances within 2 kb of an annotated gene in the Ensembl v67 NCBIM37 gene set were removed to avoid mixing signals from genic expression with specific expression of repetitive sequences. RNA-seq data were processed and mapped as described above (RNA-Sequencing Mapping and Analysis). We set a standard outlier filtering approach with a cutoff of counts > 3. Overlaps were quantitated between the mapped RNA-seq reads and the repeat instances. This allowed an unbiased identification of TEs depending on Dnmt1 KO as well as Dicer KO, which we followed throughout this manuscript. Summed counts for all instances of each class of repeat were calculated and these were corrected for both the total length of all TEs and the size of the individual libraries to generate log2 RPM expression values. The matrix of expression values and samples were plotted using the R pheatmap library allowing the repeat classes to cluster using default parameters. WGBS-seq libraries were processed and mapped as described below (Bisulfite Sequencing Mapping and Analysis). Methylation levels at the repeat instances were quantitated by summing up all methylation calls and non-methylation calls for all instances of each class of repeat and calculating the percentage of methylated Cs over all Cs. Only TEs with at least 1000 observations in all samples were used for the analysis and calculation of percentage methylation. For major satellite methylation analysis Bismark (Krueger and Andrews, 2011) was used to map all reads against the mouse major satellite consensus sequence (GSAT from repeatmasker) and the methylation calls from these results were analyzed directly. The custom R scripts can be found in Data S1.

**Statistics**

Statistical values including the exact number of replicates (n), the definition of standard deviation and statistical significance are reported in the Figure Legends.

(1) WGBS-seq

For statistical analysis WGBS-seq of Figure 1A and S1 of WT versus Dnmt1 KO data we used the Wilcoxon rank sum test with Bonferroni correction testing with a p-value threshold of <0.05. The code of the analysis of the retained methylation over TEs can be found in Data S1.
To call differentially expressed mRNAs, we applied the SeqMonk intensity difference filter with Benjamini and Hochberg correction for multiple testing with a p-value threshold of <0.05 and overlapped them with the genes called differentially expressed by DESeq2 with a p-value threshold of <0.05 and multiple testing correction.

For TE analysis we only considered significantly differentially expressed TEs p<0.05 of Dnmt1 KO over WT samples into account. The code of the analysis can be found in Data S1.

To call differentially expressed miRNAs we overlapped the differentially expressed miRNAs using DESeq2 with multiple testing correction and SeqMonk intensity difference filter with Benjamini and Hochberg correction with a p-value of <0.05.

To call differential amount of mapped small RNAs to TEs we used Students t-test to compare day 8 to day 0 enrichment of small RNAs with a p-value of <0.05.

As we only have data from one measurement we could not call significant differences of histone modification enrichment but show TEs which have at least 2 times higher enrichment in Dnmt1 KO versus WT samples. The code of the analysis can be found in Data S1.

Each RT-qPCR was done with 3 technical replicates. Differences between conditions that are statistically significant are denoted by *p-value<0.05, **p-value<0.005 using the standard distributed two tailed t-test.

Every siRNA knock-down was done in 3 technical replicates. Differences between conditions that are statistically significant are denoted by *p-value<0.05, ** p-value<0.005 using the standard distributed two tailed t-test.

The accession numbers for the next-generation-sequencing data reported in this study are GEO: GSE89698. The software of this study can be found in Data S1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-CD4 microbead</td>
<td>Miltenyl Biotec</td>
<td>Cat #: 130-045-101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor 647, goat anti-mouse IgG antibody</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A-21236, RRID:AB_141725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor 568 donkey anti-rabbit IgG antibody</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A10042, RRID:AB_2534017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit Anti-Nanog Polyclonal Antibody, Unconjugated</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab80892, RRID:AB_2150114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGO2 antibody</td>
<td>Dr. O’Carrol’s lab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histone H3K9me3 antibody</td>
<td>Active Motif</td>
<td>Cat #: 61013, RRID:AB_2687870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3K27me3-mouse antibody</td>
<td>Active Motif</td>
<td>Cat #: 39155, RRID:AB_2561020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histone H3K9me2 antibody</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat #: ab1220, RRID:AB_449854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacterial and Virus Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.coli: One Shot™ TOP10 chemically competent cells</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat #: K450001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamoxifen</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd</td>
<td>Cat #: T5648-1G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse LIF</td>
<td>Stem Cell Institute, Cambridge</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/Deoxycholate</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd</td>
<td>Cat #: D6750-10G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-lauroylsarcosine</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd</td>
<td>Cat #: 61739-5G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadyl ribonucleoside complex</td>
<td>New England Biolabs</td>
<td>Cat #: S1402S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipofectamine 2000</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat #: 11668027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein G-coupled Dynabeads</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat #: 10003D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HiFi Uracil+ ReadyMix</td>
<td>KAPA Biosystems</td>
<td>Cat #: KK2801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 RNA Ligase 2, truncated</td>
<td>New England Biolabs</td>
<td>Cat #: M0242S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tri-Reagent</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd</td>
<td>Cat #: T9424-200ML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol/chloroform/isoamylalcohol (25:24:1)</td>
<td>Life Technologies Ltd</td>
<td>Cat #: 15593031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TritonX 100</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd</td>
<td>Cat #: RES9690T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO)</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat #: TS-20684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd</td>
<td>Cat #: A9518-5G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin/Streptomycin</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat #: 15140122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-glutamine</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat #: 25030081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-essential amino acids</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat #: 11140050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Mercaptoethanol (50mM)</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>Cat #: 31350-010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase A</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat #: EN0531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cOmplete™ Protease Inhibitor Cocktail</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd</td>
<td>Cat #: 000000011697498001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinase K</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat #: EO0491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraformaldehyde 16% Solution</td>
<td>Agar Scientific</td>
<td>Cat #: AGR1026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatine</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd</td>
<td>Cat #: G9391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTT</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd</td>
<td>Cat #: D0632-1G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS)</td>
<td>Stem Cell Institute, Cambridge</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Company</td>
<td>Code/Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMEM (High Glucose) w/L-Glutamine and Na Pyr</td>
<td>Life technologies</td>
<td>Cat #: 41966-052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEBuffer 2</td>
<td>New England Biolabs</td>
<td>Cat #: B7002S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypsin EDTA (1x) 100ml</td>
<td>Life technologies</td>
<td>Cat #: 25300-054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HyperLadder™ 1kb, 100bp</td>
<td>Bioline</td>
<td>Cat #: BIO-33053, BIO-33029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYBR Safe</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat #: S33102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYBR Gold</td>
<td>Life Technologies Ltd.</td>
<td>Cat #: S11494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PvuI</td>
<td>New England Biolabs</td>
<td>Cat #: R0150S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcoRI HF</td>
<td>New England Biolabs</td>
<td>Cat #: R3101L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 Polynucleotide Kinase</td>
<td>New England Biolabs</td>
<td>Cat #: M0201L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 Ligase</td>
<td>New England Biolabs</td>
<td>Cat #: M0202T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampure XP beads</td>
<td>Beckman Coulter</td>
<td>Cat #: A63880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5 Exonuclease</td>
<td>New England Biolabs</td>
<td>Cat #: M0363S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exonuclease I</td>
<td>New England Biolabs</td>
<td>Cat #: M0293S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klenow exo</td>
<td>New England Biolabs</td>
<td>Cat #: M0212L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycoblue</td>
<td>Ambion</td>
<td>Cat #: AM9516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimem</td>
<td>Gibco</td>
<td>Cat #: 31985062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAPI</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat #: 62248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MyTaq Redmix</td>
<td>Bioline</td>
<td>Cat #: BIO-25043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange G dye</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd</td>
<td>Cat #: 861286-25G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Commercial Assays</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEBNext® DNA Library Prep Master Mix Set for Illumina®</td>
<td>New England Biolabs</td>
<td>Cat #: E6040S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imprint ® DNA Modification Kit</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd</td>
<td>Cat #: MOD50-1KT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TruSeq RNA library preparation kit v2</td>
<td>Illumina</td>
<td>Cat #: RS-122-2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MicroPlex Library Preparation kit</td>
<td>Diagenode</td>
<td>Cat #: C05010012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SmallRNA qRTPCR miRNA kit: mmu_miR93</td>
<td>Taqman</td>
<td>Cat #: TM001090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SmallRNA qRTPCR miRNA kit: mmu_miR7081_mat</td>
<td>Taqman</td>
<td>Cat #: TM467052_mat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SmallRNA qRTPCR miRNA kit: snoRNA202</td>
<td>Taqman</td>
<td>Cat #: 001232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dharnacon siGENOME SMARTpool, mouse Dicer</td>
<td>Dharnacon</td>
<td>Cat #: MU-040892-01-0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dharnacon siGENOME SMARTpool, mouse Dgcr8</td>
<td>Dharnacon</td>
<td>Cat #: MU-051365-00-0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dharnacon siGENOME SMARTpool, mouse Ago2</td>
<td>Dharnacon</td>
<td>Cat #: MU-058989-01-0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dharnacon siGENOME SMARTpool, mouse Dicer</td>
<td>Dharnacon</td>
<td>Cat #: D-001210-02-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miniprep kit</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
<td>Cat #: 27106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gel extraction kit</td>
<td>GeneJET</td>
<td>Cat #: K0691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR Purification kit</td>
<td>GeneJET</td>
<td>Cat #: K0701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qiaamp DNA micro kit</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
<td>Cat #: 56304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TURBO DNA-free kit</td>
<td>Life Technologies Ltd</td>
<td>Cat #: AM1907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quant-iT PicoGreen® dsDNA Assay kit</td>
<td>Life Technologies Ltd</td>
<td>Cat #: P11496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum SYBR Green qPCR SuperMix-UDG w/ROX</td>
<td>Life Technologies Ltd</td>
<td>Cat #: 11744100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QuickExtract</td>
<td>Epicentre</td>
<td>Cat #: QE09050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kapa Library Quantification kit</td>
<td>Kapa Biosystems</td>
<td>Cat #: KK4847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Sensitivity DNA kit</td>
<td>Agilent</td>
<td>Cat #: 5067-4626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Sensitivity total RNA kit</td>
<td>Agilent</td>
<td>Cat #: 5067-1513</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Deposited Data**

- **Raw and analyzed data**: This study; GEO: GSE89698
- **Mouse reference genome NCBI build 37, NCBIM37**: Mouse Genome Sequencing Consortium; http://may2012.archive.ensembl.org/Mus_musculus/Info/Index
- **Mouse repeats**: repeatmasker v4.0.3, library version 20130422; http://www.repeatmasker.org/
- **Mouse ESCs enhancer annotation track**: Chen et al., 2012; Creyghton et al., 2010
- **CpG island promoters**: Illingworth and Bird, 2009
- **Promoters: regions -1kb to the transcription start site**: Ensemble, NCBIM37 version 67

**Experimental Models: Cell Lines**

- **Dnmt1 cKO**: Passage 12 Dnmt1loxP/loxP (C57BL/6) ESCs; Sharif et al., 2016; N/A
- **Dicer/Dnmt1 cDKO**: Passage 21 DicerloxPloxP/Dnmt1loxPloxP ESCs; This study; See STAR methods for details
- **Ago2/Dnmt1 cDKO**: Passage 21 Ago2loxPloxP/Dnmt1loxPloxP ES cells; This study; See STAR methods for details
- **Dicer KO**: Passage 17 Dicer KO/Dnmt1loxPloxP ES cells; This study; See STAR methods for details
- **Ago2 KO**: Passage 17 Ago2 KO/Dnmt1loxPloxP ES cells; This study; See STAR methods for details

**Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains**

- **Mouse**: C57Bl/6J female mice carrying the Oct4-GFP transgene in the developing gonad: B6.Cg-Tg(GOF18/EGFP)11Ymat/Rbrc; Yoshimizu et al., 1999; RRID:IMSR_RBRC00868

**Oligonucleotides**

- Primers for CRISPR clone generation, see Table S3; This paper; N/A
- Primers for RTqPCR clone generation, see Table S2; This paper; N/A

**Recombinant DNA**

- **Cas9 plasmid**: pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP; Ran et al., 2013; Addgene Plasmid #48138
- **pSpCas9(BB)-2A-hCD4**: This study; N/A

**Software and Algorithms**

- **TopHat**: Trapnell et al., 2009; http://ccb.jhu.edu/software/tophat/index.shtml
- **piPipes**: Han et al., 2015; https://github.com/bowhan/piPipes/wiki
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software/Tool</th>
<th>Version/Information</th>
<th>Website/Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trim Galore</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td><a href="http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/">http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/</a>, Version 0.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SeqMonk software</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td><a href="http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/seqmonk/">www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/seqmonk/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transposon analysis</td>
<td>this study</td>
<td>supplement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Data analysis</td>
<td><a href="https://www.r-project.org/">https://www.r-project.org/</a>, version 3.2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Supplemental Information

Supplemental Figure Legends

Figure S1. Global DNA demethylation and transcriptional change upon acute Dnmt1 deletion, Related to Figure 1

(A) WGBS-seq reads overlapping the whole Chromosome 2 between WT (day 1-day 11) and Dnmt1 cKO ESCs induced for 1-11 days. Percentage of methylated cytosines were counted for each consecutive 50 CpG window genome-wide.

(B) Enrichment of CpG methylation over transcription starts sites (TSS) and gene body in WT and Dnmt1 cKO ESCs induced 1 day (dark red), 3 days (light red), 6 days (light pink), 9 days (light blue), 11 days (dark blue). Measurement of 2 biological replicates. Percentage of methylated cytosines were counted for each consecutive 50 CpG window genome-wide.

(C) Bean plots showing distribution of methylation levels for genome features between WT (grey) and conditional Dnmt1 cKO ESC induced for 1 day (dark red), 3 days (light red), 6 days (light pink), 9 days (light blue), 11 days (dark blue). Low methylated regions (LMRs) (Stadler et al., 2011), enhancers defined by H3K4m1 (Chen et al., 2012) and H3K27ac (Creyghton et al., 2010). Measurement of 2 biological replicates. For significance analysis Wilcoxon rank sum test with Bonferroni correction testing with a p-value threshold of < 0.05.

(D) Chromosome view of RNA-seq reads over mRNA with Lx5 or MIRb TE sitting in the 2kb surrounding region of a coding gene. RNA-seq libraries are strand specific. Each read is depicted.

(E) Violin plots showing distribution of methylation levels for different TE classes between WT (grey) and conditional Dnmt1 cKO ESC induced for 1 day (dark red), 3 days (light red), 6 days (light pink), 9 days (light blue), 11 days (dark blue). Measurement of 2 biological replicates. For significance analysis Wilcoxon rank sum test with Bonferroni correction testing with a p-value threshold of < 0.05.

(F) Graphs showing methylation retention of TE classes in comparison to the rest of the genome, (left) scatter plot of WGBS sequencing reads in gradient of grey with specific TE class as red dot, (right) line graph of TE class in time course (red) in comparison to probes starting with the same methylation level as the respective TE class (blue) and in comparison, to the rest of the genome (grey). Measurement of 2 biological replicates.

(G) Scatter plot of all reads overlapping genes in the genome with the significantly Dnmt1 responsive genes highlighted in black. Significance was called by combining both Intensity difference (SeqMonk) as well as DESeq2 significance called genes with a p-value threshold of < 0.05 and multiple testing correction.

(H) Venn Diagram of the number and overlap of mRNAs upregulated upon Dnmt1 cKO.
(I) Bar graph of 6 genes in WT which were most highly upregulated and downregulated upon Dnmt1 cKO induced 0 days (black), 1 day (dark red), 3 days (light red), 6 days (light pink), 9 days (light blue), 11 days (dark blue). Dots show the expression level in the 2 RNA-seq libraries for each time point.

(J) Bar plots of expression of key pluripotency genes between WT (grey) and conditional Dnmt1 cKO ESC not induced (black), induced for 1 day (dark red), 3 days (light red), 6 days (light pink), 9 days (light blue). Measurements of 2 biological replicate shown next to each other.

(K) Bar plot showing percentage of genic insertions of Dnmt1 and Dicer responsive TEs in sense (red) and antisense (blue) direction to the respective genes.

Figure S2. Genome wide small RNA response upon Dnmt1 conditional KO, Related to Figure 2

(A) Bar plots of small RNA size distribution as well as classification of different small RNA classes in Dnmt1 cKO and WT ESCs mapped to the whole genome; miRNAs (grey), rRNA (green), small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs) (violet), miscellaneous other RNAs (misc RNAs) (red), small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNA) (orange) and tRNA (light blue) of WT (right) and after conditional Dnmt1 cKO (left).

(B) Expression of endogenously transcribed miRNAs in WT (grey) and in conditional Dnmt1 cKO induced for 1 day (dark red), 3 days (light red), 6 days (light pink), 9 days (light blue), 11 days (dark blue). Error bars represent mean +/-SD of 3 technical replicates.

(C) Genic location of miRNA 200c with reads mapped in Dnmt1 cKO and WT ESCs, each line representing one read.

(D) Scatter plot of all small RNAs in the genome, highlighting miRNAs of the Dlk cluster (black) and Xlr3 cluster (green) at day 9 after Dnmt1 cKO (y-axis) versus WT (x-axis). Significance was called by combining both Intensity difference (SeqMonk) as well as DESeq2 significance called genes with a p-value threshold of < 0.05 and multiple testing correction.

(E) Bar graph of 2 representative small RNAs of the Xlr3 and Dlk locus in WT and upon Dnmt1 cKO induced 1 day (dark red), 3 days (light red), 6 days (light pink), 9 days (light blue), 11 days (dark blue). Error bars represent mean +/-SD of 3 technical replicates. Statistics: two-sided Students t-test, * p-value < 0.05, ** p-value <0.005, *** p-value <0.0005.

(F) Confirmation of small RNA-seq data by small RNA RT-qPCR, (left) Bar plot showing small RNA RT-qPCR of mmu-miR-543 and mmu-miR-367 in WT (grey) and conditional Dnmt1 cKO induced for 9 days (dark red). Error bars represent mean +/-SD of 3 technical replicates. Statistics: two-sided Students t-test, * p-value < 0.05, ** p-value < 0.005, *** p-value < 0.0005.
(G) Chromosome view of WGBS-seq, total RNA-seq and small RNA-seq depicted as wiggle plots overlapping imprinted control regions (ICR), mRNA and small RNAs in WT and at day 9 after Dnmt1 deletion.

(H) Pie chart distribution showing mapping of small RNA-seq from AGO2 IP 9 days after conditional Dnmt1 to different small RNA classes. miRNAs (black), repeats (dark green), 3'UTRs (yellow), introns (dark blue), piRNAs (light blue), 5'UTRs (light green), others (grey).

(I) Bar plot showing small RNA duplex 5' to 5' overlap of AGO2 IP small RNA-seq mapping to repeats after conditional Dnmt1 cKO induced 9 days.

(J) Bar plot showing nucleotide position 30 nt upstream and downstream of 5' end of AGO2 IP small RNA-seq libraries mapping to repeats after conditional Dnmt1 cKO induced 9 days.

(K) Small RNA-seq of 20-24 nt small RNAs mapped to TEs in vivo PGCs of E13.5 as well as E14.5 male (blue) and female (red) PGCs. Each library was done as 1 replicate.

(L) Pie chart distribution of small RNAs mapping to different genomic loci of in vivo E14.5 male PGC small RNA-seq libraries after conditional Dnmt1 cKO induced 9 days. miRNAs (black), repeats (dark green), 3'UTRs (yellow), introns (dark blue), 5'UTRs (light green), rRNA_tRNA (grey), unannotated (white).

(M) Size distribution for in vivo E14.5 male PGCs of sense (blue) and antisense (red) small RNAs mapping to repeatmasker consensus sequences using piPipes small RNA pipeline.

(N) Bar plot showing siRNA duplex 5' to 5' overlap for in vivo E14.5 male PGC small RNA-seq libraries mapping to repeats.

(O) Bar plot showing nucleotide position 30 nt upstream and downstream of 5' end of in vivo E14.5 male PGC small RNA-seq library mapping to repeats.

Figure S3. Characterisation of the involvement DICER and AGO2 in TE silencing, Related to Figure 3

(A) (left) Schematic showing Dicer conditional cKO generation using CRISPR by introducing loxP sites into Intron 14_15 and Intron 20_21. Agarose gel of PCR to screen for genomic recombination of 2 Dicer/Dnmt1 conditional double cKO clones after addition of 4OHT for 3 days. Recombination of Intron 15-16 was tested with primer set 1, recombination of intron 20-21 was tested with primer set 2 and recombination of both introns was tested with primer set 3, LD = 1000 bp DNA ladder. (middle) RT-qPCR of Dicer mRNA upon CRE recombination induced by tamoxifen (4OHT) in clone 1 (light green) and clone 2 (dark green) of Dicer conditional KO ESCs. Error bars represent mean +/-SD of 3 technical replicates. Statistics: two-sided Students t-test, * p-value <0.05, ** p-value <0.005, *** p-value <0.0005.

(right) RT-qPCR of mmu-miR-93 expression in ESCs upon Dicer KO in clone 1 (light green) and clone 2 (dark green) controlled by snoRNA expression. Error bars represent mean +/-SD of 3 technical replicates. Statistics: two-sided Students t-test, * p-value <0.05, ** p-value <0.005.
(B) Bar graph of percentage of genic antisense transcription over the time course of
**Dicer/Dnmt1** cDKO, **Dicer KO and Dnmt1 cKO** in KO over WT samples. Measurement of 2
biological replicates for **Dicer/Dnmt1 cDKO and Dnmt1 cKO** and WT samples and 1 replicate
for **Dicer KO** ESCs.

(C) Bar plots of small RNA size distribution as well as classification of different small RNA
classes in **Dicer/Dnmt1 cDKO, Dnmt1 cKO** with KO induced for 4 days and **Dnmt1^{fl/fl}** mESCs
and WT mapped to the whole genome; miRNAs (light blue), rRNA (grey), small nuclear
RNAs (snRNAs) (dark blue), miscellaneous other RNAs (misc RNAs) (orange), small
nucleolar RNAs (snRNA) (yellow) and tRNA (light green).

(D) Small RNA-seq of **Dicer/Dnmt1 cDKO and Dnmt1 cKO** ESCs normalised to WT ESCs
mapped to IAPEz and L1MdGf TE classes. *p<0.05, **p<0.005, two-tailed student t-test.
Measurement of 2 biological replicates.

(E) Schematic showing Ago2 conditional cKO generation using CRISPR by introducing loxP
sites into Intron 8-9 and Intron 11_12 of Ago2 mRNA. Agarose gel of PCR to screen for
genomic recombination of four Ago2/Dnmt1 conditional double cKO clones after addition of
4OHT for 3 days in comparison to one WT clone. Recombination of Intron 8-12 was tested
with primer set 1. LD = 100 bp DNA ladder.

(F) RT-qPCR analysis of Ago2 in ESCs following conditional Ago2/Dnmt1 cDKO by
treatment with 4OHT or control (EtOH) for 3 days. Error bars represent mean +/-SD of 3
biological replicates in 3 technical replicates. Values were normalized to Hspcb and
controlled to EtOH samples. Statistics: two-sided Students t-test, * p-value <0.05, ** p-value
<0.005.

(G) Immunofluorescence of AGO2 protein (purple) in Ago2/Dnmt1 cDKO and Dnmt1 cKO
ESCs upon KO induction with 4OHT. Deletion was induced for 3 or 8 days as depicted.
Nuclear DAPI counter staining (white). scale bar = 20μm.

(H) (upper panel) Schematic knock out strategy for Dicer in mouse ESCs constructing
gRNAs against Exon 23 and 24 of Dicer mRNA. gRNA Protospacer Adjacent Motif (PAM)
sequences (dark blue). (Bernstein et al., 2003), (lower left) RT-qPCR of mRNA expression of
Dicer in WT (black) and Dicer cKO (dark blue). Error bars represent mean +/-standard
deviations of 3 technical replicates. Statistics: two-sided Students t-test, * p-value <0.05, **
p-value <0.005, *** p-value <0.0005, (lower right) Expression level of mmu-miR-93 in
wildtype (black) and Dicer cKO (dark blue). Error bars represent mean +/-standard deviations
of 3 technical replicates. Statistics: two-sided Students t-test, * p-value <0.05, ** p-value
<0.005, *** p-value <0.0005,

(I) (upper panel) Schematic of knock out strategy for Ago2 in mouse ESCs constructing
gRNAs against Intron 13-14 and 115 of Dicer mRNA. gRNA PAM sequences (light green).
(lower panel) RT-qPCR of Ago2 expression in 2 clones of Ago2 KO ESCs (dark purple) in
comparison to Dnmt1fl/fl ESCs (black). Error bars represent mean +/- standard deviations of 3 technical replicates. Statistics: two-sided Students t-test, * p-value <0.05, ** p-value <0.005, *** p-value <0.0005.

(J) Immunofluorescence of AGO2 protein (purple) and NANOG (green) in Ago2 KO/Dnmt1 cKO and mouse embryonic fibroblasts. Nuclear DAPI counter staining (white). scale bar = 20μm. Differences of Ago2 KO versus WT were analysed using FIJI and manual ROIs to semi-quantify the Ago2 signal intensity in the cells. The mean intensity (to correct for different cell size) was analysed and statistically significant was calculated in GraphPad (Students t-test, p-value <0.0005).

(K) Bar plots of expression of 5 pluripotency genes between WT (grey) and conditional Dnmt1 cKO ESC induced for 11 days (dark blue), Dicer KO (light blue) treated with EtOH for 1 day and 11 days, Dicer KO/Dnmt1 DKO (faint blue) treated with 4OHT for 1 and 11 days.

(L) Scatter plot of RNA-seq data of Dicer KO (y-axis) versus WT (x-axis) ESCs. Differentially expressed genes were called by intensity difference of SeqMonk (black), all other genes are depicted in grey.

(M) Chromosome view of read count quantitation across the 4 genes Lin28, Dnmt3l, Fbln2 and Oct4. High bars indicated high expression, low bars indicate low expression. Every bar overlaps at least 1 read.

(N) RT-qPCR data of LINE and major satellites in Dicer KO/Dnmt1 cKO following conditional Dnmt1 cKO, by treatment with 4OHT. Error bars represent SD of 3 technical replicates. Values were normalized to Atp5b, Hspcb and Major satellites to U1. Error bars represent mean +/- standard deviations of 3 technical replicates. Statistics: two-sided Students t-test, * p-value <0.05, ** p-value <0.005, *** p-value <0.0005.

(O) Heatmap of unbiased hierarchical clustering of all TE classes responsive Dicer KO/Dnmt1 cKO versus Dnmt1 cKO. Heatmap is showing relative expression (z-score) of TEs upon Dnmt1 cKO and were generated using the pheatmap R library.

Figure S4. Distribution of repressive histone marks – H3K9me3, H3K9me2 and H3K27me3 in ESCs upon Dnmt1 cKO, Related to Figure 4

(A) Pie chart of enrichment of H3K27me3, H3K9me3 and H3K9me2 in repeats (dark violet), genic regions (light violet), promoters (dark green), CGIs (middle green), intergenic regions (light green) in wildtype ESCs.

(B) Probe enrichment of H3K9me3 (green), H3K9me2 (yellow) and H3K27me3 (blue) over gene body and TSS.

(C) Aligned probe plot of H3K27me3 enrichment surrounding 5kb of TSS.

(D) Scatter plot of repressive histone marks overlapping genes in wildtype (y-axis) versus Dnmt1 cKO (x-axis) ESCs.
(E) Wiggle plot of ChIP enrichment of H3K9me3 (green), H3K27me3 (blue) and H3K9me2 (yellow) over a 500kbp region in Chromosome 12. Intensity of the enrichment on the y-axis.

(F) Wiggle plot of H3K9me3 enrichment over IAPEZ in Dnmt1 cKO at day 4 (red), day 8 (blue) and in WT (grey). Plots were generated using SeqMonk wiggle-plot quantitation.

(G) Bar graph of enrichment of H3K27me3, H3K9me3 and H3K9me2 in repeats (dark violet), genic regions (light violet), promoters (dark green), CGIs (middle green), intergenic regions (light green) in WT ESCs, Dnmt1 cKO, Dicer KO and Dicer/Dnmt1 cDKO

(H) Wiggle plot of ChIP-seq enrichment of H3K9me3, H3K27me3 and H3K9me2 at three genomic loci in Dicer/Dnmt1 cDKO at day 11 (light blue), Dicer KO (middle blue), Dnmt1 cKO at day 11 (dark blue) and WT (grey). Enrichment intensity shown on y-axis.

(I) Summary of TE classes across WGBS-seq, RNA-seq, small RNA-seq and ChIP-seq libraries. Scale from red (loose) to green (gain).

Supplemental Tables

Table S1: List of differentially expressed genes upon Dnmt1 KO and Dicer KO, Related to Figure 1 and S1 and Figure 3 and S3.

Differentially expressed genes were called using the overlap between the SeqMonk Intensity difference as well as DESeq2.

Table S2: RT-qPCR primers, Related to Figure 3 and S3

Primers below have been used for expression analyses (RT-qPCR primers).

Table S3: CRISPR primers, Related to Figure 3, S3

CRISPR primers were used to construct Dicer KO/Dnmt1 cKO and Dicer/Dnmt1 cDKO, Ago2 KO/Dnmt1 cKO and Ago2/Dnmt1 cDKO mouse ES cells. gRNA (guide RNA).

Supplemental Data

Data S1: Raw code to analyse TEs, Related to Figure 1-4.
Table S2: RT-qPCR primers, Related to Figure 3 and S3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hspcb</td>
<td>msRT_Hspcb_FW</td>
<td>GCTGGCTGAGGACAAGAGAGA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>msRT_Hspcb_RV</td>
<td>GTCGCGTTAGTGGAATCTTCATG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atp5b</td>
<td>msRT_Atp5b_FW</td>
<td>GGCCAAAGATGTCTGCTGTT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>msRT_Atp5b_RV</td>
<td>GCTGTTAGCTACAGCAGAAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicer</td>
<td>Dicer_RT_17_18_FW</td>
<td>GCAATTCCTAGCACAAGAGATTCA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicer</td>
<td>Dicer_RT_17_18_RV</td>
<td>GGAAGGAAATTTACTGAGTGGGG</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ago2</td>
<td>Elf2c2_FW</td>
<td>GCCGTCCTCCACACTACCAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elf2c2_RV</td>
<td>GGTATTGACACAGAGCGTGGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dgcr8</td>
<td>Dgcr8_FW</td>
<td>CTTAAGACAGTGAAGACTGGAGTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dgcr8_RV</td>
<td>CATGAGGATCTCATGAGAGAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAP</td>
<td>IAP_Nature_qPCR_FW</td>
<td>AAGCAGCAATCCACCCACTTGG</td>
<td>(ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IAP_Nature_qPCR_RV</td>
<td>CAATCATTAGATGAGCTGCAAGAAGAC</td>
<td>(ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERVL</td>
<td>MuERV-L gag_Jafar_FW</td>
<td>TTCTTCTAGACCTGTAACCAGACTCA</td>
<td>(Sharif et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MuERV-L gag_Jafar_RV</td>
<td>TCCTTAGTAGGTAGATGGCGAATTCCCT</td>
<td>(Sharif et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etn</td>
<td>MusD_Nature_qPCR_FW</td>
<td>GTGGTATCTCAGGAGGAGTGCC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MusD_Nature_qPCR_RV</td>
<td>GGGCAGCTCTTCTATCTGAGTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1</td>
<td>U1_AP_FW</td>
<td>CTTACCCTGGGAGGAGGATA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U1_AP_FW</td>
<td>CAGTTCCCACCTACCACAA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maj. Sat.</td>
<td>MajSat_BL_FW</td>
<td>GACGACTTGAAAATGAGCAATC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MajSat_BL_RV</td>
<td>CATATTTTCCAGTGTTCTCTCTGAGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMERVK10C</td>
<td>MmERVK10C_FW</td>
<td>ATGTGAGCTAGCTGTTAAAGAGAGAC</td>
<td>(Sharif et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MmERVK10C_RV</td>
<td>CTCTCTGTTTCTGACATCACCTTCTGCT</td>
<td>(Sharif et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINEI</td>
<td>LINE ORF2_JS_FW</td>
<td>GACATAGACTAACAACACTGCTACACAAAC</td>
<td>(Sharif et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LINE ORF2_JS_RV</td>
<td>GGTAGTGCTATCTTTTCTCTGAGTGGG</td>
<td>(Sharif et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Primer</td>
<td>Sequence (5’-3’)</td>
<td>Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U6</td>
<td>U6-Fwd</td>
<td>GAGGGCCTATTTCCCATGATTTCC</td>
<td>PCR screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dicer1_X23_gRNA_FW</td>
<td>CACCGAGTAAATCAAAAAGGACCGACC</td>
<td>gRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dicer1_X23_gRNA_RV</td>
<td>ACAAAGGGTGCTGTCTTTTGGTTAATCTC</td>
<td>gRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dicer1_X24_gRNA_FW</td>
<td>CACCGTTACCAGCGCTTAAAATTTCC</td>
<td>gRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dicer1_X24_gRNA_RV</td>
<td>AACCAGGATTTCAAGCGGCTTGAACC</td>
<td>gRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dicer_23_24_screen_FW</td>
<td>AGCGATTGCTATTTGCACAGAAGAT</td>
<td>PCR screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dicer_23_24_screen_RV</td>
<td>TCTTGGTGTACTCATATCTACAGCAC</td>
<td>PCR screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dicer_14_15_gRNA_FW</td>
<td>CACCGCACTCAGACATCGACTCTG</td>
<td>gRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dicer_14_15_gRNA_RV</td>
<td>AACAAGGACACTGAGCAGTGCACC</td>
<td>gRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dicer_20_21_gRNA_FW</td>
<td>CACCGAGAATGTGCATCGGCTAAGG</td>
<td>gRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dicer_20_21_gRNA_RV</td>
<td>AACCAGTGAGAGGAGTATCTGCTG</td>
<td>gRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dicer_14_15_RV1</td>
<td>TGAACGAGACTTTTCCAGTCG</td>
<td>PCR screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dicer_14_15_FW1</td>
<td>CTTTCCCTCTTTGCACTTACTACTCTT</td>
<td>PCR screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dicer_2021_FW1</td>
<td>GGTGTCAGATCAGTCCCCGG</td>
<td>PCR screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dicer_2021_RV1</td>
<td>TGACCAGAATAAGAAGGAGGGGA</td>
<td>PCR screen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|      | Dicer_20_21_donor_loxP| gacaaggggcaacgggtgcgttgtaatctcctcagagcagactagacca ttagatctctctcaagagaggagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagtactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactactact
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