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Abstract

Insulinomas (INS) are the most common neuroendocrine pancreatic tumours in humans and dogs. The long-term prognosis for malignant INS is still poor due to a low success rate of the current treatment modalities, particularly chemotherapy. A better understanding of the molecular processes underlying the development and progression of INS is required to develop novel targeted therapies. Cancer stem cells (CSCs) are thought to be critical for the engraftment and chemoresistance of many tumours, including INS. This study was aimed to characterise and target INS CSCs in order to develop novel targeted therapies.

Highly invasive and tumourigenic human and canine INS CSC-like cells were successfully isolated. These cells expressed stem cell markers ($\text{OCT4}$, $\text{SOX9}$, $\text{SOX2}$, $\text{CD133}$ and $\text{CD34}$), exhibited greater resistance to 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), and demonstrated a more invasive and tumourigenic phenotype in vivo compared to bulk INS cells. Here, we demonstrated that Notch-signalling-related genes ($\text{NOTCH2}$ and $\text{HES1}$) were overexpressed in INS CSC-like cells. Protein analysis showed an active NOTCH2-HES1 signalling in INS cell lines, especially in cells resistant to 5-FU. Inhibition of the Notch pathway, using a gamma secretase inhibitor (GSI), enhanced the sensitivity of INS CSC-like cells to 5-FU. When used in combination GSI and 5-FU, the clonogenicity in vitro and the tumourigenicity in vivo of INS CSC-like cells were significantly reduced. These findings suggested that the combined strategy of Notch signalling inhibition and 5-FU synergistically attenuated enriched INS CSC populations, providing a rationale for future therapeutic exploitation.
**Introduction**

Insulinomas (INS) are the most common functioning neuroendocrine pancreatic tumours (PancNETs) in humans and dogs. INS are insulin-producing tumours that arise from beta-cells (Wang et al. 2004; Bailey et al. 2007; Polton et al. 2007; Athanasopoulos et al. 2011; Baudin et al. 2014; Buishand et al. 2014). The treatment of choice for localised benign INS is surgical resection (Bailey et al. 2007; Buishand et al. 2014). However, for advanced stage disease medical treatment options for adjuvant therapy are limited. Combinations of chemotherapies such as streptozocin plus 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) or doxorubicin have been used in these cases, but response rates, are variable and generally disappointing (Corroller et al. 2008; Mathur et al. 2012). Thus, effective new treatment strategies are required.

We hypothesise that the malignant behaviour and recurrence of INS is driven by a subpopulation of cancer stem cells (CSCs). CSCs are unique subpopulations of the heterogeneous cell population of a tumour, which are considered to be responsible for tumour initiation, metastasis, and recurrence (Mitra et al. 2015). CSCs have been described to be able to resist systemic anti-cancer treatment by several mechanisms including entering into a quiescence state; up-regulation of expression of xenobiotic efflux pumps; and enhancing anti-apoptotic and DNA repair pathways to allow cell survival (Bomken et al. 2010). Therefore, CSCs are able to survive and initiate tumour relapse after systemic treatment, making them an essential target for novel anti-cancer drugs.

Despite the growing evidence to support the existence of CSCs in a wide array of solid tumours, a comprehensive characterisation of INS CSCs has not yet been reported (Grande et al. 2011). Previous studies have already identified pancreatic cells with a stem cell phenotype in human and canine INS (Ordonez, 2001; Buishand et al. 2013). These so-called amphicrine cells co-express both endocrine and exocrine markers (Ordonez, 2001).
Furthermore, recent studies have identified CD90 as a potential marker for CSCs in a human INS cell line (Buishand et al. 2016). However, there are no consensus markers available to identify INS CSC-like cells and additionally, recent studies show that several CSC populations may reside within one tumour (Hou et al. 2014; Krampitz et al. 2016).

The lack of knowledge regarding CSCs in INS can be partly attributed to the low incidence of human INS. With only four cases per million population per year, the availability of research material is limited, especially for malignant subtypes (Callacondo et al. 2013). Previously, investigators have analysed changes in gene expression of malignant INS mainly as part of broad studies on PancNETs (Speel et al. 1999; Zhao et al. 2001). However, PancNETs represent a heterogeneous group of tumours and therefore, the specific tumourigenesis of INS is still poorly understood. The incidence of canine INS has not been specified yet but it is higher compared to humans. Data collected at the Department of Clinical Sciences of Companion Animals of Utrecht University have recorded 10 referral cases of malignant canine INS on a yearly basis, out of a total of two million dogs in The Netherlands (FO Buishand, unpublished observations). This provides readily available canine INS samples for molecular studies.

Canine INS are classified as malignant tumours in 95% of the cases as they often metastasise to abdominal lymph nodes and liver (Buishand et al. 2010). As in humans, canine patients diagnosed with malignant INS are often presented with relapse of hyperinsulinaemia due to the outgrowth of micrometastases that were not detected at the time of initial surgery (Jonkers et al. 2007; Goutal et al. 2012). From a comparative oncology perspective, which aims to utilise spontaneous tumours in pet animals as natural models for the study of human cancer biology and therapy (Gordon et al. 2009), the close resemblance of canine INS to human malignant INS, makes canine INS an interesting study model for human malignant INS. The major benefit of comparing human INS cells to canine INS cells...
instead of murine cells from genetically-induced INS mouse models (Schiffman et al. 2015) is that spontaneous canine tumour cells are more representative of the complex heterogeneity of INS, as they are not induced by a set of specific mutations, but arise spontaneously in a dog. Therefore, the translational gap between pre-clinical in vitro studies and the application of novel drugs in a clinical setting can be overcome by using naturally occurring canine INS as model for human INS (Gordon et al. 2009).

Using a comparative oncology approach, the first goal of this study was to isolate and characterise human and canine enriched INS CSC populations. As CSCs are known to often co-opt stem and progenitor cell properties, we have used the potential functional conservation of stem cell-surface and intrinsic enzymatic markers found on self-renewing cells to identify and characterise tumourigenic cells. We then set out to identify therapeutic targets in signalling pathways in INS, performing gene expression profiling of adherent INS cells and CSC-enriched tumourspheres. We showed that the Notch pathway is a critical pathway involved in INS CSC viability. Using both in vitro and in vivo models, we have demonstrated the efficacy of targeting the Notch pathway in decreasing INS CSC survival and resistance to 5-FU, thereby providing preclinical evidence that adjuvant anti-Notch therapy may improve outcomes for patients with malignant INS.
**Materials and Methods**

**Cell culture**

The human INS cell line CM (Baroni *et al.* 1999) was cultured in RPMI-1640 (Roswell Park Memorial Institute Media, Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) (Invitrogen) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin and plasmocin (Invitrogen). The canine INS cell line canINS was derived from a primary canine INS, TNM stage II (Buishand *et al.* 2010), resected from a 6-year old male Flatcoated Retriever at the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Utrecht University. Using an insulin radioimmunoassay (Cisbio, Codolet, France), it was determined that the first passage of canINS produced 305 µU/L insulin, however insulin secretion was lost after the fourth passage, like in the CM cell line. Further details on the characterisation of canINS can be found in the Supplementary data 1 (Fig. S1-2). canINS was cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, 200ng/mL growth hormone (GH) (Source Biosciences, Nottingham, UK). Both lines were cultured at 37°C with 5% CO2 and cells were passaged on reaching 70-80% confluence. Cell lines were authenticated using Short tandem repeat analysis (Cell Check Human 9 and Cell Check Canine; IDEXX Bioresearch, Windsor, UK). All experiments were conducted with cells from passage numbers 5-25.

**Tumoursphere culture**

Spheres were grown in serum-free medium at a density of 60,000 cells/well (2 mL volume) in 6-well low adherence plates (Corning, New York, USA). The medium consisted of DMEM/F12 (Invitrogen) supplemented with progesterone (20 nM), putrescine (100 µ M), sodium selenite (30 nM), transferrin (25 µ g/mL), insulin (20 µ g/mL) (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK). Every two days, human recombinant EGF (10 ng/mL) and human recombinant basic
fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) (10 ng/mL) (Peprotech, London, UK) were added. Spheres were passaged every week up until 15 passages. All experiments were conducted in triplicate.

**RNA extraction and quantitative real time PCR**

Total cellular RNA was extracted using RNeasy® kit (Qiagen, Redwood City, CA, USA) and was reverse transcribed using the Omniscript™ RT Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative real time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed for genes of interest by using the Stratagene M63000p qPCR system (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA), and the PlatinumH SYBRH Green qPCR SuperMixUUDG (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s instructions (primers are listed in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). Relative gene expression levels were obtained by normalisation to the expression levels of housekeeping gene *GADPH*. Calculations were made using the Delta Delta Ct Method.

**Protein extraction and western blotting**

Cells were lysed in urea lysis buffer (7 M urea, 0.1 M DTT, 0.05% Triton X-100, 25 mM NaCl, 20 mM Hepes pH 7.5). Then cells were transferred to 0.1 mL Bioruptor® Microtubes (Diagenode, Seraing, Belgium) and sonicated using pre-chilled Bioruptor® Pico sonicator (Diagenode) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Equal amounts of protein were separated by SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS PAGE), transferred to Hybond-C nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Buckinghamshire, UK) and hybridised to the appropriate primary antibody and HRP-conjugated secondary antibody for subsequent detection by ECL. Antibodies used against HES1 (EPR4226) (1:600), Beta actin (AC-15) (1:5000), SOX9 (ab26414) (1:500) and OCT4 (ab18976) (1:1000) were purchased from Abcam (UK). Secondary antibodies were obtained from Dako (Glostrup, Denmark).
(Goat anti-Rabbit-HRP; Rabbit anti-Mouse-HRP). The appropriate secondary antibody was
diluted 1:1000 (Rabbit anti-Mouse-HRP) or 1:2000 (Goat anti-Rabbit-HRP).

164 **Chorioallantoic membrane assay**

Fertilised ISA Brown layer strain chicken eggs (Roslin Institute Poultry Unit, UK) were
incubated in a humidified rotary incubator (Brinsea Octagon 40 OX incubator) at 37°C. As
chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane experimental protocols were conducted and
concluded during the first two-thirds of the incubation of the embryonated eggs, according to
the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 regulated by the Home Office, we did not
require a licence (Home Office 2014).

On day 7, single cell suspensions of trypsinised adherent CM and canINS cells or spheres
were fluorescently labelled with PKH26 (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK) according to
manufacturers’ instructions. Cells (1x10^4 for each condition) were suspended in a 1:1 mixture
of serum-free media and Matrigel Phenol Red Free (Corning) and 25 µL was pipette-
inoculated directly onto the CAM. The shell windows were resealed and incubated without
turning. At day 11, pictures were taken using Axio ZoomV16 coupled with AxioCAM HRM
camera (Zeiss, Cambridge, UK). Images were processed using Zeiss pro image software and
then the fluorescence was calculated using ImageJ 1.46 software (open source). All data
were subtracted of background fluorescence and then averaged.

The embryos were decapitated and the area of the CAM inoculated with the fluorescent cells
was harvested and stored in 10% neutral buffered formalin solution (Sigma-Aldrich) and
embedded in an agarose block for cutting and staining. The staining was performed with anti-
cytokeratin (MNF116; Dako) as primary antibody at 1:50 dilution for 30 min followed by
staining with secondary antibody Envision anti-Mouse HRP (Dako). Images were taken using
a Nikon Eclipse Ni Brightfield Microscope and thereafter processed with Zeiss pro image
software (Zeiss).
**Invasion assay**

The invasive ability of cells was determined using the QCM™ collagen-based cell invasion assay kit (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cells were seeded into the upper inserts at $1 \times 10^5$ cells per insert in serum-free RPMI. Cells were incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO$_2$ for 48 hours. Non-invading cells were removed. Cells that migrated through the gel insert to the lower surface were stained and quantified by colorimetric measurement at 560 nm. Images were taken using an Eclipse Ni Brightfield Microscope (Nikon UK Ltd., Surrey, UK) and thereafter processed with Zeiss pro image software (Zeiss).

**Flow cytometry**

CM and canlINS were detached by trypsinisation, washed with PBS and stained with the Zombie Violet Fixable Viability Kit (BioLegend Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) to detect dead cells. Subsequently, cells were washed again with PBS and fixed in paraformaldehyde at 1% for 10 min at 37°C and then chilled for one minute on ice. A batch of cells was also permeabilised by adding ice-cold 90% methanol slowly to pre-chilled cells under gentle vortexing. Cells were incubated for 30 min on ice, washed in incubation buffer (PBS 0.5% BSA) twice and resuspended in 100 µL of the diluted primary antibody at 1:800 dilution. After incubation with the primary antibody, cells were washed and incubated with a fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibody for 30 min. After washing with incubation buffer, cells were resuspended in PBS and analysed using BD Fortessa (BD Biosciences, Oxford, UK). The primary antibody used was monoclonal anti-rabbit Notch2 (D76A6) XP® with anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) F(ab’)$_2$ Fragment Alexa Fluor® 647 Conjugate (NewEnglandBio, Ipswich, MA, USA) as a secondary antibody. Rabbit (DA1E) mAb IgG XP® Isotype control Alexa Fluor® 647 Conjugate (NewEnglandBio) was used as negative control.
**Growth inhibition assays**

CM and canINS adherent cells and spheres were trypsinised into single cell suspensions and aliquots of 500 cells/well were seeded in triplicates in opaque 96-well plates (Corning) in 50 µL medium and incubated overnight at 37°C with 5% CO₂. After 24 hours serial dilutions of 5-FU (Tocris, R&D System, Minneapolis, Canada), or gamma-secretase inhibitor (GSI) N-[N-(3,5-Difluorophenacetyl)-L-alanyl]-S-phenylglycine t-butyl ester (DAPT) (Sigma-Aldrich) were added to the appropriate wells. Equal volumes of vehicles were used as controls. After incubation for 48 hours, cell viability was measured using the CellTiter-Glo® Luminescent Assay (Promega, Madison, WA, USA). Data of triplicate wells were averaged and normalised against the average signal of control treated samples, and dose-response curves were generated.

**Colony formation assays**

CM and canINS 2D and 3D cultures were trypsinised into single cell suspensions and seeded at 500 cells per 10 cm plate (Corning). Cells were treated with 5-FU and DAPT whilst in suspension. Plates were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂ until colonies were visible. Growth media were changed once a week. The colonies were fixed by incubating in ice-cold methanol for 5 min at room temperature. Colonies were stained with Giemsa (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Statistical analysis**

All experiments were repeated at least on two separate occasions. Quantitative analysis was based on a minimum of three replicates. Data were analysed using Minitab® 17 Statistical Software (Minitab Ltd., Coventry, UK) and all graphs and diagrams were generated using Microsoft Office 2011 software (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA). P-values <0.05
were considered statistically significant. When data followed a normal distribution, two
sample t-tests were used to compare differences between two samples, or one-sample t-
tests to determine whether the sample mean was statistically different from a known or
hypothesised mean. IC$_{50}$ values were calculated using GraphPadPrism 6 (GraphPad
Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). To assess combined treatment effects on the canIN5 and CM
cell lines, the Bliss additivism model was used (Buck et al. 2006).
Results

CSC-like cells are enriched in human and canine INS spheres

Human CM adherent cells (Fig. 1 A) gave rise to small and irregularly shaped spheres (Fig. 1 B), whereas canine canINS adherent cells (Fig. 1 C) gave rise to well-rounded large spheres (Fig. 1 D). These cells repeatedly formed tumourspheres for up to 15 subsequent passages when plated in low-adherent conditions. To further characterise tumourspheres we examined the expression of embryonic stem cell markers OCT4 and SOX9. Both markers were expressed at a higher level in human (Fig. 1 E) and canine (Fig. 1 F) tumourspheres compared to parental adherent cells.

We investigated the gene expression levels of a number of CSC-associated genes including stemness markers, stem cell surface related markers, epithelial-mesenchymal transition markers, growth factor receptors, Notch signalling pathway receptors and target genes, and pancreatic neuroendocrine and exocrine markers. CD34, CD133, OCT4, SOX2, SOX9, NOTCH2, HES1 and HEY1 were all upregulated in both human and canine INS tumourspheres compared with the adherent population (Fig. 1 G). There was no significant difference in the expression of NOTCH1, NOTCH3 and NOTCH 4 in both human and canine INS spheres, although these receptors demonstrated a trend to be downregulated in tumourspheres.

INS CSC-enriched tumourspheres are highly invasive in vitro

The invasive capacity of cells was tested in vitro using a collagen-based invasion assay. CSC-like cells displayed a greater invasive potential compared to the non-enriched CSCs (Fig. 2 A). When quantified, a statistically significant increased invasive potential was recorded for both human and canine INS CSC-like cells compared with non-enriched CSCs (Fig. 2 B-C).
INS CSC-enriched tumourspheres are more tumourigenic and invasive in vivo than adherent cells

We developed a CAM assay protocol to monitor the tumourigenic and metastatic properties of INS cancer cells. We recorded the amount of fluorescence in triplicate CAMs for both the adherent cells and the CSC-enriched spheres and showed that the adherent INS cells did not form tumours and did not proliferate in the CAM model. However, the CSC-like populations proliferated on the CAM and gave rise to substantial tumours (Fig. 3 A-B). We quantified the red fluorescence recorded in the CAM assay and obtained a statistical significant difference for the amount of cells between the canINS adherent and CSC-like cells (Fig. 3 C). No statistical difference was recorded between both cell populations of the human INS cell line (Fig. 3 D).

We then tested whether the cells were able to migrate through the deep layers of the CAM. Human and canine bulk INS cells (Fig. 4 A-B) were less invasive in vivo compared to human and canine INS CSC-like cells (Fig. 4 C-D). INS CSC-like cells demonstrated invasive behaviour moving from the outer ectoderm CAM layer through the mesoderm towards the endoderm (Fig. 4 E-F). These findings were consistent with our in vitro invasion data.

INS CSC-enriched tumourspheres exhibit greater resistance to 5-FU compared with adherent cells

After testing a set of chemotherapeutics commonly used in the treatment of human INS we identified 5-FU as the most suitable drug to evaluate the INS cancer cells' chemoresistance. The relative IC$_{50}$ values for 5-FU of adherent CM and canINS cells were 5 µM and 0.5 µM, respectively, which reside within, or are lower than the therapeutic plasma dose range of 5-FU (800 ng/mL-2000 ng/mL, 5 µM-15 µM) (Danquechin-dorval et al., 1996; Yamada, 2003;
Both CM and canINS CSC-enriched tumourspheres proved to be more resistant to 5-FU treatment compared to adherent cells in cell viability (Fig. 5 A-B) and clonogenicity assays (Fig. 5 C-D).

**The Notch pathway is overexpressed and active in 5-FU resistant INS cells**

Analysis of gene expression had revealed that Notch pathway related receptor, NOTCH2, and its target gene, HES1 were upregulated in both CM and canINS CSC-enriched spheres (Fig. 1 G). Using flow cytometry, we provided evidence that the NOTCH2 receptor is constitutively activated as it is present both in its inactive form (extracellular level) and active form (intracellular level) in adherent and CSC-enriched sphere populations (Fig. 6 A-B). Using western blot analysis, we showed that both CM and canINS CSC-enriched spheres demonstrated an intrinsic higher expression of NOTCH2 and HES1 compared to the adherent INS cells. Furthermore, treatment of cells with 5-FU resulted in an increased expression of both the inactive and active form of the NOTCH2 receptor in CM (Fig. 6 C) and canINS cells (Fig. 6 D). In response to an increase in NOTCH2 expression, also its downstream target gene HES1 demonstrated an increased expression in cells that were resistant to 5-FU (Fig. 6 C-D).

**Inhibition of Notch signalling decreases viability and 5-FU resistance in INS CSC-enriched tumourspheres**

Since CSC-enriched INS spheres were more resistant to 5-FU treatment compared to adherent cells and 5-FU resistant INS cells demonstrated an overexpression of active NOTCH2, we evaluated the effect of Notch pathway inhibition on INS cells. Notch inhibition using DAPT, preferentially decreased the viability of CM and canINS CSC-enriched spheres (Fig. 7 A-B). CSC-enriched canINS spheres demonstrated increased
sensitivity to treatment with DAPT compared with CSC-enriched CM spheres. To confirm whether the DAPT is able to specifically inhibit the Notch pathway, we treated the human and canine cells with increasing doses of DAPT and observed, through western blot analysis, a reduced expression of the intracellular form of NOTCH2 (NOTCH2-IC) and its downstream target HES1 in both human and canine INS cell lines (Fig. 7 C-D). We demonstrated that a blockade of the Notch signalling occurs in CSC-enriched canINS spheres at a lower dose of DAPT compared to CSC-enriched CM spheres (Fig. 7 C-D). Finally, when DAPT was used in combination with 5-FU, we demonstrated that the clonogenicity of CSC-enriched CM and canINS spheres was significantly reduced. This effect was superior to use of either drug alone (Fig. 7 E-F). The synergistic effect of the combination of 5-FU and DAPT was confirmed using the Bliss independence model (Fig. 7 G-H).

*Notch inhibition enhances chemosensitivity to 5-FU treatment of INS CSC-enriched tumourspheres in vivo*

In order to validate the results obtained *in vitro*, we tested this approach in the *in vivo* CAM model. Treatment with either 5-FU, DAPT, or their combination, in the CAM model demonstrated that the human and canine INS CSC populations were not able to proliferate when treated with a combination of 5-FU and DAPT (Fig. 8 A-B). We recorded the amount of fluorescence in the triplicate CAMs for the different conditions and demonstrated that the combination of 5-FU and DAPT significantly decreased the proliferation of INS CSC-like cells, while neither treatment with DAPT, or 5-FU alone led to a significant reduction in cell proliferation (Fig. 8 C-D).
In the current study, we demonstrated that human and canine INS cell lines could be enriched in CSCs by tumoursphere culturing. CSCs have been previously isolated from a variety of human (Zhu et al. 2011; Mao et al. 2014; Paschall et al. 2016; Zhao et al. 2016; Sakai et al. 2017) and canine cancer types (Wilson et al. 2008; Stoica et al. 2009; Pang et al. 2011, 2012, 2017; Rybicka & Król 2016). However, to our knowledge, we are the first to report the isolation of CSC-like cells from a canine INS cell line and the use of this cell line as comparative model for human INS.

CSC-enriched tumourspheres from both species demonstrated a common upregulation of stem cell-associated markers CD133, CD34, OCT4, SOX9, and SOX2. Previously, OCT4, SOX2, SOX9 and CD133 have been identified as stem cell markers of pancreatic endocrine progenitor cells (Seymour et al. 2007; Koblas et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2009; Venkatesan et al. 2011). Of these markers, CD133 expression was demonstrated to be a negative prognosticator in PancNETs (Sakai et al. 2017).

Human and canine CSC-like INS cells were highly invasive in vitro, similar to CSCs isolated in previous studies (Gaur et al. 2011; Pang et al. 2011; Gao et al. 2014). CSC-like INS cells displayed a greater invasive potential compared to the bulk INS cells in both in vitro invasion assays and in vivo CAM models. Previously, the CAM model has been used to model metastatic behaviour in other cancer types such as breast, bladder, prostate, ovarian cancer and head and neck cancers in humans (Deryugina et al. 2009; Lokman et al. 2012) and mammary carcinoma and osteosarcoma in companion animals (Pang et al. 2013, 2014). In our CAM assays, CSCs from INS tumourspheres developed visible tumours within 4 days, and escaped the primary inoculation site and migrated to the inner layers of the CAM. The invasive behaviour of INS CSCs in the CAM model with its highly vascularised structure, closely mimics the mode of INS metastasis which involves INS cancer cell invasion and
spread through the abdominal lymphatic system to reach the site of metastases in either lymph nodes or liver. Overall, these findings suggest CSCs may play a role in INS carcinogenesis.

According to our results, INS CSC-like cells are more resistant to 5-FU compared to the adherent cancer cells. This is consistent with the CSC model stating that despite the sensitivity of bulk tumour cells to chemotherapy, CSCs are resistant and lead ultimately to the failure of cytotoxic chemotherapy, increasing the need for new CSC-targeted therapies (Guo et al. 2006). After isolating INS CSCs, we have identified the Notch pathway as a potential target for INS CSC targeted therapy. Notch signalling pathway activation occurs when a Notch receptor (NOTCH 1–4) binds to one of the five known Notch ligands (Delta-like-1, -3, and -4 and Jagged-1 and -2). After receptor–ligand binding, there is a two-step proteolytic cleavage, first by ADAM10, then by gamma-secretase of the intracellular domain of the Notch receptor (NICD). NCID translocates to the nucleus, interacts with CSL transcription factors (CBF1/RBP-J, Su(H), Lag-1) which activate and promote transcription of downstream genes such as HES1, involved in various differentiation programmes (Grande et al. 2011; Abel et al. 2014). For instance, Notch signalling has a major role in pancreatic embryogenesis, influencing the balance between pancreatic endocrine progenitors, exocrine cells and differentiated beta-cells (Angelis et al. 1999; Andersson et al. 2011). The current study demonstrates that NOTCH2 is constitutively active in CM and canINS cells. Furthermore, NOTCH2 and HES1 are overexpressed in human and canine CSC-like cells, compared to the bulk INS cells. NOTCH2 is the only Notch receptor that have demonstrated overexpression in both human and canine INS suggesting that NOTCH2 is the most relevant Notch receptor through which signalling in INS CSCs is mediated. The role of the Notch pathway has been previously described in various types of NETs (Grande et al. 2011; Carter et al. 2013; Crabtree et al. 2016) but to our knowledge this is the first study to evaluate the role of the Notch pathway in INS tumourigenicity and
in particular its role in maintaining the INS CSC population. Previous studies have identified NOTCH2 as an oncogene in NETs (Carter et al. 2013; Crabtree et al. 2016): in small cell lung carcinoma (SCLC) Notch2 signalling has shown a prominent role in tumour promotion in SCLC xenografts in mice (Crabtree et al. 2016). Recently NOTCH2 overexpression has been related to increased tumourigenicity of cancer cells, and an increased resistance to 5-FU in hepatocellular carcinoma (Rui et al. 2016). In our study, we have demonstrated an increased activation of the Notch pathway in INS cells, after treatment with 5-FU. The observed enhancement in Notch signalling may be explained by a selective enrichment of the INS 5-FU resistant cells that display an active Notch signalling. In accordance with this hypothesis, previous studies have demonstrated that overexpression of HES1 has been related to an increased resistance to 5-FU in colon cancer (Candy et al. 2013) and oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma (Liu et al. 2013).

Notch signalling in CM and canINS may contribute to carcinogenesis by inhibiting differentiation, promoting cellular proliferation, and/or inhibiting apoptosis, yet no studies have examined these endpoints in INS. Our results showed that NOTCH2 is constitutively activated in both CSC-like cells and bulk INS cells, although the bulk cancer cell population demonstrated a lower expression of HES1. Interestingly, Notch inhibition using DAPT preferentially decreased the viability of the CSC-like population. Considering that NOTCH2 was the only overexpressed Notch receptor in human and canine INS CSCs, these data suggest that the Notch2-Hes1 signalling cascade plays an important role in CSCs' survival and resistance to chemotherapy. Next, we have tested whether a combined regimen of DAPT and 5-FU can reverse the 5-FU resistance of INS CSC-like cells. Treatment in vitro with DAPT alone did not inhibit INS CSC-like cells clonogenicity, however, the combination of DAPT and 5-FU significantly inhibited colony-forming ability of INS CSC-like cells to a greater degree than either therapy alone. We have then used the
CAM model to study the effect of this combined treatment in vivo. The results from the CAM assay were consistent with the in vitro findings, as tumour proliferation in vivo was significantly decreased when the drugs were used in combination compared to their use as single agents. Previous studies have already shown that Notch inhibition increased the cytotoxic effects of chemotherapy in various types of cancer (Meng et al. 2009; Lee et al. 2015; Li et al. 2015): for example oxaliplatin-induced activation of Notch1 signalling in metastatic colon cancer was reduced by simultaneous GSI treatment, resulting in enhanced tumour sensitivity to oxaliplatin (Meng et al. 2009); in breast cancer, combined inhibition of Notch with doxorubicin treatment resulted in decreased tumourigenicity in mouse xenograft models (Li et al. 2015); and in gastric cancer, targeting the Notch pathway significantly increased the cytotoxicity of 5-FU (Lee et al. 2015). Demonstrating that inhibition of the Notch pathway has functional consequences provides further evidence that this pathway is not only differentially expressed but plays a causative role in INS carcinogenesis.

In summary, in the current study, we have isolated INS CSC-like cells from human and canine INS cell lines and have demonstrated that both subpopulations of INS CSC-like cells seem to be dependent on the Notch pathway for their survival. Furthermore, targeting the Notch pathway led to a significant increase in cytotoxicity of 5-FU in the INS CSC-like population, demonstrating a correlation between Notch activation and 5-FU resistance. The increased expression of Notch in 5-FU resistant INS cells may be clinically significant, as it provides a valuable rationale that INS patients whom developed chemoresistance might benefit from a treatment with Notch small molecule inhibitors, such as GSIs. GSI treatment has previously been used in a clinical setting to sensitise cancer cells to chemotherapy in advanced stages of solid tumours (Richter et al. 2014). Since GSIs including DAPT, inhibit cleavage of all Notch receptor families, our results may not be
exclusively due to Notch2 signalling effects. Therefore, future preclinical studies on INS will focus on the use of specific inhibitors of either NOTCH2 or HES1, and further, elucidate their potential in clinical settings.
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**Figure legends**

**Figure 1** Isolation and characterisation of CM and canINS cancer stem cells (CSC). A-B: CM in adherent (A) and in tumoursphere (B) culturing conditions (scale bar: 100 μm). C-D: canINS in adherent (C) and tumoursphere (D) culturing conditions (scale bar: 100 μm). E-F: Western blot analysis of CM (E) and canINS (F) stem cell markers OCT4 and SOX9 and beta actin as loading control. G: qRT-PCR of stem cell and self-renewal pathway related genes comparing CM and canINS in both adherent and sphere culturing conditions. The mRNA expression of embryonic stem cell genes (SOX9, OCT4, SOX2) and stem cell-associated surface markers (CD133, CD34) were upregulated in sphere culturing conditions. The expression of NOTCH receptor (NOTCH2) and downstream target genes (HES1, HEY1) was upregulated, whereas no significant differences were recorded in NOTCH1, NOTCH3 and NOTCH4 expression in human and canine INS spheres. Values are mean of triplicates ± SD. The P-values represent the comparison with a stated hypothesis (values >1) using one samples t-test. *P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Figure 2** Invasive properties of INS CSCs in vitro. A: Representative images of invasive capacity of human (top row) and canine (bottom row) CSC-enriched spheres and adherent cells using a collagen-based cell invasion assay kit (scale bar: 20 μm) B-C: Invading cells were stained and quantified by colourimetric measurement at 560 nm. Values are mean of 3 ± SEM. *P-value < 0.05.

**Figure 3** Putative canine and human INS CSCs show an increased in vivo tumourigenic potential A: Representative photographs of the chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) 11 days after inoculation with either canINS adherent cells or CSC-enriched spheres following red fluorescent membrane labelling. Pictures on the top row show the merging of the brightfield channel; pictures on the bottom row show the red channel. A3 represents a magnified picture.
of the circles shown in A2. Magnification is specified on top of each picture. B: Representative photographs of the chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) 11 days after inoculation with either CM adherent cells or CSC-enriched spheres following red membrane labelling. C3 represents magnified pictures of the circles shown in C2. C-D: Graphs show the differences in fluorescence between the two populations after quantification using ImageJ. Values are mean of 3 ± SEM. *P-value < 0.05.

**Figure 4** Invasive properties of INS CSCs in vivo. A-F: Representative images of immunohistochemistry of CAM sections embedded in agar and stained with anti-cytokeratin that stains only human and canine cells (brown). The structure of CAM layers is comprised by ectoderm (ET), mesoderm (M) and endoderm (ED). Cancer cell matrigel grafts (CG) were seeded on the CAM. Pictures show the migration of CM adherent (A) and canINS adherent (B) and CM CSC-enriched sphere cells (C) and canINS CSC-enriched sphere cells (D) in the inner part of the CAM 11 days after being seeded. Results show that the CM adherent (A) and the canINS adherent (B) migrate less through the different layers of the CAM compared with the CM CSC-enriched sphere cells (C) and the canINS CSC-enriched sphere cells (D). High magnifications (20x and 60x) shows in details how the CM (E) and canINS (F) CSC-enriched sphere cells disrupt the CAM membrane and invade through the CAM layers. Magnification is specified on top of each picture (scale bar: 200 µm).

**Figure 5** Chemosensitivity and colony formation assays of CM and canINS. A-B: Chemosensitivity assay in CM (A) and canINS (B): cells were treated with increasing concentrations of 5-FU (from 0.5 to 5 µM) comparing the adherent population (dashed line) and the CSC-enriched sphere population (continuous line). C-D: Colony formation assay CM (C) and canINS (D): Human and canine cells were treated with increasing concentrations of
5-FU (from 0.5 to 5 µM) comparing the adherent population (dashed) and the CSC-enriched sphere population (solid). Values represent mean of triplicates ± SD. The P-values represent the comparison using 2 sample t-test within the adherent and the CSC-enriched spheres. *P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Figure 6** Analysis of Notch pathway protein expression and activation in human and canine insulinoma (INS) cells. **A-B:** Graph showing the percentage of cells positive to NOTCH2 antibody using flow cytometry in human (A) and canine (B) INS cell lines. **C-D:** Western blot analysis of NOTCH2 in its inactive transmembrane form (NOTCH2-TM) and its active intracellular form (NOTCH2-IC), and HES1 with beta actin as a loading control in human (C) and canine (D) INS cell lines, treated with increasing doses of 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU).

**Figure 7** Function of the Notch pathway in canine and human insulinoma (INS) cancer stem cells (CSC). **A-B:** Cell viability assay of human (A) and canine (B) INS cell lines using increasing concentrations of DAPT comparing adherent cells (dashed line) against CSC-enriched spheres (solid line). **C-D:** Western blot analysis of NOTCH2 in its inactive transmembrane form (NOTCH2-TM) and in its active intracellular form (NOTCH2-IC), and HES1, with beta actin as a loading control in human (C) and canine (D) INS cell lines treated with increasing doses of DAPT. **E-F:** Colony formation assay of human (E) and canine (F) INS cell lines using a combination of DAPT and 5-fluorouracil (5-FU). Values represent mean of triplicates ± SD. The P-values represent the comparison using 2 sample t-tests within the adherent and the CSC-enriched spheres. *P-value < 0.05. **G-H:** Calculation of the synergistic effect of the DAPT and 5-FU using e-bliss calculation in CM (G) and canINS (H). The method compares the observed combined response with the predicted combined response. The combined effect is synergistic as it is greater than the predicted one.
Figure 8 Combined 5-FU and DAPT treatment decreases human and canine INS CSC-like cells tumourigenic potential in the in vivo chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) model. A: Representative photographs of the CAM 11 days after inoculation with CSC-enriched CM spheres following red membrane labelling. Cells have been treated with 5-FU (5 µM) and DAPT (20 µg/mL). Pictures on the top row show the merging of the brightfield channel; pictures on the bottom row show the red channel (scale bar: 100µm). B: Representative photographs of the CAM 11 days after inoculation with CSC-enriched canINS spheres following red membrane labelling. Cells have been treated with 5-FU (0.5 µM) and DAPT (20 µg/ml). Pictures on the top row show the merging of the brightfield channel; pictures on the bottom row show the red channel (scale bar: 100µm). C-D: Graphs show the differences in fluorescence between the different conditions after quantification using ImageJ. Values are the mean of 3 ± SEM. *P-value < 0.05.
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