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Highlights:

- The Dimensional Apathy Framework is a method for unifying the varied concepts of apathy
- The Dimensional Apathy Framework can be assessed by the Dimensional Apathy Scale
- The prevalence of apathy in neurodegenerative diseases provides opportunity for research
- Patients with ALS, PD, and AD show significantly different apathy profiles
Abstract
Apathy is a demotivation syndrome common in neurodegenerative diseases and is fundamentally multidimensional in nature. Different methodologies have been used to identify and quantify these dimensions, which has resulted in multifarious concepts, ranging in the number and characteristics of apathy subtypes. This has created an ambiguity over the fundamental substructure of apathy. Here we review the multidimensional concepts of apathy and demonstrate that overlapping elements exist, pointing towards commonalities in apathy subtypes. These can be subsumed under a unified Dimensional Apathy Framework: a triadic structure of Initiation, Executive and Emotional apathy. Distinct cognitive processes may underlie these domains, while self-awareness interplays with all subtypes. Evidence from neurodegenerative diseases supports this distinction with differing apathy profiles in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Parkinson’s disease and Alzheimer’s disease.

Introduction
Apathy is defined as a lack of motivation towards goal-directed behaviour [1], and is a common symptom in neurodegenerative diseases, for review see [2]. Apathy is found in all types of dementia [3], while being prominent in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) occurring in up to 92% of patients [4,5,6] and vascular dementia [7]. In frontotemporal dementia (FTD) the presence of apathy can be used to support diagnosis [8], with a prevalence of 62% to 89% [9,10]. An apathetic subtype of behavioural variant FTD has been proposed, with defining characteristics of apathy, inertia and avolition [11]. Apathy is also the most common type of behaviour change in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), [12], with a prevalence of between 31% and 56% [13,14,15] and is a key feature of defining ALS with behavioural impairment as part of the ALS-frontotemporal spectrum disorder [16]. Up to 50% of patients with Parkinson's Disease (PD) exhibit apathy [17,18] and it is the most frequently reported neuropsychiatric symptom in those with PD dementia [19]. Apathy is also persistent and progressive across all stages of Huntington’s disease [20,21], is a feature in progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) [10, 22] and is also relatively common in multiple sclerosis [23]. The prevalence of this symptom in different neurodegenerative diseases provides opportunity to investigate the underlying subarchitecture of apathy.

It is now widely accepted that apathy is syndromic in nature [24**] and is composed of different dimensions/subtypes. As the concept of multidimensional apathy has evolved there has been discord with regards to the number and defining characteristics of these subtypes, with a need for refinement of definition and measurement [24**]. Here we review the past and emerging concepts of apathy in the study of neurodegenerative diseases and present a unified Dimensional Apathy Framework.

**Evolution of multidimensional apathy**

The evolution of models of apathy has been influenced by different research methodologies. Most have come from a psychometric approach, wherein subtypes are based on different descriptors and measurements of observed behaviour [25,26,27,28,29,30]. In contrast, others rely on experimental and/or a neurobiological approach, focusing on brain damage or imaging neuroanatomical correlates [31,32]. Table 1 shows a chronological summary of key multidimensional apathy concepts.
Despite varying definitions, most models retain a tripartite structure and many have emerged in parallel with specific measurement scales. The original conceptualisation of the cognitive, behavioural and emotional/affective subtypes [25] was based on observations of patients, prompting development of the initial diagnostic criteria for apathy [1] and also the Apathy Evaluation Scale (AES). This was the gold-standard, one-dimensional instrument with a three factor substructure which when interpreted post-hoc (through examination of face validity) were akin to cognitive, behavioural and emotional/affective dimensions. Research using the AES and the Apathy Scale (an abridged version of the AES) has shown a three factor substructure, albeit with variable characteristics (e.g. [33,34,35]). Other scales were developed including the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) - a behavioural screen for dementia which included a subscale to assess apathy [26], and The Apathy Inventory (AI) [27]. The latter signified a conceptual shift to the specific assessment of multidimensional apathy. The introduction of the Lille Apathy Rating Scale (LARS) [28], highlighted this further, albeit with four apathy domains instead of three. Starkstein and Leentjens [29] placed more of a focus on goal-directed elements of behaviour and cognition, and their view of emotional aspects of apathy acknowledged a conceptual distinction between a disorder of emotion or expression of emotions (affect) or a motivational disorder affecting emotional reactivity (flat affect and emotional unresponsiveness).

Levy and Dubois [31,32], similarly focused on motivation towards goal-directed behaviour but here they shifted to focus on the neuroanatomy of apathy. Different apathy subtypes were related to specific prefrontal-basal ganglia pathways. Damage to the medial prefrontal cortex, cognitive and limbic territories of the basal ganglia results in Auto-Activation apathy. Emotional-affective apathy is characterised by lesions to the orbito-medial prefrontal cortex and the limbic regions of the basal ganglia. Finally, the Cognitive subtype relates to dysfunction of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and cognitive territories of the basal ganglia. These have been supported by a review of apathy literature in PD [36]. Neuroanatomical models of apathy in neurodegenerative disease have emerged, with some focusing on a neurocognitive framework where apathy relates to disorders of goal-directed behaviours and is investigated through
experimental paradigms [37]. Summatively other models or frameworks have shown the importance of frontal-prefrontal and parietal cortical-subcortical circuits with important clinical implications in neurodegenerative disease [36,38,39] akin to those proposed by Levy and Dubois [31,32].

While the aforementioned apathy scales (AES, NPI, AI and LARS) and models have contributed to our understanding of apathy, there has been a lack of consensus in defining the characteristics and number of subtypes. A recent systematic review found that while many of the instruments were acceptable one-dimensional measures of apathy, they were not valid and reliable as an assessment of multidimensional apathy [40]. In light of this, we set out to develop a new unified apathy scale designed to assess separate subtypes within neurodegenerative diseases: Dimensional Apathy Scale (DAS) [30]. These dimensions were derived from comprehensive qualitative examination of 180 items from 12 existing apathy scales, where item themes were extracted and new items designed controlling for common measurement confounds of physical disability and depression. These new items were then applied to a normative sample of 311 participants, and subsequent factor analysis was performed to determine the substructure and finalise the DAS. Three clearly defined subtypes of apathy emerged as outlined in Table 1.

**Dimensional Apathy Framework**

When looking at the different models of apathy, commonalities clearly exist, which point towards a unified concept, the Dimensional Apathy Framework (shown in Figure 1). This is composed of demotivation associated with Initiation, Executive and Emotional apathy, measurable by the DAS.

A central theme throughout apathy models (see Table 1) is a deficit in Initiation which can be observed as a lack of self-generation of thoughts (and/or actions), reduced productivity and spontaneity. It is described throughout apathy models either as a part of a group of characteristics within a subtype [25,29], or as a specific subtype [26,27,28,30,31,32].
The next most commonly referred to domain relates to a deficit in cognitive processes typically subsumed under executive functions. Here participation and perseverance in tasks, daily activities and new routine formation are impaired [25,26,27]. A further expression of this type of apathy is as difficulty in forming new rules or strategies and shifting from behaviour sets, as well as error making [31,32]. These functions include a lack of motivation for organisation, attention and planning, as well as management of goals [30].

Emotional aspects of apathy are recognised explicitly in some subdivisions [25,26,27, 28,30,31,32]. Levy and Dubois [31,32] in their definition of Emotional-affective apathy include the most commonly used example of emotional blunting, where emotional reactivity is poor and short lived, with a focus on the individuals’ lack of emotion and affect of their own and others behaviour [32]. This can further impact on the ability to evaluate both current or future behaviour. Figure 1 shows that while emotional apathy has many different facets, generally it encompasses an indifference or an emotional neutrality [30].

An additional factor that may interplay with apathy subtypes is self-awareness or concern. Previously, it has been observed as appearing within apathy assessments either at an item level [25] or within a description [29], as subtypes assessed by subscales [28] or over multiple domains, where self-reported apathy is disparate from informant -observed apathy [27]. Therefore, it could be proposed impaired self-awareness or concern likely spans over different subtypes, rather than existing as a standalone subtype, with more research needed to clarify this factor.

Finally, social elements of motivation or “social” apathy are also frequently subsumed under various apathy conceptualisations, which are attributed to different emotional/affective, as well as initiative, cognitive, ‘self-awareness’ and ‘intellectual curiosity’ subfactors or subtypes [25,26,27,28,30,31,32]. Stuss [41] describes “social” apathy as a metacognitive ability that mediates personal, social past and present information. Further to this, knowledge of behaviour or intention remains intact, but there is a lack of action in one’s own self-interest. Upon this basis, it could therefore be
speculated that “social” apathy is a culmination of emotional apathy in combination with other subtypes that is augmented by impairment of self-awareness (or metacognition), but further research should explore this complex interaction.

This type of apathy framework is supported by a model of executive functioning [42,43], which is fractionated into several processes. Energization relates to initiating and sustaining response, Monitoring and Task setting (unitarily called Executive functions) monitor and adjust performance, Behavioral/emotional self-regulation integrate emotional and social aspects of behaviour and Metacognition/Integration relate to higher order, coordinative processing between the other functions of the frontal lobes. These processes are linked to performance on specific neuropsychological tests, such as the verbal fluency task, Stroop task, simple and complex reaction time tasks, Wisconsin card sorting task, California verbal learning task amongst others [42,43]. There is a clear overlap between apathy subtypes and this executive functioning model [31,32,41,42,43], suggesting that certain types of apathy may be associated with specific underlying cognitive processes (see Figure 2 for proposed overlap).

**Dimensional Apathy Framework: Evidence from Neurodegenerative Disease**

Recent research in ALS using the DAS has shown prominent Initiation apathy which occurs independent of other apathy subtypes in addition to motor disability [44**,45]. Initiation apathy is also a symptom of PD and AD in combination with other apathy subtypes [46,47**] (See Figure 3 for a comparison of apathy profiles across ALS, PD and AD), indicating the importance of this symptom across diseases. Further to this, a study using the AI observed that initiation apathy had specific neuroanatomical correlates in AD and PSP, where structural MRI showed a specific association with cortical atrophy of the anterior cingulate and ventrolateral orbitofrontal cortex with this type of apathy [48]. Related cognitive deficits fall under the associated concept of Energization (See Figure 2) [42,43], thought to reflect an impairment in initiation and sustenance of response. ALS patients show difficulties with performance on motor independent reaction time tasks [49], but most notably verbal fluency deficits (impaired word generation). Verbal (predominantly letter) fluency are the most striking and
characteristic deficit of this disease [12] and shown to be related to intrinsic response generation and independent of motor disability [50]. Our most recent work in ALS has demonstrated that Initiation apathy directly correlates with verbal fluency impairments, suggestive of shared underlying mechanisms of executive dysfunction and apathy subtypes [51**].

In PD, using the DAS we have shown that in addition to Initiation apathy, individuals also displayed difficulty with motivation to plan, organise and attend to things, known as Executive apathy [46]. This type of apathy was also present in AD although these patients show a more global apathy profile with increased scores across all subtypes (see Figure 3) indicating the heterogeneity of apathy profiles across diseases [47**]. The study of PD has further illuminated the impact of apathy profiles, with the presence of Executive apathy significantly related to activities of daily living in PD [46], while an independent study using the DAS found that Executive apathy was associated with a reduced health related quality of life [52*]. However, these findings should be interpreted with caution as research has yet to consistently show Executive apathy in PD [53]. The association of Executive apathy with the Monitoring and Task setting component of Stuss’ executive functioning model [42,43] suggests that the underpinnings of this type of apathy may be related to executive dysfunction, given that this is a common symptom of PD [54].

Research using the LARS showed Emotional apathy was elevated in FTD, as opposed to Action-initiation and Intellectual curiosity, when compared to AD [55*]. These clinical expressions of apathy were supported by positron emission tomography, showing apathy being associated with hypometabolism in the left lateral prefrontal, medial frontal and anterior cingulate, lateral orbitofrontal and anterior insular cortices in FTD, and right anterior cingulate in AD [55*]. A loss of sympathy and empathy is a defining characteristic of behavioural variant FTD and may be related to certain features of Emotional apathy. While this is yet to be robustly investigated in neurodegenerative disease, evidence in healthy adults seems to show associations between emotional apathy and empathy [56]. Furthermore emotional recognition and processing deficits in FTD are well documented [57]. In ALS, while Emotional apathy as measured by the DAS was not observed as characteristic of the whole group, it was found to associate with
cognitive deficits in facial emotional recognition [51**]. In PD some studies using the DAS have reported Emotional apathy [53], while others have not [46]. This inconsistency could be due to patient heterogeneity or the fluctuating nature of dopamine, a key neurotransmitter relating to apathy that could affect manifestations of different subtypes, such as Emotional and Executive apathy e.g. [31,32,36]. Furthermore, in AD and PD, emotional apathy (assessed by the AI) had distinct neuroanatomical correlates, with emotional apathy correlating with atrophy of the left insular cortex [48].

Recent evidence has shown support for the interplay of self-awareness with apathy subtypes. Research using the LARS showed that FTD had a greater deficit in the Self-awareness domain of apathy compared to AD [55*]. Within AD, discrepancy scores between self and informant ratings on the DAS have indicated a lack of self-awareness in patients, but which was observable to a larger magnitude in Executive and Initiation apathy [47**]. Apathy has been associated with lack of awareness of disease, cognitive or executive deficits (anosognosia) in dementia [58]. Levels of apathy increase together with a lack of awareness of cognitive task failure (error monitoring), while emotional reactions in response to experiences of illness or deficit (emotional reactivity) remain relatively intact [59], which could be supportive of Executive and Initiation apathy self-awareness deficits in dementia.

**Summary and Future Directions**

The concept of multidimensional apathy has evolved over the last 25 years, and while many concepts have been proposed, commonalities exist. These are unified under the Dimensional Apathy Framework, based on a traditional triadic structure of Initiation, Executive and Emotional Apathy, which may map on to underlying executive processes. While this substructure of apathy is at its base triadic, self-awareness interplays with the dimensions, which is of particular relevance to different dementias including AD and FTD, where lack of awareness (or anosognosia) is a prominent feature. The study of neurodegenerative disease has proved a valuable method to shed light on the subarchitecture of apathy, with a further need for confirmation of the substructure of...
demotivation using robust statistical techniques in larger samples of different neurodegenerative diseases and through brain imaging studies to establish neural correlates of different apathy subtypes. The development and use of newer more refined and comprehensive tools and techniques to detect different subtypes of apathy, such as the DAS [30] will allow these to be used in combination with neuroimaging techniques and neuropsychological assessment, so as to further our understanding of multidimensional apathy and how it impacts practical facets of living with disease.
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A summative paper outlining the state, increased interest and progress of apathy research in the field. Notable observations include the need for further progress in defining apathy as a syndrome, particularly in refinement of its definition and measurement, with particular attention to subdomains.


This study determined the validity of a novel multidimensional apathy assessment (Dimensional Apathy Scale) in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). The characteristic subtype impairment observed was Initiation apathy (lack of motivation for self-generation of thoughts) when compared to controls, which was found to occur independent of physical disability. This shows the specific apathy subtype profile in ALS.


This study determined the validity of the Dimensional Apathy Scale assessment in Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Global apathy over all subtypes was observed in AD. Awareness of apathy subtypes (as determined by a discrepancy between self-ratings and informant/carer-ratings) was found to be impaired, specifically Executive apathy (lack of motivation for planning, organisation and attention) and Initiation apathy.


This neuropsychological study looked to determine the overlap of cognitive dysfunction and apathy subtypes in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). The results showed that the characteristic Initiation apathy was associated with deficits in internal response generation/energization (verbal fluency deficit) and also that Emotional apathy was associated with emotional recognition deficits. This is the first study to suggest shared underlying apathy subtype-cognitive mechanisms.


This study determined the impact of apathy subtypes, assessed by the Dimensional Apathy Scale, and other non-motor symptoms in Parkinson’s disease (PD). A lack of motivation for planning, organisation and attention (Executive apathy), as well as anxiety and functional autonomy, were potential determinants of poorer health related quality of life in PD.


A study comparing the clinical apathy profile, using the Lille Apathy Rating Scale, of behavioural variant Frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD) and Alzheimer’s disease (AD) patients. The results showed that bvFTD displayed greater emotional apathy and general lack of self-awareness than AD. Apathy was further shown to associate with different neuroanatomical substrates in each dementia group.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Dimensions/Subtypes</th>
<th>Definition, symptoms/ deficits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marin et al. [25]</td>
<td>Behavioural</td>
<td>Decreased productivity, effortful actions, perseverance and lack of initiation behaviours.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cognitive</td>
<td>Decreased interest for learning new things, a lack of concern for oneself, inability to contribute value to recreation, social situations or being productive with tasks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affective</td>
<td>Emotional flatness, lack of responsiveness to emotionally charged events (both good and bad) and an emotional blunting with unchanging affect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cummings et al. [26]</td>
<td>Initiative</td>
<td>Spontaneity is reduced e.g. does not start conversations or care about doing new things</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enthusiasm</td>
<td>Enthusiasm for and involvement in activities, interests, and household chores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emotion</td>
<td>Reduced affect and emotions when compared to the individual’s usual self and reduced interest in family members or friends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert et al. [27]</td>
<td>Lack of initiative</td>
<td>Reduced conversation and decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of interest</td>
<td>Reduced interest in hobbies, other people or their family members and their interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emotional blunting</td>
<td>Reduced affection and emotionally expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sockeel et al. [28]</td>
<td>Intellectual curiosity</td>
<td>A lack of novelty seeking, interest and motivation along with a poor social life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Action initiation</td>
<td>Unproductive in day-to-day life and lessened initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self awareness</td>
<td>“Meta-cognitive ability necessary to mediate information from a personal, social past and current history with projections to the future”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starkstein and Leetjens [29]</td>
<td>Goal-directed behaviour</td>
<td>A lack of energy of effort for daily activities and dependence on others for daily structuring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goal-directed cognition</td>
<td>A lack of interest in new experiences or in learning new things and concern for one’s own well being</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goal-directed behaviour “concomitants”</td>
<td>Flat affect and emotional unresponsiveness to positive or negative occurrences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levy and Dubois [31], Levy [32]</td>
<td>Auto-activation</td>
<td>A lack of activity or initiation of goal-directed thoughts and actions, with a particular focus on self-initiation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cognitive ('Cognitive inertia')</td>
<td>A lack of ability to expand on plans, organization or management of goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emotional affective</td>
<td>Inability to associate behaviours with emotion or affect, which extends to the interpretation of affective content and therefore experience of extreme affect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Executive</td>
<td>Lack of motivation for planning, organisation and attention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emotional</td>
<td>Lack of emotional motivation, indifference or emotional neutrality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. The Dimensional Apathy Framework – relation to apathy concepts from previous studies
Figure 2. The Dimensional Apathy Framework: proposed overlap with Stuss (2011) [42,43] model of executive functions.
Figure 3. Frequency of impairment on each DAS Subtype in neurodegenerative disease patient groups (AD, ALS and PD) taken from [44,46,47]. Chi squared results show significant difference in apathy profiles, $\chi^2(12, \text{N} = 128) = 75.31$, $p < .001$