Thymic involution and rising disease incidence with age
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For many cancer types, incidence rises rapidly with age as an apparent power law, supporting the idea that cancer is caused by a gradual accumulation of genetic mutations. Similarly, the incidence of many infectious diseases strongly increases with age. Here, combining data from immunology and epidemiology, we show that many of these dramatic age-related increases in incidence can be modeled based on immune system decline, rather than mutation accumulation. In humans, the thymus atrophies from infancy, resulting in an exponential decline in T cell production with a half-life of ~16 years, which we use as the basis for a minimal mathematical model of disease incidence. Our model outperforms the power law model with the same number of fitting parameters in describing cancer incidence data across a wide spectrum of different cancers, and provides excellent fits to infectious disease data. This framework provides mechanistic insight into cancer emergence, suggesting that age-related decline in T cell output is a major risk factor.

Results

Immunological Model. We developed a mathematical model of cancer incidence based on two assumptions: first, that potentially cancerous cells arise with equal probability at any age, and, second, that there exists an immune escape threshold (IET), proportional to T cell production, above which immunogenic cells can overwhelm the immune system and result in a clinically detectable disease (Fig. 1 and Fig. S3). For the sake of generality, as the model can also relate to age-related incidence of infectious diseases, the immunogenic cells could be mutated somatic cells or a population of infectious pathogens. We do not define the biological interaction between the T cell pool and the nascent tumor/infection; however, the concept of declining immune competence is consistent with several known mechanisms: for instance, both T cell repertoire diversity and the proliferative capacity of naive T cells decrease with age (9). Our model is thus derived as follows: once immunogenic cells arise, the population of such cells will change over time, leading to stochastic dynamics in population size, clonal diversity, and potentially other properties. The simplest way to capture these dynamics is through a birth–death process, and to a first approximation this can be modeled as a biased random walk (10). Fig. 1 provides a schematic view of the model dynamics in terms of population size. If the random walk exceeds the IET, the immune system will no longer be able to respond effectively and immune escape occurs.

If the random walk for the immunogenic cells is unbiased (e.g., for the random walk describing population size, if cell division and cell death are equally likely), then the probability for an immunogenic cell population to reach a threshold K is given by 1/K

Significance

Understanding the risk factors of carcinogenesis is a major goal of biomedical research. Historically, the focus has been on the role of somatic mutations, and the reason for cancer typically occurring late in life is predominantly attributed to a gradual accumulation of such mutations. We challenge that view and propose that the decline of the immune system is the primary reason why cancer is an age-related disease. The immunological model featured here captures risk profiles for many cancer types and infectious diseases, suggesting that therapies reversing T cell exhaustion or restoring T cell production will be promising avenues of treatment.


The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

This open access article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND).

1To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: s.palmer@hw.ac.uk or tjnewman@solaraivus.com.

2Present address: Solaraivus, Cupar KY15 5AS, United Kingdom.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1714478115/-/DCSupplemental.

www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1714478115

PNAS Latest Articles | 1 of 6

PNAS
Declining T cell production leads to increasing disease incidence. Our model assumes that immunogenic cells arise with the same probability at any age, and, after a period of being targeted, the population may overwhelm the immune system by crossing an immune escape threshold. This threshold is assumed to be proportional to T cell production, which decreases with age. This provides a prediction for the possible forms of disease incidence curves.

(Methods). This gives a first-approximation prediction that the risk of immune escape, which we denote by $R$, rises exponentially with age at the same rate that T cell production declines. This defines a model for disease incidence with one fitting parameter, that being an overall prefactor (Table 1). If the random walk is biased (e.g., if the rates of cell division and cell death are not equal), a similar calculation produces a more general prediction for incidence with one additional parameter (Table 1). We will refer to these one- and two-parameter model predictions as, respectively, immune model I (IM-I) and immune model II (IM-II). The additional fitting parameter of IM-II can be interpreted as a “pivot age,” which marks a transition from very low to relatively much higher risk (Methods). We stress that $\alpha$ is not a fitting parameter, but the empirically derived rate from thymus involution, given by 0.044 y$^{-1}$, which we use for all of our analysis.

Infectious Disease Incidence. For most infectious diseases, the increase in risk with age is believed to be due to changes in the immune system and therefore provides a good first test for our model. The assumption that the immunogenic cells arise with equal probability at any age amounts to assuming constant exposure across age groups. We found that six of the seven bacterial infections monitored by the Active Bacterial Core (ABC) surveillance program (Data Sources) fit IM-II well ($R^2 > 0.9$), with better fitting for those incidence curves underpinned by higher incidence and larger population sizes and hence associated with a smaller relative uncertainty [i.e., smaller confidence intervals (CIs)]. Turning to viral diseases, the incidence of West Nile virus (WNV) disease is particularly well fit by IM-II (and indeed IM-I). However, influenza A is not fit well, instead rising exponentially at a faster rate (Fig. 2). Prevalence of tuberculosis infection in Cambodia also fits the model well (Fig. S4). Indeed, even IM-I fits these infectious diseases very well, which confirms the importance of the thymic involution timescale. This provides confidence in applying our approach further.

Cancer Incidence. We next tested our model against cancer incidence curves, across 101 cancer types under the ICD03 WHO2008 classification (11). Fitting IM-II to the incidence curves, the median $R^2$ was found to be 0.956, with 57 cancer types fitting very well ($R^2 > 0.95$). Since IM-II has the same number of fitting parameters as the widely used PLM of cancer incidence, a direct comparison is possible. The PLM performs slightly worse overall ($R^2 > 0.95$ for 48 cancer types, median $R^2 = 0.947$; $R^2$ and associated fitting measures for each cancer type can be found in Dataset S1), with cancers whose incidence rises exponentially, such as chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) and brain cancer, fitting IM-I and IM-II better than the PLM. Many cancer types, including colon and gallbladder, fit both the PLM and IM-II very well (Fig. 3). There are no examples of PLM fitting well and notably better than IM-II. The ability of IM-II to capture the power law behavior seen in cancer incidence curves is an unexpected feature of the model and is discussed further in SI Theory [where we show that IM-II exhibits an apparent power law with power $c(e^{-2} - 1) \sim 3.78$ in the age range of 33–82 y]. We note that, of the top 10 best-fit cancers, the 9 carcinomas have pivot ages tightly clustered from 56.3 to 60.5 y (Dataset S1), suggesting a clinical significance of the mid- to late fifties as an age of particular importance for screening and intervention. In contrast, the PLM by definition is “scale-free” and thus has no associated age range of particular importance from a clinical perspective.

From the form of the equation of IM-II we can see that, up to a shift in age and an overall multiplicative factor, all incidence curves should follow the same function (Methods and Fig. 3D). This “universal scaling function” shows the range of behaviors possible within the model. Indeed, the quality of data collapse of incidence data onto the universal scaling function for IM-II is excellent, giving strong support to our model and highlighting those cancer types that fit the model particularly well. One such cancer, CML, is characterized by a single translocation event resulting in the formation of the Philadelphia chromosome (12).

This is a good candidate for the type of initiating event featured in our model. Assuming this translocation event can happen at any age, and after a period of being targeted, the population may overwhelm the immune system by crossing an immune escape threshold. This threshold is assumed to be proportional to T cell production, which decreases with age. This provides a prediction for the possible forms of disease incidence curves.
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**Fig. 1.** Declining T cell production leads to increasing disease incidence. Our model assumes that immunogenic cells arise with the same probability at any age, and, after a period of being targeted, the population may overwhelm the immune system by crossing an immune escape threshold. This threshold is assumed to be proportional to T cell production, which decreases with age. This provides a prediction for the possible forms of disease incidence curves.

### Table 1. Summary of the mathematical forms of the immune models and the PLM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Predicted risk profiles</th>
<th>Free parameters</th>
<th>Brief description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immune model I</td>
<td>$R = A \ e^{\alpha t}$</td>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>Risk doubles every 16 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune model II</td>
<td>$R = A_i (e^{\alpha t} - 1)$</td>
<td>$A_i, \tau$</td>
<td>Risk profile shifts around a pivot age of $\tau$ years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power law (multistep)</td>
<td>$R = A \ t^\gamma$</td>
<td>$A, \gamma$</td>
<td>Waiting time for $\gamma + 1$ rare events (6, 7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The risk of contracting a disease, $R$, as a function of age, $t$, can be modeled by immunological models or the PLM. The model parameter $\alpha$ is given by 0.044 y$^{-1}$, while the free parameters are obtained by fitting the models to each disease and are available in Dataset S1.
any age, on neglecting the IET one might expect that incidence would be approximately constant. Instead, incidence doubles every 16 y, mirroring the exponential decay of T cell production, consistent with our model.

Examining which incidence curves fit poorly can give insight into the underlying diseases (Fig. S11). For example, breast and thyroid cancer both rise rapidly and then plateau from middle age onward, possibly due to the significant hormonal influences for these cancers. Many cancer types have a plateau or even a dip in incidence around age 80. This cannot be explained by either IM-II or the PLM, since both give strictly increasing incidence with age. One can speculate that this decrease might be explained by declining tissue turnover. If this were the case, one would then expect cancer of the population of developing T cells itself (T cell lymphoblastic leukemia) to have an approximately constant risk profile with age, due to an exact cancellation of increasing risk from immunosenescence and decreasing risk from reduced cell production. This behavior is indeed observed when looking at adults above age 18 (Fig. S5). This finding supports the idea that both immune decline and decreasing tissue turnover contribute significantly to changes in cancer risk with age.

Our model has the potential to provide clinical insight into differences between cancer types. For example, those cancer types with a higher pivot age could be linked to tissues with a lower IET. This would decrease the probability of cancer initiation per se but would also imply that such cancers are larger or more advanced at the point of immune escape. From this, one would expect that pivot age should be inversely correlated with survivability, which indeed we observe (r = −0.6; value of P < 10⁻⁶; Fig. S7). To further test our model, we compared groups for which there are measurable differences in T cell production and disease incidence. While disease incidence is known to increase in immune-compromised groups, comparing males to females in the general population is more easily quantifiable. There is a gender bias in quantities of T cell receptor excision circle (TREC) DNA with age (4), which can be used to infer differences in naive T cell production between males and females. Interestingly, cancer is more common overall in males than females by a factor of 1.35 (13). We calculate that the TREC measurements from males and females have a similar gender bias, with females having 1.46 ± 0.31 (mean ± SD) more TREC DNA overall (4). As well as overall TREC counts, there is a difference in the rate of decline, with male TRECs falling faster (4). Consistently, the incidence data shows that 70 out of the 87 cancers with gender separation (i.e., observed in both genders) rise more steeply in males (Methods). To illustrate this bias, we constructed universal scaling functions for each gender (Fig. 3E and Fig. S9D), each showing good data collapse. Interestingly, a similar gender bias is found in the average mutation burden in cancer biopsies, showing a steeper increase with age in males (14). WNV, the only infectious disease in our dataset with gender separation, also shows steeper increase in risk for males (value of P < 0.01).

For the majority of cancers, both the PLM and IM-II fit very well. To investigate further, we constructed a combined power law immunological model (PLM), which includes rising risk with age from accumulating mutations and from immune system decline. This model has three fitting parameters, and is therefore relatively weaker as a predictive model, but does contain as submodels the PLM and IM-II (and hence IM-I). The model predicts risk profiles of the following form:

\[ R = \frac{A}{B e^{-\gamma t} + 1}, \]

where A, B, and \( \gamma \) are fitting parameters (Methods). The parameter \( \gamma \) is once again interpreted as corresponding to \( \gamma + 1 \) driver mutations, while the parameter B indicates how much immune system decline contributes to rising risk with age. We found that this model provides good fits along a line in parameter space linking IM-II to the PLM (Fig. S8). For the cancers showing exponential behavior (brain, CML, and soft tissue including heart), the best fit is found very close to the IM-II (and indeed IM-I) region of parameter space, while cancers showing power law behavior, some cancers have their best fit close to the PLM region of parameter space. For colon and rectum cancer, the best fit occurs in-between the two regions, with a value of \( \gamma \approx 1.2 \) corresponding to 2.2 driver mutations. This estimate matches the value of 2.3 driver mutations for colorectal cancer found in ref. 15. Since the incidence curve fits a power law very accurately with exponent \( \gamma = 4.6 \), the estimate of 2.3 driver mutations suggests that the time dependency factorizes as \( R = R_{\text{accumulation}} \times R_{\text{immune}} \) where \( R_{\text{accumulation}} \propto t^{1.3} \) and \( R_{\text{immune}} \propto t^{3.3} \).

It is noteworthy that the contribution of 3.3 to the net power law exponent of 4.6 is close to the value 3.78, which follows from the apparent power law behavior of IM-II, as discussed earlier. This factorization would imply that immune decline contributes more than accumulation of mutations to the increase in risk with age for colorectal cancer.

**Discussion**

We have shown that there is a strong link between T cell production and incidence of both infectious diseases and cancer. Some disease incidence curves rise exponentially, inversely proportional to T cell production (Fig. 3 and Fig. S8), while some rise in a manner well-captured by our two-parameter model, IM-II. This simple model, comprising (i) a threshold proportional to T cell production and (ii) a biased random walk characterizing the population dynamics of the immunogenic cells, can explain, to a large extent, cancer and infectious disease incidence, including gender differences from males and females. Further research is needed on the precise form of the IET to understand how it interfaces with declining T cell production in different diseases and individuals. The immunological model provides a fresh perspective on carcinogenesis, strongly supporting the idea that cancer can be caused by a single event in one cell that subsequently manages to...
beat the odds and evade the immune system through rare stochastic fluctuations in population dynamics. This is in stark contrast to the PLM, where the increase in risk with age arises from the waiting time for multiple independent events. We also predict that, for those animals that do not experience thymic involution, for example, some species of shark (16), cancer risk would not increase dramatically with age, and would thus be a relatively rare cause of death.

Mutations do indeed accumulate with age (17, 18), and although the premise of the PLM is logically and mathematically sound, this model predicts that several rare independent driver mutations are necessary for carcinogenesis. The fitted curves from the PLM and IM-II often overlap and can explain equally well many incidence curves. Further research is therefore necessary to estimate the number of driver mutations via other lines of inquiry. A recent paper (15) attempted to address this question from a new direction, by comparing groups with different independently determined values for \( n \) in males than females, reflecting the gender bias in T cell production (Methods). A purely exponential incidence curve would correspond to a pivot age of negative infinity, and therefore, for the purposes of plotting, we set a minimum pivot age of \(-50\) y. Models are fitted only for ages greater than 18 y. Error bars show 95% CIs for all diseases.

Fig. 3. Cancer incidence. Log-linear plots of incidence (per 100,000 person-years). Data taken from SEER (11). (A and B) Some cancer types rise exponentially fitting IM-I (A), while some cancer types rise like power laws, although can still be fit by IM-II (B). Fitting curves for IM-II and PLM are shown in red and green, respectively. (C) The top 20 best-fitting incidence curves as measured by Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) for IM-II. (D and E) Universal scaling functions for all cancers with defined pivot ages (84 out of 101 cancer types) plotted in gray with the top 20 incidence curves highlighted. Data shown for both genders (D) and gender-separated data (E), with dotted lines showing the model predictions for IM-I and IM-II. The gender-separated curves are fitted with higher independently determined values for \( \alpha \) in males than females, reflecting the gender bias in T cell production (Methods). A purely exponential incidence curve would correspond to a pivot age of negative infinity, and therefore, for the purposes of plotting, we set a minimum pivot age of \(-50\) y. Models are fitted only for ages greater than 18 y. Error bars show 95% CIs for all diseases.
does not show a highly nonlinear relationship, which would be expected from the mutation accumulation hypothesis (20). Indeed, if we apply the PLM to this dataset (Dataset S2), we find that the number of driver mutations is just \( n \sim 0.91 \) (SI Theory) consistent with the specifications underpinning the immunological model.

While IM-I has only two emergent fitting parameters, the underlying random-walk model has three biological parameters, resulting in an underdetermined system (Methods). To get estimates for these biological parameters, such as the size of the IET, additional assumptions are required. Given that the estimated total number of stem cell divisions provides a good predictor of cancer risk (19), the rate of stem cell divisions can be assumed to be proportional to the rate of cancer initiation attempts in the immunological model (SI Theory). From this, we can obtain values for the model parameters of IM-II. We found that the size of the IET is typically \( \sim 10^6 \), which would imply that a population growing beyond \( 10^6 \) cancer cells would overwhelm the immune system and result in immune escape (see Dataset S1 for values for each cancer). In mouse experiments, primary inoculations with \( >10^6 \) cancer cells rendered mice unable to control subsequent tumor inoculations (21), providing a degree of qualitative and quantitative support for our model assumptions. This effect is related to the phenomenon of "T cell exhaustion," which was initially defined as the clonal deletion of antigen-specific T cells due to chronic stimulation (22), and is now understood to not only involve activation-induced deletion but also changes in T cell phenotype and function (5). Therapies targeting T cell exhaustion have already been widely successful in cancer and infectious disease therapy in the form of immune checkpoint blockades such as PD-1 and CTLA-4 inhibitors (5). Our model provides a theoretical framework for such treatments and predicts that treatment efficacy could be enhanced if new naive T cell production were also increased. Additionally, evidence for a causative link between thymic activity and cancer risk has been found in mouse models, as thymectomized mice develop significantly more tumors (23, 24) and thymus grafts on nude mice can induce cancer remission (25, 26).

Our view supports the idea that as little as one single genomic aberration could be at the root of tumorigenesis. This event could be the emergence of a potent driver mutation, for example, a growth-inducing chromosomal translocation. Interestingly, it has been pointed out that a relatively small number of oncogenes have been confirmed across multiple biological experiments and all of these genes control cellular growth (27). Moreover, karyotypic analysis indicates that chromosomal rearrangements are encountered in most cancers in a way that is generally unique to the specific cancer under consideration (28). This led some to suggest that such changes are causative to cancer (29). Our analysis indicates that a single event (e.g., the emergence of a key mutation) could be enough to generate a malignancy that is able to evolve into a clinically manifest cancer if it escapes immune control. The immunological model also identifies a potential smoking gun in cancer risk in the form of the exponential decline of T cell production with age. Despite the decrease in T cell production from the thymus, overall T cell counts in the blood remain approximately constant due to increased peripheral T cell production from the thymus. This would be the case if, for example, each T cell progenitor can only produce a finite number of daughter T cells and respond effectively to a finite maximum number of immunogenic cells, analogous to the Hayflick limit of replicative senescence (35). This gives \( K = r_0 e^{-0.038} \), leading to a predicted risk profile of the form \( R = A/[e^{0.038(t − \tau)} − 1] \), where \( A = r(t − b)/b \). Here we see the immune exhaustion threshold size \( b \) marking a change in behavior of the risk profile. For ages less than \( \tau \), the risk profile can be approximated as a steep Gompertz function \( R = Ae^{-e^{-0.038(t−\tau)}} \), while for ages greater than \( \tau \), the risk profile can be approximated as a pure exponential \( R = Ae^{-e^{-0.038}} \). In more biological terms, the pivot age represents the age when a cancer type transitions from very rare to relatively less rare. The median pivot age across all cancer types is \( \tau \) = 49.9 y of age. The immune system's response to a given cancer type influences the death rate \( d \) and also the immune exhaustion threshold size \( b \). In this way, a more competent immune system would lead to an increase in the pivot age parameter \( \tau \).

Up to a shift in age and an overall multiplicative factor, all functions of the form (6) can be collapsed onto a single universal scaling function given by the following:

\[
S(x) = e^{-1}[\text{max}\{\alpha x\}]^{1/\alpha} e^{-\alpha x},
\]

where \( x = a(t - \tau) \) and the overall multiplicative factor is chosen such that \( S(0) = 1 \). For the universal scaling function separated by gender, we have used values of exponent \( \alpha \) higher in males than females. Since the available data on gender-separated TREC decline found in ref. 4 are very noisy (\( \alpha \) for male TRECgs is given by 0.08, with 0.05–0.11 95% CI, while \( \alpha \) for female TRECgs is given by 0.04, with 0.01–0.07 95% CI), we have arrived at values for \( \alpha \) in males and females based on disease data. The cancer type which fits IM-I best is "soft tissue including heart." This cancer has risk rising exponentially with exponent \( \alpha_m = 0.045 \) for males and \( \alpha_f = 0.038 \) for females, which we use for the universal scaling function. Consistently, the only infectious disease with gender separation, WNV, rises exponentially with exponents \( \alpha_m = 0.05 \) for males and \( \alpha_f = 0.041 \) for females.
The universal scaling function in Fig. 3 depicts the top 20 best-fitting cancers as measured by the Akaike information criterion (AIC). Other choices of measure give similar results (Fig. 510).

The immunological model above can be combined with the PLM to produce a model with three fitting parameters. To do so, we alter the assumption that potentially cancerous cells are produced at a constant rate, r, and assume instead that they arise from the gradual accumulation of driver mutations. Using the framework of the PLM (6, 7), the rate of attempts then takes the form r = rL(t), corresponding to the waiting time for γ + 1 rare independent events. This PLM predicts risk profiles of the following form:

\[ R = \frac{A \gamma}{\omega \exp(-\gamma t)} t^{-\gamma}, \]  

where \( A = r_d (d - b)/b_b \), \( \omega = K_o \log(b/db) \).

**Data Sources.** Data sources for incidence rates are chosen based on largest possible sample sizes.


Tuberculosis prevalence in Cambodia is obtained from ref. 37.

**Statistical Methods.** For incidence of infectious diseases and cancers, CIs are calculated assuming a \( y^2 \) distribution. All fitting of incidence curves is performed on log-transformed values.

To calculate the overall ratio of male TREGs to female TREGs, we computed the ratio of the means and then used a bootstrapping approach to calculate the SD of that measurement.

To show that cancer risk rises more steeply for males compared with females, we fit pure exponentials to the incidence curves and recorded the exponents as Female alpha and Male alpha in Dataset S1. To calculate the value of \( P \) for the statement that risk rises more steeply for WNV in males compared with females, we used the ANCOVA method.

All of the code for our analysis is available online at https://github.com/Alikuca/ImmuneModelSEER.
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