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**Neurochondrin interacts with the SMN protein suggesting a novel mechanism for Spinal Muscular Atrophy pathology.**
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Summary Statement

The essential neural protein neurochondrin interacts with the Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA) protein, SMN, in cell lines and in vivo. This may be relevant to the molecular pathology of SMA.

Abstract

Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA) is an inherited neurodegenerative condition caused by reduction in functional Survival Motor Neurones Protein (SMN). SMN has been implicated in transport of mRNA in neural cells for local translation. We previously identified microtubule-dependant mobile vesicles rich in SMN and SmB, a member of the Sm family of snRNP-associated proteins, in neural cells. By comparing the interactomes of SmB and SmN, a neural-specific Sm protein, we now show that the essential neural protein neurochondrin (NCDN) interacts with Sm proteins and SMN in the context of mobile vesicles in neurites. NCDN has roles in protein localisation in neural cells, and in maintenance of cell polarity. NCDN is required for the correct localisation of SMN, suggesting they may both be required for formation and transport of trafficking vesicles. NCDN may have potential as a therapeutic target for SMA together with, or in place of, those targeting SMN expression.

Introduction

The inherited neurodegenerative disease, Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA) is caused by a reduction in the amount of functional Survival Motor Neuron (SMN) protein (Lefebvre et al., 1995). SMA is the leading genetic cause of infant mortality, affecting 1:6000 live births (Monani, 2005). The recently developed therapy, Spinraza/Nusinersen (Biogen) has been shown to increase the level of SMN and improve the symptoms of SMA patients (Corey, 2017; Finkel et al., 2016; Passini et al., 2011). Most SMA patients harbour mutations in the SMN1 gene, which produces the majority of total SMN protein in cells. In humans, expression from a variable number of copies of an additional gene, SMN2, can produce some full-length SMN protein (Lefebvre et al., 1995; Lefebvre et al., 1997). The SMN2 gene, unlike SMN1, contains a point mutation in an exon splicing enhancer (Lorson and Androphy, 2000; Lorson et al., 1999) resulting in truncation of most of the SMN protein produced by SMN2 through skipping of exon 7. The truncated protein produced by SMN2 is less stable than full-length SMN and cannot compensate fully for the loss of SMN1 (Le et al., 2005; Lorson and Androphy, 2000; Lorson et al., 1999). However, due to the small amounts of full length SMN expressed from the SMN2 gene, the number of gene copies can influence the severity of SMA, with evidence that five copies of SMN2 may be enough to compensate for loss of SMN1 (Campbell et al., 1997; Prior et al., 2004). It is not currently clear how a deficiency of functional SMN leads to the specific symptoms of SMA. In particular, the differing sensitivity of cell
types to lowered SMN levels, with motor neurons (MNs) most severely affected, is difficult to explain as SMN is an essential protein and complete deletion is lethal at the cellular level (Hsieh-Li et al., 2000; Schrank et al., 1997).

SMN localises to nuclear Cajal bodies and gems (Gemini of Cajal bodies (CBs)) (Liu and Dreyfuss, 1996) as well as in the cytoplasm and is implicated in a growing number of cellular roles in both locations (Hosseinibarkooie et al., 2017; Li et al., 2014; Monani, 2005; Singh et al., 2017; Sleeman, 2013; Tisdale and Pellizzoni, 2015). The first to be elucidated was a role in the early, cytoplasmic, stages of assembly and maturation of splicing snRNPs (small nuclear ribonucleoproteins). Splicing snRNPs are ribonucleoprotein complexes, essential for pre-mRNA splicing, comprising an snRNA (small nuclear RNA) core and numerous proteins including a heptameric ring containing one copy each of members of the Sm protein family. SMN is part of a cytoplasmic complex, also containing the gemin proteins, required for the addition of the Sm proteins as a ring around the snRNA core (Li et al., 2014; Liu et al., 1997; Stark et al., 2001; Tisdale and Pellizzoni, 2015). The maturation of snRNPs has been shown to be impaired by a deletion in SMN (Gabanella et al., 2007; Shpargel and Matera, 2005; Wan et al., 2005; Winkler et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2008), while alterations to pre-mRNA splicing events, proposed to be a downstream consequence of this impairment, have been observed in several models of SMA (Custer et al., 2013; Huo et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2008). One of the proposed mechanisms for the cell-type specificity of SMA is that these alterations of pre-mRNA splicing events affect mRNA transcripts that are essential for motor neurons, perhaps preferentially affecting transcripts spliced by the minor spliceosome (Boulisfane et al., 2011; Custer et al., 2016; Doktor et al., 2017; Gabanella et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008). Despite promising results in Drosophila models, however, specific transcripts affecting MNs are yet to be conclusively identified (Lotti et al., 2012).

Another well-established cellular role of SMN is in the trafficking of mature mRNA within the cytoplasm, particularly in the axons and neurites of neural cell types. (Akten et al., 2011; Custer et al., 2013; Fallini et al., 2016; Fallini et al., 2014; Fallini et al., 2011; Li et al., 2015; Lotti et al., 2012; Peter et al., 2011; Rossoll et al., 2003; Rossoll et al., 2002; Todd et al., 2010a; Todd et al., 2010b; Zhang et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2003). This is thought to be linked to local translation of mRNA into proteins, an important process for neural cells, in particular motor neurones, due to the length of their axons (Doyle and Kiebler, 2011; Holt and Schuman, 2013; Huber et al., 2000; Kang and Schuman, 1996), making this trafficking role for SMN of particular interest for
understanding the cellular pathology of SMA. SMN co-localises with the mRNA binding proteins HuD, IMP1 and hnRNP R and is involved in the localisation of mRNAs to axons (Akten et al., 2011; Fallini et al., 2014; Fallini et al., 2011; Rossoll et al., 2003). The cellular structures involved in SMN-dependent mRNA trafficking are currently unclear, being described as granular (Akten et al., 2011; Fallini et al., 2014; Peter et al., 2011; Todd et al., 2010b; Zhang et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2003) or vesicular in nature (Custer et al., 2013; Prescott et al., 2014).

SMN has also been implicated in many other processes. Some of these involve a role in RNP assembly, similar to the canonical role in splicing snRNP production, including assembly of both the signal recognition particle and the U7 snRNP required for 3’ processing of histone mRNA (Azzouz et al., 2005; Piazzon et al., 2013; Tisdale et al., 2013). Other roles are more diverse and include the regulation of cytoskeletal dynamics and endocytosis (Bowerman et al., 2007; Dimitriadi et al., 2016; Giesemann et al., 1999; Hao le et al., 2012; Heesen et al., 2016; Hosseinibarkooie et al., 2016; Nolle et al., 2011; Oprea et al., 2008; Riessland et al., 2017); enhancement of DNA repair (Takaku et al., 2011); transcriptional regulation (Pellizzoni et al., 2001; Zhao et al., 2016; Zou et al., 2004); stress granule formation (Hua and Zhou, 2004; Zou et al., 2011) and ubiquitin homeostasis (Wishart et al., 2014). It is currently unclear whether or how disruption of the many proposed roles for SMN contributes to SMA pathogenesis.

Structures containing the SMN and SmB proteins, alongside Coatomer Gamma are trafficked on microtubules (Prescott et al., 2014). Evidence suggesting that SMN/SmB-rich structures are vesicular in nature includes their staining with lipophilic dyes in living neural cells, their vesicular appearance using correlative fluorescence and electron microscopy (Prescott et al., 2014), and the interaction of SMN and the Sm proteins with Coatomer proteins, which are associated with membrane bound vesicles (Custer et al., 2013; Peter et al., 2011; Prescott et al., 2014). We have previously identified an interaction between SmB and dynein cytoplasmic 1 heavy chain 1 (DYNC1H1), a motor protein required for microtubule transport (Prescott et al., 2014), mutation in which can cause a rare, lower extremity dominant SMA (Chen et al., 2017; Ding et al., 2016; Harms et al., 2010; Harms et al., 2012; Niu et al., 2015; Peeters et al., 2015; Punetha et al., 2015; Scoto et al., 2015; Strickland et al., 2015; Tsurusaki et al., 2012). SMN has also been shown to associate with the membranous Golgi complex (Ting et al., 2012), while mutations in the Golgi-related protein BICD2 (bicaudal D homolog 2) cause a form of lower extremity dominant SMA (Martinez-Carrera and Wirth, 2015; Neveling et al., 2013; Oates et al., 2013; Peeters et al., 2013; Rossor et al., 2015; Synofzik et al., 2014).
The Sm protein family is implicated in both of the major functions of SMN. The core of splicing snRNPs comprises a heptameric ring of proteins around the snRNA, containing SmB/B’, D1, D2, D3 E, F and G (Urlaub et al., 2001). SMN is a vital part of the complex required for the assembly of this Sm protein ring (Battle et al., 2006; Fischer et al., 2011; Fischer et al., 1997; Liu and Dreyfuss, 1996; Meister et al., 2001; Meister and Fischer, 2002; Pellizzoni et al., 2002). Other members of the Sm protein family have also been identified, beyond the core proteins usually found in splicing snRNPs. Of particular interest in the context of SMA pathology is SmN (encoded by the SNRPN gene), which is expressed in neural tissues (Schmauss et al., 1992) and can replace SmB in the heptameric Sm protein ring (Huntriss et al., 1993). The human SmN protein differs from SmB’ by 17 amino acids (UniProt Identifiers P63162 and P14678 respectively), and little is known about its behaviour other than its incorporation into snRNPs, although the SNRPN gene locus is within the paternally imprinted region of the genome critical in Prader-Willi Syndrome (Ozcelik et al., 1992). There is growing appreciation that some Sm proteins may ‘moonlight’ in functions beyond their presence in splicing snRNPs. In addition to the role of SmB in cytoplasmic trafficking vesicles in human cells, in Drosophila SmB and SmD3 are implicated in mRNA localisation (Gonsalvez et al., 2010) and SmD1 has a role in miRNA biogenesis (Xiong et al., 2015). With this in mind, we applied a proteomic approach in the neural cell line SH-SY5Y to search for interactions that could indicate neural specific roles for the SmN protein of relevance for the pathology of SMA.

This proteomic approach led to the identification of Neurochondrin (NCDN) as a novel interactor of both SmN and SMN. NCDN is an essential protein predominantly expressed in neural tissue and involved in neural outgrowth, synaptic plasticity and moderation of signal transduction (Dateki et al., 2005; Francke et al., 2006; Matosin et al., 2015; Pan et al., 2016; Shinozaki et al., 1999; Shinozaki et al., 1997; Wang et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2009; Ward et al., 2009). Further investigation of the relationship between NCDN and SMN suggest that NCDN interacts with SMN in the context of mobile cytoplasmic vesicles containing SmB and SmN and is strongly expressed in motor neurones. This suggests that NCDN warrants further investigation in the context of SMA pathology and may proves useful as a target for future therapy development.
Results

SmN exhibits similar behaviour to SmB, localising to cytoplasmic vesicles containing SMN

In order to determine the interactome of the neural-specific Sm protein, SmN, we first generated constructs to express fluorescent protein-tagged SmN by amplifying the SmN sequence from total RNA from SH-SY5Y cells and cloning it into the pEYFP-C1 and pmCherry-C1 vectors. All of the Sm fusion proteins studied so far show a steady-state localisation to nuclear Cajal Bodies (CBs) and speckles. The Sm proteins SmB, SmD1 and SmE have also previously been shown to exhibit a characteristic pathway within the cell on their initial expression, indicative of the snRNP maturation pathway (Sleeman and Lamond, 1999), although differences were seen between the Sm proteins. To confirm that YFP-SmN localised correctly at steady state to CBs and speckles, and to determine where SmN localised during maturation and incorporation into snRNPs, the plasmid was transiently expressed in SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells, with cells fixed and immunostained at 24 hour intervals. At 48 and 72 hours after transfection, YFP-SmN predominantly localised to speckles and Cajal bodies (CBs, detected with anti-coilin) identically to endogenous Sm proteins (detected with Y12 antibody), whereas at 24 hours, YFP-SmN localised predominantly diffusely within the cytoplasm, with some accumulation in CBs (Fig 1A, B). This sequential localisation is indistinguishable from that previously observed with YFP-SmB in HeLa and MCF-7 cells, though CBs were not prominent in the majority of SH-SY5Y cells transiently expressing YFP-SmN. Equivalent results were obtained in SH-SY5Y cells transiently expressing mCherry-SmN (Fig S1). Both YFP-SmN and mCherry-SmN are efficiently incorporated into splicing snRNPs, as evidenced by their enrichment from whole-cell lysates using antibodies against the characteristic hypermethylated Cap structure (2,2,7-trimethylaguanosine) found on snRNAs (Fig 1C).

To determine whether the similarities between SmN and SmB extend to localisation in detergent-sensitive vesicles in the cytoplasm (Prescott et al., 2014), SH-SY5Y cells constitutively expressing mCherry-SmN were used for live-cell time-lapse microscopy. Mobile mCherry-SmN foci were observed (movie S1). In common with the SmB vesicles, these stained positive with the lipophilic dye, BODIPY 493, indicating that they are vesicular in nature (Fig 1D). Finally, to confirm
that the mCherry-SmN vesicles were similar to those previously identified with SmB, SH-SY5Y cells constitutively expressing mCherry-SmN were transfected with plasmids to express either GFP-SMN or YFP alone. GFP-SMN co-localised with mCherry-SmN in 83% (±11) of SmN-positive vesicles, which is statistically significant when compared to 8.4% (±4.5) of mCherry-SmN vesicles co-localising with YFP alone (Fig 1E, F).

Mass Spectrometry reveals similarities between the interactomes of SmN and SmB

As SmN appeared to behave very similarly to SmB in neural cells, it was unclear why neural cells express two almost identical proteins. It was decided to investigate whether SmN and SmB may have differing roles that could be identified by proteomic analysis. SH-SY5Y cells were selected for this analysis as they are easy to culture and amenable to the generation of cell lines constitutively expressing FP-tagged proteins, while retaining neural characteristics including the expression of neural proteins. They are also human in origin. Proteins interacting with YFP-SmB and YFP-SmN were affinity purified using GFP-TRAP (Chromotek) from whole-cell lysates of SH-SY5Y cell lines constitutively expressing the tagged proteins, with a cell line expressing YFP alone as a control for non-specific binding to the tag or bead matrix. Immunoblot analysis using antibodies to YFP demonstrated that the enrichment of the tagged proteins was 20X, 23X and 4X for YFP-SmN, YFP-SmB and YFP alone respectively (Fig 2A). The affinity purified material was size separated using SDS-PAGE and analysed by nLC ESI MS/MS mass spectrometry to identify peptides and therefore proteins interacting with YFP-SmB and YFP-SmN. Following removal of likely contaminants identified by their interaction with YFP alone, or their previous identification as common interactors of GFP-TRAP (Trinkle-Mulcahy et al., 2008), UniProt Biological Process and Cellular Component Genome Ontology annotations were used to group identified proteins into categories depending on function. These groups were then used to determine whether there were differences in possible functions between SmN and SmB (Fig 2B). Numerous proteins previously established to interact with Sm proteins were identified including SMN and the gemins as well as the methylosome components PRMT5, MEP50 and pICln, validating our approach (Table S2). The overall proportions of proteins in each category were similar when comparing the interactomes of SmN to SmB, though differences were identified at the level of individual proteins. Of particular interest in the context of SMA were a number of proteins with potential neural specific roles, which were identified in one or both samples. One of these was Neurochondrin (NCDN), a
relatively poorly characterised neural protein, which was identified in the YFP-SmN interactome, with 5 unique peptides identified (Fig 2D).

**Neurochondrin interacts with SmN, SmB and SMN in cell lines and in vivo**

To verify the interaction between Sm proteins and Neurochondrin, a construct expressing NCDN-GFP was generated. Affinity purification of NCDN-GFP from whole cell lysates of SH-SY5Y cells transiently co-expressing NCDN-GFP and mCherry-SmB demonstrated interaction between NCDN-GFP and mCherry-SmB (Fig 3A). To further investigate interactions between NCDN and the Sm proteins neural cells, an SH-SY5Y cell line constitutively expressing NCDN-GFP was established. Affinity purification of NCDN-GFP from whole cell lysates followed by immunoblot analysis using antibodies against endogenous SmN and SmB (Fig 3B) revealed that NCDN-GFP interacts with both SmN and SmB. Furthermore, both endogenous SMN and endogenous βCOP (a coatomer vesicle protein) were also revealed to interact with NCDN-GFP, suggesting that NCDN interacts with the Sm proteins and SMN in the context of cytoplasmic vesicles. Affinity purification of YFP alone from whole cell lysates of an SH-SY5Y cell line constitutively expressing YFP does not result in co-purification of endogenous SMN, SmB or βCOP (Fig 3C). To further investigate the interaction between SMN and NCDN observed in Fig 3B, a reciprocal experiment was performed using GFP-Trap to affinity purify GFP-SMN from an SH-SY5Y cell line constitutively expressing GFP-SMN (Clelland et al., 2009). Subsequent immunoblot analysis using antibodies to endogenous NCDN demonstrated that NCDN co-enriched with GFP-SMN (Fig 3D). To determine whether this interaction also occurs between the endogenous proteins at normal expression levels, we first immuno-precipitated endogenous SMN from whole cell lysates of SH-SY5Y cells. Immunoblot analysis using antibodies to endogenous NCDN (Fig 3D) confirmed that endogenous SMN interacts with endogenous NCDN. Moreover, to determine whether this interaction is also present at endogenous levels in vivo, and thus of potential relevance to SMA pathology, SMN was immuno-precipitated from lysates of P8 mouse brain. Again, we confirmed that endogenous SMN interacts with endogenous NCDN in vivo (Fig 3E). Together, these results confirm the interaction of SMN and NCDN at endogenous levels and in vivo.
Neurochondrin co-localises with SmN, SmB and SMN in cytoplasmic vesicles but not nuclear foci and is strongly expressed in motor neurones in mouse spinal cord.

To determine the probable cellular location for the interaction between SmN/SmB and NCDN, plasmids to express either NCDN-GFP or YFP were transiently transfected into SH-SY5Y cells constitutively expressing mCherry-SmN. This revealed that NCDN-GFP, but not YFP alone, accumulates in cytoplasmic vesicles containing mCherry-SmN (Fig 4A). Similar results were obtained when NCDN-GFP was transiently expressed in SH-SY5Y cells constitutively expressing mCherry-SmB (Fig S3). To investigate the probable cellular location of interactions between SMN and NCDN, mCherry-SMN was co-expressed with either NCDN-GFP or YFP alone. NCDN-GFP was found in cytoplasmic structures enriched in mCherry-SMN (Fig 4B). These data suggest that NCDN co-localises with both the Sm proteins and SMN in cytoplasmic vesicles, although NCDN-GFP shows an increase diffuse signal compared to the Sm proteins or SMN. Within the nucleus, antibodies to endogenous NCDN showed very little nuclear staining, with nuclear foci evident in very few cells (≤2%). These foci did not stain with antibodies to either coilin or SMN (Fig 4C) indicating that they are neither Cajal bodies nor gems. In sections from murine P5 spinal cord (Fig 4D,E), NCDN shows robust expression throughout the spinal cord. Interestingly, NCDN was most prominently expressed in ChAT-positive motor neurons in the ventral horn of the spinal cord (arrows in Fig 4D, enlarged in Fig 4E). This indicates that NCDN is enriched in motor neurons: the most relevant cell type for SMA.

NCDN, SMN and Sm protein co-fractionate with coatomer proteins.

To further investigate the possibility that the interaction of NCDN with SMN and the Sm proteins occurs within cytoplasmic vesicles, sub-cellular fractionations were performed on both parental SH-SY5Y cells and SH-SY5Y cell lines constitutively expressing NCDN-GFP, YFP-SmB, YFP-SmN or YFP. Sequential centrifugation was used to separate the cells into a nuclear fraction, 16,000 RCF and 100,000 RCF cytoplasmic pellets and cytosolic supernatant (De Duve, 1971). Immunoblotting of these sub-cellular fractions revealed that GFP-NCDN, YFP-SmB and YFP-SmN,
were all enriched in the 100,000 RCF cytoplasmic pellet, along with endogenous SMN and coatomer proteins (Fig 5). This fraction would be expected to contain membrane-bound structures, such as microsomes and small cytoplasmic vesicles, which would encompass small coatomer type endocytic vesicles. Endogenous SmN was also observed to enrich similarly (Fig S4). NCDN-GFP shows a larger proportion of protein in the remaining cytosolic supernatant when compared to YFP-SmB, YFP-SmN and endogenous SMN, which is in agreement with the sub-cellular localisations observed (Fig 4). This further supports our hypothesis that the interactions between NCDN, SMN and the Sm proteins take place in small cytoplasmic vesicles.

**NCDN is required for the correct sub-cellular localisation of SMN**

We have previously documented that reduction of SmB expression results in re-localisation of SMN into numerous nuclear structures, probably analogous to gems (Gemini of CBs), and its loss from cytoplasmic structures (Prescott et al., 2014). To investigate the requirement for NCDN in cytoplasmic SMN localisation, an SH-SY5Y cell line constitutively expressing GFP-SMN (Clelland et al., 2009) was transfected with siRNAs targeting NCDN (4 different single siRNAs (Dharmacon) and a pooled sample). A reduction in NCDN expression caused an increase in the number of SMN-positive nuclear foci present in the cell nucleus, as did a reduction of SmB expression (Fig 6A, B). Conversely, reduction in SMN expression reduced the number of SMN-positive nuclear foci. The use of non-targeting control (siControl) sequences or positive control siRNAs (targeting Lamin A/C) had no effect on the number of SMN-positive nuclear foci. The reduction in gene expression, assayed by immunoblotting, for each siRNA was typically 40-60% (Fig 6C). This suggests that NCDN is required for the correct sub-localisation of SMN. Of potential relevance for SMA pathology, depletion of either NCDN or SmB causes GFP-SMN to adopt a sub-cellular localisation reminiscent of that shown by GFP-SMN∆7 (Fig 6), a truncated version of SMN that mimics the product of the SMN2 gene and is unable to completely substitute for full-length SMN in models of SMA (Le et al., 2005; Monani et al., 1999; Monani et al., 2000).
SMN is required for the correct sub-cellular localisation of NCDN

To investigate whether NCDN requires the SMN protein for its localisation to vesicles in neural cells, SH-SY5Y cells were transfected with shRNA constructs previously validated to reduce the expression of SMN by an average of 46%, a reduction previously found to cause symptoms resembling SMA Type III in mouse models (Jablonka et al., 2000), and carrying a GFP marker to unequivocally identify transfected cells (Clelland et al., 2012). Reduction of SMN, monitored by quantitation of the number of SMN-positive nuclear foci (Fig 7A,C), reduced the number of cytoplasmic foci containing endogenous NCDN (Fig 7A, B). This, together with data in Figure 6, suggests that NCDN and SMN are mutually dependent for their incorporation into cytoplasmic structures, raising the possibility that the lowered levels of SMN seen in SMA could compromise NCDN function.

NCDN does not co-purify with splicing snRNPs, suggesting it is not involved in snRNP assembly

To investigate whether the interaction between NCDN and SMN could reflect a previously unidentified role for NCDN in snRNP assembly, splicing snRNPs were affinity purified from whole cells lysates of SH-SY5Y cells constitutively expressing NCDN-GFP using agarose beads coupled to antibodies against the characteristic tri-methyl guanosine Cap of snRNAs (TMG beads, Millipore) (Fig 8A). Endogenous SmN protein showed strong enrichment in the affinity purified snRNP samples, as expected for a core snRNP protein. Endogenous SMN was also co-enriched with snRNPs, demonstrating that the experimental conditions were suitable to identify proteins important for snRNP assembly as well as those that are genuine snRNP components. NCDN-GFP did not co-purify with splicing snRNPs, however, suggesting that NCDN is not involved in snRNP assembly or processing. This raises the intriguing possibility that the interaction between SMN and NCDN reflects a novel, snRNP-independent role for SMN.
SMN interacts with Rab5 in SH-SY5Y cells and co-localises with a sub-set of Rab5 vesicles.

Recent studies have found that SMA may cause endocytic defects, especially in synaptic vesicle recycling in animal models (Dimitriadi et al., 2016). Several SMA-protective disease modifier genes, such as Plastin 3, Coronin 1C, and Neurocalcin Delta, are also associated with endocytosis (Hosseinibarkooie et al., 2016; Oprea et al., 2008; Riessland et al., 2017). However, other endocytic structures within the cell have not been investigated. Rab5 is a marker of early endosomes and endocytic vesicles, as well as being a regulator of these trafficking pathways (Bucci et al., 1992). NCDN and Rab5 have previously been shown to interact, while both Rab5 and NCDN both have roles in dendrite morphogenesis and cell polarity (Guo et al., 2016; Oku et al., 2013; Satoh et al., 2008).

As we had previously shown that SMN and NCDN co-localise in vesicles, we hypothesised that some of the SMN-rich vesicles could be Rab5 vesicles. SH-SY5Y cells were co-transfected with plasmids to express mRFP-Rab5 (Vonderheit and Helenius, 2005) together with either GFP-SMN, NCDN-GFP or YFP. mRFP-Rab5 was affinity-purified from whole cell lysates from each co-transfection using RFP-TRAP (Chromotek). Subsequent immunoblotting revealed co-purification of GFP-SMN and NCDN-GFP, but not YFP alone, with mRFP-Rab5 (Fig 8B). Furthermore, endogenous SMN also co-purified with mRFP-Rab5. In parallel experiments, co-localisation of mRFP-Rab5 with GFP-SMN and NCDN was investigated (Fig 8C,D). In accordance with previous publications, Rab5 showed partial co-localisation with NCDN-GFP in cytoplasmic structures (arrows in Fig 8D) (Oku et al., 2013). GFP-SMN showed a similar degree of co-localisation with mRFP-Rab5, also in cytoplasmic structures, while there was minimal co-localisation between YFP and mRFP-Rab5. Taken together with the absence of NCDN from enriched snRNP fractions (Fig 8A), this suggests that NCDN and SMN co-localise in the context of Rab5 vesicles, independently of snRNP assembly.
Discussion

The genetic cause of SMA has been known since 1995 (Lefebvre et al., 1995), but there is still little available in the way of treatment. Spinraza/Nusinersen is now available to treat SMA by correcting the defective splicing of the SMN2 transcript to promote production of full-length SMN protein. However, this is not a complete cure and requires regular maintenance doses through intrathecal injection. Additionally, little has been done to investigate potential symptoms that could arise later in life or in other tissues and organs in patients treated with Spinraza. Additional treatment options for SMA are still needed, for use in addition to Spinraza, or in place of it for patients for whom it is not suitable, including those with rarer forms of SMA in which SMN is not mutated.

A significant reason for the lack of treatment options for SMA is uncertainty about the cellular roles of SMN, which appear to be numerous. In particular, it is not clear why motor neurones are so exquisitely sensitive to reduced levels of SMN when the key roles of the protein appear to be in pathways required in all cell types. By comparing the interactomes of two very similar members of Sm protein family, SmB and the neural-specific SmN, we have uncovered an interaction between SMN and the essential neural protein NCDN, which may be of relevance for SMA pathology and have the potential to open novel avenues for therapy development.

The neural-specific Sm protein, SmN, behaves similarly to SmB, but shows subtle differences at the interactome level that may indicate alternative roles.

Differences between members of the Sm protein family have not been systematically investigated, although non-splicing roles have been proposed for SmB and SmD3 in mRNA localisation and for SmD1 in miRNA biogenesis in Drosophila (Gonsalvez et al., 2010; Xiong et al., 2015). As SmB and SmN are thought to perform the same primary function in snRNPs (Huntriss et al., 1993), it is currently unknown why SmN is expressed in neural tissues as well as, or instead of, SmB. Current research has suggested that the expression of SmN may cause tissue specific alternative splicing of pre-mRNA transcripts (Lee et al., 2014). However, an alternative, but complimentary hypothesis is that SmN may be adapted for secondary, neural specific roles. We
demonstrate here that SmN localises identically to SmB during snRNP maturation and at steady-state, when both localise to vesicles containing SMN in the cytoplasm and neurites of SH-SY5Y cells in addition to their canonical localisation to nuclear CBs and speckles. Our parallel proteomic study used SH-SY5Y neural cell lines constitutively expressing YFP-SmN and YFP-SmB to investigate difference between the interactomes of these two, very similar, proteins. SmN has a proline rich C-terminal tail that SmB lacks, although a similar sequence is present in SmB’ (Mourao et al., 2016), an alternatively-spliced product of the SNRPB gene, which encodes SmB. Several proteins were identified in the SmN interactome but not the SmB interactome such as nuclear receptor co-activator 6 interacting protein (UniProt Q96RS0), and 7SK snRNA methylphosphate capping enzyme (UniProt Q7L2J0), both of which are associated with snRNA capping (Hausmann et al., 2008; Jeronimo et al., 2007). Additionally, RNA-binding protein 40 (UniProt Q96LT9) was uniquely identified within the SmN interactome, and is involved in the minor spliceosome (Benecke et al., 2005). This suggests that some of these proteins may interact preferentially with SmN, perhaps mediated by amino acid changes within the proline-rich tail. However, further validation and additional experimentation would be required to confirm these differences in interactome between SmN and SmB and to investigate specific functions for the distinct Sm protein family members.

NCDN interacts with SMN, SmB and SmN and co-localises with them in vesicles, suggesting a novel cellular role for SMN.

Previous research into neural-specific functions for SMN has identified several new protein-protein interactions involving SMN. These novel SMN partners have, in the main, been RNA binding proteins (Akten et al., 2011; Fallini et al., 2014; Fallini et al., 2011; Rossoll et al., 2003). There is growing appreciation that SMN-mediated transport may be of particular importance in neural cells and involve COP1-type vesicles transported by Dynein and containing SmB (Custer et al., 2013; Li et al., 2015; Peter et al., 2011; Prescott et al., 2014). The nature and content of these vesicles is not clear but they are likely to be of significance for the cell-type bias of SMA symptoms, as they are present predominantly in neural cells (Akten et al., 2011; Fallini et al., 2016; Fallini et al., 2014; Fallini et al., 2011; Li et al., 2015; Peter et al., 2011; Prescott et al., 2014; Rossoll et al., 2003; Todd et al., 2010a; Todd et al., 2010b; Zhang et al., 2006; Zhang et al.,
The SmN/SmB interactome screen presented here suggests a large number of non-snRNP proteins as potential cellular partners for the Sm proteins.

We chose to investigate the neural protein NCDN further, as it has characteristics that may be of relevance for SMA. NCDN is predominantly expressed in neural tissue, and little is known about its structure or function, as it shares little sequence homology with other eukaryotic proteins (Shinozaki et al, 1997). Though characterised relatively poorly, it is associated with dendrite morphogenesis and localises to Rab5 vesicles involved in the maintenance of cell polarity (Guo et al., 2016; Oku et al., 2013). NCDN has also been demonstrated to regulate localisation of signalling proteins such as P-Rex 1 (Pan et al., 2016), suggesting that it, in common with SMN, has a role in intra-cellular trafficking. These neural-specific and trafficking roles suggest that further analysis of the interaction between NCDN and the Sm proteins may help to better understand the molecular mechanisms of pathogenesis in SMA.

Reciprocal affinity-purification of GFP-tagged and endogenous NCDN and Sm proteins (Fig 3A, B) validated the interaction detected in the interactome analysis. Although originally identified as a protein interacting with SmN but not SmB, further investigation indicates that NCDN is, in fact, capable of interacting with both of these Sm proteins. Of much greater interest, however, is the interaction documented between NCDN and SMN, which appears more robust than that between NCDN and the Sm proteins (Fig 3). Furthermore, NCDN localises with SMN and the Sm proteins in mobile vesicles in the neurites of SH-SY5Y cells (Fig 4), rather than in the nucleus, suggesting that it shares cytoplasmic, rather than nuclear, roles with SMN. The truncated protein SMNΔ7, which can’t fully substitute for FL-SMN despite retaining some functionality, is largely restricted to the nucleus (Renvoise et al., 2006; Sleigh et al., 2011). While it can’t yet be ruled out that SMNΔ7 lacks the capability to substitute for FL-SMN in nuclear roles, this suggests that cytoplasmic roles of SMN are key to SMA pathology. NCDN was not co-purified with splicing snRNPs, under conditions that showed a clear enrichment of SMN, a key assembly factor for snRNPs, in the snRNP fraction. This suggests that the interaction between NCDN, SMN and the Sm proteins is not related to snRNP assembly.
Potential consequences of NCDN mis-localisation associated with SMN reduction

We have identified co-localisation of both SMN and NCDN with a sub-set of Rab5 vesicles. Since NCDN is also found in a sub-set of SMN-positive cytoplasmic structures, it is highly likely that these are Rab5 vesicles. It is possible that the protein-protein interactions between NCDN and SMN occur elsewhere in the cytoplasm as both proteins also show a diffuse cytosolic pool, but the decrease seen in cytoplasmic structures containing NCDN following SMN depletion suggest that cellular pathways requiring NCDN-containing vesicles may be compromised in SMA. Loss of NCDN-positive cytoplasmic structures was seen in cells with a moderate reduction in SMN levels, so NCDN may be of relevance for patients with milder forms of SMA.

At present, the precise roles of NCDN are not fully understood, although it has been implicated in dendrite morphogenesis, neural outgrowth, synaptic plasticity regulation, and moderation of signalling pathways in neural cells (Dateki et al., 2005; Francke et al., 2006; Matosin et al., 2015; Ohoka et al., 2001; Oku et al., 2013; Pan et al., 2016; Shinozaki et al., 1999; Shinozaki et al., 1997; Wang et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2009; Ward et al., 2009). NCDN has also previously been shown to localise to Rab5 vesicles within dendrites (Oku et al., 2013). These dendritic Rab5 vesicles have been found have an important role in dendrite morphogenesis and somatodendritic polarity (Guo et al., 2016; Satoh et al., 2008). As we have now demonstrated that SMN localises to a sub-set of Rab5 vesicles, likely in association with NCDN, SMN may also be implicated in cell polarity, with an insufficiency of SMN causing problems with both establishment and maintenance of polarity. These would be particularly vital in such elongated cells as motor neurons and may be mediated through trafficking of mRNAs or proteins. Further work will be required to investigate defects in cell polarity as a pathogenic mechanism in SMA and their possible link to NCDN.
NCDN as a potential novel therapeutic target in SMA.

SMN has now been linked to several functions other than its canonical role in snRNP assembly. While reduction in the cell’s capacity for snRNP assembly caused by lowered SMN may cause splicing defects, the key transcripts preferentially affecting motor neurones are still to be identified. SMN has an established role in the trafficking of mature mRNAs destined for localised translation. The nature of the structures involved in this role is not completely clear, however, with different authors describing the structures as vesicular or granular. Reduction of SMN has also been linked with endosomal defects, suggestive of the importance of SMN for vesicular transport. Here we provide further evidence for the presence of SMN in, or associated with, vesicles and document interactions between the essential neural protein, NCDN and SMN. Together with the clear enrichment of NCDN in motor neurones in mouse spinal cord, this suggests that NCDN may be a down-stream target of SMN reduction in SMA and places it as a potential target for therapy development in SMA. Further work will be required to establish which roles of NCDN also involve SMN, and whether these are of relevance for the molecular pathology of SMA. The co-dependence of SMN and NCDN in cytoplasmic vesicles, however, suggests that depletion of SMN, as seen in the majority of SMA patients, may affect NCDN localisation and/or function.

Materials and Methods

Plasmid constructs
pEGFP-SMN, pEYFP-SmB and mCherry-SmB have been described previously (Clelland et al., 2009; Sleeman et al., 2001; Sleeman and Lamond, 1999). pEYFP-SmN and pmCherry-SmN were generated by PCR amplification and sub-cloning cDNA of human SmN from SH-SY5Y cells into pEYFP-C1 and pmCherry-C1 respectively, using SNRPNEcoRI forward primer: TAGAATTCCATGACTGTTGGCAAGAGTAGC, and SNRPNBamHI reverse primer: TAGGATCCCTGAGATGGATCAACAGTATG. pmCherry-SMN was generated by PCR amplification sub-cloning the sequence from the pEGFP-SMN plasmid into pmCherry-C1 using an SMNEcoRI Forward primer: GCGGAATTCTATGGCGATGAGC and SMNBamHI Reverse Primer:
GCAGGATCCTTAATTTAAGGAATGTGA. To generate pEGFP-NCDN, NCDN cDNA from SH-SY5Y cells was PCR amplified and sub-cloned into a pEGFP-N3 plasmid using NCDNEcoRI forward primer: GCGGAATTCATGGCCTCGGATTGCG and NCDNSalI reverse primer: GCTGCTGACGGGCTCTGACAGGC. All cDNAs were amplified using GoTaq G2 (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and the PCR products restriction digested using EcoRI and either BamHI or SalI (Promega), before ligation with T4 DNA ligase (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). mRFP-Rab5 was a gift from Ari Helenius (Vonderheide and Helenius, 2005).

**Cell lines and cell culture**

SH-SY5Y cells were from ATCC. Cells were cultured in DMEM with 10% FBS at 37°C, 5% CO₂. Transfections were carried out using Effectene (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Stable SH-SY5Y cell lines expressing mCherry–SmB and GFP–SMN have been described previously (Clelland et al., 2009; Prescott et al., 2014). SH-SY5Y cell lines stably expressing YFP-SmN, YFP-SmB, YFP, mCherry-SmN and NCDN-GFP were derived by clonal isolation following selection with 200 µg/ml G418 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) following transfection.

**Animals**

Mouse tissues in this study were obtained from littermate, healthy control mice (Smn⁺⁻/⁻; SMN2tg/0) from the ‘Taiwanese’ model of SMA. (Hsieh-Li et al., 2000) Mice were originally obtained from Jackson Laboratories and were maintained in animal care facilities at the University of Edinburgh under standard specific pathogen-free conditions on a congenic FVB background. All animal breeding was done in accordance with University of Edinburgh institutional guidelines and under the appropriate project and personal licenses granted by the UK Home Office.

**Immunostaining, microscopy and image analysis**

Cell fixing and immunostaining were both carried out as described previously (Sleeman et al., 2003). Immunostaining of spinal cord sections was carried out as described previously (Powis and Gillingwater, 2016). Live cell and fixed cell microscopy and image processing were carried out as described previously (Prescott et al., 2014). BODIPY-493 (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) was added
to culture medium at 2 µg/ml overnight. Antibodies used for immunostaining were mouse monoclonal Y12 anti-Smith (SmB) (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA, ab3138, 1:20), rabbit polyclonal 204-10 (anti-Coilin) (a gift from A. I. Lamond (Bohmann et al., 1995), 1:500), mouse monoclonal anti-SMN (BD Transduction, San Jose, CA, USA, 610646, 1:50), goat anti-ChAT (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA, AB144P) and rabbit polyclonal anti-NCDN (Proteintech, Manchester, UK, 13187-1-AP, 1:50). Overlays of images were made using Adobe Photoshop CS5 (Adobe, San Jose, CA, USA). Co-localisation images were generated using Volocity 6.3 (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA), using automatic thresholds on non-deconvolved images. Co-localisation values of 0.05 or less were excluded as this was the maximum co-localisation value observed between mCherry signal and YFP signal in neurites expressing YFP as a control together with mCherry-tagged proteins of interest. Deconvolution was also performed using Volocity 6.3, with between 15 and 25 iterations of deconvolution. Images of spinal cord sections collected using a 60x objective on a DeltaVision RT microscope (Applied Precision) were assembled into panels using the FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012) plug-in and a custom written export protocol.

**Statistical Analysis, and generation of graphs**

Data was processed using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft) to produce ratios, proportions and percentages. Bar charts, Box and Whisker plots were then generated using Prism 6 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA) from the processed data. Statistical analysis was also performed using Prism 6, with multiple comparisons to determine statistical difference between specific sets of data. Tukey post-tests were used to identify outliers in Anova statistical analysis.

**Preparation of cell lysates and immunoblotting**

Cells were grown in 10cm diameter dishes, before being detached with trypsin and collected by centrifugation at 180 RCF for 5 minutes. The cell pellet was washed 3 times in PBS before lysis in 100µl of ice cold lysis buffer per dish (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5; 0.5 M NaCl; 1% (v/v) Nonidet P-40; 1% (w/v) sodium deoxycholate; 0.1% (w/v) SDS; 2 mM EDTA plus cOmplete mini EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, one tablet per 10 ml)), followed by homogenisation by sonication. Isolation of YFP/GFP and mCherry/mRFP- tagged proteins was carried out as described previously with GFP- or RFP-Trap (Chromotek, Planegg-Martinsried, Germany)(Prescott et al., 2014). Immuno-precipitation of endogenous SMN from brain lysate was carried out as described previously using mouse monoclonal anti-SMN (BD Transduction labs 610646) (Boyd et al., 2017; Groen et al., 2013). Lysates were electrophoresed on a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and
transferred to nitro-cellulose (Hybond-C+ or Protran premium 0.2µm, both GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) membranes for immunoblotting. Antibodies used were rat monoclonal anti-RFP (Chromotek 5F8, 1 : 500), goat polyclonal anti-γCOP (Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX, USA, sc-14167, 1 : 250), rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP (Abcam ab290, 1:2000), rabbit polyclonal anti-SNRPN (SmN) (Proteintech 11070-1-AP, 1:800), mouse monoclonal Y12 anti-Smith (SmB) (Abcam ab3138, 1:100), rabbit polyclonal anti-SMN (Santa Cruz sc-15320, 1:500), mouse monoclonal anti-SMN (BD Transduction labs 610646, 1:500), rabbit polyclonal anti-COPB1 (CUSAB, College Park, MD, USA, CSB-PA005783LA01HU, 1:500), mouse monoclonal anti-Lamin A/C (Santa Cruz sc-7292, 1:500), rabbit polyclonal anti-NCDN (Proteintech 13187-1-AP, 1:500), mouse Monoclonal anti-tubulin (Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA, 1:500) and rabbit polyclonal anti-Histone H3 (Proteintech 17168-1-AP, 1:300). Secondary antibodies were goat anti-rabbit Dylight 700 (Thermo Scientific 35569, 1:15,000) or goat anti-mouse Dylight 800 (Thermo Scientific SA5-10176, 1:15,000). Alternatively, goat anti-mouse IRDye 800CW (Li-Cor 925-32210, 1:25,000) and goat anti-rabbit IRDye 680RD (Li-Cor 925-68071, 1:25,000) were used. Goat anti-Rat Dylight 800 (Thermo Scientific, SA5-10024) antibody was used to visualise the rat monoclonal anti-RFP antibody at a concentration of 1:15,000. Donkey anti-goat IRDye 800CW (Lcor, Lincoln, NE, USA, 925-32214) was used at a concentration of 1:25,000 to detect goat polyclonal anti-γCOP. Donkey anti-rabbit conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (Pierce, Waltham, MA, USA, 31460, 1:15,000) was used to identify endogenous NCDN in figure 3D. Detection of antibodies conjugated to fluorophores was carried out with an Odessey CLx using Image Studio (both Li-cor). Band quantification was also performed using Image Studio. Detection of antibodies conjugated to peroxidase was performed using ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Pierce) and developed with Hyperfilm (Amersham), using a Kodak X-OMAT 1000 developer, after 30-45 minutes exposure.

**Immunoprecipitation of intact snRNPs**

To immunoprecipitate intact snRNPS, whole cell lysates were incubated with anti-2,2,7-trimethylguanosine conjugated to agarose beads (Millipore NA02A), with Sepharose 4B beads (Sigma Aldrich) as a control. 40 ng of pre-cleared lysate and unbound protein were separated by SDS-PAGE alongside material precipitated with Sepharose control beads and TMG antibody beads. Subsequent detection was carried out using rabbit anti-GFP (1:2000, Abcam), rat mAb anti-RFP (1:500, Chromotek), mouse monoclonal anti-SMN (1:500, BD Transduction labs) and rabbit polyclonal anti-SNRPN (1:800, Proteintech).
**Preparations and analysis of Mass Spectrometry samples**

SH-SY5Y cells constitutively expressing either YFP, YFP-SmN or YFP-SmB were lysed in co-immunoprecipitation buffer (10mM Tris pH7.5, 150mM NaCl, 0.5mM EDTA, 0.5% NP40, 1 cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablet (Roche) per 10ml), followed by affinity purification of the tagged proteins with GFP-Trap as above. 11mg of total protein per sample was used as input. 5μl of the affinity isolated material, alongside precleared lysate and unbound lysate was transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (as above) and immunodetected using Rabbit anti-GFP (Abcam) to confirm efficient immunoprecipitation. Samples were then electrophoresed on a NuPAGE 4-12% Bis-Tris Acrylamide gel (Novex, Waltham, MA, USA, NP0321), Coomassie stained using SimplyBlue SafeStain (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK), gel chunks excised and analysed by the Mass Spectrometry and Proteomics Facility at the University of St Andrews.

The gel chunks were cut into 1 mm cubes. These were then subjected to in-gel digestion, using a ProGest Investigator in-gel digestion robot (Digilab, Hopkinton, MA, USA) using standard protocols (Shevchenko et al., 1996). Briefly, the gel cubes were destained by washing with MeCN and subjected to reduction with DTT and alkylation with IAA before digestion overnight with trypsin at 37°C. The peptides were extracted with 10% formic acid, and the volume reduced to ~20ul by concentration in a speedvac (Thermo Scientific).

The peptides were then injected onto an Acclaim PepMap 100 C18 trap and an Acclaim PepMap RSLC C18 column (ThermoFisher Scientific), using a nanoLC Ultra 2D plus loading pump and nanoLC as-2 autosampler (Eksigent). The peptides were eluted with a gradient of increasing acetonitrile, containing 0.1 % formic acid (2-20% acetonitrile in 90 min, 20-40% in a further 30 min, followed by 98% acetonitrile to clean the column, before re-equilibration to 2% acetonitrile). The eluate was sprayed directly into a TripleTOF 5600 electrospray tandem mass spectrometer (Sciex, Foster City, CA) and analysed in Information Dependent Acquisition (IDA) mode, performing 250 msec of MS followed by 100 msec MS/MS analyses on the 20 most intense peaks seen by MS. The MS/MS data files generated were analysed using the ProteinPilot Paragon search algorithm v5.0.1 (Sciex) against the NCBInr database (Nov 2012) restricting the search to Homo sapiens, with trypsin as the digestion enzyme and selecting cysteine alkylation with iodoacetamide, ‘Gel based ID’ as a ‘Special factor’, ‘Biological modifications’ as the ‘ID Focus’ and a ‘Thorough’ ‘Search effort’.
ProteinPilot also performs a decoy database search to assess the False Discovery Rate. Protein identifications were accepted if they were identified by at least 2 peptides with the Detected Protein Threshold set at 0.05. The data was also analysed via the ‘Create mgf file’ script in PeakView (Sciex) using the Mascot search algorithm (Matrix Science), against the NCBI nr database (Oct 2014) restricting the search to Homo sapiens (284,317 sequences), trypsin as the cleavage enzyme and carbamidomethyl as a fixed modification of cysteines and methionine oxidation as a variable modification. The peptide mass tolerance was set to ± 0.05 Da and the MSMS mass tolerance to ± 0.1 Da. Scaffold viewer (version Scaffold_4.5.1, Proteome Software) was used to validate the identifications from Mascot. Peptide identifications were accepted if they could be established at greater than 95.0% probability by the Peptide Prophet algorithm (Keller et al., 2002). Protein identifications were accepted if they could be established at greater than 99.0% probability and contained at least 2 identified peptides. Protein probabilities were assigned by the Protein Prophet algorithm (Nesvizhskii et al., 2003). Proteins that contained similar peptides and could not be differentiated based on MS/MS analysis alone were grouped to satisfy the principles of parsimony.

Identified proteins affinity purified alongside YFP-SmB or YFP-SmN were discounted if they were additionally identified as being affinity purified with YFP, or if they were present within the Sepharose bead proteome (Trinkle-Mulcahy et al., 2008). The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE (Vizcaino et al., 2016) partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD008710.

RNAi assays

Reduction of protein expression using siRNA was achieved by transfecting the appropriate cell lines with siRNAs (Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO, USA) using Viromer Green (Lipocalyx GmbH, Halle (Saale), Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were lysed for assay by immunoblotting, or fixed with paraformaldehyde for fluorescence microscopy, 48 hours after transfection. Sequences used were SMN: CAGUGAAAGUUGGGGACA; SmB, a mixture of CCCACAAGGAAGAGGUACU, GCAUAUUGAUUACAGGAUG, CCGUAAGGCUGUACAUAGU, CAAUGACAGUAGAGGGACC; NCDN, individually and a mixture of NCDN 18 GUUCAUUGUGACGAGAAA, NCDN 19 AGACCUCAUCCUUGCGUAA, NCDN 20 AGGCCAAGAAUGACAGCGA, NCDN 21 GGCCAUUGAUAUCGCAGUU; negative control (siControl) targeting luciferase, UAAGGCUAUGAAGAGAUAC; positive control targeting Lamin A/C,
GGUGGUGAGCGAUCUGGGCU; SiGlo Cyclophillin B to determine transfection efficiency, GGAAAGACUGU
UCCAAAAA. Lysates were electrophoresed on an SDS-PAGE gel, transferred to nitrocellulose membrane, and immunodetected with antibodies to the above proteins. Band signal intensity determined with ImageStudio (Li-Cor), and the values were normalised to tubulin, following correction for background. Reduction of protein expression using shRNA was achieved by transfecting SH-SH5Y cell lines with pSUPER-GFP.Neo plasmids (Oligoengine, Seattle, WA, USA) expressing shRNA to SMN and Cyclophilin B, which have been described previously (Clelland et al., 2012) using Effectene (QIAGEN).

**Fractionation**

Cells were pelleted from the appropriate cell line, and incubated in Buffer A (10mM HEPES pH7.9, 1.5mM MgCl₂, 10mM KCl, 0.5mM DTT, 1 cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablet per 10ml) for 5 minutes, before being Dounce homogenised 25 times using the tight pestle to disrupt the plasma membrane. This was then centrifuged at 300 RCF for 5 minutes to pellet the nuclei. The supernatant was removed, recentrifuged at 300 RCF to further remove nuclei, before the supernatant was centrifuged at 16,100 RCF for 30 minutes using a refrigerated 5415R Centrifuge (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). The nuclei were resuspended in Buffer S1 (250mM Sucrose, 10mM MgCl₂), before this was layered over with Buffer S3 (880mM Sucrose, 0.5mM MgCl₂). The nuclear pellet was then centrifuged at 2800 RCF for 10 minutes to wash and pellet the nuclei. The supernatant from the 16,100 RCF centrifugation was further centrifuged at 100,000 RCF using an Optima Max-XP ultracentrifuge with a TLA-110 Rotor (Beckman-Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) for 60 minutes. The supernatant was removed and kept. The 16,100 and 100,000 RCF pellets were washed in Buffer A and centrifuged at 16,100 RCF for 30 minutes or 100,000 RCF for 1 hour respectively. Each pellet was then resuspended in lysis buffer (see above). To confirm efficient separation of cytoplasmic fractions from the nuclear fractions, Mouse anti-tubulin (Sigma Aldrich, 1:500) and Rabbit polyclonal anti-Histone H3 (Proteintech, 1:300) antibodies were used.
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Figure 1: SmN exhibits similar behaviour to SmB in SH-SYSY cells. A) SH-SYSY cells transiently expressing YFP-SmN and fixed after 24, 48 and 72 hours show variations in distribution of the YFP-SmN with time. Immunostaining with Y12 (red on overlay) and anti-coilin (white on overlay) shows splicing speckles (arrowheads) and Cajal Bodies (CBs, triangles) respectively. Images are deconvolved z-stacks with 0.2 μm spacing. Bar=7 μm. B) SmN initially localises diffusely in the cytoplasm, before localising to speckles at the 48 and 72 hour time-points. 3 independent experiments, n=100 cells per experiment. Data shown is mean +/- SD). C) Western blot analysis of
snRNPs immunoprecipitated using TMG beads (left hand lane) confirms that both YFP-SmN (detected with anti-YFP, top row) and mCherry-SmN (detected with anti-mCherry, bottom row) are incorporated into snRNPs. D) mCherry-SmN cytoplasmic structures are mobile and stain with the lipophilic dye BODIPY 493. Arrows identify mCherry-SmN structures stained with BODIPY 493, triangles identify BODIPY 493-stained vesicles not containing mCherry-SmN. mCherry alone does not accumulate in BODIPY 493-stained vesicles. Cells were imaged approximately every 4 seconds for 9 minutes. Images are single deconvolved z-sections. Bar=7 µm. E) mCherry-SmN and GFP-SMN co-localise in cytoplasmic foci in SH-SY5Y cells (arrowheads in left hand panels), whereas YFP alone shows no accumulation in mCherry-SmN foci (triangles in right hand panels). White signal on the overlay indicates areas of co-localisation. Images are single deconvolved z-sections. Bar=7 µm. F) Comparison of the percentage of mCherry-SmN vesicles per cell co-localising with GFP-SMN to those showing co- incidental overlap with YFP alone confirms the co-localisation (unpaired 2 tailed t-test, p=<0.0001, n=5).
Figure 2: The interactomes of SmB and SmN are similar, but there are differences at the level of individual proteins. A) Immunoblot analysis confirms efficient affinity purification of YFP-SmN, YFP-SmB and YFP. 10% of the affinity purified material (left hand lane in each panel) was compared to 80μg of precleared lysate (Input) and unbound material using anti-GFP. GFP-Trap effectively immunoprecipitated all three proteins. B) After processing the mass spectrometry data,
and sorting identified proteins into groups based on Gene Ontology annotations, the interactomes of SmN and SmB are very similar. C) A comparison between the amino acid sequences of SmN and SmB reveals their similarity. Differences in amino acid sequence are in red. Sequences were from Uniprot (entries P63162 (SmN) and P14678-2 (SmB)). D) Neurochondrin (NCDN) was identified in the interactome of YFP-SmN, with 5 unique peptide hits encompassing 9% sequence coverage. Each Ion score (Mascot Ion Score) was above the threshold for peptide identity (Mascot Identity Score), with 2 out of the 5 identified peptides having a score of above double the threshold score.
Figure 3: NCDN interacts with SmN, SmB and SMN in cell lines and in vivo

A) Affinity isolation of NCDN-GFP using GFP-Trap, detected with anti-GFP (top row) co-enriches mCherry-SmB, detected with anti-mCherry (bottom row) in transiently co-transfected SH-SY5Y cells. B) In an SH-SY5Y cell line constitutively expressing NCDN-GFP, affinity isolation of NCDN-GFP, detected with anti-GFP (top row) co-enriches SMN, SmB, SmN and the Coatomer protein βCOP, all detected with antibodies against the endogenous proteins (as labelled). C) In an SH-SY5Y cell line constitutively expressing YFP, affinity isolation of YFP, detected with anti-GFP (top row) does not co-enrich SMN, SmB or βCOP, all detected with antibodies to the endogenous proteins (as labelled). D) In an SH-SY5Y cell line constitutively expressing GFP-SMN, affinity isolation of GFP-SMN, detected with anti-GFP (top row) co-enriches endogenous NCDN, detected with anti-NCDN (middle row). Endogenous SMN, detected with anti-SMN (bottom row) is also co-enriched. E) Immunoprecipitation of endogenous SMN co-enriches endogenous NCDN in SH-SY5Y cells. F) Immunoprecipitation of endogenous SMN from murine P8 brain lysate co-enriches NCDN.
Figure 4: NCDN colocalises with SmN and SMN in the cytoplasm but not the nucleus and is expressed in motor neurones in mouse spinal cord. A) NCDN-GFP and mCherry-SmN co-localise in vesicle-like structures (arrows) in neurites of SH-SY5Y cells constitutively expressing mCherry-SmN, and transiently expressing NCDN-GFP (left hand panels). White areas in the merged image show areas of co-localisation. Co-localisation images (bottom row) were generated in Volocity, using automatic thresholds on undeconvolved z-sections before excluding values below 0.05 (see material and methods). No co-localisation is seen in the same cell line transiently expressing YFP alone (right hand panel). Triangles show structures containing mCherry-SmN, but not YFP. B) mCherry-SMN and NCDN-GFP co-localise in vesicles (arrows) in the cytoplasm of co-transfected...
SH-SY5Y cells (left hand panels). White areas in the merged image show areas of co-localisation. Co-localisation images (bottom row) were generated as above. No co-localisation is observed between mCherry-SMN and YFP (triangles, right hand panels). Bar= 7μm. C) NCDN forms nuclear foci (arrows) in the nuclei of a small proportion of SH-SY5Y cells (≤2%, 2 independent experiments, n=100 cells per experiment). These do not co-localise with nuclear foci stained with coillin (arrowheads, left hand panels) or SMN (arrowheads, right hand panels). D) NCDN (green) is expressed throughout the spinal cord, with increased expression in motor neurons (arrows), identified with anti-ChAT (magenta). Bar=500μm. E) Higher magnification imaging confirms the presence of NCDN in ChAT-positive motor neurones (single deconvolved z-section). Bar=10μm.
Figure 5: Detergent-free fractionation of SH-SY5Y cells reveals that SMN, coatomer proteins, NCDN, SmB and SmN are all enriched in the 100,000 RCF vesicle pellet. A) Immunoblotting of equal protein amounts from fractionated SH-SY5Y cells reveals that SMN (top row) is highly enriched in the 100,000 RCF pellet, with smaller amounts seen in the 16,100 RCF pellet and the nuclear pellet. The coatomer protein, γCOP (second row) is also enriched in the 100,000 RCF pellet as well as the 16,100 RCF pellet. Antibodies to histone H3 and tubulin confirm minimal nuclear contamination in cytoplasmic fractions, and minimal cytoplasmic contamination in the nuclear pellet, respectively. B) Quantitation of immunoblot analysis confirms that SMN is highly enriched in the 100,000 RCF pellet, with enrichment of γCOP also seen. Histone H3 and tubulin are highly enriched in the nucleus and cytoplasm respectively. Quantitation of tubulin and histone H3 bands was from 7 immunoblots, with values from SMN and γCOP from 5 and 4 immunoblots.
respectively. C) Immunoblotting of equal protein amounts from fractionated SH-SY5Y cells constitutively expressing NCDN-GFP, YFP-SmB, YFP-SmN or YFP alone (all detected with anti-GFP) reveals that NCDN-GFP is enriched in the 100,000 RCF pellet, with smaller amounts seen in the 16,100 RCF pellet and the cytosolic supernatant. YFP-SmB and YFP-SmN are both also found in the 100,000 RCF pellet, in addition to the nuclear pellet and cytosolic supernatant. YFP alone is found almost exclusively in the cytosolic supernatant, with none detected in the 100,000 RCF or 16,100 RCF pellets. D) Quantitation of the immunoblots in C) confirms the presence of NCDN-GFP, YFP-SmB and YFP-SmN in the 100,000 RCF pellet, together with the restriction of YFP alone to the residual cytosolic supernatant.
Figure 6: Reduction of endogenous NCDN using siRNA increases localisation of SMN to nuclear foci. A) Transfection of SH-SY5Y cells constitutively expressing GFP-SMN (Green) with siRNAs shows an increase in the number of SMN-positive nuclear foci (arrows) in cells transfected with siRNAs against NCDN or SmB and a decrease in the number of SMN-positive nuclear foci in cells transfected with siRNAs against SMN in comparison to cells transfected with non-targeting siRNAs (siControl) or siRNAs against lamin A/C as a ‘targeting’ control. Transfection of SH-SY5Y cells with a plasmid to express GFP-SMNAΔ7 also results in increased numbers of SMN-positive nuclear foci. Cell nuclei are stained with Hoescht 33342 (grey on images). Transfection efficiency with siRNAs was greater than 90%, measured by transfection with siGlo Cyclophilin B (not shown). Bar=7 μm. Images are deconvolved z-stacks taken with 0.2 μm spacing B) Quantitation of numbers of SMN-positive nuclear foci per nucleus shows a significant increase following reduction of NCDN (10.2 (± 4.1) with siNCDN 18, 10.4 (± 4.9)) (Mean ± Standard Deviation) with siNCDN 19, 9.9 (± 4.1) with siNCDN 20, 9.5 (± 3.6) with siNCDN 21 and 10.7 (± 4.6) with siNCDN pooled compared to 4.4 (± 2.5) in cells treated with non-targeting siRNA (siControl) and 4.2 (± 2.3) in cells treated with siRNAs targeting lamin A/C (siLaminA/C). Reduction of SmB also shows an increase in numbers of SMN-positive nuclear foci (to 16.7 (± 6.8) with siSmB), while reduction of SMN leads to a decrease in numbers of SMN-positive nuclear foci (to 0.7 (± 1.4) with siSMN). Expression of GFP-SMNAΔ7 results in an increase of numbers of SMN-positive nuclear foci to 18.2 (± 5.3). The difference between each siNCDN and controls is statistically significant (ANOVA; P<0.0001, n=150 from 3 replicates). A Tukey post-test identified outliers (individual points marked on graph). C) Immunoblot analysis using antibodies to endogenous NCDN, SMN and SmB shows a reduction in expression of each of 40-60% compared to siControl cells, after signals were normalised to tubulin (see also Fig. S5). Reductions in protein expression compared to siControl siRNA are statistically significant (ANOVA; P<0.0001 for NCDN, Lamin A/C and endogenous SMN, P<0.001 for SmB, and P<0.01 for GFP-SMN, n=3). A Dunnett post-test identified the significance of the reduction compared to siControl.
**Figure 7: Reduction of endogenous SMN causes a reduction in cytoplasmic NCDN foci in SH-SY5Y cells.** A) SH-SY5Y cells were transfected with plasmids to express shRNAs targeting SMN (shSMN), Cyclophilin B (shCyclophilin) or with the empty pSuper GFP vector (not shown), fixed after 72 hours, and immunostained for endogenous NCDN and SMN allowing detection of NCDN foci within the cytoplasm (arrowheads), as well as SMN-positive nuclear gems (identified with Triangles). Bar= 7 μm, images are single deconvolved z-sections B) The depletion of SMN results in a reduction in the number of NCDN foci present in the cytoplasm to 15.3 (± 7.2) (Mean ± Standard Deviation) from 20.6 (± 12.0) and 19.5 (± 7.6) compared to cells transfected with either shCyclophilin B or the empty pSuper GFP vector respectively (ANOVA P<0.0005, n=64 from 3 replicates). C) The depletion of SMN causes a reduction of nuclear gems to 0.17 (± 0.38) from 2.3 (± 0.84) or 3.0 (± 1.0) compared to cells transfected with shCyclophilin B or empty vector respectively confirming efficient reduction in SMN protein levels (ANOVA P<0.0001, n=30 from 3 replicates).
Figure 8: NCDN does not co-purify with snRNPs, while NCDN and SMN interact with Rab5 and co-localise with a subset of Rab5 vesicles within neurites of SH-SY5Y cells. A) Incubation of whole-cell lysate from an SH-SY5Y cell line constitutively expressing NCDN-GFP with agarose beads conjugated to antibodies against the tri-methyl guanosine cap (Me3Gppp) of snRNAs (TMG beads) affinity purifies snRNPs as evidenced by the enrichment of the core snRNP protein SmN (detected with anti-SmN, bottom row). The enriched snRNP fraction also contains SMN, which is essential for snRNP assembly. NCDN-GFP, however, does not co-enrich with snRNPs. Also shown is the core structure of mature snRNPs consisting of the heptameric Sm protein ring bound at the Sm binding site of snRNA, as well as the characteristic tri-methyl guanosine Cap of snRNAs (Me3Gppp) at the 5’ end. B) Affinity isolation of mRFP-Rab5 using RFP-Trap from cells co-transfected with...
plasmids to express mRFP-Rab5 together with NCDN-GFP, GFP-SMN or YFP alone co-enriches both NCDN-GFP (top row, detected with anti-GFP, band is present in RFP-Trap lane but not sepharose beads lane) and SMN-GFP (second row, detected with anti-GFP, band is present in RFP-Trap lane but not sepharose beads lane), but not YFP (third row, no band detected in RFP-Trap lane). Endogenous SMN (fourth row, detected with mouse anti-SMN) co-enriches with mRFP-Rab5 in all three samples. Detection of mRFP-Rab5 (bottom row, detected with anti-RFP) confirms robust enrichment of mRFP-Rab5 in all three samples. C) Both GFP-SMN and NCDN-GFP partially co-localise with mRFP-Rab5 in a subset of mRFP-Rab5 containing vesicles in co-transfected SH-SYSY cells (white signal in overlaid images, top row; yellow signal in co-localisation images, bottom row). D) Enlargement of the boxed areas in C) confirms that the co-localisation between SMN/NCDN and Rab5 occurs in punctate structures. Arrowheads identify areas of co-localisation. Co-localisation images were generated by Volocity, using automatic thresholds on non-deconvolved z-sections before excluding values below 0.05. Images (excluding the co-localisation images) are single deconvolved z-sections. Bar=7μm.
Figure S1: mCherry-SmN exhibits similar behaviour to both YFP-SmN and SmB in SH-SY5Y cells. 
A) SH-SY5Y cells transiently expressing mCherry-SmN and fixed after 24, 48 and 72 hours show variations in distribution of the mCherry-SmN with time. Immunostaining with Y12 (green on overlay) and anti-coilin (white on overlay) shows splicing speckles (arrowheads) and Cajal Bodies (CBs, triangles) respectively. Images are deconvolved z-stacks with 0.2 μm spacing. Bar=7μm. B) mCherry-SmN initially localises diffusely in the cytoplasm, before localising to speckles at the 48 and 72 hour time-points. 3 independent experiments, n=100 cells per experiment. Data shown is mean ± SD.
Table S2: A selected dataset from the interactome analysis of SmN and SmB confirms efficient identification of known Sm protein interactors. All other core Sm family proteins were identified in the interactome analysis, as well as SMN, all Gemin components of the SMN complex and several LSm proteins, including LSm11 (found only in the U7 snRNP). Additionally, several members of the methylosome, where SmB post-translational modifications occur, were identified including Protein arginine N-methyltransferase 5 (PRMT5). Several previously identified SmB interactors (CD2 antigen cytoplasmic tail binding protein-2, PERQ2, WW domain-binding protein 4 and Formin binding protein 4) (Bedford et al. 1998, Bedford et al. 2000, Kofler et al. 2004, Kofler et al. 2005) were identified to interact with at least one of the proteins. * denotes that spectra from SmN were pooled with those from SmB by Scaffold due to sequence similarity in the majority of the proteins. Neurochondrin, and other proteins discussed are also shown here. Values are number of unique peptides identified.
Figure S3: NCDN and SmB co-localise in neurites of SH-SY5Y cells constitutively expressing mCherry-SmB, and transiently expressing NCDN-GFP. Arrows identify SmB containing-vesicles with NCDN co-localisation. Bar= 7µm, images are single deconvolved z-sections. Co-localisation images were generated in Volocity, using automatic thresholds on non-deconvolved z-sections (see materials and methods).
Figure S4: Endogenous SmB fractionates similarly to YFP-SmB and YFP-SmN, present in the 100,000 RCF vesicle fraction in and highly enriched in the nuclear pellet of fractionated SH-SY5Y cells. The gap between bands in the whole cell lysate and cell fractions signifies omitted lanes.

Figure S5: Quantified immunoblots of proteins targeted by siRNA in SH-SY5Y cells from Fig 5C. Equal volumes of lysate were immunoblotted, with band intensity of A) GFP-SMN, and B) SMN, NCDN, SmB (Y12) and lamin A/C quantified, and normalised to band intensity of tubulin, to determine the relative levels of protein expression.
Movie S1: punctate structures containing mCherry-SmN within the cytoplasm of SH-SH5Y cells are mobile. Images were taken every ~2 seconds for ~150 seconds. Movie is a projection of 3 deconvolved z-stacks taken with 0.5μm spacing. Bar=7μm.