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Visual Articulated Tracking in the Presence of Occlusions

Christian Rauch¹, Timothy Hospedales¹, Jamie Shotton², Maurice Fallon³

Abstract—This paper focuses on visual tracking of a robotic manipulator during manipulation. In this situation, tracking is prone to failure when visual distractions are created by the object being manipulated and the clutter in the environment. Current state-of-the-art approaches, which typically rely on model-fitting using Iterative Closest Point (ICP), fail in the presence of distracting data points and are unable to recover. Meanwhile, discriminative methods which are trained only to distinguish parts of the tracked object can also fail in these scenarios as data points from the occlusions are incorrectly classified as being from the manipulator. We instead propose to use the per-pixel data-to-model associations provided from a random forest to avoid local minima during model fitting. By training the random forest with artificial occlusions we can achieve increased robustness to occlusion and clutter present in the scene. We do this without specific knowledge about the type or location of the manipulated object. Our approach is demonstrated by using dense depth data from an RGB-D camera to track a robotic manipulator during manipulation and in presence of occlusions.

I. INTRODUCTION

When estimating the state of a robot during manipulation, a common approach is to use joint sensing, forward kinematics (FK) and a complete description of the kinematic model of the robot to compute the position of the end effector. However joint sensing can be affected by calibration inaccuracies, quantisation noise and non-linearities, or may not be available at all for underactuated and dexterous manipulators. This traditional industrial approach does not consider tactile information or does it incorporate visual sensing, which is of course heavily used during human manipulation. Finally, it cannot track the state of the manipulated object.

We are motivated to explore combined visual tracking of manipulator and object by the prior work of [1], [2]. A key challenge for visual tracking is the presence of distractor objects. These objects occlude the manipulator and add irrelevant visual information which can dis-improve tracking.

Estimating the full and valid configuration of an articulated object directly from images is a challenging problem. In this work we propose similar to [3], [4], [5], [6] to combine model-based tracking, which simplifies the kinematically plausible state estimation, with discriminative information to prevent failures due to the distracting visual information.

The core contribution of this paper is the integration of pixel-wise predictions from a random forest into a model-fitting framework that is robust to incorrect initialisation and un-modelled occlusions, as illustrated in Figure 1.

II. RELATED WORK

We categorise visual articulated tracking into generative model-fitting and discriminative approaches as well as hybrid methods which combine generative model-fitting with discriminative information. In the following we give a brief overview of the relevant literature for each approach.

Generative model-fitting: Given a model of the tracked object, generative model fitting aims to synthesise a set of hypotheses of the model’s state and compare these hypotheses with the observed state. These methods rely on a good metric to quantify the similarity between the synthesised state and the real observation (the objective function), and an efficient method for exploring the large state space of the articulated model.

Early work by Oikonomidis et al. [1] used colour and edge
cues as a similarity metric on 2D images for tracking a hand and an object in interaction. This objective was minimised using particle swarm optimization (PSO) over the combined state space. This concept was later applied to data from depth sensors by Schmidt et al. [7] which used the signed distance function (SDF) as the similarity metric and gradient-based Gauss-Newton optimisation to minimise this objective.

Pauwels et al. [8] simplify articulated tracking as a 6D pose estimation problem given joint sensing and an initial camera pose. After articulating the manipulator according to the sensed joint positions, the manipulator is assumed rigid and fitted to the depth observation.

Generative model-fitting methods can be extended to track multiple objects in parallel and allow hypotheses rejection by applying kinematic and physical constraints [2]. However, these methods share similar properties and disadvantages with iterative closest point (ICP) algorithms. Their similarity metric is typically dependent upon local visual features such as edges and gradients and hence can suffer from local minima, which is why tracking needs to be initialised close to the optimal solution.

Discriminative Tracking: Meanwhile, discriminative methods learn the visual representation of a model with respect to the true state or joint configuration. This requires an extensive amount of labelled training images which show the tracked object in many different states. In this problem domain, these states are synthesised using known articulated models a priori.

A popular approach for depth-based tracking of articulated objects is to use simple depth probe offset features in a random forest (RF) for segmentation and keypoint localisation. This was used for human pose estimation [9] and more recently was applied to robot manipulator configuration estimation [10]. In our work we also use this type of feature and classification method, but our approach uses the raw class probability for model-fitting instead of joint position prediction or mean-shift.

Direct regression of the full manipulator configuration has been demonstrated in [11], again using depth probe offset features. Tompson et al. [12] applied convolutional neural networks to depth data to detect the locations of hand keypoints on joints and to infer the joint configuration from inverse kinematics.

Hybrid: Hybrid tracking methods use a combination of generative and discriminative methods, so as to augment model-fitting with discriminative information.

The detection of fingertips was used by Tzionas et al. [13] and Taylor et al. [4] in their objective function to guide optimisation towards the optimal hand pose. In our work we propose to instead rely on a full segmentation of the image to prevent cases where these specific keypoints are occluded, e.g. when reaching behind an object.

Our work is similar to Sridhar et al. [5] and Krejnov et al. [6], where a RF segmentation of depth images was used to support model-fitting of a human hand. Compared to the Gaussian volumetric approximation in [5] we use the full pixel-wise data-to-model association and a more realistic mesh model of the robot. Our approach extends [6] to cases with additional objects.

Finally, approaches which simultaneously track hands and objects typically rely on knowledge specific to the object of interest such as colour (Sridhar et al. [14]) or shape (Schmidt et al. [2]). In our proposed tracking approach we aim to track the manipulator generally without knowledge of the object of interest, relying only on the 3D model of the manipulator. Specifically we do not require a volumetric representation of the object nor any specific properties of the object to enable manipulator tracking near occlusions.

III. Proposed Method

A. Augmenting the Signed Distance Function

Model-fitting approaches rely on the minimisation of an objective function $e(\cdot)$ which contains a term for the discrepancy between the estimated and the observed state, as well as other criteria which impose physical or kinematic constraints. For depth-based model-fitting, the truncated signed distance function has been commonly used as the metric when minimising data-to-model discrepancy.

The signed distance function, $\text{SDF}(x) : \mathbb{R}^3 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, of a rigid 3D model provides the shortest Euclidean distance of a given data point $x$ to the surface of the model mesh, and is positive outside the model and negative inside the model. For articulated models, the SDF can be piecewise locally defined as $\text{SDF}_i$ for all tracked parts $i \in \{0, \ldots, M\}$. To minimise the data-to-model distance, we first need to assign each data point to one of the model parts.

Without knowledge of the true identity of a data point, prior approaches, such as [7], have assigned it to the closest $\text{SDF}_i$ using

$$i^* = \arg \min_{i \in M} |\text{SDF}_i(x)| . \quad (1)$$

The optimal pose $\theta^* \in \mathbb{R}^{6+N}$ of an articulated object is then the $\theta$ which minimises the data-to-model error when transforming each $\text{SDF}_i$ according to the kinematic chain articulated by the $N$ joints, and its 6D pose.

So as to minimise the huge state space of articulated tracking, it is common to use iterative gradient-based approaches such as the Gauss-Newton algorithm initialised close to the true solution. The gradient of the SDF with respect to $\theta$ is based on a temporary association between the data and model parts which is re-evaluated with each iteration. This data association criteria (minimal distance) is the same as the objective function which reinforces incorrect data associations and can lead to irreversible tracking failure.

We propose to instead replace the implicit data association in equation 1 by an explicit association using a discriminative pixel-wise classifier to provide a class probability distribution $p(c|f)$ per class $c$, given a feature vector $f$, computed per pixel in the depth image $I$.

A data point is then explicitly assigned to the SDF$_i$ of the part with the highest class probability

$$i^* = \arg \max_{i \in M} p(c = i|f) . \quad (2)$$
Fig. 2: Flow chart of iterative pose optimisation using either DA-SDF (shortest distance) or DA-RF (predicted part).

Fig. 3: Probing near the borders of occlusions (blue area) with a feature configuration \( \Theta : \{u, v\} \). Offset \( v \) is probing an occluded pixel. During training, a surrogate depth value will be simulated at this location in the image which generates a different feature response.

The function \( d_I(\cdot) \) gives the depth value at the queried pixel location \( x \) of a depth image \( I \). The relative offsets \( u, v \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) are randomly sampled on a plane in world space and stored as individual feature configurations \( \Theta \). After projecting the offsets to pixel space using the focal length \( f \) and depth at queried pixel \( x \), the feature response \( d_\Theta(I, x) \) is computed as the difference of the depth at the two pixel-offset locations. Sampling offsets in world space makes the feature responses independent from the depth.

We apply the same procedure as in [9] for training 30 randomised decision trees to maximum depth, using 32 out of 1000 feature configurations \( \Theta \) for split-node optimisation.

For simplicity, we train and test without background data which we set to the constant value of 3m. It has been demonstrated [11] that an additional prepended RF stage can be used for foreground/background segmentation.

2) Occlusion Sampling: If a RF is only trained on the parts of a robot, this usually results in an over-confident classification of unseen data, such as occlusions or objects, as parts of the robot. Doing this would distract the data association of the model fitting stage by assigning model parts with irrelevant data and drawing the SDF optimisation away from the true configuration. We address this problem by training the random forest with generic and randomized occlusions so as to reduce the confidence of predictions in the area of occlusions. The effect of this is that the RF becomes less confident when classifying occlusions as robot parts. These less confident classifications can then be rejected using a threshold on the class probability, with only the more confident data associations then used for model fitting.

At training time, this confidence can be shaped by randomly sampling occlusion pixels when generating the feature responses. Each time a probe offset (eq. (3)) \( u \) or \( v \) accesses a pixel of the original synthetic training image, with a certain probability it is marked as accessing an occluding pixel (Figure 3).

We temporarily replace the depth value at the probe offset that has been marked as occluded by a simulated occlusion depth value. The reference pixel \( x \) keeps its label but receives a different response from the same feature configuration. In...
this manner, the RF is forced to learn a certain variance of the feature response resulting from nearby occlusions.

The simulated occlusion depth value is drawn from a half-normal distribution whose mean is placed in front of the occluded part (Figure 4). The half-normal distribution has been chosen because it has no support for points behind the farthest occluded distance and allows the occluder to have a varying shape. A depth value $d_I(x)$ of an occluded probe is replaced in eq. 3 by

$$d_o(x) = d_I(x) - |N(\mu, \sigma)|$$

with

$$\mu \sim \mathcal{U}(0, \mu_{\text{max}})$$
$$\sigma \sim \mathcal{U}(\sigma_{\text{min}}, \sigma_{\text{max}})$$

where $\mu$ is sampled per image and $\sigma$ is sampled per probe. $\mu_{\text{max}}, \sigma_{\text{min}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{max}}$ are held constant when training.

We sample these simulated occlusions with a probability of 0.15 from a half-normal distribution with $\mu = \mathcal{U}(0,0.1)\text{m}$ and $\sigma = \mathcal{U}(0.05,0.15)\text{m}$. These parameters reflect the expected object distances and dimensions. We only use pixels with a confidence larger than an empirically chosen threshold of 0.55 for tracking and refer to the RF training with occlusions as DA-RF-OCCL.

IV. Evaluation

Platform: We tested the proposed approach using a KUKA LWR4 7DOF arm with a Schunk SDH2 7DOF hand (Figure 5). The hand contains 3 fingers with 2 joints each and an additional joint that allows two of the fingers to rotate around their longitudinal axis. Depth images were collected by an Asus XTION PRO Live structured light sensor. Since the depth sensor is not part of the kinematic chain, its pose in the robot frame is estimated using an AprilTag [15] mounted on the base of the robot.

During our experiments, we only track a subset of the robot’s links which contains the hand and the last 4 links of the arm. The tracked state therefore consists of the 6D pose and 10 joints. The camera pose is chosen such that the arm enters the scene from the right side of the image and it is held static during a sequence.

Error Metrics: The tracked state for the baseline algorithm (DA-SDF) and variants of our approach (DA-RF with and without occlusion sampling) is compared to the robot state as reported by joint sensing. The reference pose of a frame is obtained by forward kinematics using the reported joint positions.

We define the pose tracking error $T_{\text{err}}$ as the transformation that needs to be applied on the estimated pose $T_{\text{est}}$ to obtain the reference pose $T_{\text{ref}}$ in the camera frame. Decomposing $T_{\text{err}} = T_{\text{est}}T_{\text{ref}}$ into its translation part $t_{\text{err}}$ and rotation part $R_{\text{err}}$, the magnitude of the position error $p_{\text{err}}$ and orientation error $o_{\text{err}}$ are defined as

$$p_{\text{err}} = \|t_{\text{err}}\|_2$$
$$o_{\text{err}} = \left|\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\text{Trace}(R_{\text{err}}) - 1}{2}\right)\right|$$

A. Experiment 1: Discriminative Tracking

In this experiment, we articulated the palm and the fingers of the manipulator without any external occlusions. This is to show the general ability of our approach to track palm pose and finger motions.

1) Palm Pose Tracking: Figure 6 shows that the selected scenario, with correct initialisation and observations of ma-
nipulator parts only, provides the optimal conditions for the implicit data association (DA-SDF). Discriminative methods (DA-RF, DA-RF-OCCL) are affected by misclassified pixels. These idealised conditions are however unrealistic in scenarios like grasping, which require more robust visual tracking approaches that can deal with distractions.

2) Convergence of Optimisation: To evaluate the convergence properties of Gauss-Newton optimisation using both data association approaches, we selected a static palm pose with all fingers visible (Figure 7). We initialised the optimisation with a perturbation applied to the true palm pose. 100 of these pose perturbations were randomly sampled within the range of ±0.1m per coordinate and ±π/2 rad per Euler angle.

The estimated palm pose error after converging with 500 iterations is reported in Figure 8 with cumulative histograms. Using DA-SDF as objective results in many local minima, which are located far away from the original reference pose. Only 25% of DA-SDF trials converge to palm poses with errors less than 1.5cm and 0.3rad. The DA-RF objective has less local minima and 75% of trials converge to poses within the same error bounds. The rejection of manipulator pixels in DA-RF-OCCL removes gradients from the optimisation, that would otherwise prevent local minima in the original objective (DA-SDF). This is particularly apparent through the high orientation errors of the local minima.

The example failure case in Figure 9 demonstrates the need to explicitly associate data points to model parts (DA-RF) to avoid local minima caused by implicit data association (DA-SDF).

B. Experiment 2: Grasping

A more realistic scenario is presented by the grasping task shown in Figure 10. In this scenario, the manipulator: (1) approaches and grasps an object, (2) lifts and moves the object, (3) places it back on the table and (4) moves away from the object. The baseline approach (DA-SDF) wrongly attaches the mis-tracked manipulator to the data corresponding to the object after the initial grasp (Figure 11). This causes the palm pose estimate to be biased during subsequent tracking (Figure 11 t > 4s). When retracting the hand, the tracked manipulator remains associated to the object and tracking cannot recover (t > 40s).

By comparison our approach (DA-RF) tracks the palm pose accurately throughout as parts of the manipulator are correctly classified during the grasping and therefore provides the correct data-to-model association.

C. Experiment 3: Tracking in the Presence of Occlusions

We evaluate our main contribution in an experiment where the manipulator is occluded by an object in the near ground. This is different from the previous experiment as the occluding object is segmented into manipulator parts and the actual part is hidden and must not be associated to the occluder.
Fig. 9: Experiment 1: Example poses and data association after convergence. DA-SDF iteratively assigns the thumb (cyan) to both fingers (d), resulting in convergence to a local minima (b). The segmentation by the RF (e) correctly distinguishes the fingers and the thumb and allows the optimisation to converge to a correct pose (c).

Fig. 10: Experiment 2: Grasping and manipulating a bottle.

In this sequence, we initialise the robot in a state where none of its parts are occluded. The manipulator is then moved behind a green bottle such that it occludes the palm and fingers during movement so as to investigate the ability to fit the model to partial observations. The manipulator later moves back to a non-occluded configuration to demonstrate the ability to recover from tracking errors. Characteristic states of this sequence are shown in Figure 12.

1) Improved Data-Association Through Occlusion Training: We wish to be implicitly robust to unknown objects and do not want to rely on object tracking. To overcome the distraction of the occluding green bottle, we train DA-RF-OCCL by adding a random sampling of occluding pixels as described in Section III-C.2.

This random occlusion sampling reduces the probability of incorrect assignments of bottle pixels to palm parts (Figure 13b, left), while the visible finger tip keeps most of its confidence (Figure 13b, right). This improves model-fitting in that there are less gradients from bottle pixels that move the actual palm away from its original position.

Since we can treat the acceptance and rejection of data associations via thresholds as a binary classification problem, we can evaluate both RF data association variants (DA-RF, DA-RF-OCCL) given the true segmentation of the object. The Precision-Recall curve in Figure 14 shows that our proposed training approach (DA-RF-OCCL) improves classification performance independently from the selected rejection threshold.

We found that the maximum depth probe distance is an important parameter that effects the model-fitting performance in presence of occlusions. For small manipulator parts like fingers, a short probe distance is important. This is visualised in Figure 15a, where a large maximum probe distance (15cm) results in similar finger tip probabilities for pixels on the
Fig. 13: Class probabilities for the palm and a finger. Training without occlusions (a) results in a high classification confidence for wrongly assigning the occluded palm to the bottle (a, left) and assigning the finger tip to the robot’s actual finger tip (a, right). After introducing random occlusions during training (b), we can reduce the confidence of assigning bottle pixels to the robot palm (b, left) but keep the high confidence of the finger tip classification (b, right).

Fig. 14: Precision-Recall curve for data association seen as binary classification, averaged over all images of Experiment 3. DA-RF: training without occlusions (AUC: 0.86). DA-RF-OCCL: training with occlusions (AUC: 0.89). The circled locations mark the selected rejection threshold (0.55) for tracking.

Fig. 15: Class probability of the finger tip for different offset distances. By reducing the probe offset distance and providing more local information, we can move probability from the bottle corner (a) to the true finger tip location (b).

Fig. 16: Experiment 3 without occlusions: Palm pose tracking error after object removal. Average: DA-SDF: 1.3 ± 0.4cm, 0.07 ± 0.04rad; DA-RF: 1.9 ± 0.7cm, 0.12 ± 0.08rad; DA-RF-OCCL: 1.8 ± 0.8cm, 0.22 ± 0.14rad.

bottle corner and the actual finger. By enforcing learning only from local information (5cm) we can shift probability from the bottle to the actual finger tip (Figure 15b).

2) Baseline: Tracking with Known Object Pixels: As a baseline, we first use simple colour segmentation to remove the green bottle leaving only the pixels corresponding to the arm and hand (albeit with missing pixels). The idea being that this example can provide a baseline for what could be achieved when trying to be robust to a more complex unknown distractor object. The tracking error is reported for the DA-SDF, DA-RF and DA-RF-OCCL in Figure 16.

3) Occlusions: Pose Tracking Performance: Finally, Figure 17 shows the pose tracking error for DA-SDF (baseline), DA-RF and DA-RF-OCCL (proposed) when rejecting pixels with a probability of less than 0.55. There is a clear difference in performance after $t > 18s$ when the manipulator moves towards the bottle.
With DA-SDF, the model is fitted to the occluding object as it cannot distinguish between parts of the robot and the bottle. By assigning irrelevant points to the manipulator, DA-SDF finally diverges and cannot recover. A similar behaviour can be observed for DA-RF, since those pixels of the bottle that have been classified as palm (Figure 13a, left) distract the tracking.

Meanwhile, by rejecting these pixels, DA-RF-OCCL is able to track with a similar performance as without occlusions (Figure 16). Without data association of finger classes, tracking relies on the visible parts of the arm until the hand becomes fully visible again.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work we presented a discriminative model-fitting approach for depth-based tracking of articulated objects in the presence of distracting visual information. The approach is based on the explicit pixel-wise association of data points to model parts.

In our experimental analysis we were able to avoid local minima which often arise from distractions in currently existing tracking objectives. The random sampling of unspecified occlusions during training enabled us to reject less confident data-to-model associations and provides a way of tracking partially visible manipulators.

At present, our approach does not explicitly provide estimates for occluding pixels, e.g. we can only indirectly infer occlusions from low class probabilities. In future work, we propose to use multi-label classification so as to classify robot parts and occlusions so that we can independently access the occlusion probability of a pixel and also which part it is occluding.

The Gauss-Newton approach only tracks a single state hypothesis provided by the single gradient from the data-to-model association per pixel. To make use of the full class probability distribution per pixel, we propose to use a global optimisation method, such as particle swarm optimisation [3], to track multiple hypotheses in parallel. Finally, we note that most articulated tracking approaches only make use of depth information and that colour can provide much stronger cues in particular for small parts such as fingers.
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