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The beam-spin asymmetries in the hard exclusive electroproduction of photons on the proton ($\vec{e}p \rightarrow e p\gamma$) were measured over a wide kinematic range and with high statistical accuracy. These asymmetries result from the interference of the Bethe-Heitler process and of deeply virtual Compton scattering. Over the whole kinematic range ($x_{B}$ from 0.11 to 0.58, $Q^{2}$ from 1 to 4.8 GeV$^{2}$, $-t$ from 0.09 to 1.8 GeV$^{2}$), the azimuthal dependence of the asymmetries is compatible with expectations from leading-twist dominance, $A \approx a \sin \phi/(1 + c \cos \phi)$. This extensive set of data can thus be used to constrain significantly the generalized parton distributions of the nucleon in the valence quark sector.

The structure of the nucleon, the lightest of all baryonic states, has been studied in the past using two complementary approaches. Elastic electron scattering measures form factors which reflect the spatial shape of charge distributions [1], while deep inelastic scattering provides access to parton distribution functions that encode, in a fast moving nucleon, the momentum fraction carried by each type of parton [4].

Deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS) on the proton ($\gamma^* p \rightarrow \gamma p$), in the Bjorken regime where the photon scattering occurs at the quark level, is the process of choice to attain an experimental determination of GPDs. Pioneering observations of DVCS [10–16], though of limited experimental accuracy, are all compatible with a description of the observables in terms of GPDs, both in the gluon and in the quark sectors. Moreover, a recent precise experiment [17] gave good indications of the onset of scaling in this process at relatively modest values of the $\gamma^*$ virtuality.

In this context, this work presents the first systematic and precise exploration of a sensitive observable, the beam-spin asymmetry of the reaction \( \vec{e}p \rightarrow e p\gamma \). Neglecting a twist-3 DVCS term, this asymmetry arises from the interference between the Bethe-Heitler (BH) and DVCS processes (that is, where the photon is emitted by the electron or by the target nucleon, respectively). At leading twist, it is primarily sensitive to the imaginary part of the DVCS amplitude and thus to a specific linear combination of the proton GPDs $H$, $\bar{H}$ and $E$, with arguments $x(= \pm \xi)$, $\xi$ and $t$. Each proton GPD involves a weighted sum over the quark flavors. The beam-spin asymmetry is defined as

$$A = \frac{d^{+}\sigma - d^{-}\sigma}{d^{+}\sigma + d^{-}\sigma}, \quad (1)$$

where the arrows correspond to beam helicity $+1$ and $-1$. It depends on $Q^2$, $x_B$, $t$, defined in Fig. 1, and on the angle $\phi$ between the leptonic and hadronic planes. Harmonic decompositions of the cross sections $d^{\pm}\sigma$, divided among contributions from BH, DVCS, and interference (INT) terms, have been proposed [18,19]. In the notation of Ref. [19], the cross sections, up to some kinematic factors, can be expressed in terms of the $\phi$-harmonics $c_n^{\text{INT}} \cos n\phi$ and $s_n^{\text{INT}} \sin n\phi$, with $n$ from 0 to 3 and $S = BH$, INT, or DVCS. At the twist-2 level, which according to Ref. [17] is largely dominant at least up to $|t| \approx 0.35$ GeV$^2$, the numerator of Eq. (1) gets a contribution from $s_n^{\text{INT}}$ only, while the denominator contains the coefficients $c_0^{\text{INT}}$, $c_1^{\text{INT}}$ and $c_0^{\text{DVCS}}$, in addition to $c_n^{\text{BH}}(n = 0, 1, 2)$ calculable in QED in terms of the proton elastic form factors. At leading twist,
FIG. 1 (color online). Schematic representation of the leading-order handbag diagram contribution to DVCS, where $x$ is the average longitudinal momentum fraction of the active quark in the initial and final states [measured in terms of the average hadron momentum $(p + p')/2$], while $2\xi$ is their difference; it is related to the Bjorken scaling variable by $\xi \approx x_B/(2 - x_B)$. The squared four-momentum transfer to the target is $t = (p' - p)^2$, and the squared four-momentum of the virtual photon is $-Q^2$.

\[
A = \frac{a \sin \phi}{1 + c \cos \phi + d \cos 2\phi},
\]

where the parameters $a, c$ and $d$ may be expressed in terms of the above mentioned harmonic coefficients. The DVCS and INT harmonic coefficients may in turn be written in terms of Compton form factors related to the corresponding GPD by

\[
\text{Re } \mathcal{H} = P \int_{-1}^{1} dx \left[ \frac{2x}{\xi^2 - x^2} \right] H(x, \xi, t),
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im } \mathcal{H} = H(\xi, -\xi, t) - H(-\xi, \xi, t),
\]

up to corrections of order of the strong coupling constant, with similar expressions for $\mathcal{H}'$, $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}'$. The GPD $H$ yields the dominant contribution to the harmonic coefficients considered above. Neglecting the small contributions from the three other GPDs, one can express the beam-spin asymmetry $A$ in terms of only $\text{Re } \mathcal{H}$ and $\text{Im } \mathcal{H}$. Thus in this approximation, which is expected to hold for small values of $|t|$, the parameters $a, c$ and $d$ of Eq. (2) are uniquely related to the imaginary and real parts of the Compton form factor $\mathcal{H}$, yielding, respectively, the GPD $H$ at points $x = \pm \xi$ and the principal value integral of Eq. (3). Going beyond this approximation requires additional theoretical or experimental constraints on the other GPDs.

The experiment took place in Hall B of Jefferson Laboratory, using the CEBAF 5.77 GeV electron beam (with average polarization $P = 0.794$), a 2.5 cm-long liquid-hydrogen target and the CLAS spectrometer [20]. The three final-state particles from the reaction $e p \rightarrow e p \gamma$ were detected. For this purpose, a new inner calorimeter (IC) was added to the standard CLAS configuration, 55 cm downstream from the target, in order to detect 1 to 5 GeV photons emitted between 4.5° and 15° with respect to the beam direction. This calorimeter was built of 424 tapered lead-tungstate crystals, 16 cm-long and with an average cross-sectional area of 2.1 cm², read out with avalanche photodiodes and associated low-noise preamplifiers. The whole IC was operating at a stabilized temperature of 17 °C, and monitored with laser light homogeneously distributed on all crystals. The calorimeter was calibrated several times during the run using the two-photon decay of neutral pions. Energy and angle resolutions of 4.5% and 4 mrad (for 1 GeV photons) were achieved. In conjunction, a specifically designed superconducting solenoid was used to trap around the beam axis the background originating from Møller electrons, while permitting detection of the recoil protons up to 60°.

Events were selected if an electron had generated the trigger, one and only one proton was identified and only one photon (above an energy threshold of 150 MeV) was detected in either the IC or the standard CLAS calorimeter EC. Electrons were identified through signals in the EC and in the Čerenkov counters. From time-of-flight information, track length and momentum, protons were unambiguously distinguished from positive pions over the whole momentum range of interest. All clusters detected in the IC were assumed to originate from photons, while additional time-of-flight information was used in the EC to separate photons from neutrons. For all three final-state particles, fiducial cuts were applied to exclude detector edges.

Operating at a luminosity of $2 \times 10^{34}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ (a record for CLAS), the accidental coincidences were negligibly small, as well as the pile-up probability in the IC, except for the most forward photons below 6°. Events considered here include the kinematic requirements: $Q^2 > 1$ GeV$^2$, $\gamma^* p$ invariant mass $W > 2$ GeV and scattered electron energy $E' > 0.8$ GeV. The mere selection of the three final-state particles results in the observation of characteristic peaks in distributions of all kinematic variables expressing the conservation of total four-momentum in the reaction $e p \rightarrow e p \gamma$, as exemplified by the dotted curves in Fig. 2. Requiring in addition a missing transverse momentum smaller than 0.09 GeV, an angle between the $\gamma^* p'$ and $\gamma p'$ planes smaller than 1.5°, a photon detected within 1.2° of the direction inferred from the detected electron and proton, and a maximal missing energy $E_X$ of 0.3 GeV, results in clean peaks for the events of interest. These kinematic cuts are to some extent redundant (except for the background to be discussed below) and are quoted here for the case where the emitted photon is detected in the IC, that is for 92% of the events. In the case of photons detected in the EC, these cuts are about twice as large because of the poorer resolution.

In spite of this selection, a contamination of events originating from the $e p \rightarrow e p \pi^0$ reaction, followed by the subsequent asymmetric decay of the neutral pion, is always possible. For these events, one of the photons is not detected, because it is either below threshold or outside the calorimeters’ acceptance. This physical background is es-
estimated using the number \( N^2_{\gamma} \) of measured \( ep \rightarrow ep \pi^n \) events, identified unambiguously when the two photons are detected [21], and multiplying it by the ratio of acceptances \( \langle \text{Acc} \rangle_{\gamma}^2 / \langle \text{Acc} \rangle_{\pi}^2 \), where the “1\( \gamma \)” acceptance is to be understood with the photon satisfying all the \( ep \rightarrow ep \gamma \) event selection cuts. This ratio, which depends mostly on the photon geometrical cuts and on the relevant resolutions, has been calculated with the standard CLAS simulation package and a simplified fast Monte Carlo method, the two results being used to evaluate the corresponding systematic uncertainties. The background proportion \( f \) varies between 1 and 25% depending on the kinematic bin, 5% in average. The number of \( ep \rightarrow ep \gamma \) events is then, for each beam-helicity state and for each elementary bin in the four kinematic variables (see below), \( \bar{N} = N_{ep \rightarrow ep \gamma X} - \langle \text{Acc} \rangle_{\gamma}^2 / \langle \text{Acc} \rangle_{\pi}^2 \rangle N^2_{\pi} \), and the asymmetry \( A = \frac{\bar{N} - \bar{N}}{\bar{N} + \bar{N}} \). Finally, radiative corrections were applied [22]. These tend to increase the asymmetries very slightly.

The data were divided into 13 bins in the \((x_B, Q^2)\) space as per Fig. 3, five bins in \(-t\) (defined by the bin limits 0.09, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 1 and 1.8 GeV\(^2\)) and 12 \(30^\circ\) bins in \(\phi\). Bin-size corrections were applied. Whether integrated in \(t\) or in each \(r\)-bin (Fig. 3), the \(\phi\)-distributions were always found to be compatible with Eq. (2) with \(d = 0\). The parameter \(d\) is expected to be smaller than 0.05 over our kinematic range, and indeed was found compatible with zero, within statistical accuracy, when including it in the fit. The deviation from a pure sine function as \(|d|\) increases is seen in all \((x_B, Q^2)\) bins and results in the parameter \(c\) becoming negative [23]. The parameter \(a\) is the best estimate of \(A(90^\circ)\) and is represented in Fig. 4. Point-to-point systematic uncertainties arise mostly from the background subtraction: \(\Delta A_x = (A - A_{\pi}) \Delta f / (1 - f)\), where the relative error on \(f\) is conservatively estimated to be 30% and \(A_{\pi}\) is the asymmetry for the reaction \(ep \rightarrow ep \pi^n\), ranging between 0.04 and 0.11 at \(90^\circ\) [21]. The sensitivity of the results to the event selection cuts was studied as well. From these two sources of information, the systematic uncertainty on \(a\) was inferred to be 0.01, independent of \(x_B, Q^2\), and \(t\). An overall normalization uncertainty arises from the
uncertainty in the beam polarization (3.5%). Additional details on the experiment and on the data analysis may be found in Ref. [23].

The wide kinematic coverage of the present data is important for global analyses of $e p \rightarrow e p \gamma$ observables and for a model-independent extraction of DVCS amplitudes. The beam-spin asymmetries are especially, but not uniquely, sensitive to the GPD $H$. When combined with other observables more sensitive to $H$ and $E$, as well as with unpolarized cross sections, it will be possible to obtain the real and imaginary parts of the Compton form factors of all GPDs, as defined in Eqs. (3) and (4). Additional theoretical work is also required, to clarify how power-suppressed contributions not included in Ref. [19] would affect the relations between observables and GPDs [24]. Presently, GPDs may be calculated using theoretical models based on constituent quarks, on a chiral quark-soliton description of the nucleon, on light-cone or other frameworks. The first moments of GPDs are being developed to the point of making the link to DVCS observables. Alternatively, constrained parameterizations have been used to make predictions of DVCS beam-spin asymmetries. Following Refs. [25,26], such a parameterization of the GPD $H$ may be

$$H = \sum_q e_q^2 \left[ \int_{-1}^{1} d\beta \right] \left[ \int_{-1+|\beta|}^{1-|\beta|} d\alpha \delta(x-\beta-\xi\alpha) \right] h_q(\beta, \alpha, t)$$

$$+ b \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{\xi^2} \right) D_q(x, \xi, t),$$

(5)

with

$$h_q(\beta, \alpha, t) = q(\beta) \pi_q(\beta, \alpha) e^{-a_1(1-\beta)t},$$

(6)

where $e_q$ and $q(\beta)$ are the electric charge and unpolarized parton distribution for quark flavor $q$, $\pi_q$ a profile function [25] and $a_1$ is a Regge slope adjusted to recover the proton form factor $F_1$ from the first moment of the GPD. Equation (6) extends the ansatz of Ref. [26] for the $t$ dependence to nonzero values of $\xi$. The $D$ term in Eq. (5) is calculated within a quark-soliton chiral model [7]. Using predetermined parameters, the calculations of beam-spin asymmetries yield the solid and dot-dashed curves in Figs. 3 and 4, without and with a twist-3 term calculated in the Wandzura-Wilczek approximation [7]. The predictions overestimate the asymmetries at low $|t|$, especially for small values of $x_B$ and/or $Q^2$. Variations of the parameter $b$ entering the profile function $\pi_q(t)$ do not resolve this problem, which may indicate that double distributions are not flexible enough to reproduce this behavior.

Alternatively, description of the process in terms of meson (or more generally Regge trajectory) exchanges has been attempted [27,28]. DVCS may be viewed as $\rho$ production followed by $\rho - \gamma$ coupling in vacuum or in the nucleon field. In addition to pole contributions in the $t$ channel [29], the box diagram that takes into account $p$-nucleon intermediate states has been evaluated [27]. This calculation, represented by the dashed curves in Figs. 3 and 4, is in fair agreement with our results up to $Q^2 = 2.3$ GeV$^2$. The significance of this dual description (Regge vs handbag) remains to be fully investigated.

In summary, the most extensive set of DVCS data to date has been obtained with the CLAS spectrometer, augmented with specially designed small-angle photon calorimeter and solenoid. Beam-spin asymmetries were extracted in the valence quark region, as a function of all variables describing the reaction. Present parameterizations of GPDs describe reasonably well, but not perfectly, the main features of the data. The measured kinematic dependences will put stringent constraints on any DVCS model, and, in particular, on the generalized parton distributions in the nucleon.
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