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A simple methodology for constructing ferromagnetically coupled Cr(III) compounds†

Hector W. L. Fraser, ‡ a Lucy Smythe, ‡ a Sourav Dey, b Gary S. Nichol, ‡ a Stergios Piligkos, ‡ c Gopalan Rajaraman ‡ b, c and Euan K. Brechin ‡ a, * a

A large family of chromium(III) dimers has been synthesised and magneto-structurally characterised using a combination of carboxylate and diethanolamine type ligands. The compounds have the general formula \([\text{Cr}_2(R^1\text{-deaH})_2(O_2CR^2)\text{Cl}_2]\text{Cl}\) where \(R^1 = \text{Me}\) and \(R^2 = \text{H}\) (1), \(\text{Me}\) (2), \(\text{CMe}_2\) (3), \(\text{Ph}\) (4), 3,5-(Cl)\_2\(\text{Ph}\) (5), (Me)\_2\(\text{Ph}\) (6), \(R^1 = \text{Et}\) and \(R^2 = \text{H}\) (7), \(\text{Ph}\) (8). The compound \([\text{Cr}_2(\text{Me-deaH})_2\text{Cl}_4]\) (9) was synthesised in order to study the effect of removing/adding the carboxylate bridge on the observed magnetic behaviour. Direct current (DC) magnetic susceptibility measurements showed ferromagnetic (FM) exchange interactions between the Cr(III) centres in the carboxylate bridged family with coupling constants in the range \(+0.37 < J < +8.02 \text{ cm}^{-1}\). Removal of the carboxylate to produce the dialkoxide-bridged compound \(9\) resulted in antiferromagnetic (AFM) exchange between the Cr(III) ions. DFT calculations reveal the ferromagnetic exchange is the result of an orbital counter-complementarity effect occurring upon introduction of the bridging carboxylate.

Introduction

Magneto-structural studies of Cr(III) dimers have been reported extensively since the 1970s, with the vast majority revealing antiferromagnetic exchange between the two metal centres.1-3 Indeed, although more than 40 years have passed since the publication of the first ferromagnetically coupled complex in 1976, namely \(\text{Na}_4[\text{Cr}(	ext{mal})_2\text{OH}]_2\), there have been only three further examples: the di-hydroxo bridged \([\text{Cr}_2(R^1\text{-deaH})_2(O_2CR^2)\text{Cl}_2]\) reported in 1987,6 the di-fluoro bridged \([\text{Cr}([\text{BTS}]\text{F}_2)]\) published in 1996,7 and the most recent example a di-hydroxo bridged species of formula \((\text{Ph}_4\text{P})_4[\text{Cr}([\text{NCS}]_2)\text{OH}_2]\) published in 2014.8 Larger Cr(III) clusters displaying ferromagnetic exchange are even more rare, with only two examples known.9,10 The first is an unusual sulfide-centred tetrahedron externally bridged with acetates, \([\text{Cr}_4\text{S}(\text{O}_2\text{CMe}_3)_3]\text{Cl}_3][\text{BF}_4]_2\),9 and the second a family of aesthetically pleasing decametallic wheels of general formula \([\text{Cr}_{10}(\text{OR})_2(\text{O}_2\text{CR})_2\text{Cr}]_{10}\) \((R = \text{Me or Et}, R' = \text{Me}, \text{Et}, \text{CMe}_3)\).10 In the latter case the bridging unit between pairs of neighbouring Cr(III) ions consists of two alkoxides and one carboxylate. Perhaps of most interest is the observation that the methoxide bridged versions were found to be ferromagnetically coupled to give \(S = 15\) spin ground states, while the ethoxide bridged analogues were antiferromagnetically coupled to afford diamagnetic \(S = 0\) spin ground states. This rather dramatic difference, albeit with just a small difference in the overall magnitude of \(|J|\), was attributed to a change in electron density at the bridging oxygen atom, with the more inductive ethoxide group leading to stronger antiferromagnetic exchange.11 Unfortunately the development of detailed/quantitative magneto-structural correlations for the wheels was hampered by the low symmetry of the molecules, disorder in the ligand framework, and the presence of significant intermolecular exchange interactions at low temperatures.11

Note that both tetrametallic and decametallic species are heteroleptic, containing two different bridging ligands, including one carboxylate. This perhaps suggests that exploitation of the orbital counter-complementarity effect may be a promising route toward the development of polymeric Cr(III) species displaying ferromagnetic exchange interactions.12 To this end we recently began a project to examine the magnetic exchange, and uncover the magneto-structural relationship, between the metal ions in \([\text{Cr}(\text{OR})_2\text{Cr}]_{10}\) and \([\text{Cr}(\text{OR})_2(\text{O}_2\text{CR})\text{Cr}]_{10}\) dimers. Our initial study of the di-alkoxo bridged family of dimers showed that the exchange is dependent upon the
Cr–O–Cr bridging angle, the orientation of the dihedral angle formed between the bridging Cr₂O₂ plane and the O–R vector of the bridging group, and the Cr–O–Cr dihedral angle. The geometric restrictions placed upon dimers of this type essentially means that all such species will be antiferromagnetically coupled, except when a particular, and rather peculiar combination of such values are satisfied, in agreement with the study of Bendix et al.⁸

Here we extend our study to the [Cr{III}(OR)₂(O₂CR)Cr{III}] family of dimers 1–8 whose structures are similar to the alkoxide bridged species in ref. 13, but with the addition of a single carboxylate bridge. We also include the carboxylate free analogue 9 for direct comparison. The alkoxides employed are diethanolamine ligands, which have been successfully used as flexible bridging supports in the synthesis of a plethora of different structural types,²⁰ as recently reviewed by Perlepes and Tasiopoulos.²¹ In Cr(m) chemistry they have been shown to be successful in the formation of heterometallic cages, with families of Cr₂3d₂ butterflies,²² Cr₂Ln₂ butterflies,²³ and an octanuclear Cr₄Dy₄ reported.²⁵ Surprisingly however, no homometallic Cr[m] complexes are registered on the CCDC.†

**Experimental**

**Materials and physical measurements:**

All chemicals were procured from commercial suppliers and used as received (reagent grade). Elemental analyses for C, H, N and Cr were performed by Medac Ltd.

**Synthesis of [Cr₂(Me-deaH)₂(O₂CH)Cl₂]Cl (1)**

CrCl₃·6H₂O (0.533 g, 2 mmol) was dissolved with NaO₂C(O)Me (0.054 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN (15 ml) with continuous stirring. Me-deaH₂ (0.23 ml, 2 mmol) was added to the solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 hours. Me-deaH₂ (0.23 ml, 2 mmol) was added and the resulting light blue solution was left to stir overnight at room temperature. A 10 ml sample of this solution was heated in a Teflon-lined autoclave at 100 °C for 12 hours. Slow cooling to room temperature yielded blue rod-shaped crystals, which were suitable for X-ray diffraction. Yield 1.8 mg (0.4% by chromium weight). Anal. calcd (%) for C₁₇H₂₇Cl₅Cr₂N₂O₆: C 32.07, H 4.27, Cr 16.33, N 4.40; found: C 31.26, H 4.41, Cr 15.82, N 4.54.

**Synthesis of [Cr₂(Me-deaH)₂(O₂C(Me)₅Ph)Cl₂]Cl (2)**

CrCl₃·6H₂O (0.533 g, 2 mmol) was dissolved with NaO₂CMe (0.082 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN (25 ml) with continuous stirring. Me-deaH₂ (0.23 ml, 2 mmol) was added and the resulting blue solution was left to stir overnight at room temperature. A 10 ml sample of this solution was heated in a Teflon-lined autoclave at 100 °C for 12 hours. Slow cooling to room temperature yielded purple block-shaped crystals, which were suitable for X-ray diffraction. Yield 119.8 mg (23.7% by chromium weight). Anal. calcd (%) for C₂₈H₃₂Cl₂Cr₂N₂O₆: C 36.63, H 4.87, Cr 20.56, N 5.54; found: C 39.00, H 4.97, Cr 21.22, N 5.46.

**Synthesis of [Cr₂(Me-deaH)₂(O₂CCMe₃)Cl₂]Cl (3)**

CrCl₃·6H₂O (0.533 g, 2 mmol) was dissolved with NaO₂C(Me)₅Ph (0.144 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN (25 ml) with continuous stirring. Me-deaH₂ (0.23 ml, 2 mmol) was added and the resulting blue solution was left to stir overnight at room temperature. A 10 ml sample of this solution was heated in a Teflon-lined autoclave at 100 °C for 12 hours. Slow cooling to room temperature yielded purple plate-shaped crystals, which were suitable for X-ray diffraction. Yield 178.6 mg (32.6% by chromium weight). Anal. calcd (%) for C₁₅H₃₃Cl₃Cr₂N₂O₆: C 32.89, H 6.07, Cr 19.88, N 5.11; found: C 32.83, H 5.83, Cr 19.89, N 5.11.

**Synthesis of [Cr₂(Me-deaH)₂(O₂CPh)Cl₂]Cl (4)**

CrCl₃·6H₂O (0.533 g, 2 mmol) was dissolved with NaO₂CPh (0.144 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN (25 ml) with continuous stirring. Me-deaH₂ (0.23 ml, 2 mmol) was added and the resulting blue solution was left to stir overnight at room temperature. A 10 ml sample of this solution was heated in a Teflon-lined autoclave at 100 °C for 12 hours. Slow cooling to room temperature yielded dark purple block-shaped crystals, which were suitable for X-ray diffraction. Yield 193.8 mg (34.1% by chromium weight). Anal. calcd (%) for C₁₇H₂₉Cl₃Cr₂N₂O₆: C 35.96, H 5.15, Cr 18.18, N 4.93; found: C 35.85, H 4.82, Cr 18.32, N 4.96.

**Synthesis of [Cr₂(Me-deaH)₂(O₂C(Cl)₅Pb)Cl₂]Cl (5)**

CrCl₃·6H₂O (0.533 g, 2 mmol) was dissolved with NaO₂C₃,5-Cl₅Pb (0.213 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN (25 ml) with continuous stirring for 3 hours. Me-deaH₂ (0.23 ml, 2 mmol) was added and the resulting green solution was left to stir overnight at room temperature. A 10 ml sample of the green solution was heated in a Teflon-lined autoclave at 100 °C for 12 hours. The solution was then filtered. Layering with 2-propanol yielded dark purple plate-shaped crystals, which were suitable for X-ray diffraction. Yield 50.4 mg (7.9% by chromium weight). Anal. calcd (%) for C₁₇H₂₉Cl₃Cr₂N₂O₆: C 32.07, H 4.27, Cr 16.33, N 4.40; found: C 31.26, H 4.41, Cr 15.82, N 4.54.

**Synthesis of [Cr₂(Me-deaH)₂(O₂C(Me)₅Pb)Cl₂]Cl (6)**

CrCl₃·6H₂O (0.533 g, 2 mmol) was dissolved with NaO₂C(Me)₅Pb (0.214 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN (25 ml) with continuous stirring. Me-deaH₂ (0.23 ml, 2 mmol) was added and the resulting blue solution was left to stir overnight at room temperature. A 10 ml
sample of this solution was heated in a Teflon-lined autoclave at 100 °C for 12 hours. The blue solution was then filtered, layering with 2-propanol yielded purple coloured plate-shaped crystals, which were suitable for X-ray diffraction. Yield 67.6 mg (10.6% by chromium weight). Anal. calcd (%) for C$_{24}$H$_{19}$Cl$_2$Cr$_2$O$_2$N$_2$: C 41.42, H 6.16, Cr 16.30, N 4.39; found: C 40.42, H 6.15, Cr 16.06, N 4.55.

**Synthesis of [Cr$_2$(Et-deaH)$_2$(O$_2$CH)$_2$Cl]Cl (7)**

CrCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (0.533 g, 2 mmol) was dissolved with NaOMe (0.054 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN (15 ml) with continuous stirring. Et-deaH$_2$ (0.26 ml, 2 mmol) was also dissolved in MeCN (10 ml) with continuous stirring and added to the first solution. The resulting green solution was left to stir overnight at room temperature. A 10 ml sample of this solution was heated in a Teflon-lined autoclave at 100 °C for 12 hours. Vapour diffusion of the filtered blue solution with ethyl acetate yielded dark green block-shaped crystals, which were suitable for X-ray diffraction. Yield 5.5 mg (1.1% by chromium weight). Anal. calcd (%) for C$_{12}$H$_{25}$Cl$_2$Cr$_2$N$_2$O$_4$: C 30.04, H 5.62, Cr 20.01, N 5.39; found: C 29.87, H 5.50, Cr 20.65, N 5.22.

**Synthesis of [Cr$_2$(Me-deaH)$_2$(O$_2$CPh)$_2$Cl$_2$]Cl·Et$_2$O (8)**

CrCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (0.533 g, 2 mmol) was dissolved with NaO$_2$CPh (0.144 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN (25 ml) with continuous stirring. Me-deaH$_2$ (0.26 ml, 2 mmol) was added to the green solution and the resulting blue solution was left to stir overnight at room temperature. A 10 ml sample of this solution was heated in a Teflon-lined autoclave at 100 °C for 12 hours. Vapour diffusion of the filtered solution with diethyl ether yielded dark purple rod-shaped crystals, which were suitable for X-ray diffraction. Yield 1.2 mg (0.2% by chromium weight). Anal. calcd (%) for C$_{34}$H$_{53}$Cl$_2$Cr$_2$N$_2$O$_6$: C 38.30, H 5.58, Cr 17.45, N 4.70; found: C 37.82, H 5.52, Cr 18.01, N 4.51.

**Synthesis of [Cr$_2$(Me-deaH)$_2$Cl$_4$] (9)**

CrCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (0.533 g, 2 mmol) was dissolved in MeCN (25 ml) with continuous stirring. Me-deaH$_2$ (0.23 ml, 2 mmol) was added and the resulting blue solution was left to stir overnight at room temperature. A 10 ml sample of this solution was heated in a Teflon-lined autoclave at 100 °C for 12 hours. Slow cooling to room temperature yielded dark green rod-shaped crystals, which were suitable for X-ray diffraction. Yield 41.0 mg (8.5% by chromium weight). Anal. calcd (%) for C$_{10}$H$_{13}$Cl$_2$Cr$_2$N$_2$O$_4$: C 24.91, H 5.02, Cr 21.57, N 5.81; found: C 23.94, H 4.65, Cr 22.20, N 5.46.

**X-ray crystallography**

Diffraction data for samples 1–9 were collected using a Rigaku Oxford Diffraction SuperNova diffractometer with MoK$_\alpha$ (1, 2, 4, 6, 9) and CuK$_\alpha$ (5) radiation, and are given in Tables S1 and S2.† An Oxford Cryosystems Cryostream 700+ low temperature device was used to maintain a crystal temperature of 120 K. The structures were solved using ShelXT or ShelXS by direct methods (2–7, 9) or intrinsic phasing solution methods (1, 8) and refined with version 2016/6 of ShelXL interfaced with Olex2. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined using anisotropic displacement parameters. C-bound H atoms were placed in calculated positions geometrically and refined using the riding model. In structures 1, 2, 4, 6 and 9, O-bound H atoms were identified from a difference Fourier map and refined freely. In 3, 5, 7 and 8, O-bound H atoms were identified from a difference Fourier map and refined as riding, with geometric restraints. CCDC 1579642–1579645 & 1579647–1579651.†

**SQUID magnetometry**

Magnetic susceptibility and magnetisation measurements in the temperature range $T = 2$–300 K were performed on a Quantum Design MPMS XL SQUID magnetometer equipped with a 7 T dc magnet on finely ground samples of 1–9. The observed paramagnetic susceptibilities were corrected for diamagnetic contributions using Pascal's constants. Susceptibility measurements were performed under magnetic fields of 0.1 T, 0.5 T and 1.0 T. Variable-temperature-variable-field dc magnetisation experiments were carried out in the 2–7 K and 0.5–7.0 T temperature and magnetic field ranges, respectively.

**Computational details**

All theoretical calculations were performed using the hybrid B3LYP functional with Ahlrichs triple-$\xi$ basis set as implemented in Gaussian 09. The methodology has yielded good numerical estimates of the $J$ values in a variety of systems, including several Cr(III) clusters. The energy of the HS state was calculated using the single determinant approach, with the energy of the HS state calculated using the broken symmetry approach developed by Noodleman. A quadratic convergence method was employed to obtain the most stable wave function. For orbital analysis using the HTH model, the orbital of the HS state were employed as these orbitals have been shown to better represent the interaction between the metal ions.

**Results and discussion**

**Structure description**

The structures of compounds 1–9 are given in Fig. 1 (representative 2 and 9) and Fig. S1–9,† with the most pertinent structural parameters listed in Table 1. There are two different structure types. The first structure type is that seen in compounds 1–8; the second that exhibited by compound 9 (Fig. 1). Complexes 1–8 consist of two Cr(III) ions bridged by two µ-OR groups belonging to the singly deprotonated Me-deaH ligands, and one µ-O$_2$CR carboxylate bridge. The three remaining coordination sites on the octahedral Cr(III) ions are occupied by the N atom and protonated O atom from a Me-deaH ligand, and a terminally bonded chloride ion. The carboxylate sits above the [Cr$_2$] moiety (as drawn in Fig. 1) causing the two µ-OR bridges to be pushed downwards, distorting the planarity of the [Cr$_2$O$_2$] unit.
The second structure type is seen in compound 9 (Fig. 1B and Table 1), and describes a simple di-alkoxo bridged [Cr(μ-OR)₂Cr] dimer. Complex 9 crystallises in the monoclinic space group P2₁/n, with the asymmetric unit containing half the molecule. The two deaH ligands are again singly deprotonated with the N atom and protonated O atom terminally bonded to a Cr(III) ion. The remaining coordination sites on the metals are occupied by four terminally bonded Cl ions, two of which H-bond to the protonated arm of the Me-deaH ligands (O–H...Cl, ~2.3 Å). The result is a fully planar [Cr₂(OR)₂] bridging unit, in contrast to that seen in 1–8. In the extended structure the closest inter-dimer interactions are between the terminal bonded Cl ions and the Me groups of the Me-deaH ligands at a (C–H...Cl) distance of ~2.9 Å (Table 2 and Fig. S10†).

Magnetic measurements

DC magnetic susceptibility measurements were carried out on powdered polycrystalline samples of compounds 1–9 in applied magnetic fields of 0.1 T, 0.5 T and 1.0 T, over the temperature range T = 2–300 K. Fig. 2 shows the experimental results as the χₘT product versus T, where χₘ is the molar magnetic susceptibility.

At T = 300 K the χₘT values were found to be 4.08 (1), 3.87 (2), 3.92 (3), 3.92 (4), 4.02 (5), 3.73 (6), 4.02 (7), 4.00 (8) and 3.62 (9) cm³ K mol⁻¹. The values for compounds 1–8 are slightly higher than that expected for two non-interacting s = 3/2 ions with g = 2.00 (3.75 cm³ K mol⁻¹), while that for compound 9 is somewhat lower. For compound 9 the value of χₘT decreases gradually with decreasing temperature to reach a T = 2 K value of 0.15 cm³ K mol⁻¹. This is indicative of a relatively weak antiferromagnetic exchange interaction between the Cr(III) ions, and the stabilisation of a diamagnetic

![Fig. 1](link) The molecular structures of complexes 2 (A) and 9 (B). Colour code: Cr = dark blue, O = red, N = light blue, C = black, Cl = yellow, H = white. H-atoms are omitted for clarity, except for those on the protonated arm of the Me-deaH ligands. H-bonds are drawn as dashed black lines.

![Fig. 2](link) Plot of the χₘT product versus T for complexes 1–9 in an applied field of 0.1 T (1–7, 9) and 0.5 T (8). The solid black lines are a fit of the experimental data. See text for details. The inset shows the low temperature region, highlighting the inter-dimer interactions.
ground state. In contrast, the experimental data for compounds 1–8 show a gradual increase in the value of $\chi M T$ with decreasing temperature to reach $T = 2$ K values of 4.28 (peak at 5.61) (1), 6.35 (2), 6.52 (3), 5.18 (peak at 5.87) (4), 5.65 (peak at 5.88) (5), 3.52 (peak at 3.92) (6), 5.17 (peak at 5.69) (7) and 5.16 (peak at 5.69) (8) cm$^{-3}$ K mol$^{-1}$, indicative of weak ferromagnetic (FM) exchange interactions, leading to $S = 3$ ground states.

Variable-temperature variable-field (VTVB) magnetisation measurements, performed in the $B = 0.5–7$ T and $T = 2–7$ K field and temperature ranges, confirm this assessment (Fig. S12–19†). The $\chi M T$ vs. $T$ and $B$ vs. $H$ data were fitted simultaneously using isotropic spin-Hamiltonian (1), where the indices $i$ and $j$ refer to each of the Cr(n) ions, $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton, $B$ is the applied magnetic field, $g$ is the $g$-factor of the Cr(n) ions (fixed at $g = 2.00$), $\hat{S}$ is a spin operator and $J$ is the isotropic exchange interaction. Using this model, best-fit parameters were $J = +6.04$ (1), $+4.66$ (2), $+2.48$ (3), $+4.86$ (4), $+4.82$ (5), $+0.37$ (6), $+5.41$ (7), $+8.02$ (8) and $-1.65$ (9) cm$^{-1}$. These values are listed in Table 3, and compared with values calculated using DFT (vide infra).

$$\hat{H} = \mu_B B \sum_i g_i \hat{S}_i - 2 \sum_{i<j} J_{ij} \hat{S}_i \hat{S}_j \quad (1)$$

As can be seen in the inset of Fig. 2, there is some deviation in the very low temperature ($T < 10$ K) susceptibility data for compounds 1–8. If one assumes that $D_{Cr}$ is negligible, then these differences can be attributed to the inter-dimer interactions highlighted above. In the case of compounds 2 and 3 the low temperature $\chi M T$ value reaches a maximum at $\approx 11$ K and then increases to higher values. In the case of compounds 1 and 4–8, the opposite occurs and a low temperature decrease is observed. This would appear to indicate the presence of FM and AFM intermolecular interactions, respectively – a fit of the data in this region within a mean field approximation affording $zJ = -2.742 \times 10^{-3}$ (1), $+5.21 \times 10^{-3}$ (2), $+7.43 \times 10^{-3}$ (3), $+1.06 \times 10^{-2}$ (4), $-9.88 \times 10^{-2}$ (5), $-5.24 \times 10^{-2}$ (6), $-2.44 \times 10^{-1}$ (7) and $-2.15 \times 10^{-2}$ (8) K. In 2 and 3 the closest intermolecular contacts are mediated via Cl⋯H(Me) interactions. In 4, 5, 6 and 8 these same interactions are present, but occur in combination with numerous $\pi$⋯$\pi$ interactions, the latter most commonly associated with AFM dipolar exchange. The intermolecular nature of the exchange in this temperature regime is confirmed via the field-dependence of the $\chi M T$ inflection for all three compounds (Fig. S20–27†).

**Theoretical studies**

To uncover the origin of the ferromagnetic exchange coupling in this class of dimers, DFT calculations have been carried out on complexes 1–9 with the resulting computed $J$ values shown in Table 3. Calculations reproduce both the sign and magnitude of these values extremely well in all cases. Calculations reveal the strongest FM coupling occurs in complexes 1 and 8, and AFM coupling in 9, consistent with the experimental $J$ values. In order to analyse the trend in the observed exchange, one has to analyse all the structural parameters that could control the sign and magnitude of the exchange, namely the Cr–O bond lengths ($r = $ alkoxide; $\tau = $ carboxylate), Cr–O–Cr bond angles ($\phi$), Cr–O–Cr–O dihedral angles ($\psi$), and the out-of-plane shift between the bridging $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_2$ plane and the OR vector of the bridging group ($\theta$) (Fig. 3 and Table 1).

For compounds 1–8, the variations in $r$, $\tau$, $\phi$ and $\psi$ are fairly small, with more variation apparent in $\theta$. Use of the “GHP” model (which considers only $r$, $\phi$ and $\theta$) previously employed to extract the magneto-structural correlation for the $[\text{Cr(OH)}]_2$ core,1 leads to poor reproduction of both the sign and magni-

**Table 3** Comparison of the experimentally determined $J_{\exp}$ values of compounds 1–9 with the theoretical $J_{\text{calc}}$ values derived from DFT calculations. For 5 where there are two slightly different dimers in the unit cell, the average value is reported

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comp.</th>
<th>$J_{\exp}$ [cm$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$J_{\text{calc}}$ [cm$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+6.04</td>
<td>+6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>+4.66</td>
<td>+6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+2.48</td>
<td>+3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+4.86</td>
<td>+5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+4.82</td>
<td>+6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>+0.37</td>
<td>+1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+5.41</td>
<td>+5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+8.02</td>
<td>+6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-1.65</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 3](image-url) Diagrammatic representation of the structural parameters influencing the sign and magnitude of magnetic exchange in Cr$^{III}$ dimers. The Cr–O$_{carb}$ distances fall in the range 1.967 < $r$ < 1.989 Å.
tude of $J$, due to the presence of the additional carboxylate bridge (Table S3†). Indeed, the “GHP” model also failed to reproduce the data for a previously reported family of [Cr(OR)]$_2$ dimers where the hydroxide bridges were replaced with alkoxide bridges.$^{13}$

The net exchange $J$ has two contributions, $J_{AFM}$ and $J_{FM}$, denoting the antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic parts, respectively. Qualitative models based on Hey–Thibeault–Hoffmann (HTH) and Kahn methodologies are widely used to analyse the origin of magnetic exchange in dinuclear complexes.$^{43–47}$ While the HTH model analyses the differences in energies of symmetric and antisymmetric combinations of the SOMOs, the Kahn model takes into account the overlap between the SOMOs to arrive at a qualitative understanding. Herein, both models are employed in order to probe the origin of the unusual ferromagnetic coupling in 1–8.

Initially a qualitative MO diagram for complex 1 was developed to analyse the energy gap between the symmetric and antisymmetric combinations (Fig. 4). Due to the $\pi$-donor Cl ions, the degeneracy of the t$_{2g}$ set of orbitals at the individual Cr(III) centres is lifted, with the d$_{xz}$ and d$_{yz}$ orbitals destabilised compared to the d$_{xy}$ orbital. According to the HTH model, the square of the orbital energies between the symmetric and antisymmetric combinations of d$_{xy}$, d$_{xz}$ and d$_{yz}$ orbitals is directly proportional to the $J_{AFM}$ component of the exchange. The [(d$_{yz}$)$_{ls}$-(d$_{yz}$)$_{as}$] gap is found to be the largest in all complexes studied (green arrows in Fig. 4), followed by [(d$_{xz}$)$_{ls}$-(d$_{yz}$)$_{as}$] and [(d$_{xz}$)$_{ls}$-(d$_{xz}$)$_{as}$] in all complexes except 2, 3 and 6. For complex 9, the [(d$_{yz}$)$_{ls}$-(d$_{yz}$)$_{as}$] and [(d$_{xz}$)$_{ls}$-(d$_{xz}$)$_{as}$] gaps are nearly the same, suggesting degeneracy. The addition of the bridging carboxylate means that the carboxylate MOs mix with the metal (d$_{yz}$)$_{ls}$ orbital leading to an increase in its energy and a decrease in the [(d$_{yz}$)$_{ls}$-(d$_{yz}$)$_{as}$] energy gap. This is known as an orbital counter-complementarity effect.$^{43,48}$ This leads to a reduction of the $J_{AFM}$ contribution, and in this instance, to the observation of ferromagnetic exchange. To confirm this, calculations were performed on complexes 1 and 4 where the carboxylate bridges were replaced with water ligands to give [Cr$_2$(Me-deaH)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_2$Cl$_2$]$^{2+}$ (1a) and [Cr$_2$(Me-deaH)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_2$Cl$_2$]$^{2+}$ (4a) (Fig. S28†). These models yield $J$ values of +3.2 cm$^{-1}$ and −0.6 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. The removal of the carboxylate-bridge clearly enhances the $J_{AFM}$ contribution. This is also reflected in the computed MO energies, where as we move from complex 1 (4) to 1a (4a), the [(d$_{yz}$)$_{ls}$-(d$_{yz}$)$_{as}$] gap increases (vide infra; see Fig. 5 and S29†). This follows for all complexes 1–8 where there is a near linear relationship between the MO energies and the $J$ values. The magnitude of the computed $J$ values for compounds 3 and 6 are found to be comparatively small. This is attributed to the larger energy difference between the symmetric and antisymmetric combinations caused by structural alteration; in
particular the small $\theta$ values which increase the $[|d_{x^2-y^2}| - |d_{z^2}|]_{as}$ energy gap. For complex 9, all three orbital energy gaps are relatively large, and the $d_{xy}$ and $d_{xz}$ orbitals are near degenerate due to the removal of the counter-complementarity effect.

We now turn to the analysis of the overlap between SOMOs employing Kahn’s methodology. As the AFM part of the exchange is directly proportional to the overlap integral, this can provide a clue to the origin of the FM coupling observed. The computed overlap integrals for complexes 1–9 are given in Table S4.† For complex 1 calculations reveal four dominant overlaps $d_{xy}|p_x|d_{xy}$, $d_{xy}|p_z|d_{xy}$, and $d_{xy}|p_y|d_{xy}$ with $d_{xy}$ contributing to the AFM part of the exchange, $J_{AFM}$. Spin density plots reveal cubic spin density on the metal ions resulting from the $t_{2g}^4$ configuration of the Cr(III) centres (Fig. 6), with intersection of the directional nodal planes of the three $t_{2g}$ orbitals generating a hole in the face of the ‘cube’. The spin density of the Cr(III) centres is greater than 3.0, implying a dominant spin polarisation mechanism is present. Spin densities on the nitrogen and chlorine atoms show spin polarisation, with the oxygen atoms exhibiting a mixture of both spin polarisation and spin delocalisation. Strong $\pi$-donation of $\beta$-electrons from the Cl ions to the empty $\beta$-Cr(III) orbitals occurs, leading to residual positive spin densities on the Cl ions in all structures.

One potentially pertinent structural difference between compounds 1–8 lies in the nature of the substituent present in the carboxylate group. We therefore investigated the effect of introducing halide atoms to the carboxylates in a model complex of formula [Cr_{2}(Me-deaH)_2(O_2CX)Cl_2]Cl where X = F, Cl, Br and I (Fig. S30†). C–X bond distances were fixed based on literature values. All four substitutions were found to yield $J$ values in the range 6.2–6.4 cm$^{-1}$, revealing minimal variation in $J$ upon changing the halide. The $\pi^*$ orbital of the carboxylate, which is responsible for the counter-complementarity effect, is unaltered by this substitution resulting in little change in orbital energies.

To further probe the nature of the individual bridges on the magnitude and sign of $J$, detailed calculations on 1 were undertaken whereby each bridging ligand was removed individually (Fig. 7 and S31†). In model 1b one of the bridging OR groups has been removed leading to super-exchange via the carboxylate and one OR group (Fig. S31a†). This was found to yield very strong antiferromagnetic exchange with $J = -98.7$ cm$^{-1}$ due to the very large orbital energy gaps induced. In model 1c both bridging OR groups were removed (Fig. S31b†); this results in a weaker antiferromagnetic exchange, $J = -7.7$ cm$^{-1}$. The magnitude of the computed $J$ values correlate nicely to the symmetric and antisymmetric orbital energy gaps shown in Fig. 7. A similar trend is also seen if we compare the orbital energies of complex 4, 4a, and 9 (Fig. 5). Removal of the carboxylate bridge in 4 leads to model 4a, where the energy of the $(d_{yz})_{as}$ decreases dramatically, falling below $(d_{xy})_{as}$ and $(d_{yz})_{as}$, and becoming the lowest lying energy orbital. The orbital ordering computed for complex 9 is similar to 4a, reiterating that the carboxylate plays a major role in switching the nature of magnetic coupling.

To fully comprehend the experimentally observed trend in the $J$ values for this family, a magneto-structural correlation has been developed on a model complex of 1 (Fig. S32†) for four of the structural parameters described earlier: $\Phi$, $\psi$, $\theta$ and $r$ (there are no significant changes in $r$ in 1–9; Fig. 8).

**Cr–O–Cr bond angle ($\Phi$).** The GHP model stipulates that a large Cr–O–Cr bond angle leads to ferromagnetic coupling.† Here, $\Phi$ has been varied from 83–108° revealing an exponential decay, with small angles (83–98°) yielding strong AFM coupling and large angles (98–108°) yielding weak FM behaviour ($-149 < J < +0.59$ cm$^{-1}$; Fig. 8a). As $\Phi$ decreases, the number and strength of overlaps between the $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ orbitals increases, the $d_{xy}|p_x|d_{xy}$ overlap particularly so, leading to very strong antiferromagnetic coupling (Table S7†). This is due to these orbitals lying along the Cr–O$_{carb}$ bond direction. The $d_{xy}$–$d_{xy}$ overlap was also found to increase with smaller angles as the Cr–Cr separation decreases. The MO energies of the symmetric and antisymmetric combinations are shown in Fig. 9a for three representative points along the correlation. From this figure we see that: (i) the $[(d_{xy})_{as}-(d_{xy})_{as}]$ energy gap remains unaltered, suggesting that the $d_{xy}$ orbitals are not involved significantly with respect to this parameter; (ii) the $[(d_{xy})_{as}-(d_{xy})_{as}]$ energy gap changes drastically with angle – with a very large gap at the lower angles, decreasing to near degenerate orbitals at 93° and increasing again at 108°; (iii) the $[(d_{yz})_{as}-(d_{xy})_{as}]$ gap is larger at lower angles and is the largest contributor to the net AFM exchange at an angle of 83°. This
gap diminishes with increasing angle, suggesting smaller contribution to $J_{\text{AFM}}$ at larger angles.

**Cr–O–Cr–O dihedral angle ($\psi$).** The dihedral angle was varied from 0–23°, resulting in a relatively small variation in $J$ ($-6.5 < J < +7.0$ cm$^{-1}$; Fig. 8b). As $\psi$ decreases, the $J$ value decreases in an exponential manner with smaller angles yielding weak AFM coupling, and larger angles yielding weak FM coupling. As the dihedral angle decreases, the $d_{xz}$$-$-$d_{yz}$, $d_{yz}$$-$-$d_{xy}$, and $d_{xy}$$-$-$d_{xz}$ overlaps are enhanced leading to AFM coupling in the more planar structure. From the MO energies in Fig. 9b we see that: (i) as $\psi$ decreases the $[(d_{xy})_s$$-$-$d_{yz})_a]$ energy gap increases substantially leading to a large $J_{\text{AFM}}$ contribution when the [Cr(OR)$_2$Cr] moiety is planar; (ii) both the $[(d_{yz})_s$$-$-$d_{yz})_a]$ and $[(d_{xz})_s$$-$-$d_{xz})_a]$ energy gaps decrease with increasing $\psi$, suggesting a smaller contribution to $J_{\text{AFM}}$ at larger dihedral angles.

**Out of plane displacement of alkyl groups ($\theta$).** $\theta$ was varied from 20–56°, affording a linear relationship whereby smaller angles yield weak FM coupling and large angles strong FM coupling ($+3.6 < J < +9.9$ cm$^{-1}$; Fig. 8c). This agrees with the experimental results obtained for compounds 6 and 8. As $\theta$ increases the overlap between the $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ orbitals decreases, enhancing the FM coupling. From the MO energies...
in Fig. 9c it can be seen that as \( \theta \) increases, the energy gap between \([\langle d_{yz}\rangle_{6} - \langle d_{zx}\rangle_{6}]\) and \([\langle d_{yz}\rangle_{6} - \langle d_{yz}\rangle_{6}]\) decreases, suggesting a smaller contribution to \( J_{AFM} \), and as a consequence an increase in the observed \( J \) value.

**Cr-O	extsubscript{carb} distance** (r). As the carboxylate-bridge has been shown to be pivotal in determining the sign of \( J \), an additional correlation has been developed for the Cr-O	extsubscript{carb} distance, here being varied from 1.6 to 2.2 Å. This correlation reveals that at very short Cr-O	extsubscript{carb} distances the exchange is found to be AFM. As the distance increases the coupling becomes FM at 1.63 Å, before decreasing slowly in magnitude (Fig. 8d). Overlap calculations (Fig. 9d) reveal that at very short distances the overlap between \( d_{yz} - d_{yz} \), \( d_{yz} - d_{zx} \) orbitals increases, leading to AFM exchange. More importantly, at very short Cr-O	extsubscript{carb} distances, \( d_{yz} \) is strongly destabilised beyond the \( d_{yz} \) orbital, leading to a larger energy gap. As this distance increases, this energy gap decreases leading to a reduction in the AFM coupling. The correlation shows an optimum Cr-O	extsubscript{carb} distance of 1.8 Å, where \( d_{yz} \) and \( d_{yz} \) are degenerate leading to a maximum in the FM exchange. Further increasing the distance leads to \( d_{yz} \) becoming the ground state, enhancing the \([\langle d_{yz}\rangle_{6} - \langle d_{yz}\rangle_{6}]\) energy gap leading to a small decrease in the FM exchange.

**Conclusions**

A family of Cr(III) dimers of general formula [Cr\(_2\)(R\(_1\)-deanH)\(_2\)(O\(_2\)C\(_2\))Cl\(_4\)] has been synthesised using a combination of carboxylate and diethanolamine ligands. The compound [Cr\(_2\)(Me-deaH)\(_2\)Cl\(_4\)] was synthesised in order to study the effect of removing/adding the carboxylate bridge. Direct current magnetic susceptibility and magnetisation measurements show ferromagnetic exchange interactions between the Cr(III) centres in the carboxylate bridged family, with coupling constants in the range \(+0.37 < J < +8.02 \text{ cm}^{-1}\). Removal of the carboxylate to produce the dialkoxide-bridged complex results in antiferromagnetic exchange between the Cr(III) ions. DFT calculations reveal the origin of the ferromagnetic exchange to be an orbital counter-complementarity effect. In particular, the addition of the bridging carboxylate results in the carboxylate MOs mixing with the Cr \( d_{yz} \), orbital leading to a decrease in the \([\langle d_{yz}\rangle_{6} - \langle d_{yz}\rangle_{6}]\) energy gap.

Complexes 1–8 represent only the fifth set of Cr(III) dimers to exhibit ferromagnetic exchange, and the first family of complexes containing multiple members to have a detailed magneto-structural correlation developed. They also provide a clear rationale for the ferromagnetic exchange seen in the poly-nuclear complexes [Cr\(_8\)S\(_{2}\)(O\(_2\)CC\(_4\)H\(_4\))\(_6\)H\(_2\)O\(_4\)]\(_4^+\)[BF\(_4\)]\(_4^-\) and [Cr\(_{10}\)(OR)\(_{2}\)\(_{2}\)(O\(_2\)CR\(_S\))\(_{10}\)] which hitherto had had no explanation. It also suggests a simple blueprint for the construction of ferromagnetically coupled Cr(III) cages of any necessity – exploit the orbital counter-complementarity effect by combining heteroleptic ligand sets, here alkoxides and carboxylates. The [M\(_{\text{III}}\)(OR)\(_{2}\)(O\(_2\)C)] bridging unit employed here is an extremely common building block in Mn(III) and Fe(III) chemistry, and we therefore see no reason why similar cages of Cr(III) cannot be made, potentially opening the door to new families of complexes containing multiple Cr(III) ions with controllable exchange interactions.
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