Paleobiogeographic evolution and distribution of Carcharodontosauridae (Dinosauria, Theropoda) during the middle Cretaceous of North Africa
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Abstract. Over the last few decades, the systematics and evolution of carcharodontosaurids, a group of large predatory dinosaurs, from North Africa have been better understood mainly due the discovery of new species. We review this record here and discuss its importance for understanding the evolution and ecology of these dinosaurs. North Africa has one of the best records of carcharodontosaurid species. These species show dietary specializations and paleogeographic distributions similar to other top predatory theropods such as Abelisauridae and Spinosauridae. However, carcharodontosaurids have a wider distribution than other large theropods. The middle Cretaceous of North Africa was characterized by the niche overlap between large predators. This evidence increases our understanding of the evolution of Carcharodontosauridae in a highly competitive region, a conjuncture rarely reported for large top predators.
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INTRODUCTION

Several species of predatory dinosaurs are grouped in the family Carcharodontosauridae, a subgroup of basal tetanuran allosaurid theropods closely related to the well-known Allosaurus (Brusatte & Sereno, 2008; Benson et al., 2010; Carrano et al., 2012). Some of these species are among the largest theropods to ever live, with Carcharodontosaurus, Giganotosaurus, and Acrocanthosaurus approaching the famous Tyrannosaurus rex in size (ca. 12 meters in total body length, 3+ tons in mass: Benson et al., 2014). Carcharodontosauridae had its main evolutionary radiation during the Early Cretaceous in Gondwana, but also has been recorded in some areas of Laurasia (e.g., Acrocanthosaurus, Conovenator, Shaochilong, Kelmaysaurus). This cosmopolitan distribution differs from the more limited distribution of some other large theropods, particularly tyrannosaurids, which were restricted to the North America and Asia (e.g., Holtz, 2004; Brusatte et al., 2010a). During the early to middle part of the Cretaceous, carcharodontosaurids were among the most important large-bodied predatory dinosaurs globally, and were apex predators in many terrestrial ecosystems.

During the Early Cretaceous (late Aptian-Cenomanian), carcharodontosaurids were diverse and widespread in South American and Africa, particularly the northern parts of Africa that are now covered by the Sahara Desert. These Gondwanan carcharodontosaurids occupied the same areas as two other groups of large theropods, the sail-backed spinosaurids and the short-faced and small-armed abelisaurids. Indeed, fossils of these three groups are often found together (e.g., Medeiros & Schultz, 2002; Brusatte & Sereno, 2007; Sereno & Brusatte, 2008). The diversity of carcharodontosaurids begins to decrease sometime during or after the Cenomanian, and no unequivocal fossils of the group have yet been found in the post-Cenomanian deposits of Gondwana. It appears, therefore, as if carcharodontosaurids went extinct in Gondwana by the Turonian, replaced by other large predatory dinosaurs such as megaraptorids and abelisaurids (Novas et al., 2013). They apparently persisted longer in Laurasia, as the Chinese Shaochilong dates to the Turonian (Brusatte et al., 2009), but sometime during the early-middle Late Cretaceous they were replaced as apex predators in North America and Asia by tyrannosaurids (Brusatte et al., 2009, 2010a; Zanno & Makovicky, 2013).
Some of the best records of large carcharodontosaurids come from the Lower Cretaceous rocks of Egypt, Niger, Morocco and Tunisia, in North Africa. This region underwent a complex paleogeographic history during the time that carcharodontosaurids thrived, as it was completely severed from Europe by the middle Cretaceous and was fragmented by high sea levels.

In this review we discuss the evolution and paleobiogeography of carcharodontosaurids from the middle Cretaceous of North Africa, with the aim of understanding the distribution and ecological role of these large theropods. We focus on the fossil record of the formally described species. As considerable new material of carcharodontosaurids has been discovered in North Africa in recent years, this review is an attempt to summarize our understanding of this group as it currently stands.

Characteristics

Carcharodontosaurids are a subgroup of Allosauroida, “a major clade of basal tetanuran theropods that also includes Allosauridae (Allosaurus and kin), Metriacanthosauridae (= Sinraptoridae, including Sinraptor and close relatives), and Neovenatoridae (Neovenator and most likely the megaraptorans)” (Benson et al., 2010; Carrano et al. 2012 and references therein) (Fig. 1). Allosaurids are mid-to-large size theropods diagnosed by several characters, including a large promaxillary fenestra in the maxilla, pneumatic nasals, lateral crests on the nasals, a large knob on the supraoccipital, and L-shaped chevrons in the middle part of the tail (Carrano et al., 2012).

Carcharodontosauridae is phylogenetically defined as the most inclusive clade containing Carcharodontosaurus saharicus Depéret & Savornin, 1927 but not Allosaurus fragilis Marsh, 1877, Sinraptor dongi Currie & Zhao, 1993, Passer domesticus (Linnaeus, 1758) (definition from Sereno et al., 2005, see also Brusatte & Sereno, 2008).

According to the large-scale basal theropod phylogenetic analysis of Carrano et al. (2012), carcharodontosaurids are diagnosed by several characters, including a short or absent anterior ramus of the maxilla, hyposphenes in the dorsal vertebrae that are comprised of parallel sheets, tall neural spines in the dorsal vertebrae, and a peg-and-socket articulation between the ilium and ischium.

The name-bearing taxon for Carcharodontosauridae is the genus Carcharodontosaurus, which means “a lizard with shark tooth – Carcharodon”. This genus was named by Stromer (1931) based on a disarticulated skeleton found in the Cenomanian of Egypt. In naming this dinosaur, Stromer was inspired by similarities between its dentition and teeth of the great Cenozoic shark Carcharodon. Carcharodontosaurid teeth are relatively wide, laterally compressed, finely serrated, and have wrinkles on the enamel surface (e.g., Sereno et al., 1996; Brusatte et al., 2007; Hendrickx & Mateus, 2014).

In general, the North African carcharodontosaurids were mid-to-large theropods, with estimated total body lengths ranging from an estimate 6-8 meters in Eocarcharia (Sereno & Brusatte, 2008) to ~12 meters in Carcharodontosaurus (Sereno et al., 1996) and perhaps Sauroniops (Cau et al., 2012). They and other carcharodontosaurids had many distinctive features of the anatomy, including sculptured facial bones (particularly the maxilla), thickened and fused bones of the skull roof, extensively fused braincases, and a heavily pneumatized postcranial skeleton (e.g., Stromer, 1931; Stovall & Langston, 1950; Coria & Salgado, 1995; Sereno et al., 1996; Coria & Currie, 2002, 2006; Novas et al., 2005; Brusatte & Sereno, 2007, 2008; Sereno & Brusatte, 2008; Brusatte et al., 2009, 2010b; Ortega et al., 2010; Eddy & Clarke, 2011; Cau et al., 2012). Where known, the forelimbs of carcharodontosaurids are larger and more robust than those of some other large theropods (tyrannosaurs and abelisaurids), and they had three fingers in the hand. The hindlimbs are robust and stocky, seemingly well adapted for bearing the heavy weight of these animals.

Figure 1. Phylogenetic relationships and geochronological distribution of the best-known species of Carcharodontosauridae (according to Carrano et al., 2012).
Diet composition and environmental habitat

Carcharodontosaurs possessed generally tall and short skulls, a feature shared with most large theropods, but differing from the more elongate skulls of tyrannosaurids and the shorter and deeper skulls of abelisaurids. All of these theropods, however, had a carnivorous diet that included dinosaurs and other vertebrates (e.g., Lü et al., 2014). Along with the North African spinosaurids, carcharodontosaurs and abelisaurids are recorded in portions of the continent with marine influence and records of a diverse terrestrial and aquatic fauna.

Spinosauridae is a group of large-to-supersized theropod dinosaurs found in Africa, Asia, Europe, and South America (Stromer, 1915; Buffetaut & Ingavat, 1986; Charig & Milner, 1986; Sereno et al., 1998; Ibrahim et al., 2014). These dinosaurs have an elongated skull, subconical teeth, and dorsally displaced nostrils (Sereno et al., 1998). This morphology gives unique characteristics to this group, similar in many ways to modern crocodylians such as gavials (e.g., Cuff & Rayfield, 2013). Many studies show that the Spinosauridae had a piscivorous diet (Charig & Milner, 1986; Kitchener, 1987; Sereno et al., 1998; Rayfield et al., 2007; Milner, 2003; Amiot et al., 2010; Ibrahim et al., 2014). Nevertheless, evidence suggest that the spinosaurids were not exclusively piscivorous, but also fed on other tetrapods (Charig & Milner, 1997; Gatesy & Amato, 2008; Buffetaut et al., 2004; Kellner, 2004).

Carcharodontosauridae species from the Cretaceous of North Africa

Species: Carcharodontosaurus saharicus Stromer, 1931.

Body size: Estimated between 12 and 13 meters long.

Distribution and geological unit: Algeria – Continental intercalaire; Egypt – Bahariya Formation, Mut Formation; Morocco – Aoufous Formation, Kem Kem Formation; Niger – Continental intercalaire Formation, Echkar Formation, Elrhaz Formation; Tunisia – Chenini Formation, Continental intercalaire Formation (see Carrano et al., 2012 and Candeiro, 2015 all references herein).

Geochronology: Albian-Cenomanian.

General characteristics: Carcharodontosaurus was a colossal theropod dinosaur, estimated at over 12 meters in length and around 3 tons in body mass (Benson et al., 2014). It had a huge skull that was over 1.5 meters long, and clearly was an apex predator. Many studies (Dal Sasso et al., 2009; Eddy & Clarke, 2011) have argued that C. saharicus was possibly larger than the great Tyrannosaurus rex, but smaller than Spinosaurus aegyptiacus, which is found in the same layers as Carcharodontosaurus. The first material of Carcharodontosaurus saharicus was two teeth from Algeria described by Depéret & Savornin (1927). Better material was discovered in Egypt and described by Stromer (1931), but was unfortunately destroyed during an allied bombing raid in Munich during World War II (Nothdurft et al., 2002). This dinosaur remained poorly known until the discovery of a nearly complete new skull in Morocco, described by Sereno et al. (1996).


Body size: Estimated at between 12 and 13 meters long, based on the similar size of corresponding bones (e.g., maxilla) between it and the better known and closely related Carcharodontosaurus saharicus.

Distribution and geological unit: Niger, Echkar Formation.

Geochronology: Cenomanian.

General characteristics: This second species of Carcharodontosaurus was discovered on an expedition to Niger, led by Paul Sereno of the University of Chicago. It is known from several parts of the skull, including a maxilla, part of a lacrimal, a well-preserved braincase and posterior skull roof, and several teeth. These bones are generally similar in size and shape to those of Carcharodontosaurus saharicus, but lack numerous autapomorphies of C. saharicus and possess their own unique characters. As a result, Brusatte & Sereno (2007) named this taxon as a new species of Carcharodontosaurus. They suggested that the presence of different species of this colossal theropod genus at generally the same time in Niger and Morocco may have been related to faunal differentiation, caused by shallow continental seas acting as barriers and isolating different parts of North Africa from each other.


Body size: Approximately between 6 and 8 meters long.

Distribution and geological unit: Niger, Elrhaz Formation.

Geochronology: Aptian-Albian.

General characteristics: Eocarcharia is a more basal carcharodontosaurid than Carcharodontosaurus, and is also several million years older. Like other carcharodontosaurids, it had a large bony ‘brow’ on the postorbital bone, above the orbit. This brow was heavily textured by vascular grooves and probably was covered by keratin in life (Sereno & Brusatte, 2008).


Body size: Unknown. Estimated by Cau et al. (2012) as 10-12 meters long, approximately the same size as Carcharodontosaurus.
Geochronology: Cenomanian.

General characteristics: The carcharodontosaurid *Sauroniops pachytholus* is known only from a single frontal, which was sold by a commercial dealer to a museum in Italy, but was argued to have most probably come from the Kem Kem beds. This bone differs from the frontals of other carcharodontosaurids in having a prominent bony dome on the dorsal surface of the frontal. This feature inspired this taxon’s generic name: Sauron (Eye of Sauron) is a reference to the expressive eye on the top of a tower which represents Sauron, considered the main villain of the Lord of the Rings trilogy (see Cau et al., 2012). If the provenance information for this specimen is correct, it is found in the same layers as other large theropod dinosaurs with correct provenance information for this specimen, it is the Lord of the Rings trilogy (see Cau et al., 2012). If the provenance information for this specimen is correct, it is the Lord of the Rings trilogy (see Cau et al., 2012).

**DISCUSSION**

**Temporal and geographic distribution**

Over the last two decades, the discovery of many new fossils has revolutionized our understanding of carcharodontosaur anatomy, distribution, phylogeny, and evolution, clarifying what was formerly a very poorly known group of large carnivorous dinosaurs. Many of these discoveries come from northern Africa, showing that this region was an important center of carcharodontosaur evolution during the middle part of the Cretaceous.

The first diagnostic fossils of carcharodontosaurids come from the Late Jurassic of Africa (*Veterupristisaurus milneri* Rahut, 2011 and other specimens reviewed therein), and over the next several tens of millions of years this group diversified and spread around the world. They achieved a cosmopolitan distribution, with many species recorded in Gondwana (particularly North Africa and South America), but also in Europe (*Concavenator*), Asia (*Kelmayisaurus, Shaochilong*), and North America (*Acrocanthosaurus*). Currently, there are more species of carcharodontosaurids known from North Africa (four) than any other part of the world.

In North Africa, the Carcharodontosauridae shared habitats with the large abelisaurids (*Rugops, Kryptops*) and spinosaurids (*Suchomimus, Spinosaurus*), forming a common trichotomy of large theropods (*e.g.*, Brusatte & Sereno, 2007; Sereno & Brusatte, 2008) (Fig. 2). This trichotomy apparently persisted for a long period of time, as it is known from various formations of multiple ages (*e.g.*, Aptian-Albian Elrhaz Formation, Cenomanian-Echkar Formation, Cenomanian ‘Kem Kem beds’). Thus, these predators could have competed due niche overlap, or their coexistence could be explained by niche partitioning. In fact, there are many records of dinosaur species found in the same layers that yield the large theropods of North Africa. These regions seem to have been particularly diverse, which may be explained by the paleogeography of the area. During the Aptian-Cenomanian many episodes of sea regression occurred, resulting in the formation of land connections between Africa-South America-Asia. These land connections may have allowed the terrestrial migration of Carcharodontosauridae (*Sereno et al., 1996*) and of other cosmopolitan tetrapods of the middle Cretaceous (*e.g.*, Krause et al., 1997; Rahut, 1999; Sues et al., 2002; Naish et al., 2004; Machado & Kelner, 2005; Cavin et al., 2010; Lindoso et al., 2012; McFeeters et al., 2013; Fanti, 2009, 2012; Candero et al., 2011, Candero, 2015).

The presence of Carcharodontosauridae in the middle Cretaceous of North Africa shows that it is necessary to make a detailed biogeographic analysis of this period. However, the close relationship between Carcharodontosaurus saharicus, *C. iguidensis*, *Eocarcharia dinops*, and *Sauroniops pachytholus* with the South American carcharodontosaurids *Giganotosaurus carolinii* and *Mapusaurus chubutensis*, as well as the presence of carcharodontosaurids in North America, Europe, and Asia, reinforce the hypothesis of faunal interchange between the northern and southern continents during the middle Cretaceous (*e.g.*, Brusatte et al., 2009, 2010b and references therein; Candero, 2015). Indeed, there is growing evidence that many (but not all) dinosaur groups had a wide distribution during the Early to middle part of the Cretaceous, before faunas became more differentiated (provincial) in the Late Cretaceous, when the continents were widely separated (*e.g.*, Brusatte et al., 2009, 2010b and references therein). However, the two other clades of large-bodied predators, Abelisauroidea and Spinosauridae, do not have such a widespread distribution as Carcharodontosauridae during the Early-middle Cretaceous, at least based on the currently known fossil record.

There are many possibilities to explain the pattern observed for the wide distribution of Carcharodontosauridae, which was marked during the middle Cretaceous. Although the rupture of Pangea occurred before this period, the faunal interchange of tetrapods during the Early-middle Cretaceous was made through several dispersal corridors. For example, the trans-Turgai connection was a corridor where faunal interchange between the northern and southern continents possibly occurred. During the middle Cretaceous, Europe was connected to Asia, and closely located to Northern Gondwana (*Smith et al., 1994*).

**Niche overlap**

In a biogeographical context, the Carcharodontosauridae from the middle Cretaceous of Africa are very interesting, as this region had a complex geological history during the fragmentation of Gondwana (*Chen et al., 1993*; Metcalfe, 2006). There is also growing evidence that parts northern South America (*e.g.*, Araripe, Paranaíba, and São Luis basins) were inhabited by many of the same groups of dinosaurs as northern Africa during
this time, including herbivorous species (rebbachisaurids, diplodocids, titanosaurs) and theropods (abelisaurids, neovenatorids, spinosaurids) (Candeiro, 2015). Future discoveries will help to test just how strong this biogeographic linkage was between the two regions during this time, and whether they were more closely linked to each other (in terms of shared faunas) than to other parts of the globe.

Carcharodontosaurs, abelisaurids, and spinosaurids seem to have had very similar diets, which included other tetrapods, and also fish for spinosaurids (Fig. 3). The consumption of tetrapods (especially other dinosaurs) was surely important to these often giant terrestrial predators. The major question is: how did these multiple groups of large predators co-exist with each other? There are several possibilities, which are difficult to test,

Figure 2. North African geographical distribution of the carcharodontosaurs, abelisaurids and spinosaurids during middle Cretaceous. Maps copyright CR Scotese Paleomap.

Figure 3. Paleoenvironmental reconstruction of large theropods from middle Cretaceous North Africa showing carcharodontosaurid (left), abelisaurid (middle) and spinosaurid theropod (right) feeding. Drawing made by L. Vidal.
but which can be the subject of future work. It may be that these groups ate slightly different prey, and in this sense the fish-eating habits of spinosaurids may have been particularly important to differentiate them from other large theropods. Or it could be that these large theropods hunted at different times (e.g., day vs. night), in slightly different habitats (e.g., forest vs. open plains), or in the style they hunted (e.g., pursuit vs. ambush). Or there could be other explanations. Whatever the reason, it is clear that these large theropods must have found a way to successfully live in the same faunas while eating generally similar foods, because all three groups were diverse and had great evolutionary success during the Early-middle Cretaceous.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Carcharodontosaurids were some of the largest predatory dinosaurs to ever live. For many decades there were a mysterious group, represented by only a handful of fossils, but recent discoveries have revolutionized our understanding of their anatomy, systematics, phylogeny, biogeography, and evolution. The best record of carcharodontosaurids comes from northern Africa, where four species have been discovered. We reviewed this record and discussed how it shows that carcharodontosaurids were part of a general cosmopolitan dinosaur fauna that was globally distributed during the Early-middle Cretaceous, with particular affinities with South American taxa. We discussed how physical geography affected the evolution of carcharodontosaurids, particularly the slow fragmentation of Western Gondwana and its separation from Europe during the middle Cretaceous, and the waxing and waning of seaways that fragmented northern Africa into distinct provinces. We also noted how carcharodontosaurids are regularly found alongside fossils of two other types of giant theropods, spinosaurids and abelisaurids, and flagged several possible explanations for how these taxa could coexist but which can be the subject of future work. It may be that these groups ate slightly different prey, and in this sense the fish-eating habits of spinosaurids may have been particularly important to differentiate them from other large theropods. Or it could be that these large theropods hunted at different times (e.g., day vs. night), in slightly different habitats (e.g., forest vs. open plains), or in the style they hunted (e.g., pursuit vs. ambush). Or there could be other explanations. Whatever the reason, it is clear that these large theropods must have found a way to successfully live in the same faunas while eating generally similar foods, because all three groups were diverse and had great evolutionary success during the Early-middle Cretaceous.
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