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ABSTRACT: The mechanism the Chatani-Tobisu rhodium-catalyzed decyanative silylation of aryl nitriles by hexamethyldisilane (MeSi-SiMe3) has been investigated by in-situ NMR spectroscopy. The production of Ar-SiMe3 evolves in three distinct phases: slow catalyst induction is followed by a period of rapidly-accelerating turnover, and then, after approximately three catalyst turnovers, the onset of progressive inhibition. The processes giving rise to these phenomena have been elucidated by isotopic labelling (13C/15N) and kinetic analysis, and it is shown that, in addition to facilitating catalyst turnover to generate Ar-SiMe3, the reactants serve other roles. MeSi-SiMe3 functions as a slow exogenous precatalyst activator, and as a moderately powerful catalyst inhibitor. In contrast ArCN acts as a precatalyst inhibitor. Moreover, the co-product from the reaction (trimethylsilyl cyanide, MeSiCN), acts as a powerful endogenous precatalyst activator and catalyst inhibitor, together giving rise to sigmoidal temporal concentration profiles for [Ar-SiMe3]. Kinetic studies of the reaction during the phase of progressive inhibition suggest that, for a given initial catalyst concentration, the maximum rate of turnover is achieved when the concentration of [MeSi-SiMe3] and [ArCN] partition the Rh equally between two major resting states, one on-cycle, the other off-cycle. The off-cycle resting-state was identified as a Rh(III) complex: (MeSi)3Rh(CN-SiMe3), as confirmed by independent synthesis and isotopic labelling (13C/15N); the on-cycle resting state has been tentatively assigned as (MeSi-NC)RhAr. Overall the results indicate that the catalytic process can in principle be made much more efficient by engendering a pathway or process for MeSiCN sequestration.

Introduction

The cleavage (‘activation’) of C-C σ-bonds by transition metals remains a significant challenge in synthetic chemistry. In contrast to the more commonly encountered C-H activation, there have been far fewer reports on the successful cleavage of C-C bonds with homogeneous catalysts.

Scheme 1. Examples of Metal-mediated C-C Bond Cleavage

Most metal-catalyzed C-C σ-bond cleaving processes proceed via pathways involving the oxidative addition of compounds containing strained rings, directing groups, or polarized C-C bonds such as organonitriles (Scheme 1, a-c). As such, these reactions commonly feature low-valent and electron-rich transition metal catalysts. An interesting example of transition metal mediated C-C σ-bond cleavage which operates by an alternative mechanism was reported in 2002 by Bergman and Brookhart (Scheme 2). Supported by kinetic studies, and isolated intermediates, it was shown that the mechanism of this transformation involves the silylmetallation of an organonitrile. The resulting η-imminoaclyl rhodium complex, 1b, can readily undergo C-C bond-cleaving retromigratory insertion to generate rhodium isocyanide complex 1c. While the process developed by Bergman and Brookhart was stoichiometric in nature, it paved the way for a catalytic variant, reported in 2006 by Chatani and Tobisu. They demonstrated that hexamethyldisilane (MeSi-SiMe3) could be used to convert aryl nitriles into the corresponding aryl silanes (Ar-SiMe3; Scheme 3) using a commercially available and robust precatalyst ([Rh(COD)Cl]2), albeit at high temperatures, vide infra. Analogous processes, generating arenes and aryl boronic ester products, using silane5 and diborane6 reagents, respectively, were later developed by the same research group. The reactions
were proposed to proceed via similar or related mechanisms. In particular, the decyanative borylation of aryl nitriles via the formation of imminoacyl intermediates has been supported both by computation,\textsuperscript{7} and stoichiometric studies performed by Esteruelas and coworkers.\textsuperscript{8} To the best of our knowledge however, the kinetics of catalyst activation and turnover of this fascinating class of reactions have not been reported.

\begin{center}
\textbf{Scheme 2. Silyl Mediated C-C Bond Cleavage.}
\end{center}

We were drawn to the silylation of aryl nitriles, a process that uses a simple homogeneous catalyst system, and proceeds in the absence of base or any extraneous additives or ligands. The aryl silane products are versatile reagents in organic chemistry. They are stable to both air and moisture, undergo transmetalation to late transition metals,\textsuperscript{9} and have recently proven to be very effective coupling partners in gold-catalyzed oxidative arylation of alkenes\textsuperscript{10} and arenes.\textsuperscript{11} The preparation of ArSiR\textsubscript{3} reagents by Chatani-Tobisu silylation (Scheme 3) provides an appealing alternative to the more common strategies, e.g. lithiation / silylation.

\begin{center}
\textbf{Scheme 3. Chatani-Tobisu Silylation}
\end{center}

We were thus intrigued as to why the procedure has not been more widely adopted. Features that may be in part responsible for this are the relatively high reaction temperatures (130-150 °C) as well as high catalyst loadings and long reaction times. Herein we report on an \textit{in-situ} study of the Chatani-Tobisu decyanative silylation, conducted to elucidate the mechanistic origins of the limiting features of the reaction. As described below, an array of kinetic phenomena that mask what is an underlying efficient catalytic process have been elucidated, these include pre-catalyst auto-induction, reagent inhibition and catalyst auto-inhibition.

\section*{Discussion}

Although relatively few details on the mechanism were provided in the original reports,\textsuperscript{4} preliminary $^{29}$Si NMR studies did identify that Me$_3$SiCl was generated from stoichiometric reaction of the [Rh(COD)Cl]: precatalyst with Me$_3$Si-NC.\textsuperscript{18} This process was proposed to generate a rhodium silyl species A (Scheme 3) which could then catalyze conversion of ArCN to ArSiMe$_3$ and the isonitrile Me$_3$Si-NC, via a Bergman-Brookhart type mechanism\textsuperscript{3} (Scheme 2). The resulting isonitrile (Me$_3$Si-NC) was proposed to isomerize, off metal, to its nitrile isomer (Me$_3$Si-CN). The latter species was detected in the reaction mixture at the end of the reaction, and identified by trapping with acetophenone / I$_2$.\textsuperscript{9a} To effect turnover, the catalyst must cleave the Si-Si bond in the bulky and relatively unreactive hexaalkyldisilane,\textsuperscript{12} however, details on the identity of the catalyst, including ligation, and the oxidation state(s) of intermediates remained elusive.

\subsection*{1. Selection of Conditions for In-situ Analysis.}

We chose the reaction of 4-fluorobenzonitrile, 2 with hexamethyldisilane, 3 in mesitylene, catalyzed by [Rh(COD)Cl]: for detailed study. Conducting the reaction under the reported conditions (2.0 M 2; 4.0 M 3; 5 mol % Rh; sealed tube, 130 °C)\textsuperscript{19} resulted in >95% conversion of 2 over a period of 18h, and the generation of 84% 4 ($^{19}$F NMR) and Me$_3$SiCN ($^{29}$Si NMR). However, the high concentrations of reactants (6 M), and the resulting viscosity of the reaction medium, led to poor quality NMR spectra. By reducing the concentrations 20-fold, the process could be cleanly monitored \textit{in-situ} by $^{19}$F NMR at 130 °C. On further investigation, the reaction profile was found to be identical to that obtained by periodic heating, with intermittent analysis at standard NMR spectrometer probe temperatures (27 °C). This quasi-\textit{in-situ} monitoring procedure proved much more efficient, as it allowed a series of reactions to be analyzed in parallel by NMR.

\subsection*{2 Identification of Three Phases of Turnover.}

Using this quasi-\textit{in-situ} monitoring technique, a number of key features emerged, Fig 1A. The reaction proceeds in three distinct phases: an induction period is followed by a short period of accelerating turnover (the 'burst-phase'), which in turn is followed by a prolonged period with marked and progressive reduction in turnover rate. Overall, this
results in an elongated sigmoidal temporal product concentration profile, and complete conversion of 2 requires 7 days to reach >90% conversion. The total reaction time contrasts with the burst-phase where 20% conversion of 2 occurs in just 20 mins; features that are indicative of powerful catalyst inhibition during reaction. Addition of a second batch of pre-catalyst (5 mol %) in the early stages of inhibition resulted in a second burst-phase, notably shorter, and without an induction period (Fig. 1B). Further investigation revealed that the length of the induction period depends on the initial concentrations of both the aryl nitrile 2 and the disilane 3, the former lengthening it, the latter shortening it, Fig 1C. During induction, two Ar-derived species (5 and 6) are generated. Their temporal concentration profiles, Fig 1D, suggest that they are generated sequentially (2 → 5 → 6) with \([6]_{\text{max}} = -0.5[Rh]_0\). \(^{13}\)C NMR analysis using \(^{13}\)C-labelled substrate (\(^{13}\)C-2) indicated that both 5 and 6 retain the cyanide-derived carbon from 2, with chemical shifts (\(\delta C = 169.2, 160.1 \text{ ppm (5) and 165.0, 171.2 \text{ ppm (6)}\) indicative of Ar-C(Y)=X type structures, e.g. where Y = Cl, and X = N-SiMe\text{3}. However, both species proved highly-reactive and evaded isolation. In addition to 5 and 6, fluorobenzene (7, 1.8 %) is generated as the burst-phase ensues, presumably via protoderhodation.\(^ {13}\) The proton-source was not identified, and 7 was always generated in trace levels, despite assembly of reactions in a N\(_2\)-filled glove-box, using rigorously-dried reactants, solvents and catalyst.

Similar-shaped sigmoidal reaction profiles were observed at higher concentrations of both 2 and 3 (Fig. 1C). However, although higher concentrations of 3 (0.4 M) reduce the length of the induction period and lead to higher rates in the burst-phase, it also causes slower rates of turnover during the inhibition-phase. In contrast, higher concentrations of 2 result in longer induction but faster turnover during inhibition, vide infra. The transitions between induction, burst-phase and inhibition also gave characteristic color changes: the homogeneous yellow pre-catalyst solution turns intensely-orange/red as the burst-phase ensues, and then yellow/brown as the inhibition-phase is established; indicative of distinct changes in Rh-speciation.

3. Catalyst Inhibition versus Turnover Number. Pathways for catalyst decomposition and inhibition are often overlooked in reaction development, but understanding such processes inform the design of improved catalyst performance, in particular the turnover number.\(^ {15}\) A key insight to the inhibition occurring in the Chatani-Tobisu silylation came from analysis of the transition from burst-phase to inhibition-phase as a function of pre-catalyst concentration, Figure 2. By normalizing the reaction profiles by catalyst turnover number, it became evident that the onset of inhibition occurs after consumption of 3.0±0.5 molecules of aryl nitrile per rhodium. Analysis by \(^{29}\)Si NMR indicated that the MeSiCN co-product is generated.

Figure 1. A) Typical temporal reaction concentration profile. B) Effect of additional [Rh(COD)Cl\text{2}] (5 mol%) added at 1500 s. C) Effect of initial concentrations on length of induction. D) Comparison of temporal concentration profile of intermediates associated with pre-catalyst activation (5, 6, 7) with reaction product 4.
in increasing concentration through the inhibition-phase, but cannot be detected in solution during induction or the burst-phase. At no stage in the reaction was solution-phase isonitrile (Me$_3$Si-NC) detected ($^{29}$Si NMR), and collectively the data suggests that three molecules of Me$_3$SiCN/NC accumulate during the burst phase of the reaction, but remain in a rhodium-bound state.

4. Identification of Rh-isonitrile Complexes. Further in-situ $^{29}$Si NMR analysis, after the onset of inhibition revealed that in addition to MeSiCl, MeSi-O-SiMe$_3$, MeSi-SiMe$_3$ (3), MeSiCN and ArSiMe$_3$ (4), two species (8 / 9) are also present, but at low concentrations. The net concentration of 8 + 9 is proportional to the rhodium-catalyst loading, indicative that they are rhodium complexes. Their relative abundance (8 / 9) is dependent on the reactant (ArCN / disilane 3) proportions, 8 being favored by higher concentrations of arylnitrile, [2].

Complex 9 displays more informative spectral data, consistent with three silicon environments (two isochronous). There are two doublets at δ = 2.7 and −2.7 ppm, with rhodium-couplings (J$_{Si-Rh}$ = 19.2, and 19.7 Hz, respectively) indicative of Rh-SiMe$_3$ moieties, Figure 3B. When $^{13}$C-nitrile labelled 4-fluorobenzonitrile ([$^{13}$C]-2) is employed, the upper-field doublet gains an additional quartet coupling (δ = −2.7 ppm, J$_{Si-C}$ = 5.1 Hz), the other doublet δ = 2.7 ppm becoming a non-resolved multiplet, Figure 3C. The second pair of silicon environments are present as singlets at δ = 2.2 ppm, which become doublets (J$_{Si-N}$ = 5.2 Hz) when $^{15}$N-labelled 4-fluorobenzonitrile ($^{15}$N-2) is employed, Figure 3D.

Figure 3. In situ analysis by $^{29}$Si NMR (80 MHz) and $^{13}$C[$^1$H] NMR (101 MHz) of Rh-catalyzed reactions ([Rh]$_{tot}$ = 0.01 M) of 2 (0.025 to 0.3 M) with 3 (0.1 M) in $h_2$-mesitylene at 300 K, during the inhibition phase. A) $^{29}$Si NMR spectra of reactions conducted with varying initial concentrations of ArCN (2); signal for 3 (~20 ppm) not shown. B) reference $^{29}$Si NMR sub-spectrum of 9 generated from unlabeled 2. C) $^{29}$Si NMR sub-spectrum of $^{13}$C-9 generated from $^{13}$C-2. D) $^{29}$Si NMR sub-spectrum, reaction $^{15}$N-9 generated from $^{15}$N-2. E) $^{13}$C[$^1$H] NMR sub-spectrum of $^{13}$C-9 generated from $^{13}$C-2.

![Figure 2. Consumption of 2, normalized by total rhodium concentration, at different [Rh(COD)Cl]: precatalyst loadings.](image-url)
Complex 8 displays the simplest $^{29}$Si NMR spectral data, a slightly broadened singlet ($\delta = 6.0$ ppm), assumed to arise from a MeSi moiety, and is the hardest to identify. The lack of any evident Rh-coupling, or $^{13}$C-coupling when $^{13}$C-2 is employed, suggests the MeSi is bound to N, i.e. as an isonitrile. The generation of three molecules of ArSiMe$_3$ (4), Figure 2, before any of the co-product (MeSiCN) is detected in solution, then suggests that 8 bears three isonitrile ligands, ([Rh(\text{X})(CNSiMe$_3$)])$_2$. Since the Cl from the [Rh(COD)Cl]: pre-catalyst emerges as MeSiCl during induction, and there are no signals evident for MeSi-Rh, (i.e. X is not Cl, or MeSi) a process of elimination suggests that 8 is a complex of the form as [Rh(\text{Ar})(CNSiMe$_3$)], where the isonitrile ligands undergo exchange at the $^{29}$Si NMR timescale; however this assignment is tentative.

The $^{13}$C[\text{H}] NMR spectrum of the reaction of $^{13}$C-2 during the inhibition phase displayed several downfield resonances, most notably two multiplets ($\delta = 180.4$ and 180.3 ppm, $J\text{\text{H-C}} = 37.7$, and 46.8 Hz, $J\text{\text{C-C}} = 4.7$ and 3.6 Hz respectively), indicative of rhodium bound isocyanides, Figure 3E. At higher conversions, additional downfield multiplets are observed in the $^{13}$C[\text{H}] NMR spectrum, likely to arise from higher-order isocyanide complexes, see SI for further details. Collectively, the $^{29}$Si/$^{13}$C NMR data are consistent with the presence of two species, a Rh(I) complex tentatively assigned as [Rh(\text{Ar})(CNSiMe$_3$)] (8) and favored by higher concentrations of ArCN (2), and a Rh(III) complex (9) with a [mer-Rh($^{13}$C(SiMe$_3$)](CNSiMe$_3$)] type structure, favored by higher concentrations of MeSi-SiMe$_3$ (3).


To the best of our knowledge, a Rh($^{13}$C(SiMe$_3$)$_3$) type complex has not been previously described in the literature, although complex 9 is reminiscent of iridium tris(boryl) species previously described by Marder and Westcott, and Ishiyama, Miyaura and Hartwig. Complex 9 was independently synthesized by the reaction of [Rh(COD)Cl]: with excess MeSiCN and MeSi-SiMe$_3$ in toluene at 130 $^\circ$C in a sealed tube under N$_2$ (Fig. 4). The complex is highly air- and moisture-sensitive, and was analyzed in-situ by $^{13}$C/$^2$Si NMR. All attempts to crystallize it were unsuccessful; indeed removal of the solvent, or addition of hexane resulted in the immediate precipitation of an intensely purple-colored solid, tentatively assigned as 10, potentially in polymeric form. Complex 9 reacts with 1 equivalent 4-fluorobenzonitrile 2 in the presence of 3 at 130 $^\circ$C, to generate ArSiMe$_4$. With $^{13}$C-labelled $^{13}$C-2, the reaction generates $^{13}$C-labelled 9 with the two isonitrile sites labelled in the expected 2:1 statistical ratio, Fig. 4.
Figure 5. The effect of exogenous MeSiCN, added at t = 480 seconds, the approximate mid-point of the ‘burst-phase’, on the evolution of the Rh-catalyzed reaction of 2 with 3.

7. Pre-catalyst Induction by MeSiCN. A remarkable difference in outcome is observed when MeSiCN is present at the start of the reaction. With just 10 mol % exogenous MeSiCN, the usual induction period is completely bypassed, allowing direct entry into the burst-phase of the reaction (Fig. 6). Moreover, the unidentified species 5 and 6 associated with the normally slow induction process, are absent. With increasing loadings of MeSiCN, the burst-phase is also bypassed, and the inhibition phase entered directly. However, catalyst turnover becomes disproportionately inhibited when >40 mol % of MeSiCN is added, suggesting that in addition to acceleration of pre-catalyst induction, there is a competing MeSiCN-induced pre-catalyst degradation.

Figure 6. The effect of exogenous MeSiCN, added at t = 0, on the evolution of the Rh-catalyzed reaction of 2 with 3.

The profound reduction in the pre-catalyst induction period by MeSiCN explains why the normal reaction profile (Figure 1A) displays an accelerating burst-phase: endogenous MeSiCN arising from slow initial turnover effects pre-catalyst auto-induction, Scheme 4. Alkyl isocyanide ligands accelerate Pd-catalyzed activation of Si-Si bonds, an effect ascribed to the small steric environment that they impose at the metal center. To further explore this aspect, 10 mol % tBuNC was tested, and analogously to MeSiCN, this effects rapid pre-catalyst induction, even at low hexamethyldisilane concentrations, Fig 7. The isomeric nitrile (tBuCN, 10 mol%) caused no significant change in the evolution of the reaction (induction, burst phase, inhibition), see SI, indicative that it is unable to isomerize to tBuNC under the reaction conditions.

Figure 7. The effect of tBuNC (0.01 M, 10 mol %) at t = 0, on the evolution of the Rh-catalyzed reaction of 2 with 3.

In-situ $^{29}$Si NMR analysis of the [Rh(COD)Cl]$_2$ / 3 pre-catalyst mixture confirmed that MeSiCl ($\delta$= 30 ppm), the co-product of catalyst activation, is generated at room temperature on addition of exogenous MeSiCN. Up to 30 mol % of MeSiCN can be added before free MeSiCN is detected, and $^1$H NMR analysis shows that the COD ligand is fully liberated from Rh. All of the above suggests that the induction and auto-induction processes both lead to isonitrile-ligated Rh-silyl complexes (L = MeSiNC, Scheme 4).

Scheme 4. Pre-catalyst Induction and Auto-induction.
8. Kinetic Analysis of the Inhibition-Phase. With a method in hand to bypass induction and the associated side-products (5, 6), the kinetics of turnover during the major phase of the reaction could be efficiently explored. The initial rate of product generation (ArSiMe₃, 4) was analyzed by F NMR during the first 30±10 minutes of turnover in the inhibition-phase, with systematic variation in the initial concentrations of the [Rh(COD)Cl]₂ pre-catalyst, the aryl nitrile 2, and disilane 3, (Figures 8A, 8B, 8C respectively).

Three distinct kinetic dependencies are observed. The simplest is that in Figure 8A, where the rate is directly proportional to the [Rh]₀ concentration, indicative of a monomeric catalyst system, nominally mononuclear ([Rh]). The initial rate dependency on aryl nitrile, Figure 8B, displays a classic saturation profile, i.e. tending towards independence of [2]₀ at higher concentrations. The disilane gave the most complex profile, Figure 8C; the rate increasing with [3]₀ at lower concentrations, before reaching a threshold in [3]₀, above which the rate begins to decrease. The profile is indicative of competitive inhibition by the reagent (disilane 3). There was no evidence for product inhibition (ArSiMe₃), as confirmed by control experiments [4]₀ = 0.05 M (50 mol %), see SI.

The overall kinetics can be accounted for by a steady-state rate equation based on the simple model shown in Scheme 5. Whilst individual rate constants cannot be explicitly solved, i.e. there are numerous values that collectively provide an equally good fit to the data, the model does allow for a scenario in which there are two dominant catalyst species, 8 and 9. In such a model, increasing ArCN (2) concentration favors equilibrium Kᵣ, thus increasing the rate of generation of complex 8, via kᵣ. In contrast, increasing disilane (3) concentration accelerates the generation of product (4) by depleting (kₙ) 8, but also inhibits turnover by reversible generation (Kₙ) of 9. Under these conditions, concentrations of 2 / 3 that distribute the rhodium equally between 8 and 9 facilitate the most efficient turnover; for [2]₀ = 0.1 M, this condition is satisfied when [3]₀ = 0.15 M, Figure 8C.

![Scheme 5. Steady-State Model for Turnover](image)

9. Mechanism of Chatani-Tobisu Decyanative Silylation. Based on our observations, and previous reports, we propose the overarching mechanism depicted in Figure 9 to be the major pathway for induction and turnover in Chatani-Tobisu decyanative silylation reaction. The process has three main phases (I-III).

In the induction-phase (I), the pre-catalyst undergoes very slow reaction with disilane (3) to generate Me:Si:Cl and small quantities of a rhodium-silyl complex. Increasing disilane concentration increases the rate, shortening the induction period. During induction, the COD ligand is also displaced, and presumably replaced by aryl nitrile (2) ligands. The resulting Rh(I) complex can then induce what is in effect a nitrile-isonitrile isomerization (see inset to Figure 9) to generate rhodium aryl complex 8, bearing
a nascent MeSi-NC ligand. Reaction of 8 with disilane (3) generates the Ar-SiMe₃ product (4) and rhodium silyl complex 10. A second nitrile-isonitrile isomerization, followed by coordination of aryl nitrile (2), completes the catalytic cycle and liberates MeSi-CN.

The second stage of turnover, the 'burst-phase' (II), involves auto-induction. Coordination of MeSi-CN to the precatalyst accelerates its reaction with disilane (3) to generate MeSi-Cl and active Rh-silyl species; this effect is counteracted by increasing concentration of aryl nitrile (2), lengthening the period required to enter the burst-phase. As more catalyst becomes activated, the rate of generation of MeSi-CN rises, as does the rate of pre-catalyst activation, until all of the rhodium is 'on-cycle'.

The third stage of turnover, the 'inhibition-phase' (III), involves auto-inhibition, and is entered after three full turnovers of catalyst. At this stage, rhodium complex 8 has been saturated with MeSi-isonitrile ligands. Turnover requires MeSi-nitrile-displacement by aryl nitrile (2); progressive turnovers reduce the concentration of 2 and increase the concentration of MeSi-CN, leading to progressive decrease in turnover rate. Exogenous MeSi-CN induces all of the above effects. The disilane concentration also impacts on the rate of turnover during phase III. Increasing disilane 3 concentration accelerates product-generation from what is tentatively assigned as a rhodium aryl complex 8, presumably via a Rh(III)trisilyl intermediate analogous to 9. However, generation of 9 moves rhodium off-cycle, leading to reduction in overall turnover rate. The relative proportions of the major resting states, 8 and 9, and thus turnover rate, are thus dictated by the concentrations of 2 and 3.

**Figure 9.** Mechanisms for induction (phase I), turnover (phase II) and inhibition (phase III) in Chatani-Tobisu Decyanative Silylation of aryl nitriles (2) by disilane reagent 3. Inset to lower left shows schematic temporal concentration profiles for the three distinct phases. The inset in the lower right shows the generic mechanism for nitrile-isonitrile isomerization with accompanying exchange of ligands (R / Me₃Si) bound to Rh.

**Conclusions**

The Chatani-Tobisu rhodium catalysed decyanative silylation of aryl nitriles (2) is a reaction with substantial but as yet unrealized potential for application. Herein we have demonstrated that the process displays a number of kinetic phenomena that are rarely reported in homogenous catalysis. Key features include auto-induction, reagent-inhibition, and auto-inhibition. Whilst the cycle presented in Figure 9 is simplified and contains a number of 'telescoped' steps, it accounts for all of the spectroscopic (NMR) observations and kinetic phenomena detailed above. In particular it explains the origins of the three phases of turnover: induction (I), burst-phase (II), and inhibition (III). We have also detected (by ²⁹Si/¹³C NMR) two major resting states in the reaction. One is tentatively assigned as [Rh′(Ar)(CNSiMe₃)₃] (8), the other, a [mer-RhIII(SiMe₃)(CNSiMe₃)₃] complex (9) has been independently synthesized, allowing demonstration of its relevance to the catalytic cycle, albeit as an off-cycle intermediate.

All of the components in the reaction, other than the arylsilane product (4) play conflicting roles in the overall
process: the aryl nitrile substrate (2) inhibits the induction (I), and burst-phase (II), but accelerates turnover during inhibition (III), within the limits of saturation (Figure 8B). The silane reagent (3) accelerates induction (I), the burst-phase (II), and turnover during inhibition (III), within the limits of competitive inhibition, becoming an inhibitor at high concentrations (Figure 8C). The MeSiCN co-product accelerates induction (I), thus leading to the burst-phase (II), but it inhibits turnover during phase (III) by competing with aryl nitrile 2 as a ligand for rhodium. Reactions in which substrates and products have such contradictory roles are rare in the literature. The analysis indicates that optimum catalyst performance will be achieved with [3] / [2] ratios that depend on the absolute concentration of 2; in other words under some conditions, substoichiometric quantities of 3 will provide maximum rate. Reactions conducted at twenty-fold higher initial concentrations of reactants 2 and 3 (both 2 M) employing 1 mol% Rh, with 2 mol% MeSiCN to bypass induction, and analyzed by the quasi-in-situ ¹³F NMR monitoring procedure, also displayed a burst phase followed by progressive inhibition. As the initial concentration of silane (3) is reduced to 1 M then 0.7 M, the rate of turnover in the burst phase increased, consistent with silane 3 acting as an inhibitor under the normal conditions employed for synthesis, see SI.

It is also of note that the proposed mechanism requires no off-metal nitrile-isonitrile isomerization processes, and thus no free MeSiNC isonitrile in solution, as is observed experimentally. The mechanism also explains the dramatic effects observed when tBuNC is added to the reaction (Figure 5): the burst-phase is directly entered, and if tBuNC is ineffective in nitrile-isonitrile isomerization (as suggested by the lack of inhibition on addition of tBuCN), it will remain ligated as its C-bound isomer, undergoing much slower displacement by aryl nitrile (2) and thus very slow turnover. The effect of isocyanides on the activation of disilanes, to the best of our knowledge has not been previously reported in rhodium catalysis.

The results presented may be viewed as a cautionary study on the importance of mechanistic understanding in reaction development. The study predicts that i) sequestration of the MeSiCN co-product from the reaction mixture; ii) use of a rhodium silyl precatalyst, and iii) careful selection of absolute and relative reactant (2/3) concentrations, will together lead to substantial increases in the efficiency and thus broader application of Chatani-Tobisu decyanative silylation.¹
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