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Abstract

Parsing continuous human motion into meaningful segments plays an essential role in various applications. In this work, we propose a hierarchical dynamic clustering framework to derive action clusters from a sequence of local features in an unsupervised bottom-up manner. We systematically investigate the modules in this framework and particularly propose diverse temporal pooling schemes, in order to realize accurate temporal action localization. We demonstrate our method on two motion parsing tasks: temporal action segmentation and abnormal behavior detection. The experimental results indicate that the proposed framework is significantly more effective than the other related state-of-the-art methods on several datasets.

1 Introduction

Human motion parsing is the task of partitioning a continuous human motion sequence into several meaningful primitive actions. It has various applications including animation, gait analysis and human-robot interaction, and is of great interest both in research and industry.

In recent years, human motion parsing is mainly investigated by model-based methods [1, 4, 22, 24], which require a large amount of training data and manual annotation. Thus, they are often not applicable when training data is limited or testing scenarios have visual domain shifting. For example, recording daily behaviors of patients (especially in video) are in general forbidden due to privacy issues, hence only few data is available to train the model offline. Moreover, a number of applications require fast response (e.g., ≤ 0.1 second) to the sensory input, such as detecting falling and raising the alarm, yet the supervised methods usually have unsatisfactory lags.
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These limitations can be overcome by unsupervised methods of human motion parsing \cite{8, 14, 32, 35}. Despite being applicable to uncontrolled real-world scenarios, an essential difficulty of the unsupervised method is to aggregate temporal local features (e.g., frame-wise body skeleton data) to the patterns of actions spanning in longer time durations, without the support of learned models as in the supervised methods. Thus, it is expected to setup a bottom-up pipeline to generate patterns of different actions, which can be subsequently differentiated by straightforward metrics like the Euclidean distance. Referring to the literature of action recognition \cite{20, 28}, local input features are encoded according to a codebook, then fused by a temporal pooling scheme. The outstanding performances with simple classifiers, like linear SVM, can indicate that such bottom-up aggregation is effective. Nevertheless, each video is trimmed to contain only one action, hence the temporal pooling step is simply to compute the average of encoded features of the entire video.

When processing natural untrimmed input streams, the temporal pooling scheme becomes highly nontrivial, since it is required to determine the temporal boundaries of different actions in a video. Without the support of supervised models, in which the temporal durations can be learned from massive data, e.g. \cite{1}, solving such problem is extremely challenging. First, human behaviors have a large range of temporal scales and intra-person variations. Second, determining accurate temporal durations requires precise action representations, and vice versa, leading to a “chicken-egg” problem that two inherently difficult tasks, namely action representation and action temporal localization, are coupled.

To address these challenges, in this paper we propose a hierarchical dynamic clustering framework, which is illustrated in Figure 1. Referring to the Bag-of-Words method for action analysis \cite{20}, in our framework the codebook is learned via dynamic clustering \cite{32}.
and the feature aggregation step comprises feature encoding and temporal pooling. With
the merits of dynamic clustering, the number of the clusters is not necessary to be known
in advance, but is estimated from the input data stream and is determined by the nature of
human motion continuity. As it is unsupervised, self-evolving and efficient to process the
input data stream (faster than standard k-means and spectral clustering as discussed in [32]),
it could be employed in a large number of applications both in research and industry.

Another key contribution of our work is the study of temporal pooling methods for un-
supervised human motion parsing. Besides validating the standard dense sliding window
scheme [5], we propose two effective pooling schemes: kernelized cut-based pooling which
is derived from the work [8, 23], and motion energy-based pooling which is inspired by the
work [13, 31], in which the motion energy measure is proposed based on the concept of
cognitive attention. We demonstrate our method on two motion parsing tasks: temporal ac-
tion segmentation and abnormal action detection. The experimental results indicate that the
proposed framework is significantly more effective than previous state-of-the-art in terms of
accuracy and efficiency. For instance, for the temporal action segmentation task, our method
achieves 0.87/0.91 of precision/recall values in the CMUMAD dataset. For the abnormality
detection test, our method achieves 0.92 of accuracy in 0.07 second to recognize fainting as
a novel behavior in the BOMNI dataset [3].

2 Related Work

Here we discuss several investigations in the past relevant to our methodology, as well as
some studies on temporal action segmentation and abnormal behavior detection, which can
be solved by human motion parsing.

Human motion parsing. Human motion parsing is highly related to continuous action
understanding [2, 4, 22, 30] and action detection in untrimmed videos [1, 6, 21, 24, 29, 33],
which are prevalent in recent years. However, we are motivated to propose alternative meth-
ods, which are unsupervised, efficient and not data-hungry. Our hierarchical dynamic clus-
tering framework is motivated by the effective performances of bag-of-words pipelines for
action recognition [20, 28] and the dynamic clustering [32] for temporal action segmentation.

Temporal action segmentation. Parsing a continuous human motion can directly yield
the segmentation result. In the case of temporal action segmentation, the temporal bound-
aries are focused while the segmentation labels (generated by clustering methods) can be
ignored. Zhou et al. [35] proposes aligned cluster analysis (ACA) that uses a kernel for
time series alignment and obtain optimized temporal boundaries via dynamic clustering.
Krüger et al. [12] proposes an efficient motion segmentation approach (EMS), in which a
feature bundling method is used to generate compact and robust motion representations. Li
et al. [14] addresses motion segmentation via temporal subspace clustering (TSC). Zhang et
al. [32] proposes a dynamic clustering algorithm (DC) to segment human actions temporally,
and systematically compare different clustering methods for codebook learning.

Abnormality detection. In [16], abnormalities are regarded as statistical outliers of the
distribution of normal behaviors. In [18], a two-dimensional tree structure is established
based on normal behaviors and abnormal behaviors in the test data are detected by matching.
In [9], a deep autoencoder is trained to learn the temporal regularity of normal behaviors, and
abnormalities are detected by detecting irregular patterns. These methods are unsupervised,
but require training data to create a model. In contrast, our human motion parsing method
detects novelties only based on previously preprocessed data in the same sequence. After
long-term observation, novel behaviors are normally equivalent to abnormal behaviors.

**Comparison with dynamic clustering** [32]. Our method is distinguished from [32] according to the following two aspects: (1) our method uses dynamic clustering to process high-level action patterns, while [32] uses k-means. (2) our method uses novel temporal pooling methods, i.e., kernelized cut-based and motion energy-based pooling, while [32] uses sliding window or dataset-dependent approaches.

### 3 Methods

#### 3.1 Hierarchical dynamic clustering framework

Our hierarchical framework is proposed based on the *bag-of-words* pipeline, as illustrated in the block of **Hierarchical Dynamic Clustering** in Figure 1. In our work, we use dynamic clustering for codebook learning and soft-assignment for feature encoding due to validated performances on action analysis [20, 32]. Therefore, we can create a bottom-up pipeline to convert low-level input features to action patterns in an unsupervised manner.

While it is known that dynamic clustering outperforms k-means in terms of codebook learning (grouping low-level input features) [32], there have not been extensive studies on how well dynamic clustering is able to group high-level action patterns. Because of this, we replace the k-means module in a previous studies [32] by other methods, and evaluate their performances. We first conduct a qualitative study here, while the quantitative results are discussed in Section 4. We find that dynamic clustering outperforms k-means and spectral clustering [19, 27] for action pattern grouping, and hence use dynamic clustering after temporal pooling, as shown in Figure 1.

We estimate the improved dense trajectories and derive Fisher vectors [28] of the *drinkwaterS1R1* video in the RADL dataset [17], which consists of three actions (i.e., *fetching water*, *pouring water* and *drinking water*). The Gaussian mixture model has 32 components and is trained from the videos *drinkwaterS1R2* and *drinkwaterS1R3*. The Fisher vectors have 12,288 dimensions, and are extracted using a sliding window of 50-frame length and 1-frame stride. We apply PCA to reduce the dimension of vectors to 50 before performing the clustering algorithm.

The results are shown in Figure 2, in which the sequence of the high-dimensional feature vectors are visualized by t-SNE [15]. One can see that the dynamic clustering algorithm is able to generate and update cluster structures in an online fashion, leading to comparable results with the human annotation. The k-means and the spectral clustering algorithms falsely parses *pouring water* and *drinking water* actions into non-consecutive segments.

#### 3.2 Temporal Pooling

A standard temporal pooling method is to use a time sliding window on the input sequence [5]. However, due to large variations in the temporal scales of different motions, a unique pre-defined window size leads to fusing information of different actions into one pattern and hence often produces poor motion features, which is illustrated in Figure 3. When the window size is too large, many different short-term actions are merged, leading to imprecise temporal localization. When the time window is too small, long-term actions are split and also the temporal structure represented by each action pattern will be limited.
To overcome this, we propose two alternative pooling methods: the kernelized cut-based pooling that creates an embedding space making action patterns more distinguishable, and the motion energy-based pooling that utilizes attention to categorize human motions into \textit{still poses} and \textit{moving actions}. In particular, the motion energy-based pooling is simple yet effective for encoding representative motion features, because the temporal window is retrieved from the energy measures that could be considered as another information source, avoiding the classic “chicken-egg” problem between the temporal pooling window and encoded motion features.

**Kernelized cut-based pooling.** Our kernelized cut method is developed on top of the dense sliding window scheme: We first run the sliding window aggregation with 1-frame stride, then use the kernelized cut method to determine disjoint time windows, and at last aggregate the encoded input features within each individual time window to derive the parsing result.

Referring to [8, 14, 23], one can create a fully connected graph, design a kernel function to specify the edge weights and perform graph cut. Here an essential question is to design the kernel function. Inspired by the work of [8], we propose a kernel function to measure feature similarity with consideration of local temporal structures. Given a set of features \( \{ x_i \} \), the
The kernel function \( k(\cdot, \cdot) \) is given by

\[
k(x_i, x_j) = k_s(x_i, x_j) \cdot k_t(x_i, x_j) = \exp(-\alpha \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2) \cdot \frac{\langle \bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_j \rangle}{\|\bar{x}_i\|_2 \cdot \|\bar{x}_j\|_2}
\]

where \( \bar{x}_i = \frac{1}{|T_i|} \cdot \sum_{k \in T_i} x_k \), \( T_i \) denotes a temporal range centered at \( x_i \) and \( \alpha \) is a positive constant. In the kernel function, the first component \( k_s \) measures the spatial similarity straightforwardly; the second component \( k_t \) incorporates temporal information and hence can differentiate two identical features with different temporally local statistics. In addition, in our case the set \( \{x_i\} \) is obtained by the soft-assignment encoding \([20]\) and hence is located within the domain of positive real numbers, leading to the fact that \( k(\cdot, \cdot) \) is positive-definite and its codomain is non-negative. In our empirical trials, our proposed kernel performs better than the one in \([8]\). Figure 3 illustrates the benefit of a kernelized cut.
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**Figure 3:** Comparison between sliding window pooling (left) and kernelized pooling (right) with the kernel function (1). One can see that the kernelized cut can find the optimal segment with small inter-class and large intra-class similarities.

Given the kernel function, one can perform two types of cuts: sequential cut as in \([8]\) and batch cut as in \([14, 23]\). In the sequential cut, the number of cuts are highly influenced by the pre-fixed time range: the shorter the time range is, the more cuts are produced. One can note that a high recall value can be achieved in the result of human motion parsing, if the number of cuts is large. In the batch cut, one is required to specify the number of clusters in advance. Similar to specifying the time range in the sequential cut, a larger number of clusters will result in a larger number of cuts. Nevertheless, a smaller number of clusters can also lead to large number of cuts in certain cases.

**Motion energy-based pooling.** Our proposed motion energy-based pooling scheme is inspired by the observation that most human motions can be categorized into two meta-classes, i.e., *moving actions* and *still poses*, and *moving actions* can easily gain cognitive attention. In our work, we compute a motion energy measure based on which time windows are determined and categorized to the two meta-classes. Then, in each time window the encoded features are fused and dynamic clustering is applied for each meta-classes separately.

The motion energy is calculated based on the indices of clusters that the input frames belong to, generated by the first dynamic clustering module. Afterwards, we employ a moving window to sequentially compute the motion energy, which is the number of transitions between clusters divided by the window length. To remove noise a Gaussian smoothing is subsequently performed. Then we detect peaks on the smoothed motion energy curve, retrieve windows around such peaks as the time periods of *moving actions*, and regard the remaining regions as *still poses*. See Figure 4 for illustration.
4 Experiments

4.1 Temporal action segmentation

Datasets. We use the CMUMAD [11] and the TUMKitchen [26] datasets to validate the performance of our methods for temporal action segmentation. Specifically, CMUMAD contains 40 recordings (video and motion capture data) from 20 subjects, each of which comprises 35 different actions and null actions in between. TUMKitchen consists of 20 recordings from multiple types of modalities such as videos, motion captures and on-off sensors on the door. The action labels are annotated for the torso and the arms separately.

Evaluation metric & Input features. On the CMUMAD dataset, the true positive is defined as a segment having at least 50% overlaps with the ground truth yet the label has not been detected before. On the TUMKitchen dataset, we evaluate how effective our algorithm can locate the segment boundaries, so a true positive is the boundary detected within ±7 frames (approx. 0.25 second) of a ground truth boundary.

Our algorithm is generic and able to process diverse types of features from different modalities. In our experiment, we use the following features: (1) the fc8 layer output of the two stream deep neural model VGG-16 [7, 25], which is denoted as VGG16. (2) The concatenation of all the 3D coordinates of the joints in each individual frame, which is denoted as JointLocation. (3) The relative 2D angles between each two adjacent 3D body parts, which is denoted as RelativeAngle. (4) The quaternion representation of the 3D rotations (e.g., yaw, pitch and row) of the joints, which is denoted as Quaternions. The evaluation metric and the input features are identical to the one used in [32] to enable a fair and direct comparison with the state-of-the-art.

Comparing with the state-of-the-art. We first compare our methods with the following existing methods: TSC [14], ACA [35], EMS [12] and DC [32], as discussed in Section 2. The results on the CMUMAD dataset are shown in Table 1. HDC_{ME}, HDC_{KC−S} and HDC_{KC−B} denote our proposed hierarchical dynamic clustering with the motion-energy based pooling, the kernelized sequential cut-based pooling and the kernelized batch cut-based pooling method, respectively. Note that, DC [32] compared in Table 1 utilizes the prior knowledge to dedicatedly design the temporal pooling step for CMUMAD, whereas our proposed temporal pooling methods are generic and do not use any dataset specific in-
formation. Nevertheless, HDC$^{ME}$ outperforms other work for most of the input features by large margin. The performance of the kernelized cut pooling methods is inferior to the motion energy pooling. The reason is that the temporal pooling is conducted based on a pre-defined sliding window size. Without utilizing the prior knowledge of the dataset, which is performed in most of the previous works, it is hard to derive the representative action patterns for various time durations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>VGG16 [7]</th>
<th>JointLocation</th>
<th>RelativeAngle</th>
<th>Quaternions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSC [14]</td>
<td>0.01/0.20/0.02</td>
<td>0.10/0.30/0.15</td>
<td>0.05/0.29/0.09</td>
<td>0.05/0.29/0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACA [35]</td>
<td>0.56/0.66/0.61</td>
<td>0.55/0.68/0.61</td>
<td>0.51/0.65/0.57</td>
<td>0.55/0.66/0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS [12]</td>
<td>0.67/0.73/0.70</td>
<td>0.34/0.78/0.47</td>
<td>0.47/0.89/0.62</td>
<td>0.60/0.51/0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC [32]</td>
<td>0.44/0.60/0.51</td>
<td>0.82/0.86/0.84</td>
<td>0.63/0.64/0.63</td>
<td>0.63/0.52/0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC$^{KC−S}$</td>
<td>0.23/0.41/0.29</td>
<td>0.50/0.82/0.62</td>
<td>0.52/0.82/0.64</td>
<td>0.31/0.58/0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC$^{KC−B}$</td>
<td>0.14/0.40/0.21</td>
<td>0.39/0.86/0.54</td>
<td>0.37/0.85/0.52</td>
<td>0.18/0.61/0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC$^{ME}$</td>
<td>0.72/0.82/0.77</td>
<td>0.86/0.88/0.87</td>
<td>0.87/0.91/0.89</td>
<td>0.76/0.57/0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Comparison with the state-of-the-art on the CMUMAD dataset. The results are shown in the format of precision/recall/f-score. The best results are in boldface.

The results on the TUMKitchen dataset are shown in Table 2. In terms of f-score, the proposed HDC$^{KC−B}$ method shows superior performance to others. Interestingly, the performance of the HDC$^{ME}$ method is not as outstanding as it is on the CMUMAD dataset. Our observation is that in the TUMKitchen dataset, the recorded persons perform certain actions most of the time, the variation for the motion energy is not significant, therefore it does not provide strong signals on how to segment the continuous motion. Nevertheless, the performance of HDC$^{ME}$ is still rather competitive comparing to the previous methods, especially for the JointLocation of torso, suggesting that the combination of the hierarchical dynamic clustering and the motion energy based pooling is able to produce reliable human motion segmentation results regardless the type of motions in the test sequence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>body part</th>
<th>JointLocation</th>
<th>RelativeAngle</th>
<th>Quaternions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSC [14]</td>
<td>Torso</td>
<td>0.12/0.04/0.06</td>
<td>0.28/0.10/0.15</td>
<td>0.31/0.19/0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arms</td>
<td>0.42/0.28/0.34</td>
<td>0.29/0.38/0.33</td>
<td>0.28/0.56/0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACA [35]</td>
<td>Torso</td>
<td>0.19/0.01/0.02</td>
<td>0.30/0.02/0.04</td>
<td>0.40/0.03/0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arms</td>
<td>0.36/0.08/0.13</td>
<td>0.36/0.10/0.16</td>
<td>0.34/0.09/0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS [12]</td>
<td>Torso</td>
<td>0.13/0.06/0.08</td>
<td>0.28/0.15/0.20</td>
<td>0.37/0.12/0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arms</td>
<td>0.26/0.12/0.16</td>
<td>0.38/0.27/0.32</td>
<td>0.34/0.09/0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC [32]</td>
<td>Torso</td>
<td>0.46/0.15/0.21</td>
<td>0.34/0.12/0.18</td>
<td>0.40/0.26/0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arms</td>
<td>0.49/0.30/0.37</td>
<td>0.27/0.64/0.38</td>
<td>0.33/0.68/0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC$^{KC−S}$</td>
<td>Torso</td>
<td>0.24/0.67/0.35</td>
<td>0.23/0.44/0.30</td>
<td>0.27/0.49/0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arms</td>
<td>0.26/0.52/0.35</td>
<td>0.25/0.50/0.33</td>
<td>0.38/0.35/0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC$^{KC−B}$</td>
<td>Torso</td>
<td>0.32/0.54/0.40</td>
<td>0.23/0.52/0.32</td>
<td>0.29/0.64/0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arms</td>
<td>0.44/0.45/0.44</td>
<td>0.26/0.72/0.38</td>
<td>0.44/0.46/0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC$^{ME}$</td>
<td>Torso</td>
<td>0.42/0.54/0.47</td>
<td>0.24/0.45/0.31</td>
<td>0.23/0.39/0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arms</td>
<td>0.37/0.41/0.39</td>
<td>0.30/0.32/0.31</td>
<td>0.31/0.35/0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison with the state-of-the-art on the TUMKitchen dataset. The results are shown in the format of precision/recall/f-score. The best results are in boldface.
Analysis of the hierarchical clustering framework. Our approach is motivated by the observation that human motion can be decomposed at different temporal scales. With merits of the robustness of dynamic clustering, it could reliably parse human motions despite large variations of temporal scales of actions. To validate our hypothesis, we replace the last dynamic clustering step in the framework (Figure 1) with either $k$-means or spectral clustering, while retaining sliding window-based pooling. As shown in Figure 5 (a), the proposed framework improves the baseline methods considerably.

Figure 5: Results are evaluated by the f-score. (a) Comparison of clustering methods to parse torso motions in TUMKitchen, where SC and DC are spectral clustering and dynamic clustering respectively. (b) Comparison of the temporal pooling schemes on CMUMAD, where SW, KC-S, KC-B and ME denote the time sliding window, the sequential kernelized cut, the batch kernelized cut and motion energy, respectively.

Analysis of the temporal pooling schemes. To validate the effectiveness of the proposed temporal pooling methods, we further compare with the conventional dense sliding window approach (SW) with an empirically optimal window size. As shown in Fig. 5 (b), for various input features the proposed pooling methods consistently outperform the baseline method by noticeable margins. Particularly, the average improvement of $f$-score made by the motion-energy based pooling is 0.55, certifying our assumption that the motion energy term could be informative and utilized for human motion parsing.

4.2 Abnormal behavior detection

Dataset. For abnormal behavior detection, we use the first scenario of BOMNI [3]. The annotation of each video consists of the bounding box of the subject in each frame and 6 actions performed by the subject, which are sitting, walking, drinking, washing-hands, opening-closing-door and fainting. Figure 6 shows ten example frames.

Evaluation metric & Input features. We use the accuracy to evaluate the detection quality at the frame level, which is computed as the true positives divided by the number of frames belonging to the fainting action in the ground truth. The true positives are defined as the frames, which both belong to “novel” actions and the associated label (cluster index) is the majority in the parsing result within the period of fainting. In our setting, a “novel” action is regarded as a segment whose action label first appears along the temporal dimension. For example, if we have a sequence of 6 frames with action labels (or cluster indexes) $\{a, a, b, a, a, c\}$, the frames are annotated as $\{1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1\}$ for the novel behavior representation.
Figure 6: Five frames of the first video in the BOMNI dataset. In each frame, the annotated bounding box, the action label and the mask detected by Mask-RCNN are presented.

We use the mask-RCNN model provided by to extract the masks of the subjects, since neither pose estimation nor context representation of the entire frame is reliable. Then we resize the mask to patches of 40x40 of pixels, and perform distance transform following . The feature vector is derived via vectorizing the transformed mask.

**Results.** The results of our methods as well as other state-of-the-art parsing approaches are shown in Table 3. One can see that HDC is comparable with ACA and superior to others. However, HDC runs significantly faster than ACA. Since the motion-based pooling mainly relies on processing the cluster indices, its computational load is considerably smaller than other pooling methods which require to compute the data similarity matrix. Also, comparing with dynamic programming used in ACA, subspace clustering used in TSC and k-means used in DC, the dynamic clustering modules in HDC lead to faster computation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>TSC</th>
<th>ACA</th>
<th>DC</th>
<th>HDC\textsubscript{KC-S}</th>
<th>HDC\textsubscript{KC-B}</th>
<th>HDC\textsubscript{ME}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runtime (second)</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td><strong>0.07</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: The results on the BOMNI dataset. The best ones are in boldface.

5 Conclusion

In this paper we propose a hierarchical dynamic clustering framework to parse human motions in untrimmed sequences, which is inspired by the unsupervised bag-of-words feature aggregation pipeline. To reliably cluster features with temporal structures, we employ dynamic clustering to create the codebook and group high-level action patterns. To obtain accurate temporal durations of actions, we propose unsupervised temporal pooling methods based on kernelized cut or motion energy. The experimental results demonstrate that our approach out-performances the state-of-the-art.

We leave the following two questions for future studies: (1) Our current unsupervised method uses the output produced by several feature extraction methods. It would be meaningful to build an end-to-end approach by combining these two techniques together. (2) Since unsupervised temporal pooling in untrimmed input sequences are not fully solved yet, we plan to improve temporal pooling techniques as future work.
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