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Vaccinia virus complement control protein (VCP) has been shown to possess the ability to inhibit both classical and alternative complement pathway activation. The newly found ability of this protein to bind to heparin has been shown in previous studies to result in uptake by mast cells, possibly promoting tissue persistence. It has also been shown to reduce chemotactic migration of leukocytes by blocking chemokine binding. In addition, this study shows that VCP—through its ability to bind to glycosaminoglycans (heparin-like molecules) on the surface of human endothelial cells—is able to block antibody binding to surface major histocompatibility complex class I molecules. Since heparin binding is critical for many functions of this protein, we have attempted to characterize the molecular basis for this interaction. Segments of this protein, generated by genetic engineering of the DNA encoding VCP into the Pichia pastoris expression system, were used to localize the regions with heparin binding activity. These regions were then analyzed to more specifically define their properties for binding. It was found that the number of putative binding sites (K/R-X-K/R), the overall positive charge, and the percentage of positively charged amino acids within the protein were responsible for this interaction.

Poxviruses are complex double-stranded DNA viruses capable of causing disease in a wide variety of animals, including humans (22). Much of the success of this family of viruses is due to the ability to encode several proteins that can subvert the host's immune response. Poxviruses produce two major families of proteins involved in evasion of host defense: cell-associated cytokine response-modifying proteins (serpin-related proteins) (14) and secreted proteins termed virokinins (13). The secreted protein family is made up of several cytokine-chemokine-binding proteins (termed viroreceptors) (29), a neurovirulence factor (10), and a complement control protein (13). We have been most interested in studying the many properties of the vaccinia virus complement control protein (VCP). VCP, the first identified soluble microbial protein to have complement binding activity, is composed of four short consensus repeats (SCR), making it and other homologous proteins members of the complement control protein (RCA) superfamily (13). VCP exhibits functional similarity to factor H (fH), membrane cofactor protein, decay-accelerating factor, and complement receptor one and is structurally similar to human complement 4b binding protein. Biologically active VCP has been shown to inhibit the classical pathway of complement activation through its ability to bind C3 and C4 and act as a cofactor for factor I cleavage of C3b and C4b (11, 19). It has also been shown to inhibit the alternative pathway (to a lesser extent) by using the same mechanism of action, resulting in the cleavage of C3b into iC3b, thereby preventing the formation of the alternative pathway C3 convertase (24). It has been shown that VCP can prevent antibody-mediated virus neutralization (8). Also, by blocking complement activation at multiple sites, there is a large reduction of C3a, C4a, and C5a proinflammatory chemotactic factors, resulting in reduced cellular influx and inflammation. Support for this comes from in vivo experiments using BALB/c mice injected in the footpad with cowpox virus (CPV) expressing or lacking the VCP homolog in CPV, termed the inflammation modulatory protein (IMP) (21). Results showed a significantly greater influx of inflammatory cells into the tissue when infected with CPV lacking IMP than that found in IMP-expressing CPV-infected footpads. Another experiment, using BALB/c congenically matched C5-sufficient and C5-deficient mice injected in the footpad with CPV, showed that C5-deficient mice exhibited a significantly greater swelling response that persisted longer and also showed signs of hemorrhage, edema, and ulceration (20). In addition, the presence of IMP drastically diminished the inflammatory response elicited by CPV (7, 12).

The most recently identified property of the multifunctional VCP is its ability to bind heparin. It has been shown in previous studies, as well as this one, to exhibit lysozyme-like heparin binding activity. Because of this activity, VCP can be taken up by mast cells and possibly persist in the tissue for extended periods of time, helping to preserve the viral habitat (9). It has...
also been shown to reduce chemotactic migration of leukocytes in the presence and absence of the chemokine MIP-1α (23). This suggests that VCP can bind to heparin-like molecules lining the surface of endothelial cells, blocking chemokine binding and thereby blocking the chemotactic signal.

In this paper, we have attempted to further characterize the biological significance of VCP’s ability to bind heparin. Using flow cytometry, the amount of specific antibody binding to human endothelial cells—in the presence and absence of VCP—was measured. It was found that VCP was able to inhibit antibody binding to major histocompatibility class I molecules on human endothelial cells. This suggests that VCP can interfere with molecular interactions with infected cells and could prevent antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity as well as other cytokotic cell interactions with target cells. The ability of VCP to bind heparin-like molecules suggests that it plays many roles and therefore may have a variety of applications. It is for these reasons that we have been interested in obtaining a better understanding of the molecular basis for the VCP-heparin interaction. Through examination of several recombinant VCP (rVCP) fragments, it has now been determined that the percentage of positively charged amino acids, overall charge, and the number of putative heparin binding sites are all important factors governing the heparin binding ability of VCP.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Flow microfluorometric analysis. Human umbilical cord vascular endothelial cells (HUVECs) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, Va.) at passage 13. Monolayer cultures were maintained by using cells (HUVECs) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection previously (30). For rVCP SCR (1,2), rVCP SCR (2,3), and rVCP SCR (1-4), which were used for cloning the fragments into the expression vector. For rVCP, 100 ml of BMG was inoculated with 5 ml of an overnight culture and grown at 30°C with vigorous shaking overnight. This culture was then used to inoculate several liters of the BMG. The cultures were grown for 48 h until the OD reached approximately 20. The cells were spun down and resuspended in the same volume of BMG and grown for 4 to 5 days with vigorous shaking. Cells were fed methanol to a concentration of 1% every 24 h. For rVCP SCR (2,3), BMG media were inoculated with an overnight culture and grown at 30°C with vigorous shaking until an OD of approximately 6 had been attained. The pellet was then harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in BMG media (40% of the total BMG volume), and grown for 5 days with daily addition of methanol to a concentration of 0.5%. Purification of rVCP SCR (3,4) has been described previously (30). For purification of rVCP SCR (1,2), the medium was concentrated down to a small volume by using a combination of a Millipore Prep/Scale-TFF cartridge (3-kDa molecular mass cutoff) and an Amicon stirred cell (3-kDa molecular mass cutoff). The sample was exchanged into 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0) by using a Phenyl-Sepharose-100 column and loaded onto a Pharmacia Mono-Q column equilibrated in the same buffer. The protein was then eluted with an NaCl gradient of 0 to 100% over 20 min. Fractions containing rVCP SCR (1,2) were collected and concentrated by using an Amicon stirred-cell ultrafiltration and then loaded onto a Brownlee Aqueapore C4 reversed-phase column, and the protein was eluted with an anion exchange gradient. Fractions containing pure rVCP SCR (1,2) were collected and lyophilized. For purification of rVCP SCR (3,4), the medium was concentrated to approximately 50 ml by using an Amicon concentrator (3-kDa cutoff) before being centrifuged at 20,000 × g for 1 h, and the pellet was discarded. The sample was exchanged into 5 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.0) by using a PD-10 column and applied to a Mono-S column applied to a Mono-S cation-exchange column (Amersham Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden) equilibrated in the same buffer. Protein was eluted with an NaCl gradient, and fractions corresponding to pure rVCP SCR (2,3) were pooled and lyophilized. For purification of rVCP SCR (1-4), the medium was concentrated to a small volume by the same means as rVCP SCR (1,2). The protein was exchanged into 20 mM phosphate (pH 6) by using a PD-10 column and dried.

Heparin binding ability. In order to establish a basis for comparison, 10 μg each of bovine serum albumin (BSA), HBP (described earlier [5]), hystocin, and MIP-1α were pooled, dissolved, and added to ultrapure water in ultrapure water. The bound proteins were washed with 1 ml of ultrapure water and then eluted with increasing sodium chloride concentrations ranging from 250 mM to 4.0 M. Next, 20 μg of purified rVCP SCR (1,2), rVCP SCR (2,3), rVCP SCR (3,4), and rVCP SCR (1-4) were dissolved in 1 ml of 20 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.0) and passed through separate 1-ml HITRAP heparin columns, and the unbound material was collected. The bound proteins were washed with 1 ml of ultrapure water and then eluted with increasing sodium chloride concentrations ranging from 250 mM to 2.5 M. The fractions were then separated by using SDS-PAGE and silver stained. Denitrosometric readings were taken by using the AlphaImager 2000 software system. Finally, 0.5 ml of the fraction containing the protein was concentrated by ultrafiltration and its activity was tested by using the hemolysis assay. Sequencing of the VCP homolog in monkeypox virus (MPV) was done as described previously (29).

Hemolysis assay. The biological activity of the proteins was determined by using the hemolysis assay, which was described earlier (12). In order to more specifically quantify their activity, each protein was tested as a series of dilutions ranging from 0.5 to 5.0 μg/ml. Analysis of VCP. The structure of the two unknown VCP modules (VCP SCR 1 and VCP SCR 2) were modeled by homology with the four known VCP module structures, VCP SCR 3, VCP SCR 4, IHH SCR 15, and IHH SCR 16, by using the program MODELLER (25). Five models of each module were created. The model which was closest to the average structure (as measured by root-mean-square deviation) was used in the construction of the VCP SCR (1-4) model. Construction of VCP SCR (1-4) was undertaken by using the molecular visualization package InsightII (Msi Inc., San Diego, Calif.). This was achieved by simply bonding the two modeled VCP structures to the nuclear magnetic resonance-derived structure of the VCP SCR (3,4) module pair (30). No attempt was made to accurately model the junctions between modules 1 and 2 and modules 2 and 3. Rather, torsion angles in the two residues linking the module pairs were set to yield a relatively extended conformation.
RESULTS

In order to determine the biological consequences of heparin binding by VCP, we tested whether VCP was able to block functional molecular interactions with human vascular endothelial cells. First, the ability of rVCP, rVCP SCR (3,4), and HBP to bind the surface of HUVECs was tested by using flow cytometric analysis. As shown in Fig. 1A and B, all three proteins possess the ability to bind strongly to HUVECs. A 3.0-, 2.9-, or 5.4-fold increase in fluorescent intensity over that of the control was observed when 20 μg of FITC-labeled rVCP, 30 μg of FITC-labeled rVCP SCR (3,4), or 30 μg of FITC-labeled HBP, respectively, was added to the suspension of HUVECs. Next, using flow cytometric analysis of HUVECs treated with mouse MAbs to human major histocompatibility complex class I molecules in the presence and absence of VCP, VCP was shown to be capable of modulating antibody binding in a dose-dependent manner. As shown in Fig. 1C, addition of 5 μl (2 μg) of VCP to antibody-treated HUVECs reduced antibody binding from 91.5 to 75.4%. An additional 15 μl (5 μg total) of VCP reduced antibody binding from 91.5 to 61.0%, suggesting that it blocks in a dose-dependent manner.

To calibrate the HiTRAP heparin column, several proteins having different binding affinities for heparin were passed through the column and eluted with increasing sodium chloride concentrations. SDS-PAGE analysis of the eluted fractions containing these proteins of various heparin-binding abilities can be seen in Fig. 2. Analysis suggests that BSA does not bind heparin, since it was present only in the unbound and wash fractions. Lysozyme and MIP-1α, which bound heparin with moderate and equal affinity, were contained within the 500 and 750 mM sodium chloride fractions. HBP, showing the highest affinity for heparin, was eluted by a sodium chloride concentration of 2.0 M.

In order to better characterize the heparin binding ability of the VCP molecule, VCP, rVCP, and various recombinant segments of VCP were passed through HiTRAP heparin columns and analyzed by using SDS-PAGE, shown in Fig. 3. The results suggest that the full-length natural and recombinant proteins

FIG. 1. Representative histograms showing that VCP is able to reduce mouse anti-human HLA class I antibody binding to HUVECs. The results of flow microfluorimetric analysis are as follows. (A1) No treatment control. (A2) Binding of FITC-labeled rVCP to HUVECs. (B1) No treatment control. (B2) Binding of FITC-labeled rVCP SCR (3,4) to HUVECs. (B3) Binding of FITC-labeled HBP to HUVECs. (C1) Nonspecific mouse MAb (isotype-matched mouse IgG2a MAb) binding to HUVECs (negative control). (C2) Mouse monoclonal anti-human HLA-ABC antibody binding to HUVECs (positive control). (C3) Mouse monoclonal anti-human HLA-ABC antibody binding to HUVECs in the presence of 5 μl (2 μg) of VCP. (C4) Mouse anti-human HLA-ABC antibody binding to HUVECs in the presence of 20 μl (5 μg) of VCP.
bind heparin with an affinity close to or equal to that of lysozyme and MIP-1α; both VCP and rVCP were concentrated primarily in the 500 and 750 mM sodium chloride fractions. PAGE analysis of the various rVCP segments revealed even more interesting data. rVCP SCR (1,2) and rVCP SCR (3,4) bound heparin with the same strength as the full-length protein, eluting once again at 500 and 750 mM. rVCP SCR (2,3), on the other hand, did not bind heparin at all and was found primarily in the unbound and wash fractions. The activity of the purified proteins was then tested by using the hemolysis assay. The results indicate that only the full-length protein inhibits lysis of sensitized sheep red blood cells—anywhere from 60 to 90% inhibition. The rVCP segments showed no inhibition of lysis, suggesting that the whole protein is needed to block complement activation. However, the naturally truncated VCP homolog produced by MPV (Fig. 4 and 5), which lacks almost the entire fourth SCR, has been shown to inhibit hemolysis of sensitized sheep red blood cells, thus indicating that it blocks the classical pathway of complement activation.

To more precisely identify the molecular basis behind the VCP-heparin interaction, the amino acid sequences were analyzed by using the MacVector software system. Putative hep-

FIG. 2. PAGE analysis of the heparin binding activity of BSA, HBP, lysozyme, and MIP-1α. In order to calibrate the HiTRAP heparin column, various proteins (BSA, HBP, lysozyme, and MIP-1α) with differing affinities for heparin were passed through the column and eluted with sodium chloride concentrations ranging from 250 mM to 4.0 M. Lanes: M, molecular mass marker; S.M., starting material; Unb, unbound fraction; W, wash.

FIG. 3. PAGE analysis of the heparin binding activity of VCP and rVCPs. VCP and various rVCPs were passed through separate HiTRAP heparin columns and eluted with sodium chloride concentrations ranging from 250 mM to 2.5 M. The fractions were collected, run on SDS-PAGE gels, and silver stained, and the band densities were measured. The results are shown as follows. (A) rVCP SCR (1,2). (B) rVCP SCR (2,3). (C) rVCP SCR (3,4). (D) rVCP. (E) VCP. (F) Densitometric scanning of the gels (A to E).
arin binding sites (K/R-X-K/R) were first identified—shown in Fig. 4 along with the sequence alignment of different pox virus VCP homologs—and predicted to be located on the surface of the protein. The amino acid sequences were then scanned to determine the total number of positive amino acids, the percentages of these positively charged amino acids, and the overall pI of the protein, and the results are summarized in Fig. 4. All of the proteins shown to bind heparin had an overall pI of greater than 7.0 and, more importantly, were made up of more than 9% positively charged amino acids. The results also suggest that SCR 1 and SCR 4 contribute the most to this interaction. Although the results show there is no simple answer to how VCP binds heparin, it is clear that the overall positive charge, the number of putative binding sites, and the percentage of positive amino acids making up the protein have a significant effect on its ability to interact with and bind heparin.

**DISCUSSION**

The ability of VCP to bind heparan sulfate proteoglycan molecules adds a new dimension to its role in modulating the host immune response. In previous studies, the ability of VCP to bind heparin was found to permit uptake by mast cells, possibly allowing for tissue persistence over extended periods of time. In addition, earlier studies have shown that binding to heparin-like molecules on the surface of endothelial cells can block chemokine adherence, resulting in reduced chemotactic signaling. The next step was to determine if VCP bound to the endothelial cell surface could interfere with antibody binding.

In this study, flow cytometry was used to demonstrate that VCP is able to bind the surface of endothelial cells, interfering with antibody binding. More importantly, this study demonstrates that addition of VCP significantly decreases the amount of antibody able to attach to HUVECs in a dose-dependent manner. It is postulated that VCP, binding to heparin-like molecules on the surface of endothelial cells, prevents antibody adherence. This binding may therefore block antibody attachment through steric interference and block both molecular and cellular interactions, which are dependent on target cell adhesion molecules binding to cytotoxic cells (F. Al-Mohanna, R. Parhar, and G. J. Kotwal, submitted for publication). Furthermore, this novel mechanism can protect virus-infected cells or the antigen-presenting cell from destruction by a host defense mechanism. Interestingly, it was estimated that the amount of VCP required for inhibition of roughly $5 \times 10^5$ cells is approximately the same as that produced by $2 \times 10^5$ infected cells, and therefore each infected cell can protect itself and one or two surrounding cells. Thus, VCP enables orthopoxviruses, all of which encode homologs of VCP, to evade the immune system by multiple mechanisms, e.g., by blocking complement pathways, by blocking antibody-dependent cytotoxic cell activity, or by interfering with attachment of cytotoxic T cells to virus-infected cells. In addition, as explained below, VCP can inhibit alternative pathway activation in a manner similar to that of fH, another heparin binding protein.

Due to the apparent importance heparin binding has in many of the biological activities exhibited by VCP, we became...
interested in better characterizing the molecular reasons for this interaction. Recombinant segments of VCP, each representing two of the four SCRs, were generated by genetic engineering vaccinia virus DNA encoding VCP into the \textit{P. pastoris} expression system. The ability of these recombinant segments to bind heparin was then tested. It was determined that recombinant proteins rVCP SCR (1,2) and rVCP SCR (3,4) retained the same binding affinity as the full-length native protein while recombinant protein rVCP SCR (2,3) retained no heparin binding ability at all. In order to explain this, the amino acid sequences of the recombinant protein segments were more closely examined. It was determined that the number and, more importantly, the percentage of positively charged amino acids making up the protein contributed greatly to its ability to interact with heparin. Also, the overall charge and the number of putative binding sites (K/R-X-K/R) making up the protein appear to play a role in heparin binding. For the most part, the ability of VCP to bind heparin lies in its first and fourth SCR. These two SCR possess within them the highest percentage of positive amino acids and the greatest number of putative binding sites and have a very strong overall positive charge (data not shown).

In order to better visualize the positioning of heparin binding sites in the VCP molecule, a model was developed by using four known VCP module structures: VCP SCR 3, VCP SCR 4, fH SCR 15 and fH SCR 16 (Fig. 6). As can be seen in this model, heparin binding exists primarily at the ends (SCR 1 and 4). The middle of the molecule therefore does not contribute to the binding [hence, VCP SCR (2,3) showed no ability to bind].

It is clear that the ability to bind polyanions, such as heparin, is crucial for the function of many immune-regulating proteins. fH, for example, binds to the polyanion sialic acid on the surface of mammalian cells, preventing activation of the alternative pathway. Like VCP, fH is made up of SCR, two of which have been shown to have heparin binding abilities (1). It is postulated that these heparin-binding domains are responsible for binding sialic acid, thereby preventing alternative pathway activation. The heparin binding regions of many other proteins exhibiting heparin binding activity have been mapped (2, 3, 16, 26, 31). In most cases (including ours), binding is the result of dense regions of positively charged amino acids. These arginine-rich and/or lysine-rich regions vary in sequence and length among heparin binding proteins. Interestingly, the heparin binding sequence used by VCP and its homologs is short and relatively conserved.

In summary, by examining the heparin-binding ability of VCP, we have gained a better understanding of the molecular basis by which this interaction occurs. In addition, the data presented here further enhance our understanding of this truly unique, yet compact, multifunctional viral protein. It resembles in structure and function the giant complex human complement regulatory proteins human C4b binding protein and complement receptor 1, respectively. Not only is VCP a miniature version of these large molecules, it has retained all the complement modulating functions and, as we have described here,
added a number of additional functions to its repertoire due to its ability to bind heparin-like molecules. This better understanding may someday help in the exploitation of VCP’s possible therapeutic properties in the treatment of inflammatory conditions, such as Alzheimer’s disease (4), restenosis, accidental spinal cord injury, and xenograft transplant rejections.
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