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MASK 2017: ARIA digitally-enabled, integrated, person-centred care for rhinitis and asthma multimorbidity using real-world-evidence


Abstract

mHealth, such as apps running on consumer smart devices is becoming increasingly popular and has the potential to profoundly affect healthcare and health outcomes. However, it may be disruptive and results achieved are not always reaching the goals. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) has evolved from a guideline using the best evidence-based approach to care pathways suited to real-life using mobile technology in allergic rhinitis (AR) and asthma multimorbidity. Patients largely use over-the-counter medications dispensed in pharmacies. Shared decision making centered around the patient and based on self-management should be the norm. Mobile Airways Sentinel networK (MASK), the Phase 3 ARIA initiative, is based on the freely available MASK app (the Allergy Diary™, Android and iOS platforms). MASK is available in 16 languages and deployed in 23 countries. The present paper provides an overview of the methods used in MASK and the key results obtained to date. These include a novel phenotypic characterization of the patients, confirmation of the impact of allergic rhinitis on work productivity and treatment patterns in real life. Most patients appear to self-medicate, are often non-adherent and do not follow guidelines. Moreover, the Allergy Diary™ is able to distinguish between AR medications. The potential usefulness of MASK will be further explored by POLLAR (Impact of Air Pollution on Asthma and Rhinitis), a new Horizon 2020 project using the Allergy Diary™.
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Background

Allergic rhinitis (AR) is the most common chronic disease worldwide. Evidence-based guidelines have improved knowledge on rhinitis and made a significant impact on AR management. However, many patients remain inadequately controlled and the costs for society are enormous, in particular due to the major impact of AR on school and work productivity [1, 2]. Unmet needs have identified clearly many gaps. These include (1) suboptimal rhinitis and asthma control due to medical, cultural and social barriers [3, 4], (2) poor understanding of endotypes [5], better characterization of phenotypes and multimorphologies [6], better understanding of gender differences [7], (3) assessment of sentinel networks in care pathways for allergens and pollutants exposure, using symptom variation [8], (4) lack of stratification of patients for optimized care pathways [9] and (5) lack of multidisciplinary teams within integrated care pathways, endorsing innovation in real life clinical trials [8] and encouraging patient empowerment [10, 11].

Mobile health (mHealth) is the use of information and communication technology (ICT) for health services and information transfer [12]. mHealth, including apps running on consumer smart devices (i.e., smartphones and tablets), is becoming increasingly popular and has the potential to profoundly impact on healthcare [13]. Novel app-based collaborative systems can have an important role in gathering information quickly and improving coverage and accessibility of prevention and treatment [14]. Implementing mHealth innovations may also have disruptive consequences [15], so it is important to test applicability in each individual situation [16]. A rapid growth of the health apps market has been seen with an estimated 325,000 health apps available in 2017 for most fields of medicine [17]. Benefits and drawbacks have been estimated for a number of disease [18]. The application of mHealth solutions can support the provision of high quality care to patients with AR or asthma, to the satisfaction of both patients and health care professionals, with a reduction in both health care utilization and costs [19]. Appropriately identifying and representing stakeholders’ interests and viewpoints in evaluations of mHealth is a critical part of ensuring continued progress and innovation [20]. Patient, caregiver and clinician evaluations and recommendations play an important role in the development of asthma mHealth tools to support the provision of asthma management [21]. Smart devices and internet-based applications are already used in rhinitis and asthma and may help to address some unmet needs [22]. However, these new tools need to be tested and evaluated for acceptability, usability and cost-effectiveness.

Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) has evolved from an evidence-based guideline using the best evidence based approach [1, 23–25] to care pathways using mobile technology in AR and asthma multimorbidity [26]. ARIA appears to be close to the patient’s needs but real-life data suggest that few patients follow guideline recommendations and that they often self-medicate. Moreover, patients frequently using OTC medications dispensed in pharmacies [27]. Shared decision making (SDM) centered around the patient for self-management should be used more often.

Mobile Airways Sentinel netwok (MASK), the Phase 3 ARIA initiative, has been initiated to reduce the global burden of rhinitis and asthma multimorbidity, giving the patient and the health care professional simple tools to better prevent and manage respiratory allergic diseases. More specifically, MASK is focusing on (1) understanding the disease mechanisms and the effects of air pollution in allergic diseases and asthma, (2) better appraising the burden incurred by medical needs and indirect costs, (3) the implementation of multi-sectoral care pathways integrating self-care, air pollution and patient’s literacy, using emerging technologies with real world data using the AIRWAYS ICPs algorithm [28], (4) proposing individualized and predictive medicine in rhinitis and asthma multimorbidity, (5) proposing the basis for a sentinel network at the global level for pollution and allergy and (6) assessing the societal implications of exposure to air pollution and allergens and its consequences on health inequalities globally.

The freely available MASK app (the Allergy Diary, Android and iOS) [26] is combined with an inter-operable tablet for physicians and other health care professionals (HCPs [29]), using the same extremely simple colloquial language to manage AR (Visual Analogue Scale: VAS) [30, 31]. It is being combined with data on allergen and pollution exposure (POLAR).

MASK will be scaled up using the EU EIP on AHA strategy [32]. Phase 4 is starting in 2018 and will focus on “change management”. MASK is supported by several EU grants and is a WHO GARD (Global Alliance against Chronic Respiratory Diseases) research demonstration project (Table 1).

Methods

Users

The Allergy Diary is used by people who searched the internet, Apple App store, Google Play or in any other way. The pages of the App are on the Euforea-ARIA website (www.euforea.eu/about-us/aria.html). A few users were clinic patients to whom the app was recommended by their physicians. Users were not requested to complete the diary for a minimum number of days. However, due to anonymization of data, no specific information on the route of access to the app could be gathered [33, 34].
The first question of the App is “I have allergic rhinitis”: Yes/No. We tested the sensitivity and specificity of this question [33]. 93.4% users with a positive answer had nasal symptoms versus 12.1% of users with a negative answer. In the first two versions of the App, allergy was not considered in the user’s questionnaire and AR cannot be differentiated from chronic rhinosinusitis. It is now included in the third version of the App (June 2018) and we will be able to answer more appropriately to this question in the next study. The results of the pilot study were confirmed in over 9000 users.

Settings
MASK is available in 23 countries and 16 languages. To date (01-09-2018) the app has been used by over 24,000 people.

Ethics and privacy of data
The Allergy Diary is CE1 registered. The terms of use were translated into all languages and customized by lawyers according to the legislation of each country, allowing the use of the results for research and commercial purposes. The example of the UK terms of use have been provided in a previous paper [33].

Geolocation
EU data protection rules have changed since the implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation (Art. 4 para. 1 no. 1 GDPR) [35]. Data anonymization is a method of sanitization for privacy. Anonymization renders personal data “in such a manner that the data subject is not or no longer identifiable” [36]. The European Commission’s Article 29 Working Party (WP29) stated already in 2014 with regards to the Directive 95/46/EC [37] that geolocation information is not only personal data but also to be considered as an identifier itself [38, 39]. Processing personal data by means of an app, like e.g. App Diary, besides Directive 95/46/EC [37] also Directive 2002/58/EC [40] as amended by Directive 2009/136/EC [41] applies.

Geolocation was studied for all people who used the Allergy Diary App from December 2015 to November 2017 and who reported medical outcomes. In contradistinction to noise addition (randomization), k-anonymity [42, 43] is an acceptable method for the anonymization of MASK data (generalization) [44] and results can be used for other databases.

Privacy assessment impact
Privacy impact assessments (PIAs), also known as data protection impact assessments (DPIAs) in EU law, is required by GDPR (Article 35 Working Party (WP35). PIA is a systematic process to assess privacy risks to individuals in the collection, use, and disclosure of their personal data. The GDPR introduced PIAs to identify high risks to the privacy rights of individuals when processing their personal data. The assessment shall contain at least:

1. a systematic description of the envisaged processing operations and the purposes of the processing, including, where applicable, the legitimate interest pursued by the controller;
2. an assessment of the necessity and proportionality of the processing operations in relation to the purposes;
3. an assessment of the risks to the rights and freedoms of data subjects and
4. the measures envisaged to address the risks, including safeguards, security measures and mechanisms to ensure the protection of personal data and to dem-

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>WHO</th>
<th>EU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARIA</td>
<td>1999 Workshop</td>
<td>WHO HQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003–2013</td>
<td>CC rhinitis and asthma</td>
<td>Montpellier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012–</td>
<td>GARD demonstration project</td>
<td>WHO HQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004–2010</td>
<td>GA2LEN</td>
<td>FP6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011–2015</td>
<td>MeDALL</td>
<td>FP7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASK</td>
<td>2014– MACVIA-LR</td>
<td>DG Santé-CNECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014–</td>
<td>GARD demonstration project</td>
<td>WHO HQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014–</td>
<td>EIP on AHA B3</td>
<td>DG Santé-CNECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015–2016</td>
<td>SPAL</td>
<td>Structural and development funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015–2017</td>
<td>Sunfrail</td>
<td>DG Santé-CNECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017–</td>
<td>Twinning</td>
<td>EIT Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018–</td>
<td>POLLAR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 European Union and World Health Organization links of ARIA and MASK
onstrate compliance with this Regulation taking into account the rights and legitimate interests of data subjects and other persons concerned.

When these risks are identified, the GDPR expects that an organization formulates measures to address these risks. Those measures may take the form of technical controls such as encryption or anonymization of data.

The PIA analysis is a self-declarative analysis. In France, the local GDPR representative (Commission Informa-
tique et Liberté, CNIL) has provided a software to guide the reflexion around security of personal data and the exposure risks in case of security fails. This software has been used to assess all the risks to be considered through the app uses. The conclusion was that is “negligeable”.

The field is moving very fast. In France, June, 10 2018, the modified law “LIL” (Loi Informatique et Liberté, 2018-493, https://www.cnil.fr/fr/loi-78-17-du-6-janvier-1978-modifiee) was enacted with a special focus on health-related personal data. Even if the articulation of GDPR and LIL is still unclear, we can anticipate that the app use will remain risk free.

**Allergy Diary**

The app collects information on AR and asthma symptoms experienced (nasal and ocular) and on disease type (intermittent/persistent) [33] (Table 3). Anonymized and geolocalized users assess daily how symptoms impact their control and AR treatment using the touchscreen functionality on their smart phone to click on five consecutive VAS (i.e. general, nasal and ocular symptoms, asthma and work) (Table 2; Fig. 1). Users input their daily medications using a scroll list that contains all country-specific OTC and prescribed medications available (Fig. 2). The list populated using IMS data and revised by country experts is continuously revised by country experts.

There is a high degree of correlation between these VAS measurements. The example of VAS global measured and VAS nose is presented in Fig. 2.

**Outcomes**

Five VAS measurements [VAS-global measured, VAS-nose, VAS-eye, VAS-asthma and VAS-work (Table 4)] and a calculated VAS-global score (VAS-nasal + VAS-ocular divided by 2) were assessed [34]. VAS levels range from zero (not at all bothersome) to 100 (very bothersome). Independency of VAS questions was previously confirmed using the Bland and Altman regression analysis [34, 45].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q1: I have rhinitis: Yes/No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q2: I have asthma: Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3: My symptoms (tick)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1: Runny nose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2: Itchy nose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3: Sneezing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4: Congestion (blocked nose)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5: Itchy eyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6: Red eyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7: Watery eyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4: How they affect me: My symptoms (tick)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1: Affect my sleep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2: Restrict my daily activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3: Restrict my participation in school or work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4: Are troublesome</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Transfer of personal data from the App to a print**

Patients cannot give access to their electronic data to a HCP due to privacy policies. However, they can easily print the daily control of their disease and the medications that they filled in the Allergy Diary as follows (Fig. 3).

**Additional questionnaires**

MASK also includes EQ-5D (EuroQuol) [46–48], Work Productivity and Activity Impairment Allergic Specific (WPAI-AS) [49] and Control of AR and Asthma Test (CARAT) [50–53]. The Epworth Sleepiness Questionnaire [54, 55] is included (June 2018).

**Medications**

A scroll list is available for all OTC and prescribed medications of the 23 countries. The International Nonproprietary Names classification was used for drug nomenclature [56]. 85 INNs and 505 medications were identified (Fig. 1).

**Adherence to treatment**

Globally, non-adherence to medications is a major obstacle to the effective delivery of health care. Many mobile phone apps are available to support people to take their medications and to improve medication adherence [57, 58]. However, a recent meta-analysis found that the majority did not have many of the desirable features and were of low quality [57]. However, it is unknown how people use apps, what is considered adherent or non-adherent in terms of app usage, or whether adherence with an app in anyway reflects adherence with medication or control.

In MASK, we did not use adherence questionnaires but first attempted to assess short-term adherence and then to address the long-term issues. [59].

**Digitalized ARIA symptom-medication score**

Symptom-medication scores are needed to assess the control of allergic diseases. They are currently being
developed for MASK and are being compared with existing ones [60].

**MASK algorithm and clinical decision support system**

Clinical decision support systems (CDSS) are software algorithms that advise health care providers on the diagnosis and management of patients based on the interaction of patient data and medical information, such as prescribed drugs. CDSS should be based on the best evidence and algorithms to aid patients and health care professionals to jointly determine the treatment and its step-up or step-down strategy for an optimal disease control.

The selection of pharmacotherapy for AR patients depends on several factors, including age, prominent symptoms, symptom severity, AR control, patient preferences and cost. Allergen exposure, pollution and resulting symptoms vary, needing treatment
adjustment. In AR, The MASK CDSS is incorporated into an interoperable tablet [29] for HCPs (ARIA Allergy Diary Companion) [10, 26]. This is based on an algorithm to aid clinicians to select pharmacotherapy for AR patients and to stratify their disease severity [26] (Fig. 4). It uses a simple step-up/step-down individualized approach to AR pharmacotherapy and may hold the potential for optimal control of symptoms, while minimizing side-effects and costs. However, its use varies depending on the availability of medications in the different countries and on resources. The algorithm is now digitalized and available in English (Fig. 5).

MASK follows the CHRODIS criteria of “Good Practice”

The European Commission is co-funding a large collaborative project named JA-CHRODIS in the context of the 2nd EU Health Programme 2008–2013 [61]. JA-CHRODIS has developed a check-list of 27 items for the evaluation of Good Practices (GP) (http://chrodis.eu/our-work/04-knowledge-platform/). According to the JA-CHRODIS, a Good Practice has been proven to work well and produce good results, and is therefore recommended as a model to be scaled up. The JA-CHRODIS criteria are grouped into nine categories:

- Equity.
- Practice.
- Ethical considerations.
- Evaluation.
- Empowerment and participation.
- Target population.
- Sustainability.
- Governance.
- Scalability

As part of SUNFRAIL, MASK tested the 27 item criteria of CHRODIS and was found to be an example of Good Practice [62].

**Pilot study of mobile phone technology in AR**

A pilot study in 3260 users found that Allergy Diary users were able to properly provide baseline simple phenotypic characteristics. Troublesome symptoms were found mainly in the users with the largest number of symptoms. Around 50% of users with troublesome rhinitis and/or ocular symptoms suffered work impairment. Sleep was impaired by troublesome symptoms and nasal obstruction (Fig. 6). Results suggest novel concepts and research questions in AR that may not be identified using classical methods [33].
Validation of the MASK Visual Analogue Scale on cell phones

VAS included in the Allergy Diary was found to be a validated tool to assess control in AR patients following COSMIN guidelines [63] in 1225 users and 14,612 days: internal consistency (Cronbach’s α-coefficient > 0.84 and test–retest > 0.7), reliability (intra-class correlation coefficients), sensitivity and acceptability [64]. In addition, e-VAS had a good reproducibility when users (n = 521) answered the e-VAS twice in less than 3 h.

Transfer of innovation of AR and asthma multimorbidity in the elderly: Reference Site Twinning (EIP on AHA)

The EIP on AHA includes 74 Reference Sites. The aim of this TWINNING was to transfer innovation from the MASK App to other reference sites. The phenotypic characteristics of rhinitis and asthma multimorbidity in adults and the elderly are compared using validated mHealth tools (i.e. the Allergy Diary and CARAT) in 23 Reference Sites or regions across Europe and Argentina, Australia, Brazil and Mexico [46]. This will improve understanding, assessment of burden, diagnosis and management of rhinitis in the elderly by comparison with an adult population. The pilot study has been completed in Germany and the project is fully operative using two protocols (Table 3).

Results

Work productivity

AR impairs social life, work and school productivity. Indirect costs associated with lost work productivity are the principal contributor to the total AR costs and result mainly from impaired work performance by presenteeism [2]. The severity of AR symptoms was the most consistent disease-related factor associated with impact of AR on work productivity, although ocular symptoms and sleep disturbances may independently affect work
productivity. Overall, the pharmacologic treatment of AR showed a beneficial effect on work productivity. A cross-sectional study using Allergy diary in 1136 users (5659 days) assessed the impact on work productivity of uncontrolled AR assessed by VAS [34]. In users with uncontrolled rhinitis (VAS global measured ≥ 50), approximately 90% had some work impairment and over 50% had severe work impairment.
(VAS-work ≥ 50). There was a significant correlation between VAS-global calculated and VAS-work (Rho = 0.83, p < 0.00001, Spearman rank test). The study has been extended to almost 17,000 days and similar results were observed (Fig. 7).

The baseline study found that bothersome symptoms, nasal obstruction and ocular symptoms were involved in work productivity impact [33] (Fig. 8).

The Allergy Diary includes the WPAI:AS in six EU countries. All consecutive users who completed the VAS-work from June 1 to July 31, 2016 were included in the study [66]. A highly significant correlation was found between Questions 4 (impairment of work) and 9 (impairment of activities) in 698 users (Rho = 0.85).

All these studies combine to confirm the impact of uncontrolled AR on work productivity.

---

**Table 3 Twinning protocols (from Bousquet et al., [65])**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Protocol 1</th>
<th>Protocol 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allergy Diary</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Long version</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equation 5D</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician’s questionnaire</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethics committee</td>
<td>Not needed</td>
<td>Needed (obtained in some Reference Sites)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform consent</td>
<td>Terms of Reference on App</td>
<td>From with patient’s signature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruitment</td>
<td>Any user</td>
<td>Persons attending clinic visits can be included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Persons attending clinic visits included</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Novel phenotypes of allergic diseases**

Multimorbidity in allergic airway diseases is well known [6], but no data exist regarding the daily dynamics of symptoms. The Allergy Diary assessed the presence and control of daily allergic multimorbidity (asthma, conjunctivitis, rhinitis) and its impact on work productivity in 4025 users and 32,585 days monitored in 19 countries from May 25, 2015 to May 26, 2016. VAS levels < 20/100 were categorized as “Low” burden and VAS levels ≥ 50/100 as “High” burden. VAS global measured levels assessing the global control of the allergic disease were significantly associated with daily allergic multimorbidity. Eight hypothesis-driven patterns were defined based on “Low” and “High” VAS levels. There were < 0.2% days of Rhinitis Low and Asthma High or Conjunctivitis High patterns. There were 5.9% days with a Rhinitis High—Asthma Low pattern. There were 1.7% days with a Rhinitis High—Asthma High—Conjunctivitis Low pattern. A novel Rhinitis High—Asthma High—Conjunctivitis High pattern was identified in 2.9% days and had the greatest impact on uncontrolled VAS global measured and impaired work productivity (Fig. 9). The mobile technology enabled investigation in a novel approach of the intra-individual variability of allergic multimorbidity using days. It identified an unrecognized extreme pattern of uncontrolled multimorbidity [59].

---

**Treatment of allergic rhinitis using mobile technology with real world data**

Large observational implementation studies are needed to triangulate the findings from randomized control trials (RCTs) as they reflect “real world” everyday practice. We attempted to provide additional and complementary insights into the real-life AR treatment using mobile technology. The Allergy Diary was filled in by 2871 users.
Fig. 7 Correlation between VAS work and VAS global measured, nose, eye and asthma (Bousquet unpublished)

Fig. 8 Impact of symptoms on work, school and daily activities (from Bousquet et al. [33])
who reported 17,091 days of VAS in 2015 and 2016. Medications were reported for 9634 days. The assessment of days appeared to be more informative than the course of the treatment as, in real life, patients rarely use treatment on a daily basis; rather, they appear to increase treatment use with the loss of symptom control and to stop it when symptoms disappear. The Allergy Diary allowed the differentiation between treatments within or between classes (intranasal corticosteroid use containing medications and oral H1-antihistamines). The control of days differed between no (best control), single or multiple treatments (worst control) (Fig. 10). The study confirms the usefulness of the Allergy Diary in accessing and assessing everyday use and practice in AR [59].

Adherence to medications was studied in almost 7000 users reporting medications. 1770 users reported over
7 days of VAS between January 1, 2016 and August 31, 2016 and a major lack of adherence to treatment was observed for all medications (Menditto et al., in preparation).

**MASK in the pharmacy**

Multidisciplinary integrated care is necessary to reduce the burden of chronic diseases. A significant proportion of patients with AR self-manage their condition and often the pharmacist is the first HCP that a person with nasal symptoms contacts [66, 67]. Pharmacists are trusted in the community and are easily accessible. As such, pharmacists are an important part of the multidisciplinary healthcare team, acting at different steps of rhinitis care pathways.

Pharmacists are important in many areas of intervention in AR:

- Recognizing (identification).
- Risk assessment/stratification.
- OTC treatment.
- Manage refills.
- Patient education.
- Referral to a physician.
- Administration of topical treatment technique and adherence to treatment.

Simple algorithms and tools are essential in the routine implementation of these steps. A first approach was made by ARIA in the pharmacy [68] and is currently being updated using MASK.

**POLLAR (Impact of air POLLution on Asthma and Rhinitis)**

AR and asthma are impacted by allergens and air pollution. However, interactions between air pollution, sleep [55, 69] and allergic diseases are insufficiently understood. POLLAR aims at combining emerging technologies [search engine TLR2 (technology readiness level); pollution sampler TLR6, App TLR9] with machine learning to (1) understand effects of air pollution in AR and its impact on sleep, work, asthma, (2) propose novel care pathways integrating pollution and patient’s literacy, (3) study sleep, (4) improve work productivity, (5) propose the basis for a sentinel network at the EU level for pollution and allergy and (6) assess the societal implications of the interaction.

POLLAR will use the freely existing application for AR monitoring (*Allergy Diary*, 14,000 users, TLR8) combined with a new tool allowing queries on allergen and pollen (TLR2) and existing pollution data. Machine learning will be used to assess the relationship between air pollution and AR comparing polluted and non-polluted areas in 6 EU countries. Data generated in 2018 will be confirmed in 2019 and extended by the individual assessment of pollution (*Canarin®*, portable sensor, TLR6) in AR and sleep apnea patients used as a control group having impaired sleep. The geographic information system GIS will map the results.

Google Trends (GT) searches trends of specific queries in Google and reflects the real-life epidemiology of AR. We compared GT terms related to allergy and rhinitis in all European Union countries, Norway and Switzerland from January 1, 2011 to December, 20 2016. An annual and clear seasonality of queries was found in most countries but the terms ‘hay fever’, ‘allergy’ and ‘pollen’—show cultural differences [70]. Using longitudinal data in different countries and multiple terms, we identified an awareness-related spike of searches (December 2016) [70]. In asthma, GTs can identify spikes of mortality as was found in Australia and Kuwait in 2016. However, the usual peaks of asthma during allergen exposure or virus infections cannot be easily monitored [71].

**Global applicability of MASK and POLLAR, and their benefits**

Although MASK has been devised to optimize care pathways in rhinitis and asthma multimorbidity, its applicability is far more extensive (Table 4).

For POLLAR, several steps have been achieved.

**Conclusion**

MASK is a novel approach to obtain real-life data concerning rhinitis and asthma multimorbidity and to help patients and physicians for a better SDM. It can be used for multiple purposes in a friendly manner in order to improve the control of allergic diseases in a cost-effective approach.
Table 4  Global applicability of MASK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicability</th>
<th>MASK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical practice</td>
<td>Physicians will be able to read the files of the patients in order to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Optimize treatment for the patient and, in particular, the current or the next pollen season</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Assess and increase the adherence to treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Help for shared decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Prescribe allergen immunotherapy (AIT) more rapidly when the patient is not controlled despite optimal pharmacologic treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Determine the efficacy of AIT in patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- The Allergy Diary is an essential tool to provide personalized medicine in AR and asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change management</td>
<td>The first results of MASK indicate that many patients are uncontrolled and non-adherent to treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Moreover, they appear to use their medications as needed and not as a regular basis as prescribed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient empowerment</td>
<td>Change management is needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Better understanding of the symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Sentinel network linking aerobiology data and control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Improved adherence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Self-management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Patient empowerment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Messages sent by the App</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical trials</td>
<td>For RCTs, it is essential to have clarity on definitions, and relevant tools. The Allergy Diary allows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- To better stratify the patients needing AIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- To assess the efficacy of AIT during the trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- To assess the efficacy when AIT is stopped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Observational studies are of key importance to confirm RCTs and bring new hypotheses for the treatment of AR and asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration and reimbursement of medicines</td>
<td>Controlled trials designed with a uniform approach will be more easily evaluated by the Health Technology Assessment agencies (such as NICE) for reimbursement. The Allergy Diary uses EQ-SD, a validated measure of utility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Better understanding of direct and indirect costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Controlled trials designed with a uniform approach will help to synchronize data from real-life world regarding clinical effects and safety/tolerability of new drugs (post-marketing pharmacovigilance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research on mechanisms and genetics</td>
<td>A uniform definition and a collaborative approach to epidemiological, genetic and mechanistic research are important and will be enhanced by the stratification of patients using the Allergy Diary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Different levels of phenotype characterization (granularity) can be applied to assess phenotypic characterization in old age subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidemiology</td>
<td>In epidemiologic population studies, standardized definitions and tools are fundamental. The Allergy Diary allows novel approaches combining classical cross-sectional and longitudinal studies with real life studies in large populations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employers</td>
<td>AR and asthma represent a major burden for the employers, and the estimated annual costs in the EU range from 30 to 60 B€. Better control of the disease was shown to reduce costs. The Allergy Diary has the potential to improve the control of allergic diseases and to significantly improve work productivity at the EU level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public health planning</td>
<td>For public health purposes, a perfect patient characterization in real life is needed to identify the prevalence, burden and costs incurred by patients in order to improve quality of care and optimize health care planning and policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of inequities</td>
<td>Inequities still exist in the EU for allergic diseases prevalence and burden (not only sex/gender inequities). POLLAR will attempt to understand them and to propose policies and health promotion strategies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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