The Genomic Architecture of Fowl Typhoid Resistance in Commercial Layers
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Salmonella enterica serovar Gallinarum causes devastating outbreaks of fowl typhoid across the globe, especially in developing countries. With the use of antimicrobial agents being reduced due to legislation and the absence of licensed vaccines in some parts of the world, an attractive complementary control strategy is to breed chickens for increased resistance to Salmonella. The potential for genetic control of salmonellosis has been demonstrated by experimental challenge of inbred populations. Quantitative trait loci (QTL) associated with resistance have been identified in many genomic regions. A major QTL associated with systemic salmonellosis has been identified in a region termed SAL1. In the present study, two outbreaks of fowl typhoid in 2007 and 2012 in the United Kingdom were used to investigate the genetic architecture of Salmonella resistance in commercial laying hens. In the first outbreak 100 resistant and 150 susceptible layers were genotyped using 11 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and 3 microsatellite markers located in the previously identified SAL1 region on chromosome 5. From the second outbreak 100 resistant and 200 susceptible layers, belonging to a different line, were genotyped with a high-density (600 K) genome-wide SNP array. Substantial heritability estimates were obtained in both populations ($h^2 = 0.22$ and 0.26, for the layers in the first and second outbreak, respectively). Significant associations with three markers on chromosome 5 located close to AKT1 and SIVA1 genes, coding for RAC-alpha serine/threonine protein kinase, and the CD27-binding protein SIVA1, respectively, were identified in the first outbreak. From analysis of the second outbreak, eight genome-wide significant associations with Salmonella resistance were identified on chromosomes 1, 6, 7, 11, 23, 24, 26, 28 and several others with suggestive genome-wide significance were found. Pathway and network analysis revealed the presence of many innate immune pathways related to Salmonella resistance. Although, significant associations with SNPs located in the SAL1 locus were not identified by the genome-wide scan for layers from the second outbreak, pathway analysis revealed P13K/AKT signaling as the most significant pathway. In summary, resistance to fowl typhoid is a heritable polygenic trait that could possibly be enhanced through selective breeding.
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INTRODUCTION

Salmonella enterica serovar Gallinarum causes a systemic bacterial disease mainly in adult poultry known as fowl typhoid. Outbreaks of this disease can have huge financial consequences with infected flocks having reduced egg production and a high percentage of mortality (Shivaprasad, 2000; Barrow and Freitas Neto, 2011). Regulations across the European Union compel poultry producers to control Salmonella in their layer and broiler breeder flocks. For example, in the United Kingdom, the Poultry Health Scheme routinely tests farms for the presence of S. Gallinarum resulting in rare occurrence of the disease after a prolonged control strategy (Poultry Health Scheme Handbook, 2013; Wigley, 2017). Despite such control measures, some outbreaks have been reported in recent years for both caged layers and backyard flocks in the United Kingdom indicating that outbreaks do still occur with devastating effects (Cobb et al., 2005; Parmar and Davies, 2007). More worrying, fowl typhoid has re-emerged in recent years in developing countries that have also established sanitary measures and official programs to prevent and control the disease. However, the disease remains endemic with cyclic or seasonal outbreaks related mainly to disease management (Revolledo, 2018). Therefore, a pressing need exists for complementary strategies to control the disease (Barbour et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2016; Celis-Estupinan et al., 2017; Pal et al., 2017; Weerasooriya et al., 2017).

Genetic selection for birds resistant to S. Gallinarum has been seen as an attractive solution for the control of fowl typhoid since the 1930’s (Lambert and Knox, 1932). Inbred chicken lines have been described that exhibit heritable differences in resistance to systemic salmonellosis, including following oral S. Gallinarum inoculation or intravenous administration of S. Typhimurium (Bumstead and Barrow, 1993; Mariani et al., 2001). These lines have been extensively studied over the past 35 years, and crosses between these lines have been used to identify quantitative trait loci (QTL) for Salmonella resistance. A region on chromosome 5, termed SAL1, has been identified in multiple independent studies as having a protective role against systemic salmonellosis in the chicken (Mariani et al., 2001; Kaiser and Lamont, 2002; Tilquin et al., 2005; Calenge et al., 2010; Redmond et al., 2011). We refined the SAL1 major QTL by mapping resistance in a 6th generation backcross with inbred lines 61 (resistant) and 151 (susceptible) using a high-density SNP panel (Fife et al., 2009). The refined SAL1 region contains 14 genes with some noticeable candidates that have previously been linked with Salmonella resistance in other species, such as the RAC-alpha serine/ threonine protein kinase homolog, AKT (Fife et al., 2009). It is noteworthy that distinct QTL have been associated with enteric carriage of S. Typhimurium (Fife et al., 2011).

The present study builds on and extends our previous studies in inbred lines, aiming to dissect the genomic architecture of fowl typhoid resistance using two different United Kingdom commercial layer populations which suffered from natural outbreaks of fowl typhoid. We conducted variance component analyses to estimate genetic parameters and genomic association studies to identify genomic regions controlling fowl typhoid resistance. We also performed gene enrichment and pathway analyses to identify candidate genes within the relevant genomic regions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Statement

All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the revised Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 (project license PPL40/3652) with the approval of the local Ethical Review Body.

Study Population

Two different commercial laying hen populations suffering from two separate S. Gallinarum outbreaks of fowl typhoid, in 2007 and 2012 in the United Kingdom, were used in this study. From the first outbreak, blood and liver samples from 250 layers (150 susceptible and 100 resistant) were collected. The second outbreak affected a layer farm with 375,000 birds. While most of the infected birds succumbed to infection, about 0.1% of the birds showed some level of resistance, with only mild clinical signs. Ultimately all remaining birds were culled on humane grounds, to prevent further spread of infection. From this outbreak, blood, spleen, and liver samples were collected from 300 layers (200 susceptible and 100 resistant). Three liver samples were collected from each bird, one in tissue storage reagent RNAlater®, one in formalin for histological analysis, and one in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for enumeration of viable bacteria.

The collection of samples was performed by qualified veterinarians: samples were collected from birds raised in the same pens; live birds were culled and classified based on the observed pathology (lesions in liver, spleen, or ovary) as resistant or susceptible. Susceptible birds had extensive pathology implying potential death from lesions in the next 24 h. Resistant birds had no overt gross lesions on post mortem examination, with limited clinical signs.

For the first outbreak prevalence data was unavailable. For the second outbreak, the rate of infection varied between the six poultry houses on the affected premises. Levels of mortality consistent with clinical signs of fowl typhoid were recorded for the second outbreak with peak levels at approximately 3000 birds per day across the farm. Toward the end of the outbreak approximately 33% of birds had succumbed to infection. Birds for this study were sampled from the poultry house with the highest reported prevalence.

Phenotyping

For the first outbreak the trait was binary [0/1, case (susceptible)-control (resistant)]. For the second outbreak S. Gallinarum load in liver was determined in colony-forming units (CFU)/gram as described previously (Mariani et al., 2001). Briefly, liver samples in PBS were weighed and homogenized in an equal v/w of PBS. The homogenized liver tissue was serially diluted and plated on Modified Brilliant Green Agar (Oxoid, United Kingdom), incubated overnight, and the numbers of bacterial colonies were counted. The number of CFU/g was log transformed in order to
normalize the distribution. The trait for the second outbreak was analyzed both using continuous as well as binary phenotypes.

**Histology and Assessment of Pathogenicity**
Histological analyses were performed on liver and spleen samples from birds from the second outbreak. Samples of liver and spleen were fixed in formalin, paraffin-wax embedded then cut and stained with haemotoxylin and eosin by the Department of Veterinary Pathology, University of Liverpool. Tissues were observed and analyzed blind as described previously (Parsons et al., 2013).

Assessment of pathogenicity of the strain isolated from the second outbreak in an experimental infection model was made in comparison with two well characterized S. Gallinarum isolates SG9 and 287/91 (Jones et al., 2001), as described previously (Langridge et al., 2015). Briefly, groups of five 3-week-old Salmonella-free commercial brown egg layer chickens (Lohmann Brown) were infected orally with 10^8 CFU of each of the S. Gallinarum isolates or remained as an uninfected control. At 6 days post challenge all birds were killed and at post mortem examination the spleen, liver, and caecal contents were removed for enumeration of viable Salmonella on selective Modified Brilliant Green Agar (Oxoid, United Kingdom) as detailed previously (Langridge et al., 2015).

**Genotyping**
All the birds from the second outbreak were genotyped using 11 custom-made SNP and 3 microsatellites markers located in the previously identified SAL1 region on chromosome 5 (Fife et al., 2009). A full list of these markers is displayed in Supplementary Table S1. All the birds from the second outbreak were genotyped with the 600 K high density genome-wide SNP array (Affymetrix® Axiom® HD) (Kranis et al., 2013).

**Heritability Analyses**
Genetic parameters were estimated for S. Gallinarum resistance for the first and the second outbreak using a mixed linear univariate model that included the population principal components (for the second outbreak only) as a covariate effect, and the random effect of the individual bird. Genetic relationships between birds were calculated based on SNP genotypes using the genome-wide efficient mixed model association (GEMMA) algorithm (Zhou and Stephens, 2014) and included in the analyses. For the second outbreak the continuous phenotypes were used to estimate the variance components. The heritability of each trait was calculated as the ratio of the additive genetic to the total phenotypic variance. All above analyses were performed separately for each outbreak using the ASReml 4.0 software (Gilmour et al., 2009).

**Genomic Association Analyses**
**Single-Marker Genomic Association Analyses**
For the first outbreak a single marker association analysis where the SNP genotype was fitted as a fixed effect and the genomic relatedness matrix was fitted as a random polygenic effect was performed using ASReml 4.0 software (Gilmour et al., 2009).

Data from the second outbreak were analyzed using two genome-wide association methodologies. Briefly, either a single SNP or a group of SNPs in sets of windows/ regions-using a regional heritability mapping approach (RHM)- were fitted as fixed effects.

The SNP genotype data were subjected to quality control measures using PLINK v1.09 (Purcell et al., 2007): minor allele frequency >0.05, call rate >95% and Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (P > 10^{-6}). After quality control, 297,560 SNP markers remained for further analysis. Positions of SNP markers were obtained using the Gal-gal5 assembly in Ensembl Genome Browser.

Population stratification was investigated using a genomic relatedness matrix generated from all individuals. This genomic relatedness matrix was converted to a distance matrix that was used to carry out classical multidimensional scaling analysis (MSA) using the GenABEL package of R (Aulchenko et al., 2007), to obtain its principal components.

The GEMMA algorithm (Zhou and Stephens, 2014) was used to perform GWAS analyses using a standard univariate linear mixed model in which the first four principal components were fitted as covariate effects to adjust for population structure and the genomic relatedness matrix among individuals was fitted as a polygenic effect. After Bonferroni correction for multiple testing, significance thresholds were P ≤ 1.68 × 10^{-7} and P ≤ 3.36 × 10^{-6} for genome-wide significant (P ≤ 0.05) and suggestive (namely one false positive per genome scan) levels, respectively, corresponding to −log_{10}(P) of 6.77 and 5.47. The Chi-square (\(\chi^2\)) test was implemented to validate the GWAS results. A P-value for each comparison (expected vs. observed values) was estimated based on the \(\chi^2\) statistics value for two degrees of freedom. The significance threshold was set at P ≤ 0.05. The extent of linkage disequilibrium (LD) between significant SNPs located on the same chromosome regions was calculated using the r-square statistic of PLINK v1.09 (Purcell et al., 2007).

**Regional Heritability Mapping**
The RHM approach was used to analyse data from the second outbreak fitting genomic regions of 20 SNPs in sliding “windows” along each chromosome. RHM analyses were performed using the DISSECT software (Canela-Xandri et al., 2015) fitting the same fixed effects as the ones used in the single SNP GWAS described above. The significance of genomic regions was assessed with the likelihood ratio test statistic, which was used to compare the RHM model where both the whole genome and a genomic region were fitted as random effects against the base model that excluded the latter effect. A total of 14,878 regions were tested across the genome. After the adjustment, using Bonferroni correction, for multiple testing significance thresholds were P ≤ 3.37 × 10^{-6} and P ≤ 6.72 × 10^{-5} for genome-wide (P ≤ 0.05) and suggestive (namely one false
positive per genome scan) levels, respectively, corresponding to $-\log_{10}(P)$ of 5.47 and 4.17.

**SNP and Candidate Region Annotation**

All significant SNPs identified in the GWAS for the second *S. Gallinarum* outbreak were mapped to the reference genome and annotated by using the variant effect predictor tool within the Ensembl database and the Gal-gal5 assembly. Moreover, the genes that were located 100 kb upstream and downstream of the significant SNPs were also annotated using the BioMart data mining tool and the Gal-gal5 assembly. We chose these 200 kb windows based on the average LD in commercial populations (less than 1 cM on average; Andreescu et al., 2007) and the fact that the chicken genome contains 250 kb per cM on average (International Chicken Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2004). This allowed us to catalog all the genes that were located in the vicinity of the identified significant SNPs and to create gene lists that contained the genes in the vicinity of all the significant SNPs identified for fowl typhoid resistance.

**Pathway, Network and Functional Enrichment Analyses**

Identification of potential canonical pathways and networks underlying the candidate genomic regions associated with resistance to the second *S. Gallinarum* outbreak was performed using the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) program. IPA constructs multiple possible upstream regulators, pathways, and networks that serve as hypotheses for the biological mechanism underlying the phenotypes based on a large-scale causal network derived from the Ingenuity Knowledge Base. Then, IPA infers the most suitable pathways and networks based on their statistical significance, after correcting for a baseline threshold (Krämer et al., 2014). The IPA score in the constructed networks can be used to rank these networks based on the $P$-values obtained using Fisher’s exact test [IPA score or $P$-score = $-\log_{10}(P$-value)].

The gene list for *S. Gallinarum* resistance was also analyzed using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID; Dennis et al., 2003). In order to understand the biological meaning behind these genes, gene ontology (GO) was determined and functional annotation clustering analysis was performed. The *Gallus gallus* background information is available in DAVID and was used for the analysis. The enrichment score (ES) of the DAVID package is a modified Fisher exact $P$-value calculated by the software, with higher ES reflecting more enriched clusters. An ES greater than 1 means that the functional category is overrepresented.

**RESULTS**

**Descriptive Statistics of Phenotypes**

A mean three-log difference of liver *S. Gallinarum* viable counts between the resistant (average: 4.4 log CFU/gr, standard deviation: 1.66) and the susceptible (average: 7.4 log CFU/gr, standard deviation: 0.77) birds from the second outbreak was detected, consistent with the pathology results. The maximum of liver count measured was 8.45 log CFU/gr, while in 34 samples no viable *S. Gallinarum* was detected (minimum).

**Histology and Assessment of Pathogenicity**

As many samples were autolysed or degraded detailed scoring was not possible. However, analysis of tissues from six resistant and nine susceptible birds where the sample was not compromised, showed patterns of pathology similar with the ones previously described following experimental infection of resistant and susceptible inbred lines with *S. Gallinarum* (Wigley et al., 2002). Resistant birds showed signs of inflammation, largely restricted to specific foci in the liver (Figure 1A) and general inflammation in the spleen. In contrast susceptible birds showed greater levels of inflammation and large areas of necrotic damage in the liver (Figure 1B), with a high degree of inflammatory cell influx into the spleen with thickening of the splenic capsule and some areas of necrosis. These findings are consistent with observations in inbred lines exhibiting differential resistance following experimental infection (Mariani et al., 2001).

In experimental infection studies, a clonal isolate from the second outbreak was recovered in equivalent or greater numbers from the spleen and liver of orally challenged birds than 287/91 or SG9 (Supplementary Figure S1). This fulfills Koch’s postulates and the outbreak strain may be considered typical of other *S. Gallinarum* strains in the pathology it elicits. None of the isolates were detected in the caecal contents at the time of post mortem examination.

**Single-Marker Genomic Association Studies**

Similar moderate heritability estimates for *S. Gallinarum* resistance were derived for both layer populations in the first ($h^2 = 0.22 \pm 0.01$) and second ($h^2 = 0.26 \pm 0.14$) outbreaks.

![FIGURE 1](https://www.frontiersin.org) | Representative haematoxylin and eosin stained sections of liver tissue from resistant (A) and susceptible (B) chickens from the second outbreak (magnification × 400). The liver of susceptible birds show extensive necrotic tissue damage and massive and widespread influx of inflammatory cells whereas resistant birds show smaller defined loci of inflammation.
Seven markers located in the *SAL1* locus on chromosome 5 were found to have a significant (*P* < 0.05) association with *S. Gallinarum* resistance in the layer population affected by the first outbreak. Details of the significant markers identified are presented in Table 1.

Multidimensional scaling analysis revealed four substructure principal components in the layer population affected by the second outbreak, which were subsequently included in the GWAS model to correct results for population stratification.

GWAS analysis identified six SNP markers genome-wide significantly associated with the log-transformed liver load of *S. Gallinarum* in layers from the second outbreak on chromosomes 1, 11, 23, 24, and 26 (*P*-values *7.36 × 10^{-10} to 1.63 × 10^{-7}*) (Table 2). Additionally, 14 SNPs crossing the suggestive genome-wide significant threshold were identified on chromosomes 1, 2, 4, 6, 13, 19, 24, and 28 (Table 2). The Manhattan plot and the Q-Q plot for the GWAS results are displayed in Figures 2A,B.

The same significant associations on chromosomes 1, 23, 26, and 28 were identified by the GWAS analysis when the data was re-analyzed as a binary (case-control) trait (Table 2), although the ranking of the SNPs based on the *P*-values were different. With the case-control analysis the association on chromosome 28 attained genome-wide significance (*P*-values *2.41 × 10^{-12}*). This approach identified also two new genome-wide significant associations on chromosomes 6 and 7 (*P*-values *8.81 × 10^{-9} to 1.08 × 10^{-8}* ) and new suggestive associations with markers on chromosomes 1, 3, 10, 11, and 23 (Table 2). All the significant associations identified by the GWAS were also found to be significant (*P* < 0.05) in the chi-square analysis. The Manhattan plot and the Q-Q plot for the GWAS results from the case-control analysis are displayed in Figures 3A,B. Significant SNPs that were located on the same chromosome were not in LD with the exception of the markers located on chromosome 13 (*r^2 > 0.90*).

Regional Heritability Mapping

The RHM mapping confirmed the significant associations on chromosomes 1, 11, 23, 24, and 26 previously identified by the GWAS (Supplementary Table S2). Moreover, RHM detected two more suggestive significant associations on chromosomes 2, 4, 6, 13, 19, 24, and 28 (Table 2). The Manhattan plot and the Q-Q plot for the RHM results analysis are displayed in Supplementary Table S2.

SNP and Candidate Region Annotation

All of the significant markers identified for the first outbreak were located in intronic, intergenic, upstream and downstream gene regions with the exception of one SNP (SNP7) which corresponds to a missense variant within the *Creatine Kinase B (CKB)* gene. Four of the significant SNP markers spanned the *AKT1* gene. All these SNPs are intronic variants for the *AKT1* and also upstream and downstream variants for one microRNA (gga-mir-1771). The candidate region for fowl typhoid on chromosome 5 contained 16 protein coding genes and 2 microRNAs (Supplementary Table S3).

Most of the significant SNPs identified by the GWAS analyses for the second outbreak were located in intronic (34%), intergenic (24%) and upstream and downstream gene (14%) regions. However, four of the SNPs were localized in exonic regions. Specifically, Affx-51116866 corresponds to a missense variant within the Cell Adhesion Molecule 1 (*CADM1*) gene; Affx-51148005 corresponds to a missense variant within the TATA-Box Binding Protein Associated Factor 8 (*TAF8*) gene; Affx-51686897 corresponds to a missense variant within the AT-Rich Interaction Domain 5B (*ARID5B*) gene; Affx-51177949 corresponds to a synonymous variant within the Growth Differentiation Factor 3 (*GDF3*) gene. The above mentioned missense variants had a predicted moderate impact.

Most of the candidate regions for fowl typhoid resistance identified from the second outbreak contained multiple genes. In total 116 protein-coding genes and 4 microRNAs identified across the QTL regions for the second outbreak (Supplementary Table S3).

Pathway, Network and Functional Enrichment Analyses

We reasoned that the corresponding QTL regions may contain genes contributing to a common pathway associated with *S. Gallinarum* resistance. We therefore identified the sets of annotated genes lying within the QTL intervals identified for the second outbreak and sought evidence of gene set enrichment. These genes were enriched for pathways involved in immune responses, both innate and adaptive, and cell-cycle regulation (Figure 4). The most enriched pathway was related to the PI3K/AKT signaling. Moreover, three networks of molecular interactions related to cell death and survival, and cell cycle, humoral immune response, hematological system development and function, and hematopoiesis were constructed using the list of genes in the candidate regions (Figure 5).

Functional annotation clustering analysis revealed the presence of enriched gene clusters related to protein kinase binding (*E.S = 2.35*, genes in the cluster: *PRKRIPI1, CCND3, YWHAG, HDAC1*), positive regulation of immune system processes (*E.S = 1.7*, genes in the cluster: *CD247, SH2B2, CADM1, HPX, LCK*), hematopoiesis and immune system...
**TABLE 2** | List of SNPs associated with fowl typhoid resistance in the layers from the second outbreak.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>SNP name</th>
<th>Chr</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continues</td>
<td>Affx-50313880</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>194531778</td>
<td>7.368E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-51086463</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5081233</td>
<td>7.468E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-51148005</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5073473</td>
<td>1.852E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-50516977</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11072620</td>
<td>2.042E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-51116866</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4720171</td>
<td>7.372E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-50405629</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>67549126</td>
<td>1.636E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-51177949</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3756677</td>
<td>4.249E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-51686897</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8299315</td>
<td>6.298E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-50471114</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>91550805</td>
<td>6.893E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-50617622</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16337564</td>
<td>1.082E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-51370634</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10316583</td>
<td>1.373E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-50841906</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>127840521</td>
<td>1.379E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-50988352</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>85262253</td>
<td>1.468E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-50617378</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16238356</td>
<td>1.572E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-50617564</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16313839</td>
<td>1.572E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-50832761</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>122511846</td>
<td>1.865E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-50780736</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4130929</td>
<td>2.219E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-50193882</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13009585</td>
<td>3.339E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-50808404</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>107286680</td>
<td>3.424E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-51107231</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2183253</td>
<td>3.424E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binary</td>
<td>Affx-51177949</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3758677</td>
<td>2.414E-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-51643081</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20430378</td>
<td>8.818E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-51739265</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31474122</td>
<td>1.083E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-50405629</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>67549126</td>
<td>2.015E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-50538456</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19872676</td>
<td>2.724E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-51148005</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5073473</td>
<td>2.808E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-51086276</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2561442</td>
<td>6.146E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-50414020</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>71999883</td>
<td>9.84E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-51197199</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>107050735</td>
<td>1.026E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-50476276</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14891444</td>
<td>1.75E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affx-51098463</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5081233</td>
<td>2.67E-06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-value from genomic association study (genome-wide significant in bold, suggestive significance otherwise).

**FIGURE 2** | Manhattan plot and Q-Q plot displaying the GWAS results from the second fowl typhoid outbreak (continuous phenotypes). (A) Genomic location is plotted against $-\log_{10}(P)$ in the Manhattan plot. Genome-wide ($P < 0.05$) and suggestive genome-wide thresholds are shown as red and blue lines, respectively. (B) Q-Q plot of observed $P$-values against the expected $P$-values for *Salmonella Gallinarum* liver load (log-transformed CFU of *S. Gallinarum* per gram of liver).
FIGURE 3 | Manhattan plot and Q-Q plot displaying the GWAS results from the second fowl typhoid outbreak (binary (0/1) phenotypes). (A) Genomic location is plotted against $-\log_{10}(P)$ in the Manhattan plot. Genome-wide ($P < 0.05$) and suggestive genome-wide thresholds are shown as red and blue lines, respectively. (B) Q-Q plot of observed P-values against the expected P-values for Salmonella Gallinarum resistance.

FIGURE 4 | Pathway analysis using the IPA software. The most highly represented canonical pathways derived from genes located within the candidate regions for fowl typhoid resistance in the layer population affected by the second outbreak. The solid yellow line represents the significance threshold. The line joining squares represents the ratio of the genes represented within each pathway to the total number of genes in the pathway.

development (E.S = 1.1, genes in the cluster: CEBPA, CEBPG, LCK, RTKN2).

DISCUSSION

Our study set out to investigate the genetic basis of fowl typhoid resistance in commercial layers. Using samples from two natural disease outbreaks, we detected heritable genetic variation and identified genomic regions associated with resistance to the disease in two different layer populations. Putative candidate genes, canonical pathways and networks involved in the underlying molecular mechanisms of fowl typhoid resistance were also identified.

In terms of phenotype, there was on average a 3 Log$_{10}$ difference in the recovery of viable S. Gallinarum between resistant and susceptible birds from the second outbreak and differences in pathology that are consistent with those observed following experimental infection of inbred lines that exhibit heritable differences in resistance following oral S. Gallinarum or intravenous S. Typhimurium inoculation (Bumstead and Barrow, 1993; Mariani et al., 2001). Although much of the QTL-based mapping of the SAL1 locus in inbred lines used intravenous infection of day old chicks with S. Typhimurium, the phenotype of resistance to experimental fowl typhoid is strongly expressed in older birds (Bumstead and Barrow, 1993) with quantitative differences of 3–4 Log$_{10}$ CFU per gram of liver tissue between resistant and susceptible lines found 8 days after oral challenge with S. Gallinarum in 3-week-old birds (Wigley et al., 2002). Therefore, the pathology, phenotyping and histological results of the present study conducted in commercial layers are consistent with previous findings in inbred lines for fowl typhoid infection.
In addition, the present study provided further evidence for the role of SAL1 locus in Salmonella resistance. AKT1 is a promising candidate gene of this QTL region as the protein is known to be activated by Salmonella and to promote intracellular net replication of the bacteria in mammalian cells (Steele-Mortimer et al., 2000; Kuijl et al., 2007). In the first outbreak the markers with the most significant association with the fowl typhoid spanned the AKT1 gene. Although, significant associations with SNPs located in the SAL1 locus were not identified by the genome-wide scan for layers from the second outbreak, pathway analysis revealed that the P13K/AKT signaling as the most significant pathway, implying that AKT pathway might play a role in Salmonella resistance. It is possible that other genes that are part of the P13/AKT pathway such as JAK3, KRAS, GYS2, PPP2CA, YWHAG might contribute to fowl typhoid resistance in the layers of the second outbreak since they belong to a different selection line and SNP markers proximal to these genes were identified in the GWAS analysis. Therefore, although the underlying mechanism might be similar, the causative mutation(s) might be different in the two populations. In addition, the phase of LD between the SNP markers and the causative mutation(s) might be different in the two different layer populations. AKT is a serine/threonine kinase that modulates multiple processes, in particular apoptosis, cell proliferation, and development (Hers et al., 2011). Depending on the cell type and stage of infection, apoptosis may play both positive and negative roles in control of Salmonella infection (Fink and Cookson, 2007). Nevertheless, the involvement of the other striking candidate gene, the CD27-binding protein SIVA1, in fowl typhoid resistance could not be excluded since the two candidate genes are in close proximity and significant markers were detected on either sides of these genes. SIVA1 is a pro-apoptotic factor that induces cell death via a caspase-dependent pathway in human and murine cells (Prasad et al., 1997; Py et al., 2004). It has been also proposed that differences in the expression or function of SIVA1 in the progeny of advanced inter-cross chicken lines may explain differences in the ability of heterophils from such birds to release heterophil extracellular traps via an apoptosis-like pathway (Redmond et al., 2011).

This is the first study, to our knowledge, that aimed to dissect the genetic architecture of fowl typhoid resistance using data of natural disease outbreaks. However, there are many previous genetic studies of systemic salmonellosis, Salmonella enteric carriage, carrier-state and antibody responses based on challenge experiments of S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium in crosses of inbred, and crosses of inbred with commercial chicken lines. Interestingly, many of the previously identified QTLs are overlapping or are in close proximity with the ones identified in the present study. The two QTLs we identified on chromosome 1 at position 67.5 and 91.5 Mb are closely located; the former with one identified in inbred lines for cloacal bacterial burden after oral challenge with S. Enteritidis (Tilquin et al., 2005) and the latter with one identified in inbred lines for cloacal bacterial burden after oral challenge with S. Enteritidis (Tilquin et al., 2005) and the latter with one identified in broiler crosses for spleen bacterial burden after intra-oesophageal challenge (Kaiser and Lamont, 2002) and for vaccine response after subcutaneous challenge with S. Enteritidis (Kaiser et al., 2002). The QTLs on chromosome 1 (194.5 Mb) and chromosome 11 (20 Mb) overlap with QTLs found in inbred line crosses for carrier Salmonella state after oral challenge with S. Enteritidis (Calenge et al., 2011). Likewise, the QTL regions on chromosome 2...
(122 Mb) and 4 overlap with previously identified QTLs for spleen bacterial burden after challenge with S. Enteritidis intraoesophageal (Malek et al., 2004). The QTL on chromosome 3 overlaps with a QTL identified in advanced intercrosses of inbred lines with broilers for spleen bacterial burden after intra-oesophageal infection with S. Enteritidis (Hasenstein and Lamont, 2007). In the latter study, the gallinacin group of genes were considered good candidate genes for Salmonella resistance. The gallinacin-8 precursor (Avc8) gene is also in close proximity with the significant marker identified on chromosome 3 in the present study. However, more studies are needed to confirm if this is the actual causative gene for this QTL. The QTLs that we identified on chromosomes 7, 19, 23, 24, and 26 are co-localized with previously identified QTLs in inbred chicken line crosses for S. Enteritidis caeca colonization after oral inoculation (Thanh-Son et al., 2012). Many immune genes (such as LAT2/NTAL, TRAF3IP3, IRF6) located within these QTL regions have been suggested as good candidate genes for Salmonella resistance.

The present study implemented a much higher density genome-wide genotyping platform compared to all the previous ones and was able to identify some novel QTLs. Moreover, two different approaches, GWAS and RHM, were implemented to further facilitate the QTL discovery. GWAS performs single marker analyses while RHM fits genomic regions of multiple SNPs as a single measure. Therefore, RHM has greater power compared to GWAS to identify loci where several alleles with small effects segregating. In addition, we implemented two different GWAS models, one using binary phenotypes and the other the continuous phenotypes. We used the binary phenotypes to be consistent with the phenotypes used to analyse the first outbreak, and the continuous ones to increase further the power of the study and overcome putative errors derived from misclassifications of cases and controls. The marker on chromosome 28 found to have the most significant association with fowl typhoid resistance, when the trait was analysed as binary, is surrounded by many putative good candidate genes. Such genes related with immune response are the tyrosine-protein Janus kinase 3 (JAK3), the CREB regulator transcription coactivator 1 (CRTC1) and the cytokine receptor like factor 1 (CRLF1). The IPA analysis identified two canonical pathways related with JAK signaling among the most enriched pathways in this dataset: the JAK1 and JAK3 in the γc cytokine regulation signaling and the JAK-Stat signaling. In addition, the immune related network with the highest IPA score had as one of the central molecules the JAK3 protein. The JAK signaling family of tyrosine kinases are involved in cytokine receptor-mediated intracellular signal transduction. Specifically, JAK3 mediates essential signaling events in both innate and adaptive immunity and plays a crucial role in hematopoiesis during T-cells development (Yamaoka et al., 2004). Multiple markers on chromosome 13 were found to have a significant association with fowl typhoid resistance. These markers span the follistatin-related protein 4 precursor (FSTL4) gene which is related with calcium metabolism and transportation. However, in close proximity (<0.5 Mb), immune genes of interest such as the Interleukin 3 precursor (IL-3), Interleukin 5 precursor (IL5), and the Interferon Regulatory Factor 1 (IRF1), are located. The protein encoded by IRF1 gene is a transcriptional regulator and tumor suppressor, serving as an activator of genes involved in both innate and acquired immune responses. The encoded protein activates the transcription of genes involved in the body's response to viruses and bacteria, playing a role in cell proliferation, apoptosis, immune and DNA damage response (Yoshida and Azuma, 1992; Taniguchi et al., 1995). In addition, it is involved in the regulation of interferon (IFN) and IFN-inducible genes that have been reported to be involved in host resistance to Salmonella infection (Thanh-Son et al., 2012).

CONCLUSION

We confirmed that resistance to fowl typhoid is a heritable complex polygenic trait. Co-localisation of many of the QTLs identified for fowl resistance with previous ones identified for systemic and enteric salmonellosis, and antibody responses implying that common underlying mechanisms of resistance to different Salmonella serovars segregating across chicken populations. These findings strengthen the interest of these regions for more refined analyses. According to our results breeding for enhanced fowl typhoid resistance in layers is possible. Although genomic selection is a valid approach to enhance disease resistance in chickens, as has been reported previously (Legarra et al., 2011), identification of the causative genes and mutations could expedite selection through different weighting of the validated selectable markers or precision breeding. However, further studies are needed to identify the causative genes and mutations.
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