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Abstract

Osteocytes are terminally differentiated osteoblasts which reside in a mineralized extracellular matrix (ECM). The factors that regulate this differentiation process are unknown. We have investigated whether ECM mineralization could promote osteocyte formation. To do this we have utilised MLO-A5 pre-osteocyte-like cells and western blotting and comparative RT-PCR to examine whether the expression of osteocyte-selective markers is elevated concurrently with the onset of ECM mineralization. Secondly, if mineralization of the ECM is indeed a driver of osteocyte formation, we reasoned that impairment of ECM mineralization would result in a reversible inhibition of osteocyte formation. Supplementation of MLO-A5 cell cultures with ascorbic acid and phosphate promoted progressive ECM mineralization as well as temporally associated increases in expression of the osteocyte-selective markers, E11/gp38 glycoprotein and sclerostin. Consistent with a primary role for ECM mineralization in osteocyte formation, we also found that inhibition of ECM mineralization, by omitting phosphate or adding sodium pyrophosphate, a recognized inhibitor of hydroxyapatite formation, resulted in a 15-fold decrease in mineral deposition that was closely accompanied by lower expression of E11 and other osteocyte markers such as Dmp1, Cd44 and Sost whilst expression of osteoblast markers Ocn and Col1a increased. To rule out the possibility that such restriction of ECM mineralization may produce an irreversible modification in osteoblast behaviour to limit E11 expression and osteocytogenesis, we also measured the capacity of MLO-A5 cells to re-enter the osteocyte differentiation programme. We found that the mineralisation process was re-initiated and closely allied to increased expression of E11 protein after re-administration of phosphate or omission of sodium pyrophosphate, indicating an ECM mineralization-induced restoration in osteocyte formation. These results emphasise the importance of cell-ECM interactions in regulating osteoblast behaviour and, more importantly, suggest that ECM mineralization exerts pivotal control during terminal osteoblast differentiation and acquisition of the osteocyte phenotype.

Introduction

Osteocytes are the most numerous cell type in bone. One of their defining characteristics is the formation of multicellular networks permeating the mineralized extracellular matrix (ECM), through which they are believed to play pivotal mechanomodulatory roles in directing bone formation and bone resorption in response to load-bearing [1]. Despite this crucial contribution to bone remodelling, the mechanisms controlling osteocyte formation from their osteoblast precursors remain largely undefined.

The process during which an osteoblast differentiates into an osteocyte, termed osteocytogenesis, involves transition from the cuboidal-like osteoblastic morphology to a dendritic shape characteristic of an osteocyte, as it becomes embedded within the bone ECM [2]. This is accompanied by a loss of cell volume, reduced organelle content and the formation and elongation of thin cytoplasmic projections. These projections pervade the bone and, via the canaliculi, connect each osteocyte to its neighbours within the bone ECM and to osteoblasts on the bone surface [2,3].

This transition from osteoblast to osteocyte is commonly described to be a passive process, during which an osteoblast destined for osteocytogenesis slows ECM production and becomes surrounded by the osteoid synthesised by its neighbours [3–5]. Studies by Holmbeck et al. [6], however, show that cleavage of collagen by MT1-MMP (membrane type 1 matrix metalloproteinase), a membrane associated member of the MMP family, is required for the development of osteocyte projections. Thus, osteocytogenesis may be an active process involving activation of
cytoskeletal re-arrangement and cell migration. The cell surface
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key pathways in specific osteoblasts to induce osteocytic differenti-
ation.

Many factors show altered expression during osteocytogenesis
[7]. Some factors such as SOST/sclerostin, which is specific to
osteocytes [8,9], regulate bone formation and inhibit osteoblast
differentiation by antagonising the Wnt-signalling pathway
[10,11]. Others, such as Phex (phosphate-regulating gene with
homologies to endopeptidases on the X chromosome) and Dmp1
(dentin matrix protein 1) have been shown to be involved in
phosphate homeostasis [12] and ECM mineralization [13,14],
another key feature of the osteoblast-osteocyte transition.

The E11/gp38 transmembrane glycoprotein is the earliest
osteocyte specific protein shown to be expressed during osteoblast-
to-osteocyte transition and therefore may be considered as an ideal
driver of this osteocytogenic process [15]. E11 is present in
osteocytes in the newly formed matrix (osteoid) as well as those in
the mineralized bone where its expression is increased in response to
fluid-flow shear stress [15,16]. Furthermore, siRNA E11 knockdown
abrogates the elongation of osteocyte processes in response to fluid-
flow shear stress [15]. E11 is also expressed in kidney and lung where
it is alternatively known as podoplanin and T1alpha/RT140,
respectively [17–19]. By binding to members of the ERM (ezrin,
radixin, and moesin) family of proteins [18–20], E11 is implicated in
cytoskeletal re-arrangement and cell migration. The cell surface
glycoprotein CD44 is also expressed by osteocytes, but not
osteoblasts, in vivo [21]. Physical association of CD44 and E11 has
been observed in tumour vascular endothelial cells [22] and
squamous stratified epithelial cells [23] and similar interactions
may occur in osteocytes to influence cell morphology.

The extracellular environment, and in particular the ECM within
which cells become entombed, is also considered to exert a vital
influence during osteocytogenesis. Previous observations suggest
that the local ECM becomes mineralized concomitantly with
osteoblast-osteocyte differentiation [3,24] and studies by Irie et al.
[25] have shown that ECM mineralization is important for
maturation of immature rat osteocytes. These in vivo studies
demonstrated restricted formation of osteocyte dendritic projections
and reduced sclerostin expression in newly formed, non-mineralized
ECM of bisphosphonate-treated rats [25]. ECM mineralization
occurs when inorganic phosphate and calcium crystals (which
together form calcium-phosphate complexes and finally bone
hydroxyapatite: the inorganic component of bone) are deposited
along the type-I collagen fibrils, which comprise the ECM [26].
Thus, whilst it is well established that osteocytes within this
mineralized bone differ greatly in both morphology and gene
and protein expression compared to osteoblasts on the bone surface
from which they originated, the influence of ECM mineralization on
osteocyte differentiation remains to be fully elucidated.

In this study we have used the murine MLO-A5 cell line, which
both synthesizes a mineralized matrix and expresses osteocyte
markers [17,27] to examine the role of matrix mineralization
during osteocytogenic transition. Our studies indicate the
importance of ECM-cell interactions and the crucial role of
ECM mineralization as an essential regulator of osteogenesis.

Methods

MLO-A5 cell culture

The MLO-A5 murine pre-osteocyte cell line was obtained from
Lynda Bonewald (University of Missouri-Kansas City, USA). Cells
were plated at 35 × 10⁵ cells/cm² in multiwell plates and cultured in
α-MEM medium supplemented with 5% (v/v) FBS, 5% (v/v) calf
serum (CS) (Invitrogen, Paisley UK) and 50 µg/ml gentamicin
(Invitrogen) (‘culture medium’) at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. At confluency
(day 0), the medium was changed to α-MEM supplemented with
10% FBS, 50 µg/ml gentamicin, 5 mM β-glycerol 2-phosphate
disodium salt hydrate (βGP, Sigma UK) and 100 µg/ml ascorbic
acid (AA, Sigma) in order to promote differentiation and matrix
mineralization (‘differentiation medium’). The MLO-A5 cells were
incubated at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂
and the medium was changed every 3 days for a further 15 days.
Individual cultures were collected at 3-day intervals for Alizarin
red staining for the assessment of matrix mineralization and for RNA
and protein extraction.

Primary calvarial osteoblast culture

Primary calvarial cells were isolated from 3-day-old C57Bl/6
mice as described in [28]. Briefly, excised calvaria were digested
with 1 mg/ml collagenase type II for 10 minutes to remove
fibroblasts and marrow cells. Calvaria were then digested with
1 mg/ml collagenase (30 minutes), 4 mM EDTA (10 minutes) and
1 mg/ml collagenase (30 minutes). The cells were collected from
each digest, resuspended in α-MEM supplemented with 10% FBS
and 50 µg/ml gentamicin and cultured at 37 °C with 5% CO₂
until confluent. For experiments, cells were seeded at a density of
1.5 × 10⁴ cells/cm². At confluency (day 0), growth medium was
supplemented with 2.5 mM βGP and 30 µg/ml AA for up to 28
days to induce ECM mineralization. The medium was changed
every second/third day.

Inhibition of MLO-A5 matrix mineralization

MLO-A5 cells were cultured as described and upon reaching
confluency the medium was changed to differentiation medium
lacking βGP (AA alone) or complete differentiation medium
supplemented with 500 µM sodium pyrophosphate (PP₃, Sigma);
found previously to be required in order to achieve full inhibition
of mineralization in MLO-A5 cell cultures (data not shown).
Medium was changed every 3 days and individual cultures were
collected at defined time points (see results). As a restriction of
matrix mineralization may irreversibly modify osteoblast behaviour to limit osteocytogenesis, the capacity of MLO-A5 cells to re-enter the osteocyte differentiation programme was also measured, by first restricting and then later promoting ECM mineralization by modifying the medium. PPi was omitted from the fresh medium which was added on day 6, or the cultures that had been treated with medium lacking bGP were later replenished with medium supplemented with bGP. The cells were then grown as previously described for a further 9 days before analysis.

Assessment and quantification of matrix mineralization

Briefly, cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 5 min at 4°C. After several washes in PBS, cell layers were stained with aqueous 2% (w/v) Alizarin red solution (Sigma) at pH 4.2, for 5 minutes at room temperature, before washing with water, to remove any unbound stain. A photomicrographic image of each culture well was captured to record the distribution of mineral staining, the bound stain subsequently solubilized in 10% cetylpyridinium chloride (Sigma) and the optical density of the resultant solution determined at 570 nm by spectrophotometry (Thermo Multiskan Ascent).

RNA Extraction and Comparative Real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from MLO-A5 cells using Tri-Reagent (Ambion, Huntingdon UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After extraction, RNA was precipitated with isopropanol, washed in ethanol and then resuspended in nuclease-free water. Purified RNA was treated with DNase (Ambion) and stored at −80°C. RNA samples (and controls consisting of RNase-free water) were reverse-transcribed into cDNA using Superscript II reverse

Figure 1. Mineralization and E11 expression by the MLO-A5 cell line. (A) Alizarin red staining of MLO-A5 cultures over a 15-day time-course. (B) Quantification of Alizarin red staining by spectrophotometry. (C) E11 mRNA expression in the MLO-A5 cultures as determined by qRT-PCR. (D) Akp2 mRNA expression in MLO-A5 cultures. (E) E11 protein (∼38 kDa) expression by MLO-A5 cells. β-actin was used as a loading control. (F) Densitometric analysis of 3 independent E11 western blots. Results are mean±SEM (n = 3). * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001 compared with previous time-point (B) or day 0 (C and F).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036786.g001
transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) was carried out in a Stratagene Mx3000P cycler and each reaction contained 50 ng template DNA, 250 nM forward and reverse primers (Table 1) and 1xFastStart Universal SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche, East Sussex UK). Samples were amplified using the following program; an initial step of 50°C for 2 minutes and 95°C for 2 minutes (1 cycle) followed by 95°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 30 seconds (40 cycles) and a final step of 95°C for 1 minute, 60°C for 30 seconds, 95°C for 15 seconds and 25°C for 30 seconds (1 cycle). The Ct values for the samples were normalised to that of Gapdh, which was determined to be the most stable housekeeping gene for these experiments (data not shown), and the relative expression was calculated using the \( \Delta \Delta Ct \) method [29]. The amplification efficiencies of all the primers were between 90–100%.

Western Blotting

Protein lysates were extracted from MLO-A5 cells in RIPA buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1.0% IGE-PAL® CA-630, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0) (Sigma) containing protease inhibitors (Roche). Protein concentrations were determined using the DC assay (Bio-Rad, Hemel Hempsted, UK) and 15 μg of protein was separated using a 10% bis-tris gel and then transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and probed with goat anti-mouse E11 (1:1000, R&D Systems) and HRP-conjugated rabbit anti-goat secondary antibody (1:25000, Sigma) for 60 minutes. Densitometric analysis was performed using Quantity One software (Bio-rad, UK).

Measurement of Collagen Production

After fixation in 4% PFA, cell layers were stained with ‘Sircol’ dye reagent (Sirius red and picric acid, Biocolor Ltd, County Antrim, UK) for 1 hour at room temperature. Unbound dye was removed with 0.001 M HCl, the matrix-bound stain solubilised in 0.1 M NaOH and absorbance measured at 570 nm.

Statistical Analysis

Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM of at least 3 experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) – general linear model. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered to be significant and noted *; \( P < 0.01 \) and \( < 0.001 \) were noted as ** and *** respectively.

Results

Matrix mineralization and E11 Expression in MLO-A5 cell cultures

Alizarin red staining was initially evident on day 3 of culture (Figures 1A and B), increased on days 6 and 9, but showed no further increases thereafter. These studies are in agreement with previously published data [27] and confirm that mineralization of
the MLO-A5 cell cultures was initiated by day 3 and almost complete by day 9.

*E11* mRNA levels were detectable at day 0 of MLO-A5 cell culture, increasing concomitantly with matrix mineralization at days 3, 6 and 9, at which time *E11* mRNA expression levels reached an apparent plateau (Figure 1C). A similar temporal pattern of expression was also observed for *E11* protein levels (Figure 1E and F), although *E11* protein expression was not observed at day 0 of culture. In contrast, although the MLO-A5 cells were found to express mRNA for tissue non-specific alkaline phosphatase (*Akp2*), as previously observed by Kato et al. [27], no obvious change in the temporal expression pattern of *Akp2* mRNA was observed during the entire 15-day culture period (Figure 1D). Increases in MLO-A5 cell expression of *Dmp1*, *Phex*, *Sost* and *Cd44* mRNA was also noted during acquisition of an osteocyte-like phenotype by MLO-A5 cells at more advanced stages of mineralization (Figure 2). This temporal profile in gene expression was also observed in mineralizing primary calvarial osteoblasts (Figure 3) confirming the suitability of the MLO-A5 cell line as a model to study osteocytegenesis. Together these results indicate that under mineralizing conditions MLO-A5 cells differentiate into an *E11*-expressing osteocyte-like phenotype.

**ECM mineralization is required for *E11* expression**

To further explore the link between *E11* expression and ECM mineralization first proposed by Irie *et al.* [25], we constrained *in vitro* mineralization by two different approaches; by either adding PPi, the natural mineralization inhibitor, or by omitting βGP, the phosphate donor from the culture medium. Predictably, both approaches resulted in marked ablation of mineralization in the MLO-A5 cell cultures, with a greater than 15-fold (P<0.001) suppression in mineral deposition (Figures 4A and B). Under these PPi-supplemented conditions or in the absence of βGP, we found that *E11* protein expression levels in MLO-A5 cells were...
significantly reduced compared to control cultures. This reduction in E11 protein expression was more marked in the PPi supplemented cultures (Figures 4E and F). E11 mRNA expression levels were also significantly reduced in the absence of mineralization achieved by either of these two approaches (Figure 4D).

Intriguingly, however, E11 mRNA expression was observed in MLO-A5 cell cultures where mineralization was completely absent; thus, qRT-PCR Ct values of 26–27 were evident despite negligible E11 protein and mineralization. These findings suggest that E11 mRNA is still expressed in the

Figure 4. Inhibition of matrix mineralization in the MLO-A5 cell-line. (A) Alizarin red staining of MLO-A5 cultures in which mineralization was inhibited by the addition of PPi or the omission of βGP and quantified by spectrometry (B). (C) Quantification of the collagenous matrix produced by the MLO-A5 cell after 9 days of culture as demonstrated by Sirius red staining (D) E11 mRNA expression in the mineralization-inhibited MLO-A5 cell cultures. (E) E11 protein expression in mineralization-inhibited and control MLO-A5 cultures as demonstrated by western blotting (F) Densitometric analysis of the protein expression at day 9 in 3 independent E11 western blots. Results are mean±SEM (n = 3). ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001 compared to day 0 (B) or AA & βGP (D and F) cultures.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036786.g004
mineralization-inhibited cultures, even though the protein is not (Figures 4E and F), and is consistent with the time course of E11 mRNA and protein expression shown in Figures 1C and E. Measurement of matrix-bound deposition of collagen showed that the inhibition of matrix mineralization induced by either omission of βGP or supplementation with PPi was not associated with any marked impairment of collagen synthesis, which was unaffected under either of these conditions (Figure 4C).

To investigate whether the presence of P_i alone is sufficient to drive E11 expression, MLO-A5 cells were cultured in the presence of AA and βGP (control) or with βGP alone. As expected, the cultures supplemented with βGP alone demonstrated reduced mineral deposition compared to control cultures, although some evidence of dystrophic mineralization was present, even in the absence of ascorbic acid-induced collagen secretion (Figure 5A). Limited E11 protein expression was also observed in the cultures supplemented with only βGP (Figure 5B and 5C) but this was significantly less than the amount of E11 expressed by the cultures supplemented with AA and βGP. This suggests that the deposition of mineral within the ECM is required to fully drive E11 expression and osteocyte differentiation.

**ECM mineralization is required for osteoblast-to-osteocyte transition**

We have shown that the expression levels of several osteocyte marker genes are increased during osteocytic differentiation and matrix mineralization by MLO-A5 cells in vivo (Figure 2). To confirm whether inhibition of mineralization (by adding PPi, or omitting βGP) also negatively regulates expression of these genes, as had been shown for E11 mRNA levels, we measured the expression of a panel of osteocyte marker genes (Sost, Phex, Dmp1 and Cd44) by RT-qPCR after 9 days of culture. We found that the levels of all of these genes was decreased in cultures either lacking βGP, or supplemented with PPi, compared to control cultures supplemented with both AA and βGP (Figures 6A–D). Decreased level of osteocyte marker genes in these MLO-A5 cells suggests that matrix mineralization is required for the transition from a mature osteoblast to an osteocyte-like phenotype. The likelihood that the lack of mineralization is sufficient to block this osteocyticogenesis in vivo was confirmed by analyzing osteoblast-marker gene expression, which showed that the levels of osteocalcin (Ocn) and collagen type I alpha (Col1a) mRNA were both elevated in these cultures where mineralization had been inhibited by either exogenous PPi, or by omission of βGP (Figures 6E and F).

**Recovery of in vitro mineralization by MLO-A5 cells**

It is possible that MLO-A5 cells undergo some irreversible change in cell behaviour in response to inhibition of mineralization. If this were indeed the case, then it would be possible that the suppression of E11 expression may not be directly linked to mineralization status. We therefore determined whether MLO-A5 cells were capable of recovering both their mineralization potential and E11 expression after periods of inhibited matrix mineralization. As expected, Alizarin red staining was completely lacking after treatment for 6 days with PPi, or culture in the absence of βGP (Figure 7A). In contrast, some albeit limited mineralization was seen by day 9, 3 days after the cessation of inhibition upon return to culture conditions that promote differentiation. Evidence for such recovery in mineralization increased with more prolonged culture, but yet failed to reach control levels even at days 12 or 15 (Figure 7B). Furthermore, the link between modified mineralization status and E11 expression was strengthened by the observations that such re-initiation of mineralization was intimately associated with increased E11 expression (Figures 7C and D). These data further strengthen the connection between the mineralization of MLO-A5 cell cultures and the expression of E11.

**Discussion**

The density, integrity and three-dimensional organisation of the osteocyte canalicular network are important in the etiology of various skeletal disorders such as osteoporosis and osteomalacia [30]. Such changes suggest a cellular basis for many bone diseases and it is therefore essential that we understand more fully the processes and genetic circuits responsible for the terminal differentiation of osteoblasts to osteocytes. Although, the mechanisms which govern these osteocytic processes are unknown, several models of osteocyte differentiation have been proposed [3–5,31]. Such models, applicable to both intramembranous and endochondral ossification, have proposed that the osteocyte has a predominantly passive role [31,32]. Other evidence, however, has suggested that the embedding of an osteocyte is an active, invasive process [6]. Indeed, it is possible to speculate that the
dramatic morphological and genetic transformations observed during osteocytogenesis, reflect a tightly regulated, active process that does not merely involve the osteoblast simply becoming trapped, without an inherent contribution, within the ECM.

The role of the mineralized ECM in the regulation of osteocyte development is now becoming more fully recognised. Mineralization of lamellar bone occurs at the late osteoblast/pre-osteocyte stage and it has been suggested that ECM mineralization is part of the process that transforms pre-osteocytes into mature osteocytes [17]. This is supported by data showing a positive correlation between ECM mineralization and osteocyte number [8,33–35]. These in vivo-based observational studies cannot, however, entirely rule out the possibility that defective osteocyte maturation/morphology may lead to changes in bone mineralization. For this reason, the present study has exploited a carefully defined, controlled in vitro model system in which the MLO-A5 cell-line effectively models late-stage osteoblastic differentiation to investigate whether mineralization of the surrounding ECM acts as a trigger for osteocyte maturation.

Inhibition of ECM mineralization using two distinct strategies resulted in a striking impairment of E11 protein expression. These impaired E11 levels were apparent even at late stages of the 15-day long culture period, when strong E11 protein expression would normally have been expected. It was apparent that inhibition of mineralization using PPi also resulted in a marked suppression of E11 expression, greater than achieved by the omission of βGP alone, therefore suggesting that presence of PP inhibits E11 protein expression. It has previously been shown that E11 expression is required for the elongation of osteocyte cellular processes [15] and that mineralization occurs along the processes produced by differentiating MLO-A5 cells [17] and primary osteoblasts [33,36]. Our studies strengthen this link between E11-

Figure 6. Effect of ECM mineralization inhibition on the expression of osteocyte and osteoblast marker genes in MLO-A5 cells. Sost (A), Phex (B), Dmp1 (C), Cd44 (D), Ocn (E) and Col1a (F) mRNA expression in the mineralization-inhibited cultures as quantified by RT-PCR. Results are mean±SEM (n=3). * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001 compared to AA & βGP cultures. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036786.g006
mediated process formation and ECM mineralization. The relative lack of E11 protein in cultures in which mineralization has been inhibited is suggestive of a block in MLO-A5 differentiation toward the osteocyte phenotype. This was confirmed by the decreased expression of the osteocyte-marker genes Dmp1, Phex, Sost and Cd44 by MLO-A5 under mineralization-inhibited conditions; conversely, the expression of the osteoblast-marker genes Col1a and Ocn was increased. Taken together, these data strongly suggest that inhibition of mineralization promotes retention of a late-osteoblast stage of differentiation and prevents osteocytic differentiation. An unexpected observation was the decreased expression of Sost, while E11, DMP1 and Phex increase, during mineralisation in both primary and MLO-A5 cell cultures. This is currently unexplained but its examination may give clues to the mechanism by which Sost expression is regulated. Future studies may also broaden this strategy to include bone markers known to regulate ECM mineralization, such as other SIBLINGs (BSP, OPN) and OCN.

The mechanism by which ECM mineralization promotes osteocytic differentiation is presently unknown. The osteocyte has been shown, however, to be highly responsive to changes in its mechanical environment [1,37–39]. Indeed, primary cilia described on both osteoblasts and osteocytes [40] are known to play important roles in transducing external mechanical cues, derived either directly or indirectly from the ECM, into intracellular responses essential for processes such as differentiation [40–42]. It has also been reported that subjecting pre-osteoblasts and osteoblasts to hypoxic conditions in vitro leads to increased expression of osteocyte-markers [43]. It seems reasonable to assume therefore that mineralized matrices, which are more hypoxic than non-mineralized osteoid, may positively influence osteocytogenesis in vivo. Maintenance of MLO-A5 cells in hypoxia resulted in decreased mineralization compared to cells cultured under normoxic conditions, however, indicating that this effect may also depend on the stage of differentiation [44].

Interestingly, increased E11 protein was observed in osteocytes from the DMP1-null mouse, which is characterized by diffuse osteomalacia and reduced mineral density [13]. It is important to note, however, that although the bone from these mice is hypomineralized, mineral is still present within the matrix and could potentially drive E11 expression. Indeed, in wild-type mice, E11 protein expression in cortical bone is localized to the immature osteocytes within newly-mineralizing osteoid [15]. Such newly-synthesized bone would be expected to have a lower mineral density than the more mature bone, similar to the hypomineralized bone of the DMP1-null mouse. Therefore, the gradient of mineral density and matrix maturation may regulate E11 expression. Also, in the DMP1-null mouse, other factors such as increased alkaline phosphatase and FGF23 levels may affect E11 expression independent of ECM mineralization.

Figure 7. Effect of reversal of ECM mineralization inhibition on MLO-A5 cells. (A) Alizarin red staining of MLO-A5 cultures in which mineralization was inhibited for 6 days before being promoted for a further 9 days. (B) Quantification of the results from (A) by spectrometry. Results are mean ± SEM (n = 3). (C) E11 protein expression under the same conditions as (A) and (B). (D) Densitometric analysis of 3 independent E11 western blots. Results are mean ± SEM (n = 3). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 compared to previous time-point. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036786.g007
A recent study by Zhang et al. [43] found that restoration of serum P levels in DMP1-null mice, partially rescued the negative effect on osteocyte differentiation observed in this mouse model. This was also accompanied by a decrease in osteoid volume, suggesting partial restoration of mineralization. However, discriminating the level of expression of P or other markers is sufficient to drive osteocytogenesis, or whether its incorporation into the ECM as hydroxyapatite is required for osteocyte maturation, has not been fully explored. Our results using BGP as a source of phosphate suggest that its presence alone, without a collagenous matrix, can induce limited expression of the osteocyte marker E11. However, this level of expression is significantly less that that observed when the cells are also cultured with AA, enabling the synthesis and secretion of a collagenous ECM. Therefore, both the availability of P, and its deposition within the ECM appear to be essential for osteocyte differentiation.

E11 has previously been described as the earliest osteocyte marker during osteoblast-osteocyte transition [15,17]. Our studies indicate that E11 is also intimately regulated by the ECM mineralization status. It is important to note, however, that these studies have taken place in a 2D model system which may not be fully representative of the in vivo bone environment, and that our attempts to test this through shRNA-mediated knockdown in MLO-A5 cells have not been successful in blocking E11 expression. Although further studies are required to better define the mechanisms that control transcription, translation and post-translational stability of the E11 protein, these results emphasize the influence of physiological ECM-cell interactions on osteoblast mineralization and importantly suggest that ECM mineralization is essential for terminal osteoblast differentiation to the osteocyte phenotype.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Lynda Bonewald for the kind provision of MLO-A5 cells and Vicky MacRae for providing primary calvarial osteoblasts.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MP NL AP CF. Performed the experiments: MP CF. Analyzed the data: MP AP CF. Wrote the paper: MP AP CF.

References