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The distributions of the angular transmission coefficient and of the total transmission are calculated for multiple scattered waves. The calculation is based on a mapping to the known distribution of eigenvalues of the transmission matrix. The distributions depend on the profile of the incoming beam. The distribution function of the angular transmission has a stretched exponential decay. The total-transmission distribution grows log normally whereas it decays exponentially.

PACS numbers: 42.25.-p, 05.40.+j, 72.10.-d, 78.20.Dj

Transport through mesoscopic systems is a wide field of interest and is studied with a variety of waves: sound, microwaves, electrons, and light. The wave character leads to interference between the transmission channels causing large fluctuations. Therefore observables are not always characterized by mean values, but their entire distribution functions are of interest. This is particularly prominent in the distribution of eigenvalues of the transmission matrix. In the “metallic” regime where the dimensionless conductance $g$ is large, the eigenvalues have a bimodal distribution peaked around 0 and 1 with a mean value $\ell/L \ll 1$, where $\ell$ is the mean free path and $L$ is the thickness of the sample [1–3].

Three distinct optical transmission quantities can be measured. If a laser illuminates a diffuse medium, the transmitted signal consists of speckles. These speckles are the first quantity of interest. The intensities of the speckles obey in first approximation the Rayleigh law, which is a negative exponential distribution. In the mesoscopic regime interference modifies this distribution, as observed by Genack and Garcia at large intensities [4]. The leading correction was derived by Shnerb and Kaveh [5]. Also a crossover to a stretched exponential was observed [4], and an explanation was given by Kogan et al. [6].

The second quantity is the total transmission. It is obtained by integrating over the outgoing surface, thus collecting all speckles. When adding $N$ independent channels, the law of large numbers predicts a Gaussian distribution with variance of the order $1/N$. However, interference broadens the variance to $L/\ell N$ [7]. This was confirmed in a recent experiment of de Boer et al. [8]. Also in computer simulations by Edrei, Kaveh, and Shapiro the Gaussian behavior was observed, with a crossover to a log-normal distribution for large disorder [9]. De Boer et al. also measured a small but clear deviation from a Gaussian, due to interference of three transmission channels.

The third quantity is the conductance, obtained by summing also over all incoming directions. For extensive discussion of its distribution, see Altshuler, Kravtsov, and Lerner [10].

The uncorrelated transport is in all cases given by diffusons, but the dominant processes correlating the channels are different for the three transmission quantities. This can already be seen by considering their autocorrelation functions [11,12]. Let $T_{ab}$ be the angular transmission coefficient for a speckle spot $b$ arising from an incoming wave $a$. Its correlator is called the $C_1$ correlation function. In accordance with the Rayleigh law, it is dominated by a disconnected diagram. The correlator $(T_a T_{ab})$ of the total transmission $T_a = \sum_b T_{ab}$ is called the $C_2$ correlation. It is dominated by the interference process mixing two incoming into two outgoing diffusons; it is a connected, loopless diagram. Because of the sum over the outgoing angles $b$, the amplitudes in the individual outgoing diffusons must have exactly the same phase. Loop diagrams contain a larger number of interference vertices than loopless ones and give corrections in $1/g$.

Finally, the correlator of the conductivity $T = \sum a T_{ab}$ is called $C_3$ correlation or universal conductance fluctuation. Because of the sum over both $a$ and $b$ also the amplitudes of the incoming diffusons must have pairwise the same phase. The diffusons interfere twice; it is a connected loop diagram [11]. Only in conductance measurements loop diagrams are leading.

We will generalize the results known for the autocorrelation functions, to arbitrary order. First the distribution function of the total transmission is calculated. Subsequently we apply these ideas to the statistics of the angular transmission coefficient. Consider a three dimensional slab with dimensions $W \times W \times L$ ($W \gg L$), with elastic scatterers at quenched random positions. Let us look at the transmission problem for a scalar plane wave with unit incoming flux in channel $a$,

$$\psi_a^{in}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi k \mu_a}} \exp(i q_a \rho + i k \mu_a z), \quad z < 0, \quad (1)$$

where $A = W \times W$ denotes the area of the slab, $k$ is the wave number, $\rho = (x, y)$ is the transversal coordinate, and $\mu_a = \sqrt{1 - q_a^2/k^2} = \cos \theta_a$, with $\theta_a$ the angle with respect to the $z$ axis. The precise form of the propagators was derived in Ref. [13]. An incoming diffuson has the
form

\[ L_{a}^{in}(r) = \frac{4\pi \tau_{1}(\mu_{a})}{k\ell A \mu_{a}} \frac{L - z}{L}, \]  

(2)

where \( \tau_{1} \) describes the limit intensity of a semi-infinite system. We shall term the propagator summed over all incoming channels the “total-flux diffusion”:

\[ L^{in}(r) = \sum_{a} L_{a}^{in}(r) = \frac{4k \ell}{L} \frac{L - z}{L}. \]  

(3)

In the bulk the difference between a total-flux diffusion and a diffusion arising from plane wave incidence is just described by an overall factor \( \epsilon_{a} \).

\[ L_{a}^{in}(r) = \epsilon_{a} L^{in}(r), \]  

(4)

with \( \epsilon_{a} = \tau_{1}(\mu_{a})/k^{2}A \mu_{a} \) satisfying the sum rule \( \sum \epsilon_{a} = 1 \). Similarly, the outgoing diffusions are

\[ L_{b}^{out}(r) = \epsilon_{b} L^{out}(r), \quad L^{out}(r) = \frac{k \ell}{L} z. \]  

(5)

The wave is transmitted into outgoing channel \( b \) with transmission amplitude \( t_{ab} = 2k\sqrt{\mu_{a} \mu_{b}}G_{ab} \) and transmission probability \( T_{ab} = |t_{ab}|^{2} \). The average total transmission is obtained by summation of all outgoing channels

\[ \langle T_{a} \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{b} T_{ab} \right\rangle = \frac{\tau_{1}(\mu_{a})\ell}{3L \mu_{a}}. \]  

(6)

The average conductance is given by

\[ g = \sum_{a} \langle T_{a} \rangle = \frac{k^{2}A\ell}{3\pi L}. \]  

(7)

thus \( \langle T_{a} \rangle = \epsilon_{a} g \), while one also has \( \langle T_{ab} \rangle = \epsilon_{a} \epsilon_{b} g \) [13].

We consider the \( j \)th cumulant of \( T_{a} \). In a diagrammatic approach this object has \( j \) transmission amplitudes \( t_{ab} \) and an equal number of Hermitian conjugates \( t_{ba}^{*} \). Let us fix the external diffusions in the term \( t_{ab} t_{ba}^{*} \cdots t_{ab} t_{ba}^{*} \). Contributions to the sum over \( b_{i} \) only come from diagrams where the amplitudes of the outgoing diffusions have exactly the same phase. These are the diagrams where the lines with equal \( b_{i} \) are paired into diffusions. The outgoing diffusions are fixed now. For connected diagrams there are \( (j - 1)! \) distinct choices for pairing the incoming amplitudes. Next we factor out the incoming and outgoing diffusions and group the remainder of the diagrams into a skeleton \( K \). Using Eq. (4) we obtain

\[ \langle T_{a}^{j} \rangle_{con} = \epsilon_{a}^{j}(j - 1)! \int d\mathbf{r}_{1} \ldots d\mathbf{r}_{j} \int d\mathbf{r}_{1}^{t} \ldots d\mathbf{r}_{j}^{t} L^{in}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \]

\[ \times L^{out}(\mathbf{r}_{1}^{t}) \cdots L^{in}(\mathbf{r}_{j}) L^{out}(\mathbf{r}_{j}^{t}) K(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{1}^{t}, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j}^{t}). \]  

(8)

The integral just describes

\[ \langle \text{Tr}(tt^{t}) \rangle = \sum_{a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{j}, b_{j}} \langle t_{a_{1}b_{1}}^{t} t_{b_{1}a_{1}}^{t} t_{a_{2}b_{2}}^{t} \cdots t_{a_{j}b_{j}}^{t} t_{b_{j}a_{j}}^{t} \rangle. \]  

(9)

Indeed, for this quantity there is only one way to attach incoming and outgoing diffusions to \( K \). The sums over the indices lead exactly to the total-flux diffusions in Eq. (8). We thus find

\[ \langle T_{a}^{j} \rangle_{con} = (j - 1)! \epsilon_{a}^{j} \langle \text{Tr}(tt^{t}) \rangle, \]  

(10)

which is the crucial step in the derivation. The eigenvalues \( T_{n} \) of the transmission matrix \( t^{t}t \) can be expressed as \( T_{n} = 1/\cosh^{2}L_{n} \). Under very general conditions [14] the distribution of the Lyapunov coefficients \( \gamma_{n} \) is uniform [1–3]. This implies that

\[ \langle \text{Tr}(tt^{t}) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dT \frac{dT}{2\sqrt{1 - T^{2}}} T^{j}. \]  

(11)

Normalizing with respect to the average, we introduce \( s_{a} = T_{a}/T_{a} \). The generating function of the connected diagrams is easily calculated,

\[ \Phi_{con}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} x^{j} T_{a}^{j} = g \ln^{2} \left[ 1 + x/g + \sqrt{x/g} \right]. \]  

(12)

Since the cumulants are solely given by connected diagrams, the distribution of \( s_{a} \) follows as

\[ p(s_{a}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \exp[xs_{a} - \Phi_{con}(x)]. \]  

(13)

For large \( g \) and \( s_{a} \ll 1 \) we expand \( \Phi \) to order \( x^{2} \), to recover the Gaussian behavior found by Kogan et al. [6],

\[ p(s_{a}) \approx \frac{3}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{3}{4 \pi}} \exp \left[ -\frac{3}{4} (s_{a} - 1)^{2} \right]. \]  

(14)

The integrand in Eq. (13) has a branch cut from \( x = -g \) to \( x = -\infty \). For \( s_{a} \leq 0 \) the contour can be closed to the right and \( p(s_{a}) \) vanishes. The shape for small \( s_{a} \) (and large \( g \)) is dominated by a saddle point. One finds essentially a log-normal growth:

\[ p(s_{a}) \sim \exp \left[ \frac{g}{4} - \frac{g}{4} \left( \ln \frac{2}{s_{a}} + \ln \frac{2}{s_{a} - 1} \right) \right]. \]  

(15)

Also for large \( s_{a} \) we can apply steepest descent. Here one finds a simple exponential decay

\[ p(s_{a}) \approx \exp \left[ -gs_{a} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{4} \right], \quad s_{a} \gg 1. \]  

(16)

In Fig. 1 we present the distribution (13) for some values of \( g \). At moderate \( g \) the deviation from a Gaussian is clearly seen. So far we have considered the case of an incoming plane wave. In optical systems a Gaussian intensity profile is more realistic. For perpendicular incidence
the incoming amplitude is \( \psi^m(r) = W^{-1} \sum_n \phi(q_n) \psi^m_a(r) \), where \( \psi^m_a(r) \) is the plane wave of Eq. (1), and where
\[
\phi(q_n) = \sqrt{2 \pi} \rho_0 \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \rho_0^2 q_n^2).
\]

We consider the limit where the beam is much broader than the sample thickness (\( \rho_0 \gg L \)) but still much smaller than the transversal size of the slab (\( \rho_0 \ll W \)). (A smaller beam diameter complicates the problem; when incoming transverse momenta, which are of order \( 1/\rho_0 \), become of the order \( 1/L \), the diffusons will take a momentum dependent form \[8\].) Because of integration over the center of gravity, each diagram involves a factor \( A_{0\ldots\ell} \). In the jth order term there occurs a factor
\[
F_j = \frac{\cal A}{A^{2j}} \sum q_1 \cdots q_j \phi(q_1) \phi^*(q'_1) \cdots \phi(q_j) \phi^*(q'_j) \delta_{\Sigma \Sigma'}.
\]

(18)

For a plane wave we have \( |\phi(\rho)| = \sqrt{\cal A} \), and \( F_j = A^{1-j} \).

For the Gaussian profile we obtain
\[
F_j = \frac{\cal A}{A^{2j}} \left( \frac{\pi \rho_0^2}{2} \right)^{1-j}.
\]

(19)

It is thus convenient to identify \( A_G = \frac{1}{2} \pi \rho_0^2 \) with the effective area of a Gaussian beam. As compared to the plane wave case, the jth order term is smaller by a factor \( 1/j \) for a Gaussian profile. This implies for the generating function of the connected diagrams
\[
\Phi_{\text{con}}(x) = g \int_0^1 \frac{dy}{y} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{xy}{g} + \sqrt{\frac{xy}{g}} \right).
\]

(20)

For small \( s_0 \) (and large \( g \)) there is again a log-normal saddle point. For large \( s_0 \) the dominant shape of the decay is given by the singularity at \( x = -g \) and again yields \( p(s_0) \sim \exp(-g s_0) \). In Fig. 2 we present the distribution function for different values of \( g \).

By expansion of \( \Phi_{\text{con}} \) we recover previous diagrammatic results for the second and third cumulants \[8\].

\[
\langle s^2 \rangle_{\text{cum}} = \frac{2}{3g}, \quad \langle s^3 \rangle_{\text{cum}} = \frac{12}{5} \langle s^2 \rangle_{\text{cum}}^2,
\]

(21)

for a plane wave. For a Gaussian beam we recover
\[
\langle s^2 \rangle_{\text{cum}} = \frac{1}{3g}, \quad \langle s^3 \rangle_{\text{cum}} = \frac{16}{5} \langle s^2 \rangle_{\text{cum}}^2.
\]

(22)

The latter relations were observed experimentally \[8\], confirming that the bimodal eigenvalue distribution, Eq. (11), is valid beyond quasi-1D \[14\]. As the results of Ref. \[8\] are based on loopless diagrams, the same should be true for Eq. (11) at any \( j \). By including loop effects in Eq. (11) our theory can be extended. Indeed, Eq. (10) remains valid, as it comes from considering incoming and outgoing diffusons only.

We apply the same method for the distribution of the angular transmission coefficient. In the plane wave situation the average reads \( \langle T_{ab} \rangle = e_{\alpha} e_{\beta} g \). Let us count the number of connected loopless diagrams that contribute to \( T_{ab} \) \( \leftrightarrow \) \( t_{ab} t_{ba} t_{ab} \cdots t_{ba} t_{ba} \). In this case all pairings into outgoing diffusons contribute. This yields an extra combinatorical factor \( j! \) in the jth moment,
\[
\langle T_{ab} \rangle_{\text{con}} = j! (j - 1)! e_{\alpha} e_{\beta} (\text{Tr}(t^j t^j)).
\]

(23)

For the normalized angular transmission coefficient \( s_{ab} = T_{ab}/\langle T_{ab} \rangle \), we introduce the following generating function of the connected diagrams:
\[
\Psi_{\text{con}}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^{j-1} x^j}{j!} \langle s_{ab} \rangle_{\text{con}}.
\]

(24)

It is easy to see that \( \Psi_{\text{con}}(x) = \Phi_{\text{con}}(x) \), with \( \Phi_{\text{con}} \) given by Eq. (12) for plane wave incidence and by Eq. (20) for a broad Gaussian beam, respectively. In contrast to the total-transmission distribution, the cumulants are not only given by the connected diagrams. Kogan et al. showed that the summation of the disconnected diagrams can
be done elegantly by performing an additional integral. 
Using this result one gets

\[ p(s_{ab}) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dv}{v} \int_{-i\pi}^{i\pi} \frac{dx}{2\pi i} \exp\left(-\frac{s_{ab}}{v} + xv - \Psi_{\text{con}}(x)\right). \]  

(25)

The speckle intensity distribution is plotted in Fig. 3 for an incoming plane wave.

For large \( g \) and moderate \( s_{ab} \) we have \( \Phi_{\text{con}}(x) \approx x \) and we recover the Rayleigh law \( p(s_{ab}) = \exp(-s_{ab}) \). The leading correction is found by expanding in \( 1/g \),

\[ p(s_{ab}) = e^{-s_{ab}} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{3g} (s_{ab}^2 - 4s_{ab} + 2) \right]. \]  

(26)

This was derived previously by Shnerb and Kaveh [5]; here we have related the prefactor of the correction term to the conductivity. Genack and Garcia fitted their data by this relation and found \( g = 14.6 \). Our Eq. (25) describes these data very well for \( g = 14.4 \).

For large \( s_{ab} \) we again apply steepest descent, and find

\[ p(s_{ab}) \sim \exp(-2\sqrt{g s_{ab}}). \]  

(27)

This stretched exponential tail differs from the form \( p(s_{ab}) \sim \exp(-81g s_{ab}^2/16)^{1/3} \) asserted by Kogan et al. Their findings are based on truncating \( \Phi_{\text{con}}(x) \) after order \( x^2 \), thus including only the simplest connected diagram. Taking the full generating function into account, we find a qualitatively different saddle point. Unfortunately, the intensities measured in Ref. [4] are not large enough to discriminate between our stretched exponential and the one of Kogan et al.

A Gaussian profile of the incoming beam leads to a different distribution with the same asymptotic behavior.

In conclusion, we have calculated the distribution function of the total amount of light transmitted through a disordered slab. It is related to the known distribution function of the eigenvalues of the transmission matrix. Next we have calculated the distribution of the angular transmission intensity. Here a deviation from Rayleigh statistics is found, of new stretched exponential form. Our calculations are in agreement with measurements of both total and angular resolved transmission.

As our results could be traced back on loopless diagrams, they constitute mean field expressions. Near the Anderson transition loop diagrams will change the distribution functions.

Discussions with I. V. Lerner, B. L. Altshuler, Yu. V. Nazarov, and J. F. de Boer are gratefully acknowledged. The research of Th. M. N. was supported by the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW). This work was also sponsored by NATO (Grant No. CGR 921399).
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\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{SpeckleIntensity.png}
\caption{Intensity distribution of speckles versus the normalized intensity \( s_{ab} \) for an incoming plane wave. \( g = 2, 4, \) and 8 (upper to lower curves at \( s_{ab} = 5 \)). The dashed line corresponds to the Rayleigh law \( g \to \infty \).}
\end{figure}