Notch Signaling Controls Generation of Motor Neurons in the Lesioned Spinal Cord of Adult Zebrafish
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In mammals, increased Notch signaling is held partly responsible for a lack of neurogenesis after a spinal injury. However, this is difficult to test in an essentially nonregenerative system. We show that in adult zebrafish, which exhibit lesion-induced neurogenesis, e.g., of motor neurons, the Notch pathway is also reactivated. Although apparently compatible with neuronal regeneration in zebrafish, forced activity of the pathway significantly decreased progenitor proliferation and motor neuron generation. Conversely, pharmacological inhibition of the pathway increased proliferation and motor neuron numbers. This demonstrates that Notch is a negative signal for regenerative neurogenesis, and, importantly, that spinal motor neuron regeneration can be augmented in an adult vertebrate by inhibiting Notch signaling.

Introduction
The spinal cord of adult zebrafish is not a constitutively active neurogenic zone (Reimer et al., 2008), similar to mammals (Yamamoto et al., 2001; Meletis et al., 2008). However, in contrast to mammals, high numbers of neurons are generated from endogenous progenitor cells after a lesion (Reimer et al., 2008). Progenitor cells in the spinal cord of adult mammals are triggered to proliferate by a lesion, but only generate glial cells (Meletis et al., 2008). However, progenitor cells can generate neurons in vitro or when transplanted into neurogenic regions of the CNS (Shihabuddin et al., 2000).

In the mammalian spinal cord, activation of Notch signaling has been proposed to be responsible for the inability of spinal progenitors to generate neurons (Yamamoto et al., 2001). In several developing and adult systems, contact activation of Notch receptors on progenitor cells by Delta or Jagged ligands, present on differentiating progeny or newly recruited progenitors, inhibits proliferation and/or neurogenesis from progenitor cells, thus providing a negative feedback mechanism for their own generation (for review, see Ables et al., 2011; Pierfelice et al., 2011). Indeed, notch1 expression is increased in the lesioned spinal cord of rats and activation of Notch inhibited, whereas attenuation of Notch signaling increased neurogenesis from spinal progenitors in vitro (Yamamoto et al., 2001). Therefore, we asked whether Notch pathway activation also occurs during successful neuronal regeneration in the lesioned spinal cord of adult zebrafish and whether it can be manipulated to generate more motor neurons. This cell type is of major therapeutic interest, because motor neurons are permanently lost after spinal injury and in motor neuron disease in humans.

Following a spinal lesion, ~800 new HB9-expressing motor neurons are generated from proliferating olig2;GFP+ progenitor cells (Reimer et al., 2008). These cells constitute a ventrolateral domain in the ependyma, contact the pial surface with glial end-feet and receive a Sonic Hedgehog signal for motor neuron generation from ventral midline cells (Reimer et al., 2009).

Here we show that increased Notch signaling plays an attenuating role in motor neuron regeneration in the adult zebrafish spinal cord, consistent with a feedback model of Notch activation in progenitor cells. Remarkably, pharmacological inhibition of Notch signaling even increases generation of motor neurons in this adult vertebrate, which may have future therapeutic implications.

Materials and Methods
Animals. We used wild-type (wik), Tg(olig2:EGFP) (Shin et al., 2003), hereafter designated olig2;GFP, Tg(mnx1:GFP);tl2 (Flanagan-Stee et al., 2005), hereafter designated HB9;GFP, Tg(hsp70l:Gal4), and Tg(UAS: nyc-notch1a-intra) (Scheer et al., 2001) transgenic fish, as well as notch1a mutants (notch1a bp37/bp67) (van Eeden et al., 1996) of either sex. All experiments were licensed by the British Home Office.

Spinal lesions, adult heat-shocks, and DAPT applications. Complete transection of the spinal cord was performed under visual control as described previously (Reimer et al., 2009). DAPT (N-(N-(3,5-difluorophenacetyl)-l-alanyl)-S-phenylglycine t-butyl ester) (Enzo Life Sciences) was injected intraperitoneally, delivering a dose of 30 mg/kg body weight. Heat-shocks were delivered for 1 h by slowly (~2 h) heating the tank water from 26°C to 39°C.

In situ hybridization. Plasmids provided by Julian Lewis (London Research Institute, London, UK), Ajay Chitnis (The Eunice Kennedy
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Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Bethesda, MD), and Laure Bally-Cuif (Institute of Neurobiology Alfred Fessler, Gif-sur-Yvette, France) were used to generate probes for notch1a (NM_131441.1), notch1b (NM_131302.2), notch2 (NM_00115094.1), jagged1b (NM_131863.1), jagged2 (AF29450.1), deltaH (NM_1209541.1), deltab (NM_130958.1), deltax (NM_120944.1), deltad (NM_130955.2), dll-4 (D5X50456.1), her1 (NP_571153.1), her4.1 (AA521813.1), her5 (NP_571152.1), her6 (NP_99624), her9 (NP_571948.1), her13 (NM_696748) and her66 (NM_194400). We used the following primers to generate probes for jagged1a, her4.5 and her12. Jagged1A: Forward primer, 5′-TGG AGA TCG AGG AGT GTG TCG-3′, Reverse primer, 5′-GGA GCT GTG AGC ACT GTG TGT GC-3′; Her4.5: Forward primer, 5′-AGA CAA CAG ACA CAG CAA TGG C-3′, Reverse primer, 5′-GCC GTG TGG TCT ACG AGG CAC AG-3′; Her12: Forward primer, 5′-CTG TTC GAG CAC AGA CAT GCC-3′, Reverse primer, 5′-AAT CCA CTT CCT TCC CAG ACG-3′. All probes showed a characteristic signal in whole-mounted 24-h-old embryos. In situ hybridization on 50 μm vibratome sections has been described previously (Reimer et al., 2009).

PCRs. PCRs were performed as described previously (Reimer et al., 2009), using the following primers: Her4.1 Forward primer, 5′-GGC TCA AGA GTG CGT CAA GC-3′, Reverse primer, 5′-AGG GCC TGG TCT GTG GCC-3′; GAPDH Forward primer, 5′-AAT CCA CCT CTT TGC TGG CAG G-3′, Reverse primer, 5′-TCT CTG TTG CAG GCC GGT TAG-3′.

Immunohistochemistry and cell quantifications. Anti-Hb9 mouse (1: 400, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA), anti-Proliferating Cell Nuclear Antigen (PCNA) mouse (1: 1000, Dako Cytomation), anti-GFP chicken (1: 500, Abcam), and anti-myc (1: 600, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) primary antibodies were used. In some instances, a directly fluorescein-tagged anti-myc antibody (1: 1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was used. Secondary antibodies (1: 200) were purchased from Jackson Laboratories (Strattech): Cy3 donkey anti-mouse and Dylight 488 donkey anti-mouse.

Immunohistochemistry on 50 μm vibratome sections, with or without prior in situ hybridization, followed a previously established protocol (Reimer et al., 2008). Small (nuclear diameter < 6 μm), intensely labeled Hb9+ cells and ventricular PCNA+ cells were stereologically counted, as described previously (Reimer et al., 2008). Briefly, confocal image stacks of three randomly selected vibratome sections from the region up to 750 μm rostral to the lesion site and three sections from the region up to 750 μm caudal to the lesion site were analyzed. Cell numbers were then calculated for the entire 1.5 mm surrounding the lesion site.

For relative values, all Hocchtst dye (Hoechst 33342, Biotium)-labeled cells in the unlesioned spinal cord and this expression domain expanded to comprise the dorsal half of the ventricular zone in the unlesioned spinal cord (Fig. 1 A, B). Her3, her4, her5, her6, her8a, her12, her13 and her66 were not detectably expressed in the unlesioned or lesioned spinal cord.

The receptors notch1a and notch1b were similarly upregulated from undetectable levels around the central canal, excluding the dorsal and ventral domains. Notch2 was upregulated from undetectable levels to a faint signal around the central canal (Fig. 1 C).

The Notch ligand jagged1b was upregulated from undetectable levels in ventricular cells of the dorsal midline and the ligand deltaC was upregulated in cells in the vicinity of the ventricular zone, predominantly in the ventral half of the spinal cord (Fig. 1 D). None of the Notch ligands deltaA, deltab, deltad, dll-4, jagged1a, and jagged2 were detectably expressed in the unlesioned and lesioned spinal cord. Thus Notch pathway genes are upregulated after spinal lesion in specific dorsoventral domains around the ventricle, probably reflecting the dorsolateral polarity of the adult progenitor domains (Reimer et al., 2009).

Next we tested expression of her4.1 and notch1b in motor neuron progenitor cells in olig2: GFP transgenic animals. In single optical sections, in situ hybridization signals for both genes coincided with GFP labeling of olig2: GFP ependymo-radial glial cells (Fig. 1 E), which are motor neuron progenitor cells (Reimer et al., 2008).

In a Hb9: GFP transgenic fish, expression of deltaA mRNA overlapped with small, strongly GFP-expressing, newly generated motor neurons (Reimer et al., 2008) (Fig. 1 E). Thus Notch signaling is activated in motor neuron progenitor cells after a spinal lesion, as indicated by upregulation of the target gene her4.1 and the receptor notch1b in these cells and increased expression of a ligand (deltaC) by the motor neuron progeny.

Notch overactivation inhibits generation of motor neurons and attenuates progenitor cell proliferation in the lesioned spinal cord

Double transgenic fish, Tg(hsp70:Gal4) × Tg(UASmyc-notch1a-intra), in which a heat-shock promoter drives expression of the active intracellular domain of notch1a, fused to a myc epitope, allow conditional and potent overactivation of the pathway (Scheer et al., 2001). Myc immunoreactivity was detected in the spinal cord of unlesioned and lesioned transgenic animals after heat-shocks on 2 consecutive days, but not in heat-shocked wild-type or non-heat-shocked transgenic animals (Fig. 2 A). Remarkably, immunoreactivity was predominantly present in ependymo-radial glial cells, as indicated by labeling of radial processes of these cells. This provides some specificity of the manipulation for these spinal progenitor cells. Myc-immunoreactivity was markedly stronger in the nucleus of the cells, indicating correct transport of the activated protein to the nucleus and specificity for ventricular cells was maintained in lesioned animals even after daily heat-shocks from 5 to 13 dpl (Fig. 2 B). PCR of spinal tissue surrounding the lesion site indicated increased expression of her4.1 (Fig. 2 C). In unlesioned animals, 5 consecutive heat-shocks induced detectability of the target gene her4.1 around the central canal, except for the most ventral cells, by in situ hybridization (Fig. 2 D), showing that Notch activation was functional.

To overactivate Notch signaling during motor neuron regeneration, daily heat-shocks were delivered from 5 to 13 dpl. This

Results

The Notch pathway is activated in the lesioned spinal cord

Progenitor cells in the zebrafish spinal cord are located in the ependymal zone around the central canal (Reimer et al., 2008). To determine whether the pathway is active in the lesioned spinal cord, we used in situ hybridization of the hairy-related (her) genes, many of which are direct Notch target genes (Chapouton et al., 2011). Her4.1 was upregulated at 3 (data not shown) and 14 dpl (days post-lesion) when motor neuron regeneration peaks; (Reimer et al., 2008) from undetectable levels, predominantly in a ventrolateral domain of the ventricular zone. No signal was pres-
treatment induced detectability of myc-labeling in 29% of all ventricular cells (Hoechst labeling: 57.61 ± 2.67 cells/optical section, myc double-labeled cells: 16.61 ± 3.53, n = 3 animals) at 14 dpl. The number of small HB9\(^+\) cells in the lesioned spinal cord was determined at 14 dpl. Previous BrdU labeling studies have indicated that the vast majority of such cells are newly generated motor neurons, which are rare in the unlesioned spinal cord (Reimer et al., 2008). In lesioned, non-heat-shocked transgenic controls, clusters of intensely labeled small HB9\(^+\) motor neurons were observed in the ventromedial aspect of spinal cross sections (Fig. 3A, B) in a number that was comparable to previous observations in wild-type animals (Reimer et al., 2008) and not statistically different from that observed in heat-shocked wild-type animals at 14 dpl (data not shown). This supported that the presence of the transgenes or heat-shocks per se did not influence motor neuron generation. However, in heat-shocked transgenic animals, the number of small HB9\(^+\) cells was dramatically reduced by 87% in the 1500 \(\mu\)m surrounding the lesion site (Fig. 3A, B, C). Thus, overactivation of Notch signaling strongly inhibits the generation of new motor neurons.

To test whether a lack of motor neuron generation was at least in part due to inhibition of ventricular proliferation we used PCNA immunohistochemistry, which labels cells in early G1 phase and S phase of the cell cycle. Proliferating cells were mostly found in the ependymal zone, including cells that are one cell diameter distant from the central canal. \(\Delta\)I\(\Delta\)A is not detectably expressed. E, Colabeling of transgene and in situ hybridization signal (arrows) indicates her4.1 and notch1b expression in olig2:GFP\(^+\) ventricular progenitor cells, and \(\Delta\)I\(\Delta\)C expression in HB9:GFP\(^+\) motor neurons. Scale bars, 25 \(\mu\)m.

Figure 1. Notch pathway genes are upregulated after spinal injury. In situ hybridizations of spinal cross sections at 14 dpl are shown. Central canal is indicated by asterisks; dorsal is up. In B–D, arrows indicate single positive cells and bars delineate expression domains. A, Timeline for all experiments. B, After a lesion, her4.1, her4.5 and her9, but not her8a, are upregulated in specific areas of the ependymal zone. C, After a lesion, notch1a and notch1b are upregulated in specific regions of the ventricular zone. Notch2 shows weakly increased expression. D, After a lesion, jagged1b is upregulated only in the dorsal midline, whereas \(\Delta\)I\(\Delta\)C is upregulated preferentially in cells around the ventral half of the central canal, including cells that are one cell diameter distant from the central canal. \(\Delta\)I\(\Delta\)A is not detectably expressed. E, Colabeling of transgene and in situ hybridization signal (arrows) indicates her4.1 and notch1b expression in olig2:GFP\(^+\) ventricular progenitor cells, and \(\Delta\)I\(\Delta\)C expression in HB9:GFP\(^+\) motor neurons. Scale bars, 25 \(\mu\)m.
controls (25%; Hoechst: 77.52 ± 9.85 cells/optical section, n = 3; PCNA: 19.56 ± 3.23, n = 3) at 14 dpl. In heat-shocked double-transgenic animals, this rate was reduced to 10% (Hoechst: 57.46 ± 2.27 cells/optical section; PCNA double-labeled cells: 5.85 ± 1.18; n = 9), confirming stereological counts. If activation of Notch inhibits cell proliferation, PCNA labeling should be reduced in the same cells that overexpress active Notch. Indeed, only 2.7% of all conspicuously myc-labeled cells were labeled with PCNA antibodies. This was highly significantly less than the expected value of 25% (the overall proportion of ventricular cells that are labeled by PCNA antibodies in non-heat-shocked controls). The remaining PCNA + cells in heat-shocked animals intercalated with myc-labeled cells (Fig. 3F). This provides direct evidence that Notch activation in progenitor cells reduces their proliferative activity.

DAPT treatment increases the number of newly generated motor neurons and proliferating progenitor cells in the lesioned spinal cord

The gamma-secretase inhibitor DAPT prevents cleavage of the Notch receptor into its active form and has been shown to attenuate Notch signaling in adult zebrafish (Chapouton et al., 2010).
Indeed a single intraperitoneal injection at 4 dpl was sufficient to reduce detectability of *her4.1* in PCRs of lesioned spinal tissue at 5 dpl (Fig. 4A), indicating successful reduction of Notch signaling in ventral spinal progenitor cells, in which *her4.1* is predominantly expressed.

Injections of DAPT (3, 6, 9 dpl) during the regeneration period strongly increased the number of newly generated HB9+ motor neurons by 61% and that of proliferating PCNA+ ventricular cells by 37% at 14 dpl (Fig. 4B–F). However, in the adult viable *notch1a* mutant, numbers of newly generated motor neurons appeared unaffected at 14 dpl (nonhomozygous siblings: 1244 ± 114.1 cells/1500 μm spinal cord, *n* = 10 animals; mutants: 1050 ± 172.5, *n* = 8 animals, *p* > 0.05), possibly due to compensation by *notch1b*. Thus chemically inhibiting all Notch signaling augments progenitor proliferation and motor neuron generation.

As we show here for the first time that the number of newly generated motor neurons can be augmented using DAPT, we wanted to ascertain that the drug had no detrimental effects on subsequent recovery. These could be caused by destroying the ependymal zone as a consequence of progenitor depletion (Carlén et al., 2009) or by off-target toxicity. We used a test in which the swim endurance of fish is measured in a flow of water and assessed the total distance moved within 5 min of spontaneous swimming in an arena (Reimer et al., 2009). DAPT-injected ani-
mals significantly recovered in both tests between 3 and 6 weeks post-lesion. DAPT-treated animals appeared to perform slightly better than controls, but this difference was not significant (Fig. 4G,H). This indicates that DAPT has no overt toxic effect on regenerating fish.

**Notch manipulations have no detectable effect on progenitor cells in the unlesioned spinal cord**

In the unlesioned spinal cord motor neuron generation and ventricular proliferation is rare. Overactivation of Notch by 9 daily heat-shocks (analysis on day 10) did not lead to any changes in the low numbers of small HB9⁺ motor neurons (non-heat-shocked: 70.61 ± 12.11 cells/1500 μm spinal cord, n = 3; heat-shocked: 50.19 ± 7.43, n = 4; p > 0.05) or PCNA⁺ cells at the central canal (non-heat-shocked: 64.29 ± 22.96 cells/1500 μm spinal cord, n = 3; heat-shocked: 65.95 ± 19.51, n = 4; p > 0.05). Similarly, daily DAPT injections for 5 consecutive days (analysis on day 8) had no influence on the low numbers of small HB9⁺ motor neurons (DMSO treated: 137.49 ± 18.13 cells/1500 μm spinal cord, n = 12; DAPT

**Figure 4.** DAPT treatment increases motor neuron generation and ventricular proliferation and does not impair recovery. Spinal cross sections, centered around the central canal (asterisks), are shown; dorsal is up. A, A single injection of DAPT at 4 dpl reduces her4.1 expression at 5 dpl in PCR. B–F, The number of small HB9⁺ motor neurons in the ventromedial aspect of the spinal cord (C, E; **p < 0.01) and of PCNA⁺ cells around the central canal (D, F; *p < 0.05) is increased by the DAPT treatment regimen depicted in B, G, H, Endurance in a flow (G; p < 0.05) and the total distance moved (H; p < 0.01) similarly improved over time for DAPT-treated (as in B but with the indicated time points of analysis) and control fish. I, Schematic summary of results. Overexpression of the intracellular domain of Notch1a attenuates, whereas DAPT treatment augments lesion-induced progenitor cell proliferation (PCNA⁺) and generation of motor neurons. Scale bars, 50 μm.
treated: 156.72 ± 13.89, n = 10; p < 0.05) or PCNA⁺ cells
(DMSO treated: 41.35 ± 5.31 cells/1500 μm spinal cord, n = 12;
DAPT treated: 40.31 ± 5.94, n = 10; p < 0.05) at the
central canal. This shows that additional signals from the le-
son are needed to make spinal progenitor cells competent to
react to manipulations of Notch signaling.

Discussion
We show here that lesion-induced Notch signaling attenuates
proliferation of progenitor cells and motor neuron generation
from these cells in the lesioned spinal cord of adult zebrafish. We
also demonstrate for the first time that motor neuron generation
can be augmented in this adult vertebrate by pharmacologically
blocking Notch signaling (Fig. 41, summarized).

Manipulations of Notch activity after a spinal lesion in ze-
brafish indicate that Notch is a negative regulator of both prolif-
eration in the ventricular progenitor cell zone and of motor
neuron generation. This is similar to the role of Notch during
constitutive neurogenesis in the forebrain of zebrafish (Chapou-
ton et al., 2010; Rothenaigner et al., 2011) and is compatible with
a role of Notch signaling in maintaining progenitor pools. Re-
markably, the function of Notch in the regenerating spinal cord
of zebrafish is similar to its proposed role in the lesioned spinal
cord of rats, where Notch is strongly upregulated and acts as an
anti-neurogenic factor in vitro (Yamamoto et al., 2001). It is pos-
sible that expression levels of active Notch are too high to allow
neurogenesis in the lesioned mammalian spinal cord. As we show
here, overactivation of Notch dramatically reduced the number
of newly generated motor neurons also in the spinal cord of adult
zebrafish. Conversely, in the adult mouse telencephalon, where
stroke induces neuroblast formation from ependymal cells,
Notch signaling is decreased and ependymal cells are eventu-
ally consumed by this differentiation process. Ependymal cells
can be rescued by activating Notch (Carlén et al., 2009). How-
ever, when we reduced Notch signaling with DAPT, we did not
observe any obvious disruption of the spinal ependymal zone
and DAPT treatment did not negatively affect recovery of
swimming. Such disruption might occur with stronger or lon-
ger inhibition of Notch. Overall, our results best support the
hypothesis that precise regulation of Notch activity is neces-
sary to allow neurogenesis, while maintaining the progenitor
pool in the lesioned CNS.

In the unlesioned spinal cord there was little evidence of neu-
rogenesis (Reimer et al., 2008) and Notch activity. For instance,
her4.1 expression, which is positively regulated by Notch signal-
ing (Chapouton et al., 2011), and expression of Notch receptors
was undetectable. Similarly, DAPT had no effect on proliferation
and motor neuron generation. Even when the active form of
Notch was overexpressed, leading to increased her4.1 expression
in the ependymal zone, proliferation and motor neuron genera-
tion were not influenced. This contrasts with progenitor zones in
the adult brain of zebrafish and mice that show constant activity
of Notch signaling (Carlén et al., 2009; Chapouton et al., 2010).
These observations suggest that ependymo-radial glial cells in the
adult zebrafish spinal cord need unknown signals from the lesion
event that switch on Notch signaling and neurogenesis (Reimer
et al., 2009). This supports an association of Notch signaling with
neurogenesis.

Interestingly, the reactivation of Notch signaling is not an
exact recapitulation of developmental gene expression. For ex-
ample, deltaA, deltaD, jagged1a, which are strongly expressed in
the embryonic spinal cord, and jagged2, which is expressed in
embryonic motor neurons (Yeo and Chitnis, 2007), are not de-
tectably expressed in the adult lesioned spinal cord. Vice versa,
deltaC is upregulated in the lesioned adult spinal cord, but is
hardly detectable in the embryonic spinal cord. Other genes, such as
notch1a, notch1b, her4.1 and her4.5 are similarly expressed in
development and regeneration (data for embryonic expression
not shown and zffin.org).

How does Notch act? We observed that proliferative activity in
the ependymal zone was reduced upon overactivation of Notch
and increased when Notch signaling was blocked. Thus differ-
ences in the numbers of newly generated motor neurons were
probably mainly due to differences in proliferative activity of
their progenitors. This is similar to constitutively active prolifer-
ation zones in the adult zebrafish brain. In that system, a lineage
tracing study indicated that manipulations of Notch signaling
only influenced the proliferation rate of progenitor cells and not
the type of division (symmetrical vs asymmetrical) or cell differ-
entiation (Chapouton et al., 2011). However, we cannot exclude
that Notch has additional roles in cell fate decisions of neuro-
blasts during spinal cord regeneration, similar to development
(Shin et al., 2007; Yeo and Chitnis, 2007; Batista et al., 2008;
Kimura et al., 2008). In the proliferative zones of the adult mam-
alian forebrain, Notch signaling either negatively (Imayoshi et
al., 2010) or positively (Androutsellis-Thopotakis et al., 2006) reg-
ulates proliferation, possibly depending on the progenitor cell
type analyzed (Pierfelice et al., 2011).

Increased numbers of motor neurons after DAPT treatment
did not improve recovery of fish from the spinal lesion. This is
perhaps not surprising, as we have shown previously that <20%
of the newly generated HB9-expressing motor neurons fully dif-
ferentiate into choline acetyl transferase-positive motor neurons
(Reimer et al., 2008) and reducing the number of newly generated
motor neurons by 50% also did not affect recovery (Reimer et al.,
2009). Thus the potential beneficial effect of new neurons may be
limited by the number of neurons that can be integrated into the
system. However, this situation might be different in mammals,
in which hardly any neuroblasts are generated in the adult le-
stoned spinal cord (Shihabuddin et al., 2000; Yamamoto et al.,
2001; Meletis et al., 2008).

We conclude that Notch signaling is precisely regulated to
allow motor neuron regeneration in the lesioned spinal cord of
adult zebrafish. Pharmacological intervention with the Notch
inhibitor DAPT increases motor neuron generation from endog-
enous stem cells, which may inform future therapeutic interven-
tions in the lesioned spinal cord of mammals in which Notch
signaling is strong and neurogenesis does not occur (Shihabud-
din et al., 2000; Yamamoto et al., 2001; Meletis et al., 2008).
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