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In the past years, evidence has emerged that hallmarks of human metabolic disorders can be recapitulated in zebrafish using genetic, pharmacological or dietary interventions. An advantage of modeling metabolic diseases in zebrafish compared to other “lower organisms” is the presence of a vertebrate body plan providing the possibility to study the tissue-intrinsic processes preceding the loss of metabolic homeostasis. While the small size of zebrafish is advantageous in many aspects, it also has shortcomings such as the difficulty to obtain sufficient amounts for biochemical analyses in response to metabolic challenges. A workshop at the European Zebrafish Principal Investigator meeting in Trento, Italy, was dedicated to discuss the advantages and disadvantages of zebrafish to study metabolic disorders. This perspective article by the participants highlights strategies to achieve improved tissue-resolution for read-outs using “nano-sampling” approaches for metabolomics as well as live imaging of zebrafish expressing fluorescent reporter tools that inform on cellular or subcellular metabolic processes. We provide several examples, including the use of reporter tools to study the heterogeneity of pancreatic beta-cells within their tissue environment. While limitations exist, we believe that with the advent of new technologies and more labs developing methods that can be applied to minimal amounts of tissue or single cells, zebrafish will further increase their utility to study energy metabolism.
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INTRODUCTION

Zebrafish (Danio rerio) have evolved from being a model organism primarily used for studies of vertebrate development to a widely applied research tool, including its use in behavioral research, genetics, physiology, disease modeling, toxicology, and drug discovery (Lieschke and Currie, 2007; Kalousek et al., 2013; MacRae and Peterson, 2015; Gut et al., 2017). The growing use of zebrafish is based on the presence of a vertebrate body plan and observations that tissue-specific physiological
processes are surprisingly similar between zebrafish and humans (Schlegel and Gut, 2015; Gut et al., 2017). The conservation of the core mechanisms has led to the development of genetic, dietary and pharmacological models to study the principles of energy metabolism under physiological and disease conditions (Santoro, 2014; Gut et al., 2017).

Metabolic diseases are systemic disorders driven by the failure of single or multiple tissues to maintain homeostasis. Loss of metabolic homeostasis occurs when specialized tissues have lost their reserve capacity to react to daily metabolic challenges. For example, the diagnosis of diabetes is preceded by years of compensation during which a reduced number of functional beta cells maintains normoglycemia after meal intake. With further decline of beta-cell function during persistent metabolic stress, a minimum threshold of functional beta-cell mass is reached, causing elevated fasting and post-prandial glucose excursions and ultimately leading to diabetes (Chen C. et al., 2017). Challenging this reserve capacity to study the biochemical processes of cells that protect or accelerate functional responses to metabolic stressors are a cornerstone of metabolic research (Tschop et al., 2014; Gut et al., 2017). The conservation of the core mechanisms has led to the development of genetic, dietary and pharmacological models to study the principles of energy substrates and their intermediates in response to daily metabolic challenges. Over the past years, metabolomics methods have been applied for nano-sampling using a microprobe single cell CE-ESI-MS technique, which could determine about 70 metabolites from single blastomeres from the 32 cell stage (Onjiko et al., 2017). As the sample volumes used in these microneedle-based approaches are similar between zebrafish [20–200 nL; whole 3 dpf larva ~290 nL (Kantae et al., 2016)] and Xenopus (10–15 nL), the capillary sampling method might also allow for untargeted metabolomics in the zebrafish.

Recent technical advances in single cell metabolomics with cultured cells demonstrate the feasibility of reaching cellular resolution also for cells smaller than early embryonic blastomeres with subcellular sampling on the horizon (Esaki and Masujima, 2015; reviewed in Armbrecht and Dittrich, 2017). Although challenging, microdissections using microneedles or capillaries on tissues from zebrafish will be ideally suited for single cell metabolomics facilitated by the rich resource of reporter transgenic lines for the identification of embryonic and larval anatomical structures.

An alternative approach to nano-sampling is mass spectrometry imaging, which may achieve even higher spatial resolution and give snapshots of in situ metabolite distribution. For example, Dueñas et al. (2017) used MALDI imaging to map phospholipid distributions in early zebrafish embryos (up to 16 cell stage) at about 10 μm resolution. However, a downside of MALDI imaging is that it requires cryosectioning of the embryos and has an analytical bias for lipids (Baker et al., 2017; Emara et al., 2017). Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry (SIMS) achieves higher resolutions than MALDI imaging, but is equally limited to fixed samples (Passarelli and Ewing, 2013; Armbrecht and Dittrich, 2017).

Toward a better understanding of metabolite dynamics, continuous recording of metabolome changes in vivo will be required. In vivo Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)
spectroscopy is a promising approach for such metabolic monitoring, and a few pioneering studies have followed metabolite changes during development and in response to hypoxia or herbicide exposure in medaka embryos (Viant et al., 2002, 2006; Pincetich et al., 2005). As spectroscopic analysis can be combined with magnetic resonance (MR) imaging, also spatial information on metabolite distribution is accessible to these techniques. For example, Kabli et al. (2009) recorded high resolution localized MR spectra from live adult zebrafish brains with a voxel size of 1.5 mm³ and could detect several amino acids and other metabolites. Further improvements of the instruments are likely to increase both metabolite and spatial resolution as well as sensitivity of these methods.

Another strategy to examine metabolism beyond steady state levels is the application of tracer technologies to assess flux rates through different pathways. Mugoni et al. (2013a,b) used ¹³C isotope labeling to study prenyl lipid metabolism in zebrafish embryos, showing reduced Coenzyme Q10 and Q9 synthesis based on HPLC analysis of extracts from 25 embryos mutant for UbiA-domain containing protein 1 (ubadi1) and their wild-type siblings. Combining such tracer studies with the cellular and sub-cellular analysis methods currently being developed should provide unprecedented insight into metabolic pathways and their (patho-)physiological changes in vivo. Table 1 summarizes methods that are relevant for nano-sampling strategies in zebrafish.

Looking forward, key applications for metabolomics studies in zebrafish include the investigation of cancer metabolism; metabolic reprogramming is a hallmark described as an intrinsic property of cancer and is based on the observation that proliferating cells require a large amount of nutrients, energy, and biosynthetic activity to produce the macromolecular components of the newly generated cells. The zebrafish, with its large collection of genetic models of cancers and the popular transplantation assays, represents the ideal model system for analysis of metabolism during cancer progression (White et al., 2013). While the tools for studying changes in metabolism in vivo are being developed, a number of studies have already revealed altered metabolism in a zebrafish model of glioma progression, including changes in glycolytic rate as well as lipid and nucleotide metabolism (Bräutigam et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2016; Zhang M. et al., 2018). Known oncogenes have been reported to rewire metabolic pathways in zebrafish. For example, Yap was found to reprogram glutamine metabolism to increase nucleotide biosynthesis in a zebrafish model of liver hyperplasia (Cox et al., 2016).

To provide a framework to compare metabolic changes in response to reprogrammed metabolic pathways between mammalian and fish metabolism, a metabolic network model (MetaFishNet) has been generated (Li et al., 2010) and refined recently (Bekaert, 2012). Metabolic network models integrate genetic, epigenetic and metabolic information and allow predictions of cancer type-specific metabolic pathways, drug targets and therapeutic strategies, and have been constructed for a number of organisms and tissues [reviewed in Masoudi-Nejad and Asgari (2015)]. The MetaFishNet model was used to draw a comparison between human and fish metabolic pathways, showing a large overlap, and to analyze gene expression data in a zebrafish liver cancer model (Lam et al., 2006). Several metabolic pathways were predicted to be misregulated in zebrafish liver cancer (Li et al., 2010), and Wnt signaling was found to remodel lipid metabolism in tumors induced by overexpression of the oncogene Ras in hepatocarcinoma cells and zebrafish liver tumors (Yao et al., 2018). Advances in nano-sampling and metabolomics requiring low-input of materials will provide powerful technologies to investigate cancer-type specific metabolic changes in zebrafish cancer models.

**Tissue-resolution of metabolic regulation using reporter tools**

An alternative to the direct quantification of metabolites in tissues is the indirect visualization of physiological processes (Gut et al., 2013), metabolite ratios (Panieri et al., 2017), or signaling effects of metabolites (Niethammer et al., 2009) in zebrafish using fluorescent probes [Reviewed in Santoro (2014)]. The transparency of zebrafish larvae and amenability to genetic modification has enabled live imaging of these reporter tools in tissues and single cells (Figure 1). Further advantages of these strategies include the possibility to monitor processes continuously and in response to challenges, such as in the

---

**Table 1** Nano-sampling approaches for metabolomics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount of material used per sample</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Manual microdissection</strong></td>
<td>Yolk and embryo proper</td>
<td>Separation of yolk and embryo body with forceps and fine scalpel</td>
<td>Pooled tissues from 15 embryos</td>
<td>Fraher et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Microneedle sampling</strong></td>
<td>Yolk, blood</td>
<td>Puncturing and suction of yolk or larval vasculature with glass capillary</td>
<td>Yolk: 50 nL (range 20–200 nL) from 1 embryo Blood: samples pooled from 15–35 individual larvae</td>
<td>Ordas et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mass spectrometry imaging</strong></td>
<td>Early embryos (1–16 cell stage)</td>
<td>Matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) mass spectrometric imaging of phospholipids on cryosections</td>
<td>Cryosections of 1 embryo, spatial resolution 10 µm</td>
<td>Dueñas et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In vivo magnetic resonance microscopy (MRM)/magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS)</strong></td>
<td>Adult brain</td>
<td>Live MRM/MRS of adult fish in flowthrough chamber of microimaging probe</td>
<td>1 adult fish, voxel size 1.5 mm³</td>
<td>Kabli et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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background of genetically modified zebrafish, following the treatment with drugs or toxins, or after exposure to tissue damage. For example, the use of genetically encoded H$_2$O$_2$ sensor HyPer has revealed the critical function of H$_2$O$_2$ as a chemoattractant released from the wound edge (Niethammer et al., 2009). However, HyPer is also sensitive to changes in pH and therefore requires careful control with pH sensors such as SypHer (Roma et al., 2012; Weller et al., 2014). Further pioneering work has been done using sensor probes for the cellular redox state that are less sensitive to pH, such as recently developed novel transgenic zebrafish lines expressing the metabolic redox biosensors roGFP2-Orp1 and Grx1-roGFP2 (Morgan et al., 2011) in endothelial and myocardial cells (Panieri and Santoro, 2017). These reporters rely on ratio-metric imaging of the sensor for real-time imaging of hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) levels and the redox potential of glutathione (E$_{GSH}$) in specific subcellular compartments (Panieri and Santoro, 2017). Specifically, imaging these sensors showed higher basal levels of H$_2$O$_2$ in the mitochondrial matrix than other subcellular compartments (Panieri et al., 2017). Similarly, the mitochondrial matrix was characterized by more oxidizing E$_{GSH}$ compared to the cytosol and the nucleus (Panieri et al., 2017). Pharmacologic treatments suggest that the pentose phosphate and glutathione biosynthetic pathways exert a protective antioxidant role in vivo in endothelial cells and cardiomyocytes (Panieri et al., 2017).

Similarly, transgenic expression of other ratio-metric fluorescent biosensors for metabolites are on the way. Among those, Perceval HR (Berg et al., 2009) for measuring ATP/ADP ratio and Peredox for measuring NADH-NAD(+) ratio (Hung et al., 2011) are widely used biosensors in mammalian systems. Validation in zebrafish is lacking, but efforts to use them in live larvae are ongoing (unpublished data; YF). Förster Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) based metabolite reporters are also promising in their application in zebrafish live imaging. Transgenic expression of the lactate FRET reporter. As more genetically encoded metabolite reporters are developed (Jensen et al., 2006; Gruenwald et al., 2012; Luddecke et al., 2017), we envisage that many of these reporters can also be used in zebrafish models to image and quantify metabolism at the cellular and subcellular levels.

In addition to genetically encoded metabolite probes, fluorescently labeled carbon sources such as glucose, lactate, and lipids analogs can be used to trace their uptake into cells in vivo in zebrafish embryos [(Marin-Juez et al., 2015; Anderson et al., 2016) and unpublished data; YF]. There is also increasing interest in developing novel fluorescent chemical probes for various metabolites, ions and redox species. Some of these tools have been successfully tested in zebrafish embryos such as a fluorescent sensor to detect Nitric Oxide in liver cells of zebrafish (Zhang et al., 2018a). Other probes include a polymer micelles-based ratio-metric fluorescent probe for hypochlorous acid (HClO) to monitor HClO generation during liver injury in vivo in zebrafish embryos (Zhang et al., 2018b).

However, most metabolite sensors are developed and optimized in mammalian tissue culture systems. Additional efforts are required to validate their sensitivity and accuracy in zebrafish embryos, which is particularly the case for FRET
probes that often have been optimized to function at 37°C. Once a reliable imaging protocol is established, these sensors will be invaluable tools to monitor dynamic metabolic changes in specific tissues, cells and sub-cellular compartments in physiology and disease conditions. Table 2 summarizes reporter tools that can be used to quantify metabolites and includes information whether these tools have been tested in zebrafish yet. Although these tools will not be able to replace traditional biochemical approaches on sampled tissues, the live observation of metabolites and signaling events in vivo can provide invaluable insights into metabolic regulation.

**TABLE 2 | Selection of reporter tools to quantify metabolites.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Validated in zebrafish</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perceval</td>
<td>Fluorescent biosensor</td>
<td>Genetically encoded ratiometric fluorescent reporter for ATP/ADP ratios</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Berg et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCaMP6s</td>
<td>Fluorescent biosensor</td>
<td>Genetically encoded intensiometric fluorescent reporter for calcium</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chen J. et al., 2017; Singh et al., 2017; Janjuha et al., 2018a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RoGFP2-Orp1</td>
<td>Fluorescent sensor</td>
<td>Genetically encoded ratiometric fluorescent reporter for H2O2 detection</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Panieri et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gnr1-RoGFP2</td>
<td>Fluorescent sensor</td>
<td>Genetically encoded ratiometric fluorescent reporter for GSH:GSSG redox potential</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Panieri et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyto-roGFP</td>
<td>Fluorescent biosensor</td>
<td>Genetically encoded ratiometric fluorescent reporter for Redox state (Cytosol)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Waypa et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix-roGFP</td>
<td>Fluorescent biosensor</td>
<td>Genetically encoded ratiometric fluorescent reporter for Redox state (Mitochondrion Matrix)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Waypa et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPD-roGFP</td>
<td>Fluorescent biosensor</td>
<td>Genetically encoded ratiometric fluorescent reporter for Redox state (Mitochondrial Innermembrane space)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Waypa et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyronic</td>
<td>FRET biosensor</td>
<td>Genetically encoded ratiometric fluorescent reporter for pyruvate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>San Martin et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laconic</td>
<td>FRET biosensor</td>
<td>Genetically encoded ratiometric fluorescent reporter for lactate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>San Martin et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pHRed</td>
<td>Fluorescent biosensor</td>
<td>Genetically encoded intensiometric fluorescent reporter for pH</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Tantama et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peredox-mCherry</td>
<td>FRET biosensor</td>
<td>Genetically encoded intensiometric fluorescent reporter for NADH/NAD ratio</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hung et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iNap1</td>
<td>Fluorescent biosensor</td>
<td>Genetically encoded ratiometric fluorescent reporter for NADPH</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Zou et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SoNar</td>
<td>Fluorescent biosensor</td>
<td>Genetically encoded fluorescent reporter for NADH</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Zou et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HyPerRed</td>
<td>Fluorescent biosensor</td>
<td>Genetically encoded intensiometric fluorescent reporter for H2O2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Zou et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REPORTER TOOLS TO SHED LIGHT INTO CELLULAR HETEROGENEITY OF BETA-CELLS**

A pertinent example for employing reporter tools to understand the function of individual cells within their tissue-context comes from studies of pancreatic beta-cells. Insulin-secreting beta-cells play a central role in glucose homeostasis, as their loss or malfunction can lead to the onset of diabetes. Beta-cells show a high plasticity in response to metabolic challenges or in pathological conditions, increasing interest in studying beta cell turnover and function at cellular resolution (Ninov et al., 2013; Chen C. et al., 2017). Studies on beta-cell biology in zebrafish so far have mainly used fluorescent reporter lines to study the processes of beta-cell differentiation and regeneration (Prince et al., 2017). These studies have defined the progenitor lineages for beta-cell formation during development and regeneration using genetic lineage-tracing techniques (Hesselson et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2011; Ninov et al., 2013; Delaspre et al., 2015). In addition, they have revealed novel signaling pathways that regulate beta-cell differentiation, proliferation and regeneration (Andersson et al., 2012; Tsuji et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015) as well as the importance of inter-organ communication (Lu et al., 2016) and the gut microbiota for these processes (Hill et al., 2016).

However, several critical aspects of beta-cell biology that have taken a central stage in the mammalian pancreas field require monitoring of functional read-outs, and await to be examined in zebrafish. For example, the process of maturation of beta-cells toward glucose-stimulated insulin secretion has not been investigated extensively in the zebrafish pancreas. Addressing functionality is important as recent studies in mice have shown that beta-cell death might not be the primary reason for the loss of functional beta-cells in diabetes. Instead, beta-cells in diabetic conditions lose their identity and undergo a process of dedifferentiation, in which they stop expressing beta-cell markers (Bensellam et al., 2018). Thus, it will be necessary to establish models in zebrafish that recapitulate the dedifferentiation of beta-cells observed in mouse and human islets.

Toward this end, it was recently shown that beta-cells in zebrafish larvae show glucose-stimulated calcium influx and expression of markers of mature beta-cells, opening an avenue to use the zebrafish as a model to address the final step of beta-cell differentiation and maturation (Singh et al., 2017). Specifically, the genetically encoded calcium indicator, GCAMP6s, was expressed under the insulin promoter to quantify influx of calcium into beta-cells. Calcium binds to
GCaMP6s and activates a conformational change leading to the emission of green fluorescence. Since calcium influx in beta-cells correlates with insulin secretion (Bergsten et al., 1994), this system makes it possible to visualize the function of beta-cells with single-cell precision. When combined with lineage-tracing of different beta-cell populations, this approach revealed the presence of a functional heterogeneity and a trade-off between proliferative potential and maturity among beta-cells (Singh et al., 2017). Further efforts and new tools will be necessary, however, to visualize the actual release of insulin from zebrafish beta-cells, which remains an outstanding goal in the field.

Moreover, the interactions between the immune system and beta-cells play a critical role in diabetes pathogenesis, yet these processes have not been modeled in the zebrafish pancreas. Implementing models of beta-cell inflammation and autoimmunity would allow one to study how these interactions are controlled in response to metabolic stress and aging (Janjuha et al., 2018b). A recent study applied the zebrafish genetics and transgenic reporter for activated inflammation (Janjuha et al., 2018b). A recent study applied the zebrafish genetics and transgenic reporter for activated inflammation to reveal the presence of an inflammatory clock that marks the proliferative-decline of beta-cells with age. In this clock, beta-cells that activate inflammatory NF-kB signaling also prematurely upregulate socs2, an age-related gene that inhibits their proliferation (Janjuha et al., 2018b). This work suggests that certain aspects of beta-cell biology such as their capacity to proliferate depend on interactions with the islet-resident innate-immune cells. However, it will be necessary to further validate the zebrafish as a model to investigate the complex crosstalk of metabolism, immunity and organ function. In this regard, two recent papers showed that foxp3 marks regulatory T-cells (Tregs) in zebrafish and that foxp3 mutants display systemic inflammation, suggesting an involvement of these cells in the maintenance of immune tolerance (Hui et al., 2017; Kasheta et al., 2017). These results recapitulate in part the situation in humans where mutations in FOXP3 predispose to multi-organ autoimmunity (Sugimoto et al., 2017). In the future, it will be important to investigate whether aberrant selection of immune cells during T-cell maturation or prolonged exposure to self-antigens in combination with genetic and environmental risk factors can be applied to model certain aspects of autoimmune diseases such as type 1 diabetes in zebrafish. The repertoire of zebrafish immune cells is not fully understood and one needs to carefully consider differences in immune cell and cytokine profiles between zebrafish and mammals. However, models are emerging that can be used to monitor T-cell development and migration within their niche (Tian et al., 2017; Aghaallaei and Bajoghli, 2018), and will help to further characterize the zebrafish immune repertoire.

Being able to assess beta-cell activity under metabolic and inflammatory stress is critical to identify small molecules that prevent the loss of its function in diabetes. We propose that some of the above-mentioned tools allowing to quantify the rate of ROS production or the metabolic state of cells can be applied to beta-cells as well. These tools, in conjunction with small molecule screening, can facilitate the discovery of novel therapeutic interventions that intervene at different levels in the cascade responsible for beta-cell stress and dysfunction.

**CONCLUSION AND FUTURE APPROACHES**

Progress has been made to exploit the advantages of zebrafish for studying the control of energy metabolism at tissue, cellular and subcellular resolutions. Achieving this level of resolution is critical considering the specialization of metabolically active tissues that often show different, and in some cases even opposite, homeostatic responses to metabolic challenges. Performing whole-larval transcriptomics metabolomics or proteomics analyses therefore provides limited information. The community should apply nano- or micro-sampling approaches wherever possible, facilitated by an active exchange of protocols and access to state-of-the art technologies. The same is the case for the use of reporter tools that often require experience and an optimized set-up, but once implemented provide powerful technologies to perform metabolic studies within the context of a live organism in physiological or pathological states.
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