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Tonic inhibition is a key regulator of neuronal excitability and network function in the brain, but its role in sensory information processing remains poorly understood. The cerebellum is a favorable model system for addressing this question as granule cells, which form the input layer of the cerebellar cortex, permit high-resolution patch-clamp recordings in vivo, and are the only neurons in the cerebellar cortex that express the α6-containing GABA_A receptors mediating tonic inhibition. We investigated how tonic inhibition regulates sensory information transmission in the rat cerebellum by using a combination of intracellular recordings from granule cells and molecular layer interneurons in vivo, selective pharmacology, and in vitro dynamic clamp experiments. We show that blocking tonic inhibition significantly increases the spontaneous firing rate of granule cells while only moderately increasing sensory-evoked spike output. In contrast, enhancing tonic inhibition reduces the spike probability in response to sensory stimulation with minimal effect on the spontaneous spike rate. Both manipulations result in a reduction in the signal-to-noise ratio of sensory transmission in granule cells and of parallel fiber synaptic input to downstream molecular layer interneurons. These results suggest that under basal conditions the level of tonic inhibition in vivo enhances the fidelity of sensory information transmission through the input layer of the cerebellar cortex.
Here we have made whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from individual granule cells and downstream molecular layer interneurons in vivo. We show that modulating tonic inhibition—either block or enhancement—reduces the ability of granule cells to discriminate between sensory information and background network activity, reflected in a decrease in the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of sensory transmission in granule cells and of parallel fiber input to downstream molecular layer interneurons. Together, our results demonstrate that sensory transmission in granule cells is flexibly modulated by extrasynaptic GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor activation, and that under basal conditions the level of tonic inhibition in vivo facilitates the flow of sensory information through the input layer of the cerebellar cortex.

Materials and Methods

**Animals and surgery.** All procedures were approved by the local ethical review committee and performed under license from the UK Home Office in accordance with the Animal (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. Sprague Dawley rats (18–24 days old) of either sex were anesthetized with an initial dose of ketamine (52 mg/kg)/xylazine (7 mg/kg) mixture as described previously (Chadderton et al., 2004; Rancz et al., 2007) and maintained with supplemental doses of ketamine (17.3 mg/kg). Reflexes and respiration were continuously monitored to assess the level of anesthesia. Body temperature was monitored with a rectal thermometer and maintained at 37°C using a heating blanket. The skin and superficial muscles overlying Crus II were removed and a small craniotomy (400 m) was made to allow recording after removal of the dura.

In vivo whole-cell recordings. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were made from granule cells and molecular layer interneurons in vivo as previously described (Chadderton et al., 2004; Rancz et al., 2007). Recordings were made at a depth of 50–250 μm (molecular layer interneurons) or >400 μm (granule cells) from the pial surface, using a Multiclamp 700A amplifier (Molecular Devices). Data were filtered at 4–10 kHz and acquired at 20 kHz using Axography X software (http://axographx.com) in conjunction with an ITC-18 interface (Instrutech, HEKA). Patch pipettes (5–7 MΩ resistance) were filled with intracellular solution (285–295 mOsm) containing the following (in mM): 133 potassium mesulfonylurea, 7 KCl, 10 HEPES, 2 MgATP, 2 Na<sub>2</sub>ATP, 0.5 Na<sub>2</sub>GTP, and 0.1 EGTA, pH 7.2, resulting in a chloride reversal potential of 0 mV, whereas inhibitory conductances had a potential of 0 mV (the reversal potential for EPSCs). After each recording membrane potentials were recorded immediately after attaining stable recording conditions, using a Meta confocal microscope.

In vitro granule cell recordings. Parasagittal slices of the cerebellum (200 μm) were prepared from P18- to P22-d-old Sprague Dawley rats as described previously (Davie et al., 2008). Slices were cut at 2–4°C in artificial CSF (aCSF) containing the following (in ms): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 26 NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 1 CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 8 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 25 glucose bubbled with 5% carbon dioxide and, 95% oxygen, then incubated at 35°C for 30 min before being allowed to cool to room temperature (25°–20°C) before use. Slices were then transferred to the recording chamber and continuously superfused with the same aCSF but containing 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>. All slice experiments were performed at 33–35°C. Visually guided (IR-DIC) whole-cell recordings from granule cells were obtained with patch pipettes (4–6 MΩ) pulled from borosilicate capillary glass and filled with an internal solution containing either (in ms): 133 potassium gluconate, 7 KCl, 10 HEPES, 2 MgATP, 2 Na<sub>2</sub>ATP, 0.5 Na<sub>2</sub>GTP, and 0.1 EGTA (dynamic clamp and current–voltage relationship experiments) or 153 potassium chloride, 10 HEPES, 2 MgATP, 2 Na<sub>2</sub>ATP, 0.3 Na<sub>2</sub>GTP, and 0.5 EGTA (voltage-clamp experiments). Dynamic clamp recordings were made using an SM-1 dynamic-clamp amplifier (Cambridge Conductance) driven by custom-written software in MATLAB (MathWorks). Mossy fiber synaptic inputs were simulated as depressing bursts of excitatory postsynaptic conductances (EPSCs; 6.3 ± 1.2 events/burst, EPSC rise time = 0.22 ms, decay = 2.5 ms) with an average unitary peak conductance of 450 pS (CV = 0.45). The strength of excitation was adjusted on a cell-by-cell basis to compensate for the variability in input resistance and to match the mean number of evoked spikes observed during sensory stimulation in the presence of gabazine (scaling range: 0.5–1.2). The timing of each EPSP was drawn from a normal distribution where the mean and SD matched the experimentally measured in vivo sensory-evoked event onset times. A minimum of 20 different conductance waveforms were injected and tonic inhibition was applied as a steady-state conductance of 260 pS, matching the average in vivo recorded value. The membrane time constant was adjusted to be comparable to in vivo experimental values (in vivo = 9.4 ± 0.7 ms, n = 8; in vitro = 6.8 ± 0.5 ms, n = 6) by adding a potassium leak conductance (E<sub>rev</sub> = −90 mV, mean g<sub>leak</sub> = 13.7 ± 2.0 pS). AMPA receptor conductances had a reversal potential of 0 mV, whereas inhibitory conductances had a reversal potential of −64 mV. To examine the effects of ketamine on extrasynaptic GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors and leak potassium channels, we used previously published values of blood plasma ketamine measured during general anesthesia in age-matched rats (4.9 ± 0.4 μg/ml) (Livingston and Waterman, 1978; Mueller and Hunt, 1998; Zou et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2011) to calculate the concentration of ketamine available to the brain after administration of a bolus intraperitoneal injection of 50 mg/kg ketamine hydrochloride. We calculated that 4.9 μg of ketamine (FW 214.2 g) per milliliter was equivalent to a concentration of 20.6 μM. Changes in tonic inhibition, leak potassium channel activity, and granule cell excitability were measured during pressure application of ketamine (25, 40, or 300 μm) from a glass micropipette positioned next to the recorded cell. All in vitro granule cell recordings were performed in the presence of kynurenic acid (2 ms) to block ionotropic glutamate receptors and gabazine (10 μm) was applied where stated to block GABA<sub>Cl</sub> receptors.

**Analysis and statistics.** Data analysis was performed with Axograph X and custom-written macros in Igor Pro 6 (Wavemetrics). Input resistances were calculated from voltage/current responses during 400 ms step current (−10 pA) or voltage (−10 mV) injections. Synaptic events were detected using either a template-matching event detection algorithm (Axograph X) or amplitude threshold algorithm (TaroTools, custom-written macro in Igor Pro 6) where the threshold for event detection was set at two times the SD of the baseline noise (typically threshold was set at 5–8 pA for granule cells and 10–15 pA for molecular layer interneurons). The transformation ratio was calculated as the number of sensory-evoked spikes/number of sensory-evoked EPSCs. The latency of sensory-evoked EPSCs and action potentials were corrected to account for the latency of the air puff associated with the solenoid activation system. The average phasic inhibitory charge transfer was calculated as the sum of all inhibitory charge transfer occurring in a 40 ms time period.
window centered on each spontaneous EPSC (sEPSC) (−20 ms to +20 ms from the onset time of each EPSC)/the number of sEPSCs. The charge transfer associated with tonic inhibition was calculated as $Q_{\text{Tonic}} = I_{\text{Tonic}} \times t$, where $I_{\text{Tonic}}$ is the change in holding current at −40 mV in the presence of gabazine (linearly extrapolated from data acquired at 0 mV) and $t$ is time (40 ms). Feedforward-inhibitory (FFI) events were measured at −40 mV as IPSCs occurring within a 4 ms time window measured from the 10% rise time point of each sEPSC. The SNR in granule cells and molecular layer interneurons was calculated as follows, $\text{SNR} = (S_{\text{resp.}} - S_{\text{spont.}})/(\sqrt{\sigma_{\text{resp.}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{spont.}}^2})$, where $S_{\text{resp.}}$ is the mean number of spikes or EPSCs occurring in the initial 100 ms from stimulus onset, $S_{\text{spont.}}$ is the mean number of spikes or EPSCs per 100 ms bin during 1500–4000 ms after stimulus cessation and $\sigma_{\text{resp.}}^2$ and $\sigma_{\text{spont.}}^2$ denote the variance during these two time periods. The mean values for $S_{\text{resp.}}$ and $S_{\text{spont.}}$ were calculated from a minimum of 10 consecutive trials. To isolate the effects of blocking tonic inhibition on the SNR in Figures 3 and 8, $S_{\text{resp.}}$ was adjusted (−1.56 spikes; i.e., the total number of sensory-evoked spikes suppressed by gabazine, minus the number of spikes suppressed by tonic inhibition alone measured using conductance clamp in vitro), which equates to a 35.0% reduction in parallel fiber synaptic input, to account for the increased granule cell spike output in the absence of Golgi cell-mediated inhibition. Relative tonic inhibition was measured as the change in $1/R_{\text{input}}$ normalized to control. Error bars indicate mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined using Student’s paired or unpaired $t$ test where $p < 0.05$ was considered significant (*$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$).
Results

GABAergic inhibition regulates the sensory-evoked input–output function and spontaneous firing rate of granule cells in vivo

To investigate the role of inhibition during sensory information processing in the granule cell layer of the cerebellum, we made in vivo whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from single granule cells in anesthetized rats. Granule cells were identified based on their characteristic electrophysiological properties ($R_{\text{input}} = 1.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ G} \Omega$; $C_m = 3.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ pF}$; $n = 33$), depth from the pial surface ($>450 \mu\text{m}$), and morphology (Fig. 1 A) (Chadderton et al., 2004; Jörntell and Ekerot, 2006; Rancz et al., 2007; Arenz et al., 2008).

To determine how GABAergic inhibition regulates the input–output relationship of granule cells, we recorded sensory-evoked synaptic input in voltage-clamp, and related this to the output spiking patterns in the same cells in control and in the presence of gabazine (SR95531, 500 μm gabazine). Topical application of gabazine to the surface of the brain abolished spontaneous Golgi cell-mediated IPSCs recorded at 0 mV (the reversal potential of
glutamatergic synaptic currents) after 3–5 min and reduced the baseline holding current and background noise (Fig. 1A–C; n/H11005 4 and 4, p/H11005 0.01 and 0.02), confirming the presence of a tonic GABAA receptor-mediated inhibitory conductance in vivo (0.26 ± 0.04 nS, n/H11005 4; Chadderton et al., 2004). The reduction in spontaneous IPSC frequency and holding current was not observed in the absence of drug (Fig. 1B), confirming the selective effects of gabazine on granule cell GABAA receptors.

To activate behaviorally relevant sensory input to Crus II we applied brief air puffs to the whiskers and ipsilateral perioral surface (Fig. 1A; Hartmann and Bower, 2001), which triggered bursts of action potentials that were directly related to the number of input EPSCs (Fig. 1C–G). We found that mossy fiber input strength varied widely across cells (from 2 to 9 EPSCs/burst), consistent with reports suggesting the granule cell layer of Crus II contains a patchy discontinuous representation of the body (Leergaard et al., 2006). However, sensory-evoked mossy fiber input in individual granule cells was highly reproducible, displaying minimal trial-to-trial variability in EPSC number (data not shown). On average, the input–output relationship and transformation ratio in granule cells fell below unity (Fig. 1D, E), reflecting the need for EPSP summation to generate bursts of action potentials (Chadderton et al., 2004). Blocking inhibition significantly enhanced the sensory-evoked response, doubling the number of action potentials evoked by the same sensory stimulus (from 2.8 ± 0.6 to 5.2 ± 0.8 spikes, n = 5, p = 0.02). The increase in the number of sensory-evoked spikes was associated with a ~2-fold increase in the transformation ratio, bringing it close to unity (from 0.5 ± 0.1 to 0.9 ± 0.2, n = 5, p = 0.03 (Fig. 1C–E)). In contrast, sensory-evoked mossy fiber input was unaffected by gabazine (n = 12; Fig. 1F, G), consistent with a lack of functional presynaptic GABAA receptors on mossy fiber terminals (Nusser et al., 1998).

Next we examined the contribution of tonic and Golgi cell FFI to maintaining low spontaneous firing rates in granule cells in vivo. We simultaneously recorded spontaneous EPSCs and IPSCs at the intermediate holding potential of −40 mV (Hausser and Haylett, 2002). Blocking tonic inhibition significantly enhanced the sensory-evoked response, doubling the number of action potentials evoked by the same sensory stimulus (from 2.8 ± 0.6 to 5.2 ± 0.8 spikes, n = 5, p = 0.02). The increase in the number of sensory-evoked spikes was associated with a ~2-fold increase in the transformation ratio, bringing it close to unity (from 0.5 ± 0.1 to 0.9 ± 0.2, n = 5, p = 0.03 (Fig. 1C–E)). In contrast, sensory-evoked mossy fiber input was unaffected by gabazine (n = 12; Fig. 1F, G), consistent with a lack of functional presynaptic GABAA receptors on mossy fiber terminals (Nusser et al., 1998).

In addition to its effect on sensory-evoked spiking, gabazine increased the spontaneous spike rate in granule cells, resulting in a sixfold increase in spontaneous firing rate (from 0.5 ± 0.2 to 3.1 ± 0.9 Hz, n = 5, p = 0.004; Fig. 2A–C). This was substantially greater than the twofold increase in the sensory-evoked response (Fig. 1C–E).

Next we examined the contribution of tonic and Golgi cell FFI to maintaining low spontaneous firing rates in granule cells in vivo. We simultaneously recorded spontaneous EPSCs and IPSCs at the intermediate holding potential of −40 mV (Hausser and Haylett, 2002). Blocking tonic inhibition significantly enhanced the sensory-evoked response, doubling the number of action potentials evoked by the same sensory stimulus (from 2.8 ± 0.6 to 5.2 ± 0.8 spikes, n = 5, p = 0.02). The increase in the number of sensory-evoked spikes was associated with a ~2-fold increase in the transformation ratio, bringing it close to unity (from 0.5 ± 0.1 to 0.9 ± 0.2, n = 5, p = 0.03 (Fig. 1C–E)). In contrast, sensory-evoked mossy fiber input was unaffected by gabazine (n = 12; Fig. 1F, G), consistent with a lack of functional presynaptic GABAA receptors on mossy fiber terminals (Nusser et al., 1998).
We found that spontaneous phasic inhibition contributed at least 98% of the total inhibitory charge transfer (0.284 ± 0.03 pC/40 ms; n = 5; Fig. 2D, E). Moreover, the rate of occurrence of disynaptic FFI events following spontaneous mossy fiber input was extremely low (1.8 ± 0.9% of total events; Fig. 2D, E). These findings suggest that tonic inhibition, rather than feedforward Golgi cell input, regulates the spontaneous firing rate of granule cells and that blocking tonic inhibition may reduce the ability of granule cells to discriminate between sensory-evoked spike trains and background firing.

Blocking tonic inhibition reduces the saliency of sensory responses in granule cells

Tonic inhibition modulates the excitability of granule cells *in vitro* (Brickley et al., 1996; Hamann et al., 2002) and enforces low spontaneous firing rates *in vivo* (Chadderton et al., 2004), but its role in regulating the saliency of sensory responses in granule cells remains poorly understood. To assess the impact of blocking tonic inhibition on the SNR for sensory information transmission (and given the lack of selective antagonists for synaptic vs extrasynaptic GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors), we used a dual strategy, combining our *in vivo* recordings with *in vitro* dynamic clamp experiments. First, we injected simulated trains (6.3 ± 1.2 events/burst) of depressing mossy fiber input (Rancz et al., 2007; Rothman et al., 2009) where the timing of each EPSC reflected the variability in EPSC onset times measured *in vivo* (see Materials and Methods; Fig. 3A). In the absence of inhibition, simulated mossy fiber input evoked a burst of spikes (5.0 ± 0.2; n = 6; Fig. 3B, C), similar in magnitude to that observed during sensory-stimulation in gabazine (Fig. 1D). Next, we injected a steady-state conductance (260 pS) to match the measured tonic inhibitory conductance *in vivo* and found that mossy fiber-evoked spike output was suppressed by 0.84 ± 0.3 spikes (n = 6; Fig. 3B, C). We then calculated the SNR of sensory information transmission against background firing, and isolated the effects of blocking tonic inhibition by using the dynamic clamp results to correct for the increase in sensory-evoked spike output in the absence of Golgi cell inhibition (see Materials and Methods) (Rossi and Hamann, 1998; Rossi et al., 2003). We found that the SNR decreased significantly in the absence of tonic inhibition (75.2 ± 8.2% of control, n = 5, p = 0.04; Fig. 3D), indicating that tonic inhibition is essential for regulating the saliency of sensory responses in the granule cell layer.
Importantly, sensory information processing in the granule cell layer becomes entirely feedforward in the presence of gabazine, with no feedback inhibition from Golgi cells. Therefore, any changes in granule cell spike output result from either a change in mossy fiber input, which we discount by showing that gabazine does not affect mossy fiber–granule cell synaptic input (Fig. 1); in phasic Golgi cell input, which we account for by showing that phasic inhibition does not affect the spontaneous firing rate in granule cells (Fig. 2) and that blocking tonic inhibition alone affects SNR (Fig. 3); or altered granule cell excitability in the absence of tonic inhibition, as demonstrated by the increase in resting membrane potential, sensory-evoked spike output and SNR (Figs. 1–3). Therefore, the most parsimonious explanation of our results is that blocking tonic inhibition in granule cells significantly reduces SNR for sensory information transmission in the input layer of the cerebellum.

Enhancing tonic inhibition decreases sensory information transfer

If blocking tonic inhibition reduces the ability of granule cells to discriminate salient sensory information from spontaneous network activity, what is the effect of enhancing tonic inhibition? To address this question, we selectively enhanced tonic inhibition using the δ-subunit-selective GABA_A receptor agonist THIP (10 μM), a compound that acts as a “super” agonist at extrasynaptic (α6βγδ) GABA_A receptors (Mortensen et al., 2004; Störstotzovu and Ebert, 2006). In voltage-clamp recordings at a holding potential of 0 mV, application of THIP increased the baseline holding current and noise (n = 3 and 3, p = 0.02 and 0.03; Fig. 4A), as expected from enhancement of tonic GABAergic currents. THIP did not affect the amplitude, frequency, or kinetics of fast phasic (Fig. 4B) or slow spillover (Fig. 4C) IPSCs, thus ruling out effects on Golgi cell-mediated synaptic inhibition. In current-clamp recordings, THIP significantly decreased the input resistance (1.6 ± 0.1 to 0.99 ± 0.2 GΩ, p = 0.005; measured at −70 mV) and hyperpolarized the resting membrane potential by 5.4 ± 1.5 mV (p = 0.03). These results indicate that THIP selectively enhances tonic inhibition in vivo without affecting Golgi cell–granule cell synaptic transmission (Bright et al., 2011).

We next explored the effects of enhancing tonic inhibition on sensory information transmission by comparing input–output transformations and the spontaneous firing rates of granule cells in control and in the presence of THIP. Enhancing tonic inhibition with THIP reduced the number of sensory-evoked action potentials (from 2.4 ± 0.4 to 1.3 ± 0.2 spikes, n = 5, p = 0.01) (Fig. 5A–C) and the transformation ratio (from 0.5 ± 0.04 to 0.3 ± 0.04, n = 5, p = 0.001) (Fig. 5C), and increased the fraction of sensory stimuli presentations that failed to evoke spike output (sensory-evoked spike failures; from 3.8 ± 3.0% to 24.9 ± 7.6%, p = 0.03; Fig. 5C). In addition, THIP produced a moderate reduction in the mean spontaneous firing rate (1.0 ± 0.3 to 0.37 ± 0.2 Hz, p = 0.01; n = 5; Fig. 5D). Overall, the significant reduction in sensory-evoked spike output outweighed the modest changes in spontaneous firing and led to a dramatic decrease in the SNR for transmission of sensory information compared with control (SNR 58.5 ± 11.3% of control, p = 0.02; Fig. 5D). Sensory-evoked EPSCs were unaffected by THIP application (n = 5) (Fig. 5E, F), again consistent with the absence of presynaptic GABA_A receptors on cerebellar mossy fiber terminals (Nusser et al., 1998).

Our findings suggest that enhancing tonic inhibition also decreases the ability of granule cells to discriminate between salient sensory information and spontaneous background network activity.

Tonic inhibition regulates the saliency of sensory information

Our experimental results suggest that by differential regulation of background firing and the strength of sensory responses, tonic inhibition controls the ability of granule cells to selectively transfer sensory information across the input layer of the cerebellar cortex. These results are summarized in Figure 6, A and B, which indicate that the level of tonic inhibition present in vivo under control conditions enhances the transfer of sensory information through the granule cell layer with increasing and decreasing levels of tonic inhibition producing a reduced SNR. In the absence of tonic inhibition the increase in spontaneous firing rate outweighs the increase in sensory-evoked spike output, while enhancing tonic inhibition significantly reduces the sensory responses of granule cells with only modest effects on background
SNR in individual granule cells. cilitates sensory information transmission by ensuring a high results suggest that under control conditions tonic inhibition fa-

change in SNR was only weakly correlated with the strength of input strength and SNR. We found that SNR increased as a func-

duced SNR in all cells tested (Fig. 6). We next examined the effects of ketamine on the excitability of granule cells and found that 25 μm did not affect the input resistance of granule cells (control 1.1 ± 0.1 GΩ; 25 μm ketamine 1.0 ± 0.1 GΩ; n = 4 and 4; p > 0.05) or the number of evoked spikes in response to a 20 pA steady-state current injection (104.1 ± 7.6% of control; n = 4; p = 0.63) (Fig. 7C–E). Our results confirm that the concentration of ketamine used to induce general anesthesia in this study is unlikely to directly affect tonic inhibition, granule cell excitability, or SNR for sensory transmission under control conditions.

Tonic inhibition controls the flow of sensory information to molecular layer neurons

What are the consequences of regulating tonic inhibition for the flow of sensory information to downstream target neurons? To address this issue we recorded spontaneous and sensory-evoked parallel fiber input to single molecular layer interneurons in vivo under voltage-clamp conditions (Fig. 8A). Interneurons were identified based on their characteristic electrophysiological properties (R_input = 383.1 ± 63.0 MΩ; C_m = 19.9 ± 4.6 pF; n = 9), depth from the pial surface (50–250 μm) and morphology (Fig. 8B) (Häusser and Clark, 1997; Jörntell and Ekerot, 2003). Sensory stimulation reliably evoked a burst of parallel fiber EPSCs (4.3 ± 0.9 EPSCs; mean interevent interval [IEI] 11.8 ± 0.9 ms) with an onset latency of 38.1 ± 13.8 ms (n = 9), consistent with direct input from a small number of granule cells (Fig. 8C,F).

To investigate the effects of modulating tonic inhibition on parallel fiber synaptic input to interneurons, we examined changes in spontaneous and sensory-evoked EPSCs and SNR during gabazine and THIP application. As expected from the observed changes to granule cell spiking, application of gabazine substantially increased sensory-evoked and spontaneous parallel fiber synaptic input (167.9 ± 18.3% and 252.8 ± 41.0% of control, respectively; n = 4; p = 0.03 and 0.03; Fig. 8C,D), resulting in a significant decrease in the SNR (72.9 ± 5.5% of control, n = 4; p = 0.016; sensory-evoked responses were adjusted to account for the absence of Golgi cell inhibition) (see Materials and Methods; Fig. 8E). Importantly, our measure of spontaneous parallel fiber synaptic input includes miniature, action potential-independent transmitter release that is unlikely to be affected by gabazine application. Therefore, changes in spontaneous EPSC rate in the presence of gabazine may be underestimated (compare Figs. 2C, D). Conversely, selectively enhancing tonic inhibition with THIP reduced sensory-evoked and spontaneous parallel fiber input (48.7 ± 9.6% and 61.4 ± 2.1% of control, respectively; n = 5; p = 0.004 and 0.0001; Fig. 8F,G) and increased the number of sensory-evoked synaptic failures, resulting in a significant decrease in SNR (61.5 ± 9.3% of control, n = 5; p = 0.015; Fig. 8H). Together, our results demonstrate that tonic inhibition in granule cells plays a pivotal role in regulating the saliency of sensory information transmission to downstream molecular layer neurons.
Discussion

The role of tonic inhibition in vivo has long been the subject of speculation. Here we have provided the first direct investigation of how tonic inhibition regulates sensory transmission in vivo using a combination of whole-cell patch-clamp recording, selective pharmacology, and dynamic clamp to probe the function of tonic inhibition in granule cells in the cerebellar cortex. Our findings reveal that extrasynaptic GABA$_A$ receptors flexibly control the magnitude and saliency of granule cell responses to sensory stimuli. We demonstrate that the differential effect of tonic inhibition on spontaneous firing versus sensory-evoked responses ensures a high SNR for the transfer of sensory information across the granule cell layer. Reducing or enhancing tonic inhibition decreases the ability of granule cells to discriminate sensory-evoked responses from ongoing network activity, and both manipulations are associated with a reduction in the SNR of sensory-evoked parallel fiber input to downstream molecular layer interneurons. Thus, tonic inhibition provides an important regulatory mechanism that controls the saliency and propagation of mossy fiber information through the input layer of the cerebellar cortex during sensory stimulation.

The role of tonic inhibition in regulating sensory information transmission

Tonic inhibition has been proposed to be important for controlling granule cell input—output transformations and the number of active granule cells during mossy fiber stimulation (Marr, 1969; Hamann et al., 2002; Chadderton et al., 2004), but little direct evidence is available to address how tonic inhibition affects sensory information processing in vivo. Activation of extrasynaptic GABA$_A$ receptors reduces spike output by reducing input resistance and the membrane time constant as well as hyperpolarizing the cell and decreasing EPSP amplitude and decay kinetics (Hamann et al., 2002; Mitchell and Silver, 2003; Chadderton et al., 2004; Bright et al., 2007; Mapelli and D’Angelo, 2007; Roggeri et al., 2008; Crowley et al., 2009). Shunting inhibition provides a mechanism for granule cells to dynamically modulate spike output simply by varying the level of EPSP summation.
required to generate spiking and the coupling between sub-threshold voltage fluctuations and neuronal firing (Mitchell and Silver, 2003; Chadderton et al., 2004; Mapelli and D’Angelo, 2007). Thus, tonic inhibition is an important regulator of the time window for synaptic integration in the input layer of the cerebellum (D’Angelo and De Zeeuw, 2009). Our results indicate that under basal conditions tonic inhibition is maintained in a range that largely suppresses spontaneous granule cell activity with only modest effects on sensory-evoked responses, thus ensuring a high SNR for sensory information transmission. Reducing extrasynaptic GABA_\text{A}_\text{R} receptor activation widens the temporal window for synaptic integration (Brickley et al., 1996; Mitchell and Silver, 2003; D’Angelo and De Zeeuw, 2009) resulting in a significant increase in spontaneous spiking that outweighs the moderate increase in sensory-evoked spike output. Conversely, increasing tonic inhibition significantly reduces the power of each sensory-evoked response with only a minimal effect on the low spontaneous firing rate of granule cells. Crucially, both manipulations produce a dramatic reduction in the SNR for information transmission in the granular layer. Together, our results demonstrate that tonic inhibition provides an important regulatory mechanism that enhances the ability of granule cells to discriminate salient sensory information from ongoing network activity, thus ensuring the reliable high-fidelity transmission of sensory-evoked mossy fiber information through the cerebellum.

Somatodendritic tonic inhibition controls information flow

Recent evidence suggests that tonic GABA release is primarily due to direct permeation of GABA through the Best1 anion channel, located predominantly on Bergmann glial cells and lamellar astrocytes (Landis et al., 1983; Grosche et al., 1999; Bellamy, 2006; Lee et al., 2010; but see also Diaz et al., 2011). Importantly, the spatial organization of cerebellar glial cells is ideally suited to provide ambient GABA for tonic inhibition of somatodendritic regions of granule cells (Nusser et al., 1998) and parallel fibers (Grosche et al., 1999). Although presynaptic GABA_\text{A}_\text{R} receptors have been shown to modulate release probability at individual parallel fiber synapses (Stell et al., 2007; Pugh and Jahr, 2011), our results indicate that somatodendritic tonic inhibition is the primary mechanism for controlling the flow of sensory information to downstream molecular layer neurons. Furthermore, our results suggest that under basal conditions activation of the tonic conductance is maintained at a level that optimizes the saliency of sensory information as it propagates through the granule cell layer. Tonic inhibition will depend on activity-dependent changes in ambient GABA (Rossi et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2010), modulation of the tonic conductance by GABA_\text{A}_\text{R} receptor phosphorylation (Kittler and Moss, 2003), GABA-uptake transporter activity (Attwell et al., 1993; Rossi et al., 2003; Chiu et al., 2005; Cope et al., 2009), and neurosteroid and neurotransmitter modulation of extrasynaptic GABA_\text{A}_\text{R} receptors (Hamann et al., 2002; Vicini et al., 2002; Rossi et al., 2003; Stell et al., 2003; Scimemi et al., 2005; Belelli et al., 2009). The next step will be to investigate to what extent subtle changes in ambient GABA affect the SNR for sensory information transmission in granule cells.

Implications for sensory information processing

Our understanding of the functional role of tonic inhibition has been constrained by a lack of knowledge about its relative influence on granule cell responses compared with background spiking during sensory stimulation in vivo. Previous studies have focused on using simulated trains of mossy fiber inputs or complex conductance waveforms to examine the effects of inhibition on granule cell input–output transformations in vitro (Hamann et al., 2002; Mitchell and Silver, 2003; Crowley et al., 2009; Rothman et al., 2009). We have shown that tonic inhibition not only scales input–output transformations (Mitchell and Silver, 2003; Crowley et al., 2009; Rothman et al., 2009) during sensory stimulation, but also has a much more powerful effect on the background spontaneous firing rate of granule cells in vivo. This differential regulation ensures a high SNR for the transfer of sensory-evoked mossy fiber information, while filtering signals that are not associated with sensory stimuli. This high-fidelity low-noise mode of communication along the mossy fiber–granule cell–parallel fiber pathway may enhance pattern recognition in downstream Purkinje cells, which is a necessary prerequisite for coordinated movement and cerebellum-dependent motor learning (Marr, 1969; Albus, 1971; Steuber et al., 2007). Importantly, reducing inhibition in granule cells by disrupting KCC2 function significantly impairs consolidation of the long-term learning phase of the vestibulo-ocular reflex, suggesting a pivotal role for granule cell synaptic and extrasynaptic GABA_\text{A}_\text{R} receptors in information processing and memory formation in the cerebellum (Seja et al., 2012).

One important consideration is how anesthesia affects SNR and its modulation by tonic inhibition. Although it has been shown that ketamine anesthesia can depress mossy fiber–granule cell–parallel fiber activity in vivo (Bengtsson and Jörntell, 2007), the depression occurs immediately after intravenous injection and is relatively short lasting (~7 min). Moreover, we have shown that the blood plasma concentration of ketamine required to induce general anesthesia in this study (~20 μM) does not affect tonic inhibition, leak potassium channel activity or granule cell excitability under basal conditions. Thus, our results indicate that ketamine anesthesia is unlikely to significantly alter tonic inhibition or SNR for sensory information transmission in the granule cell layer. However, it will be important for future studies to examine SNR and the role of neuromodulation in awake behaving animals (Hartmann and Bower, 2001; Rossi et al., 2003).

Overall, our results indicate that tonic inhibition plays a vital role in modulating sensory information processing in the cerebellum. The importance of tonic inhibition is further highlighted by the upregulation of a two-pore-domain potassium channel in granule cells that lack the α6 GABA_\text{A}_\text{R} receptor subunit. This homeostatic compensatory mechanism maintains normal input conductance and suppresses inappropriate granule cell activity that could lead to significant deficits in motor coordination (Korpi et al., 1999; Brickley et al., 2001). Moreover, in GABA transporter subtype 1-deficient mice, granule cells exhibit tonic inhibitory conductances fourfold larger than their wild-type littermates, leading to constant tremor, reduced rotorod performance, gait abnormality, and reduced locomotor activity in their home cage (Chiu et al., 2005). Thus, our results help to explain how tonic inhibition in granule cells provides a powerful regulatory mechanism that controls the magnitude and saliency of sensory information as it propagates through the input layer of the cerebellum.
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